

REGULARIZATION OF CERTAIN DIVERGENT SERIES OF POLYNOMIALS

LIVIU I. NICOLAESCU

ABSTRACT. We investigate the generalized convergence and sums of series of the form $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n \mathbf{T}^n P(x)$, where $P \in \mathbb{R}[x]$, $a_n \in \mathbb{R}$, $\forall n \geq 0$, and $\mathbf{T} : \mathbb{R}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[x]$ is a linear operator that commutes with the differentiation $\frac{d}{dx} : \mathbb{R}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[x]$.

CONTENTS

1. The main result	1
2. Some applications	3
References	9

1. THE MAIN RESULT

We consider series of the form

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n \mathbf{T}^n P(x), \quad (\dagger)$$

where $P \in \mathbb{R}[x]$, and $\mathbf{T} : \mathbb{R}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[x]$ is a linear operator such that

$$\mathbf{T}D = D\mathbf{T}, \quad (*)$$

where D is the differentiation operator $D = \frac{d}{dx}$. The condition $(*)$ is equivalent with the translation invariance of \mathbf{T} , i.e.,

$$\mathbf{T}U^h = U^h\mathbf{T}, \quad \forall h \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (I)$$

where $U^h : \mathbb{R}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[x]$ is the translation operator

$$\mathbb{R}[x] \ni p(x) \mapsto p(x+h) \in \mathbb{R}[x].$$

For simplicity we set $U := U^1$. Clearly $U^h \in \mathcal{O}$ so a special case of the series (\dagger) is the series

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n U^{nh} P(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n P(x+nh), \quad h \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (\ddagger_h)$$

which is typically divergent.

We denote by \mathcal{O} the \mathbb{R} -algebra of translation invariant operators. We have a natural map

$$\mathcal{Q} : \mathbb{R}[[t]] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}, \quad \mathbb{R}[[t]] \ni \sum_{n \geq 0} c_n \frac{t^n}{n!} \mapsto \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{c_n}{n!} D^n.$$

It is known (see [1, Prop. 3.47]) that this map is an isomorphism of rings. We denote by σ the inverse of \mathcal{Q}

$$\sigma : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[[t]], \quad \mathcal{O} \ni \mathbf{T} \mapsto \sigma \mathbf{T} \in \mathbb{R}[[t]].$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05A10, 05A19, 11B83, 40A30, 40G05, 40G10.

Key words and phrases. translation invariant operators, divergent series, summability.

Last modified on July 9, 2009.

For $T \in \mathcal{O}$ we will refer to the formal power series σ_T as the *symbol* of the operator T . More explicitly

$$\sigma_T(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{c_n(T)}{n!} t^n, \quad c_n(T) = (Tx^n)|_{x=0} \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We denote by \mathbb{N} the set of nonnegative integers, and by \mathbf{Seq} the vector space of real sequences, i.e., maps $a : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Let \mathbf{Seq}^c the vector subspace of \mathbf{Seq} consisting of all convergent sequences.

A *generalized notion of convergence*¹ or *regularization method* is a pair $\mu = (\mu \text{ lim}, \mathbf{Seq}_\mu)$, where

- \mathbf{Seq}_μ is a vector subspace of \mathbf{Seq} containing \mathbf{Seq}^c and,
- $\mu \text{ lim}$ is a linear map

$$\mu \text{ lim} : \mathbf{Seq}_\mu \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathbf{Seq}_\mu \ni a \mapsto \mu \text{ lim}_n a(n) \in \mathbb{R}$$

such that for any $a \in \mathbf{Seq}^c$ we have

$$\mu \text{ lim } a = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a(n).$$

The sequences in \mathbf{Seq}_μ are called μ -convergent and $\mu \text{ lim}$ is called the μ -limit. To any sequence $a \in \mathbf{Seq}$ we associate the sequence $\mathcal{S}[a]$ of partial sums

$$\mathcal{S}[a](n) = \sigma_{k=0}^n a(k). \quad (1.1)$$

A series $\sum_{n \geq 0} a(n)$ is said to be μ -convergent if the sequence $\mathcal{S}[a]$ is μ -convergent. We set

$$\mu \sum_{n \geq 0} a(n) := \mu \text{ lim}_n \mathcal{S}[a](n).$$

We say that $\mu \sum_{n \geq 0} a(n)$ is the μ -sum of the series. The regularization method is said to be *shift invariant* if it satisfies the additional condition

$$\mu \sum_{n \geq 0} a(n) = a(0) + \mu \sum_{n \geq 1} a(n). \quad (1.2)$$

We refer to the classic [3] for a large collection of regularization methods.

