

Assignment 3  
 Math 60380, Winter '10  
 Due Friday, March 5

*On this homework it will suffice if you only do one of the two problems 3 and 4. Which one you do is up to you.*

**Problem 1.** Let  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be a path with  $\gamma(0) = z_0$ . Suppose that  $f : D(z_0, R) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is holomorphic, and let  $[f]_{z_0} \in \mathcal{O}_{z_0}$  be the germ of  $f$  at  $z_0$ . Verify that the following are equivalent:

- $f$  can be analytically continued along  $\gamma$ ;
- there exists a lift  $\tilde{\gamma}$  of  $\gamma$  to  $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}}|$  satisfying  $\tilde{\gamma}(z_0) = [f]_{z_0}$ .

Mostly, this amounts to unraveling and comparing definitions.

*Solution.* That  $f$  can be analytically continued along  $\gamma$  means there exists a family of disks  $\{D_t = D(\gamma(t), r(t)) : t \in [0, 1]\}$  and holomorphic functions  $f_t \in \mathcal{O}(D_t)$  such that

- $D_0 = D(z_0, R)$  and  $f_0 = f$ ;
- For each  $t \in [0, 1]$  there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $|t' - t| < \epsilon$  implies  $\gamma(t') \in D_t$  and  $f_{t'} \equiv f_t$  on  $D_{t'} \cap D_t$ .

From this data, I define  $\tilde{\gamma} : [0, 1] \rightarrow |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}}|$  by  $\tilde{\gamma}(t) = [f_t]_{\gamma(t)}$ . Then  $\tilde{\gamma}(0) = [f]_{z_0}$  by definition. Likewise, if  $\pi : |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}}| \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is the natural projection, then  $\pi \circ \tilde{\gamma} = \gamma$ . To verify that  $\tilde{\gamma}$  is continuous, fix  $t \in [0, 1]$ , let  $\epsilon > 0$  be as above, and fix a neighborhood  $U \subset |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}}|$  of  $\tilde{\gamma}(t)$ . By definition of the topology on  $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}}|$ , we may shrink  $U$  if necessary and assume that  $U = \{[f_t]_z : z \in D(\gamma(t), \epsilon')\}$  for some  $\epsilon' < \epsilon$ . If  $(t_j)$  is a sequence converging to  $t$ , then there exists  $J \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $j > J$  implies that  $|\gamma(t_j) - \gamma(t)| < \epsilon'$ . In particular,  $\gamma(t_j) \in D(\gamma(t), \epsilon')$  and  $f_t \equiv f_{t_j}$  near  $z := \gamma(t_j)$ . Thus  $\tilde{\gamma}(t_j) = [f_{t_j}]_z = [f_t]_z \in U$ . Hence  $\tilde{\gamma}$  is continuous and therefore a lift of  $\gamma$ .

Starting over, we begin with a lift  $\tilde{\gamma} : [0, 1] \rightarrow |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}}|$  satisfying  $\tilde{\gamma}(0) = [f]_{z_0}$ . For each  $t \in [0, 1]$ , the germ  $\tilde{\gamma}(t) \in \mathcal{O}_{\gamma(t)}$  is given by  $[f_t]_{\gamma(t)}$  where  $f_t$  is holomorphic on a neighborhood  $U$  of  $\gamma(t)$ . Shrinking  $U$ , we may assume  $U = D_t$  is a disk. Let  $\tilde{U} = \{[f_t]_z : z \in D_t\}$  be the corresponding open set in  $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}}|$ . Then by continuity of  $\tilde{\gamma}$ , we have  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\tilde{\gamma}(t') \in \tilde{U}$  when  $|t' - t| < \epsilon$ . That is,  $f_t \equiv f_{t'}$  on some open subset of  $\gamma(t')$ . This means first of all that  $\gamma(t') \in D_t$ , and secondly that  $f_t \equiv f_{t'}$  on  $D_{t'} \cap D_t$  (because the intersection is connected). Since  $f_0 = \tilde{\gamma}(0) = [f]_{z_0}$  by definition, it follows that the family  $\{f_t \in \mathcal{O}(D_t)\}$  is an analytic continuation of  $f$  along  $\gamma$ .  $\square$

