Cotranslational Folding Promotes β-Helix Formation and Avoids Aggregation In Vivo
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Newly synthesized proteins must form their native structures in the crowded environment of the cell, while avoiding non-native conformations that can lead to aggregation. Yet, remarkably little is known about the progressive folding of polypeptide chains during chain synthesis by the ribosome or of the influence of this folding environment on productive folding in vivo. P22 tailspike is a homotrimeric protein that is prone to aggregation via misfolding of its central β-helix domain in vitro. We have produced stalled ribosome:tailspike nascent chain complexes of four fixed lengths in vivo, in order to assess cotranslational folding of newly synthesized tailspike chains as a function of chain length. Partially synthesized, ribosome-bound nascent tailspike chains populate stable conformations with some native-state structural features even prior to the appearance of the entire β-helix domain, regardless of the presence of the chaperone trigger factor, yet these conformations are distinct from the conformations of released, refolded tailspike truncations. These results suggest that organization of the aggregation-prone β-helix domain occurs cotranslationally, prior to chain release, to a conformation that is distinct from the accessible energy minimum conformation for the truncated free chain in solution.

© 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: cotranslational protein folding ribosome; β-helix; β-sheet; nascent chain

Introduction

As a protein is synthesized by the ribosome, it begins to fold into a three-dimensional shape and must simultaneously avoid aggregation with other proteins in the crowded cell. In this seemingly hostile environment, where total protein concentrations can exceed 200–300 mg/mL, de novo protein folding during and after translation is, for many proteins, more efficient than in vitro refolding. In other words, many proteins that can fold productively in the cell will aggregate severely under in vitro refolding conditions, presumably due to differences between the dominant folding pathway used. For example, experiments with both bacterial and eukaryotic luciferase have demonstrated that these nascent chains adopt conformations cotranslationally that are not populated during refolding from denaturant, and these cotranslational conformations fold to the native state much more efficiently than the conformations populated during refolding from denaturant. While molecular chaperones certainly play a role in efficient protein folding in the cell, less than 20% of Escherichia coli cytoplasmic proteins require an interaction with one of the three major chaperone systems [trigger factor (TF), DnaK/DnaJ, or GroEL/ES] in order to fold correctly under normal growth conditions. Remarkably, both chaperone systems responsible for the recognition of newly synthesized polypeptide chains in the E. coli cytoplasm (TF and DnaK) can be deleted simultaneously without abolishing cell viability. Hence, for many proteins, cotranslational folding alone may be sufficient to partition newly synthesized polypeptide chains away from aggregation pathways and towards a productive folding pathway.

How might protein folding be affected by the vectorial appearance of the polypeptide chain? Studies of purified, C-terminal truncations of single-
domain proteins suggest that the formation of stable, folded, native-like structure requires the presence of an entire domain,\textsuperscript{13,14} for many proteins, deleting only a few C-terminal residues is sufficient to globally destabilize domain structure. Yet, some native structural topologies will presumably be more affected by cotranslational folding than others. For example, the formation of β-sheet structure can require contacts between residues that are distant in the primary sequence and, hence, is inherently more complex than the formation of α-helical structure. While recent reports have described the potential for α-helices to form cotranslationally, even within the ribosomal exit tunnel,\textsuperscript{15,16} it remains to be determined how the residues comprising a single β-strand or other incomplete portions of a β-sheet avoid nonnative intra- or intermolecular contacts while waiting for their native partner residues to appear outside the ribosome.\textsuperscript{17} Similar issues arise when vectorial folding of multimeric proteins is considered.

