# Sustainability: Principles and Practices Spring 2014 PPT Set 1: January 30, 2014 Professor Anthony Serianni

### Sources of Resistance to Adopting Sustainable Action and Behaviors



Uncertainties Lack of Knowledge Public

Policymakers

The Anthropocene (video)

Some basic ideas/principles.....

#### Some Goals and Outcomes of Sustainability Studies

Greater awareness of externalities

 Importance and practical implications of statistical error, uncertainty, theoretical models, projections

Recognition of explicit and implicit assumptions

 Creation/promotion of citizen-scientists; translation of theory into action Sustainability is a *process or journey* with no definitive end-point or final destination. Sustainability evolves and changes over time as knowledge and understanding of *complex systems* increase.

#### An analogy

cGMP (<u>current Good Manufacturing Practices</u>) in the pharmaceutical industry; cGMP is a process; you cannot test your way to cGMP compliance; it is called "<u>c</u>GMP" because <u>current</u> good manufacturing practices are not constant but instead evolve over time. Sustainability is not only about energy and climate change.

Sustainability involves an interplay between the three E's:

Economy, Energy, Environment



There are questions, problems, challenges.....

and there are answers and solutions.

### Some Reasons for Skepticism About Adopting Sustainable Behaviors

(1) Selfishness; lethargy

- (2) Conflicting geopolitical missions and/or agendas
- (3) Confused or mixed messages

(cargo cult science; pseudoscience)

(4) Incomplete knowledge; uncertainties in predicting/forecasting the future

### Aims of the Next Few Lectures

## Present some core information and tools; define key terminology

Terms and definitions Mathematical functions Climate science Biological cycles Thermodynamics Systems thinking

Identify problems/challenges

## Sustainability terms (handout)

### Some Mathematical Functions

Change in a given parameter as a function of some variable (*e.g.*, time) can occur in various ways. Mathematical functions (equations) describe <u>quantitatively</u> how a given parameter depends on the variable (for example: how fast a population grows; how fast a non-renewable resource is depleted).

Let's compare <u>linear growth</u> in a particular quantity (say, a population) to <u>exponential growth</u> in the same quantity.

A **linear function or linear growth** implies a constant (fixed; incremental) change in the quantity (*e.g.*, population) over a fixed time interval.

The equation takes the following form:

 $P_x = P_o + (aP_o)x$ 

where "*a*" is the *fractional increase* in  $P_o$  (the initial population) per 1-year time interval; *e.g.*, *a* = 0.01 for a 1% increase or 0.5 for a 50% increase. In the initial state, x = 0, and  $P_x = P_o$ . Let's assume an initial population of 100.

If we assume a linear growth of 50% per year, then a = 0.5; after Year 1,  $P_x = 100 + (50)(1) = 150$ .

After Year 2,  $P_x = 100 + 50(2) = 200$ .

After Year 3,  $P_x = 100 + 50(3) = 250$ .

The population increases by a *constant or fixed amount* per year, in this case 50. The increase is <u>linear</u>.

Now let's consider **exponential growth** in a quantity (*e.g.*, population). In this case, the incremental increase from one year to the next is *no longer constant or fixed*, but rather increases *non-linearly* over time.

The equation describing this behavior takes the following form:

 $P_x = P_o (1+a)^x$ 

Note the exponent, x (this is an exponential equation). If we assume an increase of 50% from year to year, then a = 0.5. When x = 0, the quantity  $(1+a)^x = 1$ , and  $P_x = P_o$ . Let's assume  $P_o = 100$ . When x = 1,  $P_x = 150$ ; when x = 2,  $P_x = 225$ , when x = 3,  $P_x = 337$ ; **when x = 20, P\_x = 332,526!** 

Compare this result to that obtained when x = 20 in the linear growth model:  $P_x = 100 + (100 \times 0.5)20 = 1100.$ A far smaller number!

The same amount of time has transpired in both models, but the net growth in population is very different.

### **Illustration** 2% linear vs 2% exponential annual growth in a population

Х	P <sub>x</sub>	Х	P <sub>x</sub>
0	100	0	100
5	110	5	110
10	120	10	122
50	200	50	269
100	300	100	724
150	400	150	1950
200	500	200	5248
300	700	300	38023
400	900	400	275,466

## Summary

Non-linear (exponential) growth is deceptive, especially when the percent annual growth is relatively small. In the banking world, this is the effect of "compounding".

When the annual percent growth is small, linear and exponential growth plots are virtually indistinguishable <u>early in time</u>. But a point in time eventually arrives when the two curves depart dramatically; this is the turning point of the so-called "J-curve" (or hockey stick curve) describing exponential change in a given quantity.