For $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we set

$$[x]_k := \begin{cases} \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (x - i), & k \geq 1 \\ 1, & k = 0, \end{cases} \quad \binom{x}{k} := \frac{[x]_k}{k!}.$$

We can now state the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.1. *Let μ be a regularization method, $T \in \mathcal{O}$ and $f(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n t^n \in \mathbb{R}[[t]]$. Set $c := c_0(T) = T1$. Suppose that f is μ -regular at $t = c$, i.e.,*

$$\text{for every } k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ the series } \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n [n]_k c^{n-k} \text{ is } \mu\text{-convergent.} \quad (\mu)$$

We denote by $f^{(k)}(c)_\mu$ its μ -sum

$$f^{(k)}(c)_\mu := \mu \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n [n]_k c^{n-k}.$$

Then for every $P \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ the series $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n (T^n P)(x)$ is μ -convergent and its μ -sum is

$$\mu \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n (T^n P)(x) = f(T)_\mu P(x),$$

¹Hardy refers to such a notion of convergence as convergence in some ‘Pickwickian’ sense.

where $f(\mathbf{T})_\mu \in \mathcal{O}$ is the operator

$$f(\mathbf{T})_\mu := \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{f^{(k)}(c)_\mu}{k!} (\mathbf{T} - c)^k. \quad (1.3)$$

Proof. Set $\mathbf{R} := \mathbf{T} - c$ and let $P \in \mathbb{R}[x]$. Then

$$\mathbf{R} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{c_n(\mathbf{T})}{n!} D^n$$

so that

$$\mathbf{R}^n P = 0, \quad \forall n > \deg P. \quad (1.4)$$

In particular this shows that $f(\mathbf{T})_\mu$ is well defined. We have

$$a_n \mathbf{T}^n P = a_n (c + \mathbf{R})^n P = a_n \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} c^{n-k} \mathbf{R}^k P = \sum_{k=0}^{\deg P} \binom{n}{k} c^{n-k} \mathbf{R}^k P.$$

At the last step we used (1.4) and the fact that

$$\binom{n}{k} = 0, \quad \text{if } k > n.$$

This shows that the formal series $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n (\mathbf{T}^n P)(x)$ can be written as a *finite* linear combination of formal series

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n (\mathbf{T}^n P)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\deg P} \frac{\mathbf{R}^k P(x)}{k!} \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n [n]_k c^{n-k} \right).$$

From the linearity of the μ -summation operator we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n (\mathbf{T}^n P)(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\deg P} \frac{\mathbf{R}^k P(x)}{k!} \left(\mu \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n [n]_k c^{n-k} \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\deg P} \frac{f^{(k)}(c)_\mu}{k!} \mathbf{R}^k \right) P(x) = f(\mathbf{T})_\mu P(x) \end{aligned}$$

□

2. SOME APPLICATIONS

To describe some consequences of Theorem 1.1 we need to first describe some classical facts about regularization methods.

For any sequence $a \in \mathbf{Seq}$ we denote by $\mathbf{G}_a(t) \in \mathbb{R}[[t]]$ its generating series. We regard the partial sum construction \mathcal{S} in (1.1) as a linear operator $\mathcal{S} : \mathbf{Seq} \rightarrow \mathbf{Seq}$. Observe that

$$\mathbf{G}_{\mathcal{S}[a]}(t) = \frac{1}{1-t} \mathbf{G}_a(t).$$

We say that a regularization method $\mu_1 = (\mu_1 \lim, \mathbf{Seq}_{\mu_1})$ is stronger than the regularization method $\mu_0 = (\mu_0 \lim, \mathbf{Seq}_{\mu_0})$, and we write this $\mu_0 < \mu_1$, if

$$\mathbf{Seq}_{\mu_0} \subset \mathbf{Seq}_{\mu_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_1 \lim_n a(n) = \mu_0 \lim_n a(n), \quad \forall a \in \mathbf{Seq}_{\mu_0}.$$

The *Abel regularization method*² A is defined as follows. We say that a sequence a is A convergent if

- the radius of convergence of the series $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n t^n$ is at least 1 and
- the function $t \mapsto (1-t) \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n t^n$ has a finite limit as $t \rightarrow 1^-$.