The homotrimeric tailspike protein from \textit{Salmonella} phage P22 is composed almost entirely of β-sheet structure; its largest structural motif is a 13-rung parallel β-helix (Fig. 1). \textit{In vitro}, tailspike folds first via the organization of the β-helix domain, followed by chain trimerization, and, finally, via additional folding steps that include the interdigitation of the C-terminal domain, which imparts high thermostability.\textsuperscript{20–22} The proper formation of the β-helix domain must occur prior to trimerization, and tailspike temperature sensitive for folding (tsf) and suppressor (su) mutations are found exclusively in the β-helix domain.\textsuperscript{23–25} \textit{In vivo}, tailspike is expressed primarily as a soluble protein at 30–37 °C, although some aggregation occurs even under physiological conditions.\textsuperscript{26} \textit{In vitro}, tailspike refolding is far less efficient, with significant aggregation occurring at 20 °C.\textsuperscript{26} Neither GroEL nor DnaK has been shown to participate in productive tailspike folding \textit{in vivo};\textsuperscript{27,28} the role of TF is undetermined. Previous qualitative studies from our laboratory\textsuperscript{29} and others\textsuperscript{30,31} have demonstrated that full-length tailspike nascent chains have significant native-like organization of the β-helix domain prior to release of the nascent chain from the ribosome. Trimerization, however, does not occur cotranslationally.\textsuperscript{32} Here, we show that tailspike β-sheet formation occurs in a processive manner, during translation of successive rungs, even prior to the presence of the entire β-helix domain. In addition, we show that cotranslational folding traps the nascent chain in a conformation that is distinct from the conformations accessible to the free polypeptide chain.

### Results

#### Selection of tailspike nascent chain lengths

We used the 17-residue “stall sequence” from the \textit{E. coli} SecM protein to efficiently stall tailspike

![Fig. 1. Tailspike structure and truncation constructs. Tailspike trimer crystal structure,\textsuperscript{18} one monomer chain is depicted as a blue ribbon, and the other two chains are depicted as light and dark gray space-filling models. mAb epitope boundaries\textsuperscript{19} are indicated by black circles. The portion of the tailspike polypeptide chain exposed outside the ribosome for each tailspike nascent chain construct is indicated by the red shading behind the crystal structure.](image-url)
translation in vivo\textsuperscript{29} at selected locations. Yet, in any study of nascent chain conformations on stalled ribosomes, there is a risk that the equilibrium conformation of a stalled nascent chain might not accurately reflect the conformation of that nascent chain populated during unstalled translation. In order to minimize this possible discrepancy, we selected nascent chain lengths that correspond to the locations of statistically significant clusters of rare codons in the endogenous tailspike mRNA sequence, including detected translation pause sites (Clarke and Clark, submitted). Due to translational pausing, nascent chains of these lengths have more time to reach an equilibrium conformation, even during unstalled translation. The tailspike nascent chains described here, therefore, may more closely resemble biologically relevant translation intermediates than nascent chains of other lengths. Moreover, nascent chains of these lengths expose structurally interesting portions of the tailspike polypeptide chain outside the ribosome exit tunnel (Fig. 1): TSS (residues 1–229) exposes the N-terminal domain and the first three rungs of the \( \beta \)-helix domain; TMS (residues 1–406) exposes the N-terminal domain and the first half (eight rungs) of the \( \beta \)-helix; T\( \beta \)S (residues 1–556) exposes the N-terminal domain and the entire \( \beta \)-helix; and TFS (residues 1–666) exposes the entire tailspike chain, with the exception of the extreme C-terminal residues that are masked by the ribosome exit tunnel.

Many conformation-sensitive mAbs bind tailspike nascent chains as they emerge from the ribosome

A panel of nine anti-tailspike monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) developed in the Goldberg laboratory binds to a range of tailspike structural features, with the majority recognizing epitopes in the \( \beta \)-helix domain\textsuperscript{19,33} (Fig. 1). Previous studies have shown that, in most cases, mAb binding is sensitive to the folding status of tailspike,\textsuperscript{31,33,34} including a broad range of recognition profiles for tailspike in vitro refolding intermediates.\textsuperscript{19} The anti-tailspike mAbs, therefore, provide a selective probe with which to assay tailspike conformational states in complicated mixtures such as cell lysates. Overall, antibody binding as a function of refolding time suggests a model for in vitro tailspike refolding (and particularly the organization of the \( \beta \)-helix domain) that begins with the folding of the extreme N- and C-termini of the \( \beta \)-helix.\textsuperscript{19} The following work demonstrates how the cotranslational appearance of the \( \beta \)-helix affects this organizational pattern.