**Problem 2.** Let  $\Omega = \{z \in \mathbf{H} : 0 < \operatorname{Re} z < 1 \text{ and } |z - 1/2| > 1/2\}$  be the maximal hyperbolic triangle we used to construct the function  $\lambda$ ; let  $R$  denote reflection about the bottom side of  $T$ , and let  $\tilde{R}$  denote reflection about the image  $R(\gamma)$  where  $\gamma$  is the left side of  $\Omega$ . Verify that  $\tilde{R} \circ R = \frac{z}{2z+1}$ .

*Solution.* Let me first work out the formula for reflection about an arbitrary circle  $S := bD(P, R)$ . The affine transformation  $T(z) = \frac{z-P}{R}$  maps  $S$  to

$bD(0, 1)$ . Hence reflection about  $S$  is given by  $R_S = T^{-1} \circ R_{bD(0,1)} \circ T$ , where  $R_{bD(0,1)}(z) = \frac{1}{\bar{z}}$  is reflection about  $bD(0, 1)$ . Thus

$$R_S(z) = T^{-1}(R/(\overline{z - P})) = \frac{R^2}{z - P} + P.$$

Now I specialize this formula to the reflections  $R$  and  $\tilde{R}$  of interest in this problem. It follows from the previous formula that

$$R(z) = \frac{1/4}{\bar{z} - 1/2} + 1/2 = \frac{\bar{z}}{2\bar{z} - 1}.$$

In particular, applying  $R$  to the endpoints of  $\gamma$ , we find  $R(0) = 0$  and  $R(\infty) = \frac{1}{2}$ . Hence  $\tilde{R}$  is reflection about  $bD(1/4, 1/4)$ , which is given by

$$\tilde{R}(z) = \frac{1/16}{\bar{z} - 1/4} + 1/4 = \frac{\bar{z}}{4\bar{z} - 1}.$$

It follows that

$$\tilde{R} \circ R(z) = \frac{z}{2z + 1},$$

as desired.

**Problem 3.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbf{C}$  be open and  $c_0, \dots, c_{n-1} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be continuous functions. Consider the ‘continuous’ family of polynomials  $P(z, w) = z^n + c_{n-1}(w)z^{n-1} + \dots + c_0(w)$ . Suppose for some  $w_0 \in \Omega$  that the polynomial  $P(z, w_0)$  has  $n$  distinct roots  $z_0, \dots, z_{n-1}$ .

- (a) Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\epsilon < \frac{1}{2} \min_{i \neq j} |z_i - z_j|$ . Show that there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $w \in D(w_0, \delta)$ , the polynomial  $P(z, w)$  has (distinct) roots  $z_1(w), \dots, z_n(w)$  such that  $|z_j(w) - z_j| < \epsilon$ . (Hint: use Rouché’s Theorem or the argument principle).

*Solution.* With this choice of  $\epsilon$ , the disks  $D(z_j, \epsilon)$  have disjoint closures. In particular  $z_j$  is the only root of  $P(z, 0)$  in  $D(z_j, \epsilon)$  and there exists  $m > 0$  such that  $|P(z, 0)| > m$  for all  $z \in bD(z_j, \epsilon)$  and all  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Since the functions  $c_j$  are continuous, the function  $P(z, w)$  is continuous as a function of both variables  $z$  and  $w$ . So we further have  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|P(z, 0) - P(z, w)| < m/2$  for all  $|w| < \delta$  and  $z \in bD(z_j, \epsilon)$ . This means that when  $|w| < \delta$ , the hypothesis of Rouché’s Theorem is satisfied by  $P(z, 0)$  and  $P(z, w)$ :

$$|P(z, 0) - P(z, w)| < m/2 < |P(z, 0)| \leq |P(z, 0)| + |P(z, w)|$$

for all  $z \in bD(z_j, \epsilon)$ . Hence  $P(z, w)$  has the same number of zeroes in  $D(z_j, \epsilon)$  as  $P(z, 0)$  does—that is, exactly one. Call this zero  $z_j(w)$ . Since the disks  $D(z_j, \epsilon)$  are mutually disjoint, the zeroes  $z_1(w), \dots, z_n(w)$  of  $P(z, w)$  are all distinct.  $\square$

- (b) Show that if  $\gamma \subset \mathbf{C}$  is a simple closed curve enclosing exactly one zero (counting multiplicity)  $z_0$  of a holomorphic function  $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ , then  $z_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\gamma} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} dz$ .

