

# Pipelining

## Fundamentals of Pipeline Math

- Time for a single stage = T
- Time for S stages = ST (simple enough...)
- Time *between* initiations = T (not ST as in the multi-cycle approach that we've discussed so far – i.e. where we do 1 instruction right after the next.)
- Idea: Improve **throughput** ... or how often something comes out of the datapath. For example:
  - Assume we have a non-pipelined, multi-cycle datapath where each instruction takes 4 CCs on average. Assume our clock rate is x. How many seconds does it take to finish N instructions?
    - \*  $N \text{ Instructions} \times \frac{4CCs}{\text{Instruction}} \times \frac{xs}{CC} = 4N$
  - Now, with a pipelined version, we would have:
    - \*  $N \text{ Instructions} \times \frac{1CCs}{\text{Instruction}} \times \frac{xs}{CC} = N$

## How long will it take or N initiations to finish?

- $N(T) + (S - 1)(T)$ 
  - The  $N(T)$  part refers to the fact that something finishes every 'T' time units. If there are 'N' items in the pipeline,  $N(T)$  is one component of the execution time.
  - The  $(S-1)(T)$  part refers to the fact that we need to fill up the pipeline. I.e. if there are S stages, than (S-1) time units will be spent filling up those stages. No “useful work” will be output during this time. (**see simple trace with stages in row and time in column**)
- Example:
  - 4 loads, 4 stages, 40 minutes/stage (i.e. laundry!)
  - Pipelined:  $(4)(40) + (4-1)(40) = 160 + 120 = 280$
  - Nonpipelined:  $(4)(4)(40) = 640!$

## Throughput

- Can divide  $N(T) + (S - 1)(T)$  by N:  $\rightarrow \frac{N(T) + (S-1)(T)}{N} \rightarrow$  As N gets large, equation goes to T. Thus, time per initiation is T.
- As N gets large, the component on the right trends toward 0 and the NT component dominates. Ideally, you see a result produced every (shorted) clock cycle.
- Thus, despite the slight increase in overhead, the pipelined version produces results faster.

## Speedup

- Ideally, the speedup one sees is equal to the number of pipe stages...

- Assume/recall that with the multi-cycle approach, we tried to balance the amount of work per cycle. We try to do the same thing here by balancing the amount of work per stage.
  - Assume that each cycle takes  $\tau$  time units. If there are 4 steps, then the total time spent is  $4\tau$ .
  - The time for 1000 pieces of data/instruction is thus  $4\tau \times 1000$ .
  - Now, what about the time for a pipelined version?
  - We can actually use the formula:  $NT + (S - 1)T$ . Thus, we get:  $(1000)\tau + (4 - 1)\tau = 1003\tau$ .
  - Therefore, the speedup is essentially equal to the number of stages:  $\frac{4000\tau}{1003\tau}$  is essentially 4 (the number of stages).
- (more in the slides)