Hence

$${}^A \lim a(n) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} (1-t) \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n t^n,$$

and Seq_A consists of sequence for which the above limit exists and it is finite. Using (2) we deduce that a series $\sum_{n \geq 0} a(n)$ is A -convergent if and only if the limit

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n t^n$$

exists and it is finite. We have the following immediate result.

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose that $f(z)$ is a holomorphic function defined in an open neighborhood of the set $\{1\} \cup \{|z|\} \subset \mathbb{C}$. If $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n z^n$ is the Taylor series expansion of f at $z = 0$ then the corresponding formal power series $[f] = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n t^n$ is A -regular at $t = 1$,*

$$[f]^{(k)}(1)_A = f^k(1),$$

and the series

$$[f](r)_A = \sum_k \frac{[f]^{(k)}(1)_A}{k!} r^k$$

coincides with the Taylor expansion of f at $z = 1$, and it converges to $f(1+r)$.

Corollary 2.2. *Suppose that $f(z)$ is a holomorphic function defined in an open neighborhood of the set $\{1\} \cup \{|z|\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n z^n$ is the Taylor series expansion of f at $z = 0$. Then for every \mathbf{T} in \mathcal{O} such that $c_0(\mathbf{T}) = 1$, any $P \in \mathbb{R}[x]$, and any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have*

$${}^A \sum_n a_n \mathbf{T}^n P(x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{f^k(1)}{k!} (\mathbf{T} - 1)^k P(x). \quad \square$$

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. A sequence $a \in \text{Seq}$ is said to be C_k -convergent (or Cesàro convergent of order k) if the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbf{S}^k[a](n)}{\binom{n+k}{k}}$$

exists and it is finite. We denote this limit by ${}^{C_k} \lim a(n)$. A series $\sum_{n \geq 0} a(n)$ is said to be C_k -convergent if the sequence of partial sums $\mathbf{S}[a]$ is C_k convergent. Thus the C_k -sum of this series is

$${}^{C_k} \sum_{n \geq 0} a(n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbf{S}^{k+1}[a](n)}{\binom{n+k}{k}}.$$

More explicitly, we have (see [3, Eq.(5.4.5)])

$${}^{C_k} \sum_{n \geq 0} a(n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\binom{n+k}{k}} \left(\sum_{\nu=0}^n \binom{\nu+k}{k} a(n-\nu) \right)$$

²This was apparently known and used by Euler.

Hence

$${}_{C_k} \sum_{n \geq 0} a(n) \iff \mathbf{S}^{k+1}[a](n) \sim A \binom{n+k}{k} \sim A \frac{n^k}{k!},$$

where

$$a \sim b \iff \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a(n)}{b(n)} = 1,$$

if $a(n), b(n) \neq 0$, for $n \gg 0$.

The C_0 convergence is equivalent with the classical convergence and it is known (see [3, Thm. 43, 55]) that

$$C_k \prec C_{k'} \prec A, \quad \forall k < k'.$$

Given this fact, we define a sequence to be C -convergent (Cesàro convergent) if it is C_k -convergent for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that $C \prec A$. Both the C and A methods are shift invariant, i.e., they satisfy the condition (1.2).

We want to comment a bit about possible methods of establishing C -convergence. To formulate a general strategy we need to introduce a classical notation. More precisely, if $f(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n t^n$ is a formal power series we let $[t^n]f(t)$ denote the coefficient of t^n in this power series, i.e. $[t^n]f(t) = a_n$.

Let $f(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n t^n$. Then the series $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n t^n$ C -converges to A if and only if there exists a nonnegative *real* number α such that

$$[t^n] \left((1-t)^{-(\alpha+1)} f(t) \right) \sim A \frac{n^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)},$$

where Γ is Euler's Gamma function. For a proof we refer to [3, Thm. 43].

Definition 2.3. We say that a power series $f(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n t^n$ is *Cesàro convenient* (or *C-convenient*) at 1 if the following hold.