Previous qualitative results have shown that full-length tailspike nascent chains form some native-like structure while associated with the ribosome, based on the binding of three anti-tailspike mAbs.\textsuperscript{29,30} We have extended this observation, quantitatively characterizing the binding of nine mAbs to four tailspike nascent chain lengths, using the competition ELISA method\textsuperscript{35} (Fig. 2; see also Supplementary Fig. S1). Of course, for each nascent chain length, only the subset...
of the antibodies whose epitopes have been synthesized can be used to provide information on the tailspike nascent chain conformation.33

For the shortest tailspike nascent chain construct (TSS), two of the three mAbs with epitopes encoded within this nascent chain bound tightly. Indeed, mAbs 70 and 92 bind with a higher affinity to TSS than to native trimeric tailspike. In addition, mAb 124 binding can be detected qualitatively, but the affinity constant is too low to be measured accurately ($<10^6 \text{ M}^{-1}$). For TMS, which truncates tailspike in the middle of the $\beta$-helix domain, binding was detected for four of the expected seven mAbs. The most N-terminal of the anti-native mAbs, 175, binds TMS nascent chains tightly ($K_a=2 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1}$), though not as tightly as that to native trimer ($K_a=10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1}$). mAb 51 binding to TMS nascent chains can be detected qualitatively, but the affinity constant is too low to be measured. For T$\beta$S, seven of the expected eight mAbs (i.e., all except mAb 33) bound to the nascent chain. Finally, for TFS, all mAbs except mAb 155, which recognizes the most C-terminal interdigitated portion of the tailspike polypeptide chain, and mAb 33, in the center of the $\beta$-helix, showed binding affinities comparable to those measured for native tailspike.19

**Limited proteolysis reveals a stable fragment present in longer nascent chains**

As an alternative means of measuring structure formation, we subjected stalled ribosome:nascent chain complexes (RNCs) to limited proteolytic digestion with protease K, a nonspecific protease. We treated RNCs with protease K for varying times at 4 °C (Fig. 3). We performed control experiments to insure that protease digestion did not affect the structure of the ribosome itself (data not shown). TSS was rapidly digested with no detectable intermediates or protected fragments. TMS showed more resistance to protease digestion; after 5 min, detectable amounts of full-length TMS remained, although a significant amount was digested to a protease-resistant fragment of approximately 25 kDa. Both T$\beta$S and TFS were rapidly digested to a relatively protease-resistant 47-kDa fragment, indistinguishable in size from the intact TMS nascent chain. Interestingly, at intermediate times, TFS was digested to a metastable 60-kDa fragment as well, corresponding to the size of T$\beta$S (Fig. 3a). Identical results were obtained for Western blotting performed using the two anti-N-terminal antibodies or a mixture of all anti-tailspike mAbs (not shown).

**Released, refolded truncated chains populate conformations distinct from the conformations of ribosome-bound nascent chains**

Tailspike C-terminal truncations bearing the SecM stall sequence are eventually released from the ribosome. The majority aggregate (not shown), but a small fraction remain soluble and can be separated from RNCs by sucrose gradient ultracentrifugation.29 We measured the binding of the anti-tailspike mAbs to these released, truncated tailspike chains (Fig. 2). For released TSS, the two N-terminal, less conformationally sensitive mAbs, 70 and 92, still bind with high affinity. For TMS, the four mAbs that bind the nascent chain attached to the ribosome still bind to the released chain, with one exception: we observed high background binding in the empty vector control samples for mAb 175 binding to released chains, making accurate quantification impossible. In addi-

![Fig. 3. Protease digestion of ribosome-bound tailspike nascent chains.](image-url)
in the β-helix and the change in protease digestion pattern of refolded Tβ were surprising, as previous studies on the isolated β-helix domain of tailspike (Bhx) demonstrated that this domain refolds under low salt conditions to an active, native-like conformation.36,37 When we refolded Tβ using the low salt buffer used for Bhx refolding, we obtained far-UV CD and tryptophan fluorescence emission spectra largely similar to those obtained for Bhx,36,37 despite the additional N-terminal domain present in the Tβ construct. Yet, Tβ refolded under low salt conditions also did not produce the characteristic 47-kDa protease-resistant fragment (Supplementary Fig. S2). To control for the influence of other cellular components (e.g., molecular chaperones) on the conformation of soluble Tβ, we also refolded Tβ in the presence of a cleared E. coli cell lysate. However, the presence of cellular factors, including molecular chaperones and ribosomes, had no effect on the yield of refolded Tβ, the appearance of the 47-kDa protease-resistant fragment (Supplementary Fig. S3), or the mAb binding profile (not shown). Taken together with the mAb binding results above, these results indicate that the β-helix domain, upon dilution from denaturant, does not populate the same conformations as the corresponding nascent chain when associated with the ribosome or even after ribosome release.