Exponential functions are stealthy and dangerous because they initially behave in a benign (*i.e.*, linear-like) fashion, but eventually produce very large change over a small time interval. <u>Humans are relatively</u> <u>insensitive to exponential change</u>.

#### A plot of an exponential growth in population:



<u>Other terms</u>: In this plot, we vary time to see how the population is affected; thus, time is the *independent variable* and population is the *dependent variable*.

#### Other types of curves or relationships:



Figure 4-4 The "S" Curve of Dynamic Population Equilibrium, or Zero Population Change, Where Birth Rate (BR) = Death Rate (DR).

#### Sometimes referred to as a "sigmoidal" relationship

#### A more realistic S-curve:



Some concepts and terminology in ecobiology.....



Time scales of chemical and biological evolution on Earth

Figure 9-2 Approximate Time Scale of Chemical and Biological Evolution.

The biosphere (Edward Suess, 1831-1914; an early practitioner of ecology) is the global sum of all <u>ecosystems</u>; the zone of life on Earth; the thin film of air, water and soil where all life exists on Earth (about 1/1000 of the planet's diameter); it is a closed, self-regulating system.



"...one thing seems to be foreign on this large celestial body consisting of spheres, namely, organic life. But this life is limited to a determined zone at the surface of the lithosphere. The plant, whose deep roots plunge into the soil to feed, and which at the same time rises into the air to breathe, is a good illustration of organic life in the region of interaction between the upper sphere and the lithosphere, and on the surface of continents it is possible to single out an independent biosphere." (*The Face of the Earth*,1885-1901 – three volumes)

## The Pedosphere: The "Skin" of the Earth



## The Biogeochemical Cycles Three major <u>gas</u> cycles in the biosphere



Figure 10-2 Life on Spaceship Earth Depends on the Cycling of Critical Chemicals and the One-Way Flow of Energy through the Biosphere.



#### Figure 10-4 Simplified Version of the Carbon and Oxygen Cycles in the Biosphere.

<sup>5</sup> Report of the Study of Critical Environmental Problems, *Man's Impact on the Global Environment*, The MIT Press, 1970 (paperback). An excellent and readable summary of environmental problems by teams of prominent scientists. An additional source is *Global Effects of Environmental Pollution*, S. F. Singer (ed.), Springer-Verlag, New York, Inc., 1970.



## Current composition of Earth's atmosphere

Carbon dioxide and methane data: 2009



## Major atmospheric $CO_2$ sources and sinks





### Global atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub> concentrations (1980-2004)



**FIGURE 2.4** Methane mixing ratios over the last 1000 years as determined from ice cores from Antarctica and Greenland (IPCC 1995). Different data points indicate different locations. Atmospheric data from Cape Grim, Tasmania, are included to demonstrate the smooth transition from ice core to atmospheric measurements.

### Sources of, and Sinks for, Atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub>



## Human activity and $CO_2/CH_4/N_2O$ emissions





## The Gaia Principle (Hypothesis/Theory) James Lovelock and Lynn Margulis, 1970's

The Gaia theory regards the earth as, in essence, a single organism, and everything on the planet as a part of that organism. This does not merely mean all living things, or even all organic matter, but postulates that the planet, with its rocks, plants, animals and atmosphere, is essentially "a single, living, self-regulating system."

The implications of this are that all the various components of the Earth's system play a part in regulating the overall biosphere so as to maintain an optimum situation for the living system. Various feedback mechanisms operate so as to maintain the earth as a place where life can continue – for example, atmospheric temperatures have adapted to overcome increasing incoming radiation from the sun.

Temperature is not the only factor affected. The Gaia theory also looks at other variables such as the salinity of the oceans, the hydrological cycle (which affects rainfall), oxygen in the atmosphere, biodiversity and the stability of ecosystems, and the processing of  $CO_2$ .

**Gaia** (from Greek mythology): The Greek goddess of the earth; the mother of all Greek gods through her union with the Sky (Uranus) and the Sea (Pontus).

## Articulating the concept of "Gaia":

"It is at least not impossible to regard the earth's parts—soil, mountains, rivers, atmosphere etc,—as organs or parts of organs of a coordinated whole, each part with its definite function. And if we could see this whole, as a whole, through a great period of time, we might perceive not only organs with coordinated functions, but possibly also that process of consumption as replacement which in biology we call metabolism, or growth. In such case we would have all the visible attributes of a living thing, which we do not realize to be such because it is too big, and its life processes too slow."

Stephan Harding in "Animate Earth", 2006 (Schumacher College, Devon, UK)

## Systems Thinking