- (i) The radius of convergences of the series is ≥ 1
- (ii) The function f is regular at $z = 1$ and has finitely many singularities $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_\nu \neq 1$ on the unit circle $\{|z| = 1\}$.
- (iii) There exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\theta \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ such that f admits a continuation to the dimpled disk

$$\Delta_{\varepsilon, \theta} := \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| < 1 + \varepsilon, \arg\left(\frac{z}{\zeta_j} - 1\right) > \theta, \forall j = 1, \dots, \nu \right\}.$$

- (iv) For every singular point ζ_j there exists a positive integer m_j such that

$$f(z) = O\left((z - \zeta_j)^{-m_j}\right) \text{ as } z \rightarrow \zeta_j, z \in \Delta.$$

□

The results in [2, Chap. VI] implies that the collection \mathcal{R}_C of C -convenient power series is a ring satisfying

$$f \in \mathcal{R}_C \iff \frac{df}{dt} \in \mathcal{R}_C.$$

Invoking [2, Thm VI.5] we deduce the following useful consequence.

Corollary 2.4. Let $f \in \mathbb{R}[[t]]$ be a power series C -convenient at 1. Then f is C -regular at 1 and

$$f^k(1)_C = f^{(k)}(1)_A = f^{(k)}(1). \quad \square$$

Using [2, VII.7] we obtain the following useful result.

Corollary 2.5. (a) *The power series*

$$(1+t)^{-m} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \binom{n+m-1}{n} (-t)^n, \quad m \geq 1, \quad \log(1+t) = \sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{t^n}{n}$$

are C -regular at 1.

(b) *If $f(z)$ is an algebraic function defined on the unit disk $|z| < 1$ and regular at $z = 1$ then the Taylor series of f at $z = 0$ is C -regular at 1.*

Recall that the Cauchy product of two sequences $a, b \in \mathbf{Seq}$ is the sequence $a * b$,

$$a * b(n) = \sum_{i=0}^n a(n-i)b(i), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

A regularization method is said to be *multiplicative* if

$${}^\mu \sum_n a * b(n) = \left({}^\mu \sum_n a(n) \right) \left({}^\mu \sum_n b(n) \right),$$

for any μ -convergent series $\sum_{n \geq 0} a(n)$ and $\sum_{n \geq 0} b(n)$. The results of [3, Chap.X] show that the C and A methods are multiplicative.

For any regularization method μ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ we denote by $\mathbb{R}[[t]]_\mu$ the set of series that are μ -regular at $t = 1$.

Proposition 2.6. *Let μ be a multiplicative regularization method. Then $\mathbb{R}[[t]]_\mu$ is a commutative ring with one and we have the product rule*

$$(f \cdot g)^{(n)}(1)_\mu = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} f^{(k)}(1)_\mu \cdot g^{(n-k)}(1)_\mu.$$

Moreover, if $\mathbf{T} \in \mathcal{O}$ is such that $c_0(\mathbf{T}) = 1$ then the map

$$\mathbb{R}[[t]]_\mu \ni f \mapsto f(\mathbf{T})_\mu \in \mathcal{O}$$

is a ring morphism.

Proof. The product formula follows from the iterated application of the equalities

$$D_t(fg) = (D_t f)g + f(D_t g), \quad (fg)(1)_\mu = f(1)_\mu \cdot g(1)_\mu, \quad f'(1)_\mu = (D_t f)(1)_\mu,$$

where $D_t : \mathbb{R}[[t]] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[[t]]$ is the formal differentiation operator $\frac{d}{dt}$. The last statement is an immediate application of the above product rule. \square

Remark 2.7. The inclusion $\mathbb{R}[[t]]_C \subset \mathbb{R}[[t]]_A$ is strict. For example, the power series

$$f(z) = e^{1/(1+z)}$$

satisfies the assumption of Proposition 2.1 so that the associated formal power series $[f]$ is A -regular at 1. On the other hand, the arguments in [3, §5.12] show that $[f]$ is not C -regular at 1. \square

Consider the translation operator $U^h \in \mathcal{O}$. From Taylor's formula

$$p(x+h) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{h^n}{n!} D^n p(x)$$

we deduce that

$$\sigma_{U^h}(t) = e^{th}.$$

Set $\Delta_h := U^h - 1$. Using Corollary 2.5 and Theorem 1.1 we deduce the following result.