**Molecular chaperones are not required for cotranslational folding of tailspike nascent chains**

Molecular chaperones are known to play an important role in the cotranslational folding of several proteins. In order to account for the effects of chaperones on tailspike folding, we first measured recruitment of the ribosome-associated chaperones DnaK and TF. While we were unable to demonstrate recruitment of DnaK to ribosomes bearing tailspike...
nascent chains, we were able to detect some recruitment of TF to tailspike RNCs (Fig. 5a). TF has been shown to bind hydrophobic stretches of nascent polypeptides. When we analyzed the hydropathy of the tailspike amino acid sequence, we found several stretches of hydrophobic amino acids, which may provide binding sites for TF (Fig. 5b). To test whether TF plays a role in tailspike cotranslational folding, we expressed TβS nascent chains in an *E. coli* strain lacking TF (MC4100Δtig) and a parent strain with TF (MC4100). Time-resolved proteinase K digestion of these TβS RNCs revealed that the 47-kDa stable fragment appears regardless of the presence or absence of TF and is the major digestion product of TβS from either cell line (Fig. 5c). MC4100 is not a protease-deficient strain, and the presence of many other bands besides the major digestion products might be due to endogenous *E. coli* proteases. Moreover, the digestion of TβS is slightly faster in the TF deletion strain, which could be indicative of TF restricting access of the protease to the ribosome-bound nascent chain. Regardless, these experiments show that tailspike nascent chains are able to form native-like structures in a cotranslational manner, without a requirement for TF chaperone activity.

Discussion

The mAb binding and protease protection studies reported here demonstrate that the tailspike β-helix
domain can fold to a native-like, compact conformation prior to release from the ribosome. Moreover, formation of this compact, native-like conformation begins prior to the complete synthesis of the β-helix domain, as demonstrated by the proteolytic protection and high-affinity mAb binding to the TMS nascent chain. Some threshold length of the β-helix appears to be required for the formation of stable structure, however, as the TSS nascent chain, with only three rungs of the β-helix exposed from the ribosome, is quickly digested.

Two mAbs, 33 and 155, did not recognize any of the four tailspike nascent chain lengths studied here. Under in vitro refolding conditions, both recognize only native trimer. Moreover, the epitope for mAb 155 lies in the C-terminal interdigitated domain. It is likely that native-like structure in the interdigitated C-terminus forms only after post-translational trimerization, as even the TFS nascent chains are unlikely to adopt a native-like structure that requires interactions between the three monomer chains. The conformational epitope for mAb 33, like mAb 155, might require trimerization in order to form, as suggested by the in vitro refolding experiments. Surprisingly, however, the mAb 33 epitope is located in the center of the β-helix, near the mAb 236 epitope, and mAb 236 binds tightly to TβS and TFS nascent chains. Nevertheless, subtle conformational differences between these epitopes might exist; alternatively, the mAb 33 epitope may be masked by an interaction between the nascent chain and the surface of the ribosome.

It is interesting to note that the size of the fragment produced upon proteinase K digestion of TβS and TFS is indistinguishable from the size of the TMS species (47 kDa); that is, this 47-kDa fragment corresponds to the chain length produced during an endogenous rare-codon-derived translation pause point (Clarke and Clark, submitted). While the exact identity of the TFS and TβS 47-kDa fragment is unknown, it is recognized by mAbs 70 and 92, both of which recognize epitopes located in the tailspike N-terminal domain. Because the size of the fragment is much larger than the N-terminal domain itself (16 kDa), a fragment of 47 kDa could include the entire N-terminal domain and still accommodate a sizeable portion (seven rungs) of the β-helix domain. These results indicate that proteinase K digestion occurs primarily at the C-terminus of the nascent chain, suggesting that this more recently synthesized portion is less stably folded than the N-terminus of the nascent chain (Fig. 6).