*Solution.* The value of the given integral is the sum of the residues of the function  $h := zf'/f$  over all points inside  $\gamma$ . Now  $h$  has poles only where  $f$  vanishes, so by hypothesis,  $h$  has exactly one pole (at the point  $z_0$ ) inside  $\gamma$ . Since  $f(z)$  has a simple zero at  $z_0$ , I can write

$f(z) = (z - z_0)g(z)$  where  $g$  is a non-vanishing holomorphic function. From this, I compute

$$h(z) = \frac{z}{(z - z_0)} + \frac{zg'}{g},$$

so that  $h$  has (at worst) a simple pole at  $z_0$ . I can therefore compute the residue of  $h$  at  $z_0$  by

$$\text{res}_h(z_0) = \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} (z - z_0)h(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} z + z(z - z_0)g'(z)/g(z) = z_0.$$

I conclude that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\gamma} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} dz = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\gamma} h(z) dz = \text{res}_h(z_0) = z_0.$$

- (c) Now assume that the functions  $c_j$  are holomorphic on  $\Omega$ . Show that the root functions  $z_j(w)$  are also holomorphic on  $D(w_0, \delta)$ .

*Solution.* From the previous two parts of the problem, I have that

$$z_j(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{bD(z_j, \epsilon)} z \frac{P'(z, w)}{P(z, w)} dw.$$

In particular,  $z_j(w)$  depends smoothly on  $w$  since the integrand varies smoothly with  $w$  at all points  $z \in bD(z_j, \epsilon)$ . To check that  $z_j(w)$  is holomorphic, I differentiate

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}} z_j(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{bD(z_j, \epsilon)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}} \left( z \frac{P'(z, w)}{P(z, w)} \right) dw = 0$$

since the integrand is a holomorphic function of  $w$  for each  $z \in bD(z_j, \epsilon)$ .  $\square$

**Problem 4.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbf{C}$  be a domain and  $A : \Omega \rightarrow M_{n \times n}(\mathbf{C})$  be a holomorphically varying  $n \times n$  matrix and  $z_0 \in \Omega$  be a given point. The existence and uniqueness theorem for linear ordinary differential equations says that there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for any vector  $\mathbf{w}_0 \in \mathbf{C}^n$  there exists a unique holomorphic mapping  $F : D(z_0, \delta) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^n$  satisfying  $F(z_0) = \mathbf{w}_0$  and  $F'(z) = A(z)F(z)$  for all  $z \in D(z_0, \delta)$ . Here you are asked to prove this theorem as follows:

- (a) Show for any matrix  $n \times n$  matrix  $M$  and any vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{C}^n$ , one has  $\|M\mathbf{x}\| \leq n \|M\| \|\mathbf{x}\|$ , where  $\|\mathbf{x}\|$  and  $\|M\|$  both denote the magnitude of the largest entry.

*Solution.* Let  $M_{ij}, x_j \in \mathbf{C}$  denote the  $ij$  entry of  $M$  and  $j$ th entry of  $\mathbf{x}$  respectively. Then

$$\|M\mathbf{x}\| = \max_i \left| \sum_j M_{ij} x_j \right| \leq \max_i \sum_j |M_{ij} x_j| \leq \sum_j \max_i |M_{ij} x_j| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \|M\| \|\mathbf{x}\| = n \|M\| \|\mathbf{x}\|.$$

- (b) Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the set of bounded holomorphic mappings  $F : D(z_0, \delta) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^n$  satisfying  $F(z_0) = \mathbf{w}_0$ . 'Bounded' here means that  $\|F\| := \max\{\|F(z)\| : z \in D(z_0, \delta)\} < \infty$ . Show that if we set  $d(F, G) = \|F - G\|$ , then  $\mathcal{F}$  is a complete metric space.

