## Statistics for the Life Sciences

Math 20340 Section 01, Fall 2008

Homework 10 Solutions

• **10.18**:

**– a**: 
$$16 + 8 - 2 = 22$$

• **10.19**:

**- a**: 
$$s^2 = \frac{9*3.4+3*4.9}{10+4-2} = 3.775$$

- 10.21:
  - **– a**:  $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2; H_a: \mu_1 \neq \mu_2$
  - **b**: Test statistic > 2.771 or < -2.771 (two-tailed test; t distribution with 27 d.o.f.)
  - c: Pooled estimator for variance:  $\frac{15*4.8+12*5.9}{27} = 5.288...;$  test statistic is  $(\mu_1 \mu_2)/\sqrt{5.288(1/16 + 1/13)} = 2.794...$
  - **d**: Since  $t_{.005}$  is 2.771, the approximate *p*-value is just under 1%
  - e: Results are highly significant (accept  $H_a$  at 1% significance)
- 10.28:
  - a: Sample 1 has  $\bar{x}_1 = .0125$ ;  $s_1 = .001509$ . Sample 2 has  $\bar{x}_2 = .0138$ ;  $s_2 = .001932$ . Pooled estimator is s = .001733. Test statistic is -1.68. Looking at t table (18 d.o.f.) we see that data shows no significant difference between the means (p-value over 10%).
  - b: 95% confidence interval for difference is

$$(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) \pm 2.101 \sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{10} + \frac{s_1^2}{10}} = (-.000328, .002928)$$

Since 0 is in this interval, the result of part b) agrees with the result of part a).

- 10.35:
  - **a**: The *p*-value is between 2% and 5%; so the difference is significant (we reject  $H_0$  at 5%) but not highly so (we do not reject  $H_0$  at 1%)
  - **b**: (0.014, .586)

- c: We would need at least 62 pairs (assuming  $s_d^2$  stays at .16)
- 10.40: The description seems to suggests a one-tailed test, but part a) seems instead to ask for a two-tailed test; I've done both.
  - a:  $\mu_d = -16.77$  (taking Albertsons-Ralphs);  $s_d = 11.18$ . Assuming differences are normally distributed, test statistic (which has value -2.998) is a t distribution with 3 d.o.f.

The critical values are  $t_{.05} = 2.353.t_{.025} = 3.182$ . So, if we are doing the two-tailed test  $H_0: \mu_d = 0$  against  $H_a: \mu_d \neq 0$ , the results are not significant; but if we are doing the one-tailed test  $H_0: \mu_d = 0$  against  $H_a: \mu_d < 0$ , the result is significant (we would reject null at 5% but not at 1%).

- **b**: Two-tailed test: *p*-value is between 5% and 10%. One-tailed test: *p*-value is between 2.5% and 5%
- c: (-49.43, 15.89). At 1% significance, can't detect a difference between the averages

• **10.41**:

- a: There are two populations: drivers approaching Prohibitive signs, and drivers approaching Permissive signs. A random sample of drivers has been picked, and presented with Prohibitive signs. Then that \*same\* random sample is presented with Permissive signs. So there is a pairing of the two random samples: first driver in first sample goes with first driver of second sample, etc.
- **b**: The *p*-value is < 1%, so there is a significant difference
- c: (80.47, 133.32)
- 10.48: Test statistic is 24 \* 21.4/15 = 34.24. If the variance truly was 15, this would be a  $\chi^2$  reading with 24 d.o.f., with 5% critical value 36.41. So (at 5% significance) there is not enough evidence.
- 10.50:
  - **– a**:  $s^2 = .699$ .
  - **b**: (.29027, 3.3897)
  - c: Test statistic: 5.2428. Not enough evidence to reject null
  - d: p-value is greater than 20%
- 10.56: Want to test H<sub>0</sub>: σ<sup>2</sup> = 1600 against H<sub>a</sub>: σ<sup>2</sup> > 1600 using data s<sup>2</sup> = 2350 with n = 40. Test statistic is 39 \* 2350/1600 = 57.28127. Since we're doing a one-tailed test, we want to compare this to the critical value χ<sup>2</sup><sub>.05</sub> (with 39 d.o.f.). We can't see this value directly from Table 5 in the book, because it skips from d.o.f. 30 to d.o.f. 40; but notice that the 5% critical value for 40 is 55.75, and the 5% critical values increase as d.o.f. increases; so the 5% critical value for 39 will be something (a little) less than 55.75. Therefore we can safely say that the data is significant; there is reason to believe (at 5% significance) that σ<sup>2</sup> > 1600 and so σ > 40.