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## 1. Tensor and torsion products for PID's

Let $R$ be a commutative ring. Given two $R$ modules $A$ and $B$, define

$$
A \otimes_{R} B=A \otimes B /\{r a \otimes b=a \otimes r b\}
$$

Show that $A \otimes_{R} B$ is universal for $R$-bilinear maps $A \times B \rightarrow L, L$ an $R$ module. Typically for maps and elementary tensors we do not write $\otimes_{R}$ since it should be obvious what ring we are taking the tensor product over.

Often we will need to restrict to the case in which $R$ is a PID. The key result we have used about $\mathbb{Z}$ modules is that subgroups of free abelian groups are free abelian. For PID's submodules of free modules are free.

A big result for us was that torsion-free abelian groups are flat $\mathbb{Z}$ modules. Look over the proof in Handout 16 and see that the key result was that every submodule of $\mathbb{Z}$ is either 0 or $\mathbb{Z}$ and in the $\mathbb{Z}$ case the inclusion is just $\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cdot m} \mathbb{Z}$. The same remark holds for any PID and hence $R$ modules which have no $R$ torsion are $R$-flat. The definition of $R$-flat is that $A$ is $R$-flat if and only if for every injection $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N, 0 \rightarrow A \otimes_{R} M \rightarrow B \otimes_{R} N$ is exact. It follows that $0 \rightarrow M \otimes_{R} A \rightarrow N \otimes_{R} A$ is also exact. Free $R$ modules have no $R$ torsion.

Just to be clear, $\mathbb{Z}$ torsion means torsion in the usual sense; $R$ torsion means there exists some $r \in R, r \neq 0$ such that multiplication by $r$ kills the $R$-torsion element. So for example fields of finite characteristic have $\mathbb{Z}$-torsion but modules over them have no $R$ torsion.

## 2. Tensor product of chain complexes

Given two chain complexes of $R$ modules, $A_{*}$ and $B_{*}$, we would like to define their tensor product. You might try defining the $n^{\text {th }}$ group as $A_{n} \otimes_{R} B_{n}$ but then you quickly realize that the boundary would lower dimension by 2 . A better try is

$$
\left(A_{*} \otimes_{R} B_{*}\right)_{n}=\underset{i+j=n}{\oplus} A_{i} \otimes_{R} B_{j}
$$

Then you can do a boundary map using something like $\partial_{i}^{A} \otimes 1_{B_{j}}+1_{A_{i}} \otimes \partial_{j}^{B}$. Unfortunately, with this precise definition boundary boundary is not zero.

We need to introduce a sign which will annoy us hereafter.

$$
\partial_{n}^{A \otimes B}=\underset{i+j=n}{\oplus} \partial_{i}^{A} \otimes 1_{B_{j}}+(-1)^{i} 1_{A_{i}} \otimes \partial_{j}^{B}
$$

Now calculate boundary boundary: it suffices to do so on an elementary tensor $a \otimes b$ where $a$ has dimension $i$ and $b$ has dimension $j$ with $i+j=n$.
$\partial_{n-1}^{A \otimes B}\left(\partial_{n}^{A \otimes B}(a \otimes b)\right)=\partial_{n-1}^{A \otimes B}\left(\partial_{i}^{A}(a) \otimes b+(-1)^{i} a \otimes \partial_{j}^{B}(b)\right)=\partial_{n-1}^{A \otimes B}\left(\partial_{i}^{A}(a) \otimes b\right)+(-1)^{i} \partial_{n-1}^{A \otimes B}\left(a \otimes \partial_{j}^{B}(b)\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\partial_{n-1}^{A \otimes B}\left(\partial_{i}^{A}(a) \otimes b\right)=\partial_{i-1}^{A}\left(\partial_{i}^{A}(a)\right) \otimes b\right)+(-1)^{i-1} \partial_{i}^{A}(a) \otimes \partial_{j}^{B}(b)=(-1)^{i-1} \partial_{i}^{A}(a) \otimes \partial_{j}^{B}(b) \\
& \partial_{n-1}^{A \otimes B}\left(a \otimes \partial_{j}^{B}(b)\right)=\partial_{i}^{A}(a) \otimes \partial_{j}^{B}(b)+(-1)^{i} a \otimes \partial_{j-1}^{B}\left(\partial_{j}^{B}(b)\right)=\partial_{i}^{A}(a) \otimes \partial_{j}^{B}(b)
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose $f_{*}: A_{*} \rightarrow B_{*}$ and $g_{*}: C_{*} \rightarrow D_{*}$ are chain maps. Then

$$
f_{*} \otimes g_{*}: A_{*} \otimes_{R} C_{*} \rightarrow B_{*} \otimes_{R} D_{*}
$$

defined by $f_{*} \otimes g_{*}(a \otimes c)=f(a) \otimes g(c)$ is a chain map.
Theorem 1. If $f_{*}$ is chain homotopic to $\bar{f}_{*}$ and $g_{*}$ is chain homotopic to $\bar{g}_{*}$ then $f_{*} \otimes g_{*}$ is chain homotopic to $\bar{f}_{*} \otimes \bar{g}_{*}$.