*Solution.* Suppose that the sequence  $(F_n) \subset \mathcal{F}$  is Cauchy with respect to the given metric. Let  $F_{nj} : D(z_0, \delta) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  denote the  $j$ th entry in  $F_n$ . By definition, we have  $|F_{nj}(z) - F_{mj}(z)| \leq \|F_n(z) - F_m(z)\| \leq \|F - G\|$ , so it follows that  $(F_{nj}(z))_{n \geq 0} \subset \mathbf{C}$  is a Cauchy sequence for each  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and each  $z \in D(z_0, \delta)$ . Since  $\mathbf{C}$  is a complete metric space, it follows that this last sequence converges. We call the limit  $F_j(z)$ . I claim that  $F_{nj} \rightarrow F_j$  uniformly on  $D(z_0, \delta)$ . To see that this is so, fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and let  $N \in \mathbf{N}$  be large enough that  $m, n \geq N$  and  $z \in D(z_0, \delta)$  implies  $|F_n(z) - F_m(z)| < \epsilon/2$ . Then

$$|F_{nj}(z) - F_j(z)| \leq |F_{nj}(z) - F_{mj}(z)| + |F_{mj}(z) - F_j(z)| < \epsilon/2 + |F_{mj}(z) - F_j(z)|$$

for all  $m \geq N$ . Letting  $m$  tend to infinity, we see that  $|F_n(z) - F(z)| \leq \epsilon/2 < \epsilon$  for all  $n \geq N$ , establishing my claim. It follows that  $F_j$  is holomorphic on  $D(z_0, \delta)$ . Letting  $F = (F_1, \dots, F_n) : D(z_0, \delta) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  denote the mapping obtained by collecting these component functions and increasing  $N$  above so that  $|F_j(z) - F(z)| < \epsilon$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and all  $z \in D(z_0, \delta)$ , we conclude that

$$d(F_n, F) = \max_{z,j} |F_{nj}(z) - F_j(z)| < \epsilon.$$

This proves that  $F_n \rightarrow F$ ; i.e. Cauchy sequences in  $\mathcal{F}$  converge.  $\square$

- (c) Given  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ , let  $H(z) = \mathbf{w}_0 + \int_{z_0}^z A(z)F(z) dz$ ; that is,  $H$  is the unique holomorphic antiderivative of  $AF$  satisfying  $H(z_0) = \mathbf{w}_0$ . Show that  $H \in \mathcal{F}$  and that the function  $T : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ , given by  $T(F) = H$  is a contraction mapping if  $\delta > 0$  is set small enough.

*Solution.* First pick  $\delta_0$  so that  $\overline{D(z_0, \delta_0)} \subset \Omega$ . Let  $M = \max_{z \in \overline{D(z_0, \delta_0)}} \|A(z)\|$ . Using the straight line path from  $z_0$  to  $z$  in the integral above, we find for any  $F, G \in \mathcal{F}$  that

$$\|T(F) - T(G)\| = \left\| \int_{z_0}^z (AF(z) - AG(z)) dz \right\| \leq |z - z_0| \|A(F - G)\| < \delta Mn \|F - G\|.$$

So if we set  $\delta < (Mn)^{-1}$ , we obtain that  $d(T(F), T(G)) \leq Cd(F, G)$  where  $C = \delta Mn < 1$ . So  $T$  is a contraction mapping.  $\square$

- (d) Conclude.

*Solution.* With  $\delta$  set as in the previous item, the contraction mapping theorem tells us that there is a unique  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  satisfying  $F = T(F)$ ; i.e.  $F$  is the unique holomorphic antiderivative of  $AF$  satisfying  $F(z_0) = \mathbf{w}_0$ . Rephrasing one more time, we conclude that  $F : D(z_0, \delta) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is the unique holomorphic mapping satisfying  $F(z_0) = \mathbf{w}_0$  and  $F'(z) = A(z)F(z)$  for all  $z$ .  $\square$

**Problem 5.** Let  $f : D^*(0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be a holomorphic function with an isolated singularity at 0. Suppose that the image  $f(D^*(0, 1))$  omits two distinct points in  $\mathbf{C}$ . Complete the following outline to show that  $f$  has either a removable singularity or a pole at 0.