When tailspike nascent chains are released prematurely from the ribosome, the truncated polypeptide chains primarily aggregate, although a small fraction remain soluble and maintain conformations similar to those observed for the corresponding ribosome-bound nascent chain. A small fraction of the aggregated released TβS chains, after solublization in chemical denaturant and dilution into buffer, are able to refold into a soluble, “well-behaved” conformation. Yet, surprisingly, this conformation is distinct from the conformation of nascent TβS chains on the ribosome or released chains (Fig. 6). This suggests that the refolding of denatured, truncated tailspike chains results in a fundamentally different final conformation (or ensemble of conformations) than the folding of newly synthesized, ribosome-bound tailspike chains. More generally, it appears that cotranslational folding ushers the ribosome-bound tailspike polypeptide chain into an energy minimum that is inaccessible to free truncated polypeptide chains with untethered termini.

The tendency of released (and refolded) C-terminal tailspike truncations to aggregate is not surprising. For example, in vitro, the TM and TS truncations are expected to be aggregation-prone via intermolecular interactions involving their uncapped C-terminal ends of the β-helix domain, as has been predicted for uncapped β-structure in general. Tethering the C-terminus of the nascent chain to the ribosome would shield the partially folded β-helix from such associations. Alternatively, the ribosome may play a more active role, functioning as a scaffold or template for incompletely synthesized tailspike chains. While it is unclear what portions of the ribosome surface might serve as a scaffold, interactions between full-length tailspike chains and 30S ribosome subunits have been reported previously. The exit tunnel and the immediate surroundings likely play a role in protecting the nascent chains, as mAb 51, whose epitope is located near the C-terminus of TMS, can bind released, but not ribosome-associated, TMS. The same is likely true for mAb 124 binding to released (but not nascent) TSS.

Some have speculated that interactions with TF delay cotranslational folding in prokaryotes. TF might therefore explain inefficiencies reported for the folding of multidomain proteins in prokaryotic translation systems. Yet, while protease digestion of nascent TβS on ribosomes lacking TF is slightly accelerated, we did not observe an effect of TF on tailspike nascent chain conformations. Hence, while TF is bound to ribosomes translating tailspike nascent chains, it is not required for the formation of protease-resistant structure. Tailspike nascent chains fold to a native-like structure cotranslationally, on prokaryotic ribosomes, and this process is neither aided nor retarded by the presence of TF.

Cotranslational folding may represent a general strategy for proteins—and, in particular, large, multidomain proteins—to fold productively and avoid aggregation during biogenesis. For tailspike folding in vivo, we propose that cotranslational folding of the β-helix domain allows tailspike to preferentially partition into the productive folding pathway and away from the aggregation-prone conformations that dominate refolding in vitro (Fig. 6). Previous studies have demonstrated that early, proper folding of the β-helix domain is crucial to the assembly of the native trimer. More generally, cotranslational folding may represent a strategy for
efficient folding of other β-sheet topologies. The ribosome, perhaps in concert with TF, can act as a temporary cap on the C-terminal strands as they emerge from the ribosome. As seen for free, truncated tailspike polypeptides, exposure of uncapped β-strands provides an excellent nucleus for aggregation that can be shielded during cotranslational folding on the ribosome.

Methods

Plasmids

Construction of plasmids pET21b/TFS, pET21b/TβS, and pET21b/TSS was described previously.29 pET21b/TMS was constructed similarly, using the primers 5′-GGGAATCCATATGACAGACATCACTGCAAACG-3′ and 5′-CCCGAGCTCCTCCGGATTCATGTCAGTGTC-3′. Plasmids pET21b/Tβ, pET21b/TM, and pET21b/TS, lacking the C-terminal SecM stall sequence, were constructed by amplifying the tailspike coding regions from the corresponding stall plasmids using the same 5′ primer and a 3′ primer incorporating an XhoI restriction site. PCR products were cloned into the pET21b vector (Novagen) between the Ndel and XhoI sites, placing the tailspike coding sequence in frame with the C-terminal His tag.

