Documentation for the LoughranMcDonald_MasterDictionary

- **File:** LoughranMcDonald_MasterDictionary_YYYY.xlsx

The LoughranMcDonald Master Dictionary[1]

The LoughranMcDonald Master Dictionary was initially developed in conjunction with our paper published in *Journal of Finance* ("When is a Liability not a Liability?, 2011). The dictionary provides a means of determining which tokens (collections of characters) are actual words, which is important for consistency in word counts. Within the dictionary spreadsheet we also provide flags for the sentiment dictionaries used in the *JF* paper (e.g., negative, uncertainty, litigious). Additional documentation for each word is also provided such as other classifications (e.g., Harvard TagNeg, constraining), syllabification, and source. Details on the creation and maintenance of the dictionary appear below.

Master Dictionary Core Word List

As an artifact of hackers needing word lists to crack passwords, a variety of word lists are available on the internet. Word lists including proper nouns and abbreviations can exceed 600,000 tokens (a token is a collection of characters).

The Master Dictionary we use is based on release 4.0 of the 2of12inf dictionary documented at: http://wordlist.sourceforge.net/12dicts-readme.html. The 2of12inf dictionary includes word inflections but does not include abbreviations, acronyms, or names. We use inflections instead of stemming because, in our opinion, especially if the focus is on tone, using explicit inflections is less error prone than extending a word using stemming (root morpheme + derivational morphemes). The 2of12inf word list contains more than 80,000 words.

The one-letter words "A" and "I" are not included in our Master Dictionary for two reasons. First, they are not critical content words, and second they are more likely to indicate headers in financial documents. Thus all tokens we identify as words contain two or more characters.

Extending the Core Word List

To create the initial Master Dictionary, the core 2of12inf word list was extended using EDGAR 10-X filings as the basis for business language.[2] All tokens—i.e., collections of alphabetic characters—in all 10-X filings are identified that did not appear in the 2of12inf word list. This collection of orphan tokens was then sorted by frequency of occurrence and each token with a frequency count of 100 or more was evaluated for inclusion in the Master Dictionary. The only

---

[1] In the natural language processing literature the term "word list" is not synonymous with "dictionary". A word list is a one-dimensional list of words, whereas a dictionary is a word that is associated with additional data, such as a definition or count. For our purposes we will use the terms synonymously.

[2] We use the term 10-X to reference forms 10-K, 10-K/A, 10-K405, 10-K405/A, 10KSB, 10KSB/A, 10-KSB, 10-KSB/A, 10KSB40, 10KSB40/A, 10-Q, 10-Q/A, 10QSB, 10QSB/A.

proper noun we have added to the list is "Scholes", given the importance and frequency of the term Black-Scholes.

Updating the Master Dictionary

Each year the list is updated using the same process of identifying orphan tokens for the most recent year. All tokens with a frequency count of 100 or more and that are identifiable as words are added to the dictionary.

In earlier versions of the dictionary we did not include additions that were highly industry specific, but with the 2012 version we have removed this restriction.   Most of these additions are noncommercial pharmacological or chemical terms (e.g., vancomycin or blinatumomab). Some of the additions simply represent the dynamic nature of language (e.g., fracking).

Word and Document Counts

The word counts and document counts included in the Master Dictionary are based on parsing of all 10-X documents from 1994 to the current year/version. "Word count" is the simple tabulation of occurrence for the word across all document/years. "Document Count" indicates the number of 10-X filings containing at least one occurrence of the word. The parsing process is documented under the heading "10-X File Summaries" on our website.