- (a) For any  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$ , let  $f_\epsilon : D^*(0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be given by  $f_\epsilon(z) = f(\epsilon z)$ . Show that we may choose a strictly decreasing sequence  $\epsilon_j \rightarrow 0$  such that  $f_j := f_{\epsilon_j}$  converges normally on  $D^*(0, 1)$ . In particular,  $f_j$  converges uniformly on the circle  $bD(0, 1/2)$ .

*Solution.* By hypothesis,  $f_\epsilon(D^*(0, 1)) = f(D^*(0, \epsilon)) \subset f(D^*(0, 1)) \subset \mathbf{C} \setminus \{z_0, z_1\}$  for two distinct points  $z_0, z_1 \in \mathbf{C}$ . Hence by Montel's Theorem  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_\epsilon : \epsilon \in (0, 1]\}$ , is a normal family. So if  $(\epsilon_j) \subset (0, 1)$  is any sequence, we may pass to a subsequence and assume that  $(f_{\epsilon_j})$  converges normally on  $D^*(0, 1)$ . In particular, starting with e.g.  $\epsilon_j = 1/j$  and refining gives us a sequence  $(\epsilon_j)$  that decreases to zero and for which  $f_j = f_{\epsilon_j}$  converges normally to some function  $g$  on  $D^*(0, 1)$  that is either holomorphic or everywhere infinite. Since  $bD(0, 1/2)$  is a compact subset of  $D^*(0, 1)$ , the convergence is uniform on  $bD(0, 1/2)$ .

- (b) Suppose first that  $f_j$  does not converge normally to  $\infty$ ; i.e.  $f_j \rightarrow g$  for some holomorphic function  $g : D^*(0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ . Let  $M$  be the maximum of  $|g|$  on  $bD(0, 1/2)$ . Let  $A_j = \{\epsilon_j/2 < |z| < \epsilon_{j+1}/2\}$ , and show that for  $j$  large enough  $|f| < M + 1$  on  $\overline{A_j}$ .

*Solution.* Since  $g$  is finite, and the convergence of  $f_j$  to  $g$  is uniform on  $bD(0, 1/2)$ , there is  $J \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $j \geq J$  implies that  $|f_j - g| < 1$  on  $bD(0, 1/2)$ . In particular  $|f_j| < M + 1$  on  $bD(0, 1/2)$  for all  $j \geq J$ . Since  $f_j(z) = f(\epsilon_j z)$ , it follows that  $|f| < M + 1$  on  $bD(0, \epsilon_j/2)$  for all  $j \geq J$ . Since  $j + 1 \geq J$  if  $j \geq J$ , it follows that  $|f_j| < M + 1$  on  $bA_j$ . By the maximum principle, we see that  $|f_j| < M + 1$  on all of  $\overline{A_j}$ .

- (c) Conclude that in this case  $f$  has a removable singularity at 0.

*Solution.* We have  $|f_j| < M + 1$  on  $\bigcup_{j \geq J} \overline{A_j} \supset D^*(0, \epsilon_J/2)$ . That is  $f$  is bounded on a punctured neighborhood of zero and therefore has a removable singularity there.  $\square$

- (d) Supposing instead that  $f_j \rightarrow \infty$  normally. Use similar reasoning (presented in abbreviated form) to show that  $f$  has a pole at 0.

*Solution.* In this case, we have for any  $M > 0$  that there exists  $J \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $j \geq J$  implies  $|f_j| \geq M$  on  $bD(0, 1/2)$ . From this it follows as above that  $|f| \geq M$  on  $\overline{A_j}$  for all  $j \geq J$ ; i.e.  $|f| \geq M$  on  $D^*(0, \epsilon_J/2)$ . Since this is true for every  $M$  (for some  $\epsilon_J > 0$  which depends on  $M$ ), I conclude that  $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} f(z) = \infty$ . So  $f$  has a pole at 0.  $\square$