Stalled RNC preparation

Ribosomes bearing nascent chains stalled at the SecM stall sequence were produced and purified as described previously.29
Preparation of truncated, released tailspike polypeptide chains

Cleared lysates from cells expressing the tailspike truncations with the SecM stall sequence were centrifuged through a sucrose cushion as described previously for purifying stalled RNCs. Released, truncated tailspike chains were collected from the top of the sucrose cushion following ultracentrifugation.

Purification of truncated, refolded tailspike polypeptide chains

E. coli strain BL21(DE3)pLysS transformed with pET21b/Tβ, pET21b/TM, or pET21b/T5 was grown in LB supplemented with 100 μg/mL ampicillin at 37 °C to an OD600 (optical density at 600 nm) of ~0.5. Expression was induced by adding IPTG to 500 μM; growth continued for 4 h. Cells were collected by centrifugation, and the pellet was frozen overnight at −20 °C. The pellet was thawed on ice and resuspended in CR buffer (50 mM NaH2PO4, 300 mM NaCl, pH 8). Lysozyme was added to 1 mg/mL, and the mixture was incubated on ice for 5 min, followed by sonication (twelve 30-s bursts separated by 30-s rests) on ice. The resulting partially lysed cells were frozen for 90 min at −80 °C, thawed, and sonicated as before. The lysate was then centrifuged at 10,000g for 25 min. The pellet was resuspended in PR buffer (50 mM NaH2PO4, 300 mM NaCl, and 6 M guanidinium hydrochloride, pH 8) and filter sterilized.

His-tagged proteins were purified by passage through Ni-NTA superflow resin (Qiagen). The column was washed with 50 mM NaH2PO4, 300 mM NaCl, 6 M guanidinium hydrochloride, and 20 mM imidazole, pH 8 (wash buffer), followed by an imidazole gradient (20–250 mM) to elute the His-tagged protein.

Fractions containing truncated tailspike proteins were concentrated using an Amicon Ultra 10,000 MWCO (molecular weight cutoff) filter. Imidazole was removed by washing with PR buffer. Concentrated proteins were refolded by rapid 50-fold dilution into refolding buffer (50 mM NaH2PO4, 300 mM NaCl, 2 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, and 3 mM β-mercaptoethanol, pH 8), followed by incubation at 0 °C for at least 48 h. Low salt refolding was preformed as described previously. Purified protein was concentrated using Amicon Ultra 10,000 MWCO filters.

ELISA/Kd measurements

Competition ELISAs were used to measure the binding of anti-tailspike mAbs to stalled ribosome nascent chain complexes and free truncated tailspike proteins, performed as previously described. Dissociation constants were converted to association constants to facilitate graphical comparison of binding results (Fig. 2). Due to experimental limitations, it was not possible to measure affinity constants <10<sup>−8</sup> M<sup>−1</sup>. The concentration of free truncated chains was determined by absorbance at 280 nm using the molar extinction coefficient determined from the following equation:

\[ \varepsilon = 5500(\text{Trp}) + 1490(\text{Tyr}) + 125(\text{disulfide}) \]

Proteolysis

Limited proteolysis of RNCs bearing TPS, TβS, TMS, or TSS nascent chains was initiated by mixing an aliquot of each ribosome sample with a solution of proteinase K. The final protease concentration was 1 μg/mL. Longer time points were initiated first, and reactions were terminated at the end of the experiment by addition of a one-fifth volume of 100% trichloroacetic acid (w/v). Samples were incubated for 30 min at −20 °C to completely precipitate proteins. The precipitates were centrifuged and washed with acetone:hydrochloric acid (19:1). Washed pellets were then prepared for SDS-PAGE and Western blotting as described below. Refolded Tβ was first diluted to 200 nM in R buffer (50 mM Tris, 10 mM MgCl2, and 150 mM KCl, pH 7.5) containing ribosomes bearing an unrelated stalled nascent chain (cG49) prior to addition of proteinase K.
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