Additional Data in the Master Dictionary

In addition to the "Word Count" and "Document Count" associated with each word, the file contains the following items:

1. A sequence number. For the Document Dictionaries it is important to have each word associated with a sequence number.
2. The average proportion and standard deviation of the proportion for the occurrence of each word across all documents.
3. Each of the sentiment types defined in Loughran/McDonald (*Journal of Finance*, 2011). These word lists are available for downloading at:
   http://www.nd.edu/~mcdonald/Word_Lists.html
4. "Constraining" - an additional sentiment type including words such as "commit", "encumber", and "limit".
5. "Interesting" – words we have identified that provide useful examples of such things as context or ambiguity.
6. For all of the sentiment categories the year the item was added to a specific category serves to flag its inclusion in a sentiment grouping, with the exception of "Modal" words. For "Modal" words, a "1" indicates "strong modal" (e.g., "always", "definitely", and "never"), a "2" indicates "moderate modal" (e.g., "can", "generally", and "usually"), and a "3" indicates "weak modal" (e.g., "almost", "could", "might", and "suggests").
7. The Harvard IV "Harvard Psychosociological Dictionary" TAGNeg words found at http://www.wjh.harvard.edu/~inquirer. The Harvard lists are sentiment classifications

derived from applications in psychology and sociology. The TAGNeg file is their group of negative words. The Harvard list is expanded to include relevant inflections. Words in the original TAGNeg file are identified with a "1", inflections of those words we include are labeled with a "2". Loughran/McDonald (*JF,* 2011) show that the Harvard TAGNeg list is poorly specified for business applications (e.g., "mine", "liability" and "vice" are negative words that occur with high frequency in business without any negative implications and can in some cases unintentionally measure industry effects).

8. Irregular Verbs – this list is useful if you are trying to identify passive writing and you need to identify past participles not ending in "-ed".

9. Syllables – the syllable count for each word. About 15,000 of the words were manually identified while the rest were categorized using a syllabification algorithm.

10. Source – the original source of the words. Most are 12of12Inf, as discussed above, and additions based on 10-X usage updates are identified as "10K_YYYY".

Additions and changes in categorical classifications:

- 2008
  - *Additions:* 871 words
- 2009
  - *Additions:* 14 words
- 2010
  - *Additions:* 1,898 words
- 2011
  - *Additions:* 11 words
  - *Reclassifications*
    - Negative added – {AVERSELY, DELISTS, MISCLASSIFICATION, MISCLASSIFIED, MISDATED, UNDERPERFORM, UNDERPERFORMED, UNDERREPORTING, UNFAVOURABLE, UNFORSEEN, UNMERCHANTABLE, UNPREDICTED, UNPROFITABILITY}
    - Positive added – {INNOVATIVENESS}
    - Uncertainty added – {UNFORECASTED, UNFORSEEN, UNPREDICTED, UNQUANTIFIABLE, UNQUANTIFIED, UNRECONCILED}
    - Litigious added – 140 words were added. See spreadsheet.
    - Constraining added – 28 words were added. See spreadsheet.
- 2012
  - *Additions:* 339 words (added industry specific words-e.g., pharma terms)
  - *Reclassifications*
    - Negative removed – {CASUALTIES, CASUALTY, CONSTRUE, CONSTRUED, CONSTRUES, CONSTRUING, DEEPENED, DEEPENING, DEEPENS, DEEPER, DEEPEST, FOREGOING, INAPPLICABLE, REFINANCE, REFINANCED, REFINANCES, REFINANCING, REFINANCINGS, SURRENDER, SURRENDERED, SURRENDERING, SURRENDERS}
    - Positive removed – {OUTSTANDING}
    - Positive added – {BEST}

- Uncertainty added – {VAGUE, VAGUELY, VAGUENESS, VAGUENESSES, VAGUER, VAGUEST}
- Litigious added – {CONSTRUE, CONSTRUED, CONSTRUES, CONSTRUING}

Updates and Acknowledgments

Language is dynamic.   New words enter the business vocabulary and context changes over time. Our classifications are based on an assessment of most likely usage. Thus we will attempt to update the master dictionary and word classifications on a periodic basis. User suggestions are welcomed – please e-mail: mcdonald.1@nd.edu.

The LoughranMcDonald_MasterDictionary has benefited substantially from continuing feedback and those involved in reviewing our initial paper utilizing word lists (*JF*, 2011).   We especially appreciate the contributions made by Cam Harvey in editing the first versions of the lists.