Corollary 2.8. For any $P \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ we have

$${}^C \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n P(x + nh) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^n}{2^n} \Delta_h^n \right) P(x). \quad (2.1)$$

Observe that

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \Delta_h \right) \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^n}{2^n} \Delta_h^n \right) = 1$$

so that $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^n}{2^n} \Delta_h^n$ is the inverse of the operator $2 + \Delta_h$. We thus have

$${}^C \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n P(x + nh) = (2 + \Delta_h)^{-1} P(x) = (1 + U^h)^{-1} P(x). \quad (2.2)$$

Remark 2.9. Here is a heuristic explanation of the equality (2.2) assuming the Cesàro convergence of the series $\sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n P(x + nh)$. Denote by $S(x)$ the Cesàro sum of this series. Then

$$\begin{aligned} S(x+h) &= {}^C \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n P(x + (n+1)h) \\ &\stackrel{(1.2)}{=} -{}^C \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n P(x+h) + P(x) = -S(x) + P(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$S(x+h) + S(x) = P(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

If we knew that $S(x)$ is a polynomial we would then deduce

$$S(x) = (1 + U^h)^{-1} P(x). \quad \square$$

The inverse of $1 + U^h$ can be explicitly expressed using Euler numbers and polynomials, [4, Eq. (14), p.134]. The Euler numbers E_k are defined by the Taylor expansion

$$\frac{1}{\cosh t} = \frac{2}{e^t + e^{-t}} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{E_k}{k!} t^k.$$

Since $\cosh t$ is an even function we deduce that $E_k = 0$ for odd k . They satisfy the recurrence relation

$$E_n + \binom{n}{2} E_{n-2} + \binom{n}{4} E_{n-4} + \dots = 0, \quad n \geq 2. \quad (2.3)$$

Here are the first few Euler numbers.

n	0	2	4	6	8	10	12	14	16
E_n	1	-1	5	-61	1,385	-50,521	2,702,765	-199,360,981	19,391,512,145

Then

$$\frac{1}{1 + U^h} = \frac{U^{-\frac{h}{2}}}{U^{\frac{h}{2}} + U^{-\frac{h}{2}}} = \frac{U^{-\frac{h}{2}}}{e^{\frac{hD}{2}} + e^{-\frac{hD}{2}}} = \frac{1}{2} U^{-\frac{h}{2}} \frac{1}{\cosh \frac{hD}{2}} = \frac{1}{2} U^{-\frac{h}{2}} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{E_k h^k}{2^k k!} D^k.$$

Hence

$${}^C \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n P(x + nh) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{E_k h^k}{2^k k!} P^{(k)} \left(x - \frac{h}{2} \right). \quad (2.4)$$

When $P(x) = x^m$, $h = 1$, we have

$${}^C \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n (x+n)^m = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{m}{k} \frac{E_k}{2^k} \left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right)^{m-k}. \quad (2.5)$$

Setting $x = 0$ and using the equality $E_{2j+1} = 0$, $\forall j$ we conclude that

$${}^C \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n n^m = \frac{1}{2^{m+1}} \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^{m-k} E_k \binom{m}{k} = \frac{(-1)^m}{2^{m+1}} \sum_{k \geq 0} E_{2k} \binom{m}{2k}. \quad (2.6)$$

Using (2.3) we deduce that when m is even, $m = 2m'$, $m' > 0$ we have

$${}^C \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n n^{2m'} = 0. \quad (2.7)$$

For example

$$1 - 1 + 1 - 1 + \dots \stackrel{C}{=} \frac{1}{2}, \quad (\dagger_0)$$

$$-1 + 2 - 3 + 4 - \dots \stackrel{C}{=} -\frac{1}{4}, \quad (\dagger_1)$$

$$-1 + 2^3 - 3^3 + 4^3 - \dots \stackrel{C}{=} \frac{1}{8}, \quad (\dagger_3)$$

$$-1^5 + 2^5 - 3^5 + 4^5 - \dots \stackrel{C}{=} -\frac{1}{4}. \quad (\dagger_5)$$

When $P(x) = \binom{x}{m}$, $x = 0$, $h = 1$ then it is more convenient to use (2.1) because

$$\Delta \binom{x}{k} = \binom{x}{k-1}, \quad \forall k, x.$$

We deduce

$${}^C \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \binom{n}{m} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{(-1)^k}{2^k} \binom{0}{m-k} = \frac{(-1)^m}{2^{m+1}}. \quad (2.8)$$

Example 2.10. Consider the translation invariant operator

$$\mathbf{T} : \mathbb{R}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[x], \quad P(x) \mapsto \int_0^\infty e^{-s} P(x+s) dx.$$

Set $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{T} - 1$. As explained in [1, II.3.B], the operators \mathbf{T} and \mathbf{R} are intimately related to the Laguerre polynomials. We have $\mathbf{R} = D\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T}D$ and³

