What is Kamona?

- Kamona is a discussion website, in which users can discuss about a particular subject and propose solutions to that problem.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Votes</th>
<th>Views</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>What do you think about public education?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Should full time public schools be added on our education?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>Political parties positions were added into public school books!</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What are the options?

❖ Create a new discussion topic
  ➢ Education
  ➢ Politics
  ➢ Economics
  ➢ Security

❖ Participate on a discussion
❖ Post comments and Images
❖ Be part of good and organized discussions
Overview

❖ The website aims brazilian economics/political issues.
❖ There is a growing brazilian community around the US and Europe.
❖ Kamona is hosted in Brazil, accessing the website from these locations results in big latency and low performance.
❖ As seen in classes we can use Amazon Web Services to distribute the website and solve these latency problems.
❖ The database side uses Firebase API, that scales itself automatically.
Challenges

❖ Implement a cache to make queries faster
❖ Memcached: Based on get/set functions

When reading:

1. Check if value is on cache.
2. If it is, use it, if not get from database.

When writing

1. Update value on cache
2. Update value on database
Node.js Memcached

- Fully featured memcached for node.js
- Easy to implement and to install
- Combined with CloudFront, could create an even faster experience for users
But it did not work.
Solutions

- Initially all the static data from the website was moved to S3 servers so users could make faster requests to this files.
- In a second moment, all static files were moved to CloudFront, resulting in even faster access to the website.
- Currently the website is hosted using Amazon Web Services
- The domain provided by Amazon was:

  http://dlinijhv8u5dmq.cloudfront.net/index.html
 Structure

1. Amazon S3 Bucket or HTTP Server
2. Objects
3. Web Distribution
4. edge Locations
5. Your Distribution's Configuration

http://dl11111abcdef8.cloudfront.net/
ab -k -c 300 -n 18000 $URL
Ireland -> US West
Results

Comparing S3 and CloudFront

Number of Occurrence (%)

Time to load page (s)

CloudFront
S3
## Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Single User</th>
<th>Single User</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S3</td>
<td>CloudFront</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visually complete</td>
<td>4,6 s</td>
<td>3,6 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to first byte</td>
<td>807 ms</td>
<td>120 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to start render</td>
<td>2093 ms</td>
<td>903 ms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Simulated using Chrome connection from Ireland client

Tool used: [http://www.webpagetest.org/](http://www.webpagetest.org/)
Conclusion and Future Work

❖ Using AWS to distribute the website increased the performance for users far from the original host.
❖ Elastic Load Balancing can be used in the future to scale the website automatically, creating new instances as the website suffers from traffic increase.
❖ Memcached or other caching technologies can be added to improve users experience.