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{T}}(t) = \frac{1}{1-t} \sigma_{\mathbf{R}}(t) = \frac{1}{1-t} - 1 = \frac{t}{1-t}.$$

If $P \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ is a polynomial of degree m then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}^k P(x)_{x=0} &= (1 + D + \dots + D^m) P(x)_{x=0} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^k} e^{-(s_1+s_2+\dots+s_k)} P(s_1 + \dots + s_k) ds_1 \dots ds_k. \end{aligned}$$

For $t \geq 0$ we denote by $\Delta_k(t)$ the $(k-1)$ simplex

$$\Delta_{k-1}(t) := \left\{ (s_1, \dots, s_k) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^k; s_1 + \dots + s_k = t \right\},$$

³We can write formally $\mathbf{T} = \int_0^\infty e^{-s} \mathbf{U}^s ds = \int_0^\infty e^{-s(1-D)} ds = (1+D)^{-1}$, so that $\sigma_{\mathbf{T}}(t) = \frac{1}{1-t}$.

and by $dV_{k-1}(t)$ the Euclidean volume element on $\Delta_{k-1}(t)$. Integrating along the fibers of the function $f : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^k \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $f(s_1, \dots, s_k) = s_1 + \dots + s_k$ we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^k} e^{-(s_1+s_2+\dots+s_k)} P(s_1 + \dots + s_k) ds_1 \cdots ds_k &= \int_0^\infty \left(\int_{\Delta_{k-1}(t)} \frac{1}{|\nabla f|} dV_{k-1}(t) \right) e^{-t} P(t) dt \\ &= \frac{v_{k-1}}{\sqrt{k}} \int_0^\infty e^{-s} s^{k-1} P(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

where v_{k-1} is the $(k-1)$ -dimensional volume of the $(k-1)$ -simplex $\Delta_{k-1} = \Delta_{k-1}(t)_{t=1}$.

To compute the volume v_{k-1} we view Δ_k is a regular k -simplex with distinguished base Δ_k , and distinguished vertex $(0, \dots, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$. The distance d_k from the vertex to the base is the distance from the vertex to the center of the base. We have

$$d_k^2 = 1 + \frac{1}{k}, \quad d_k = \sqrt{\frac{k+1}{k}}, \quad v_k = \frac{1}{k} d_k v_{k-1} = \left(\frac{k+1}{k^3} \right)^{1/2} v_{k-1}.$$

Since $v_0 = 1$ we deduce

$$v_k = \frac{(k+1)^{1/2}}{k!}, \quad \mathbf{T}^k P(x)_{x=0} = \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \int_0^\infty e^{-s} s^{k-1} P(s) ds,$$

and

$$\mathbf{R}^k P(x)_{x=0} = \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \int_0^\infty e^{-s} s^{k-1} P^{(k)}(s) ds.$$

Using Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 2.4 with the C -convenient series $f(t) = (1+t)^{-1}$ we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} {}^C \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \mathbf{T}^n P(x)_{x=0} &= {}^C \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^\infty e^{-s} s^{n-1} P(s) ds \\ &= \int_0^\infty \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\deg P} \frac{(-1)^k}{2^{k+1} (k-1)!} s^{k-1} P^{(k)}(s) \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

If we let $P(s) = s^m$ we deduce

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-s} s^{n-1} P(s) ds = (m+n-1)!, \quad \int_0^\infty e^{-s} s^{k-1} P^{(k)}(s) ds = [m]_k (m-1)! = [m-1]_{k-1} m!,$$

and

$${}^C \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \binom{m+n-1}{m} = \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{(-1)^k}{2^{k+1}} \binom{m-1}{k-1}. \quad (2.9)$$

Let us point out that (2.9) can be obtained from (2.8) using the shift-invariance of the Cesàro regularization method. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Aigner: *Combinatorial Theory*, Springer Verlag, 1997.
- [2] P. Flajolet, R. Sedgewick: *Analytic Combinatorics*, Cambridge University Press, 2009.
- [3] G.H. Hardy: *Divergent Series*, Chelsea Publishing Co. 1991.
- [4] N.E. Nörlund: *Mémoire sur les polynomes de Bernoulli*, Acta Math. **43**(1922), 121-194.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME, NOTRE DAME, IN 46556-4618.

E-mail address: nicolaescu.1@nd.edu

URL: <http://www.nd.edu/~lnicolae/>