Proof. It suffices using compositions to prove $f_{*} \otimes 1$ is chain homotopic to $\bar{f}_{*} \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes g_{*}$ is chain homotopic to $1 \otimes \bar{g}_{*}$. We do the second and leave the first to the reader.

Let $K_{*}: C_{*} \rightarrow D_{*+1}$ be the chain homotopy. Define $\bar{K}_{n}: A_{i} \otimes_{R} C_{j} \rightarrow A_{i} \otimes_{R} C_{j+1}$ by $\bar{K}_{n}(a \otimes c)=$ $(-1)^{i} a \otimes \bar{K}_{j}(c)$.

Compute

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{K}_{n-1}\left(\partial_{n}^{A \otimes B}(a \otimes b)\right)=\bar{K}_{n-1}\left(\partial_{i}^{A}(a) \otimes b+(-1)^{i} a \otimes \partial_{j}^{B}(b)\right)=(-1)^{i-1} \partial_{i}^{A}(a) \otimes K_{j}(b)+(-1)^{i+i} a \otimes K_{j-1}\left(\partial_{j}^{B}(b)\right) \\
\partial_{n+1}^{A \otimes B}\left(K_{n}(a \otimes b)\right)=(-1)^{i} \partial_{n+1}^{A \otimes B}\left(a \otimes\left(K_{j}(b)\right)\right)=(-1)^{i} \partial_{i}^{A}(a) \otimes K_{j}(b)+(-1)^{i+i} a \otimes \partial_{j+1}^{B}\left(K_{j}(b)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

so

$$
\bar{K}_{n-1}\left(\partial_{n}^{A \otimes B}(a \otimes b)\right)+\partial_{n+1}^{A \otimes B}\left(K_{n}(a \otimes b)\right)=a \otimes g_{j}(b)-a \otimes \bar{g}_{j}(b)
$$

Corollary 2. If $A_{*}^{[0]}$ is chain homotopy equivalent to $A_{*}^{[1]}$ and if $B_{*}^{[0]}$ is chain homotopy equivalent to $B_{*}^{[1]}$ then $A_{*}^{[0]} \otimes_{R} B_{*}^{[0]}$ is chain homotopy equivalent to $A_{*}^{[1]} \otimes_{R} B_{*}^{[1]}$.

Our very first annoyance comes when we try to compare $A_{*} \otimes_{R} B_{*}$ and $B_{*} \otimes_{R} A_{*}$. If we define $\tau_{*}: A_{*} \otimes B_{*} \rightarrow B_{*} \otimes A_{*}$ by applying the flip map to the elementary tensors, we do not get a chain map. The correct definition is to define

$$
\tau_{*}: A_{*} \otimes_{R} B_{*} \rightarrow B_{*} \otimes_{R} A_{*}
$$

by $\tau_{n}(a \otimes b)=(-1)^{i j} b \otimes a$ where $a$ has dimension $i$ and $b$ has dimension $j$ with $i+j=n$. To check that this is a chain map it again suffices to check it on elementary tensors.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{n}^{B \otimes A}\left(\tau_{n}(a \otimes b)\right)= & (-1)^{i j} \partial_{n}^{B \otimes A}(b \otimes a)=(-1)^{i j}\left(\partial_{j}^{B}(b) \otimes a+(-1)^{j} b \otimes \partial_{i}^{A}(a)\right) \\
\tau_{n-1}\left(\partial_{n}^{A \otimes B}(a \otimes b)\right)== & \tau_{n-1}\left(\partial_{i}^{A}(a) \otimes b+(-1)^{i} a \otimes \partial_{j}^{B}(b)\right)= \\
& (-1)^{(i-1) j} b \otimes \partial_{i}^{A}(a)+(-1)^{i+i(j-1)} \partial_{j}^{B}(b) \otimes a
\end{aligned}
$$

It is still true that $\tau_{*}$ is an involution.

## 3. A partial Künneth Formula

The goal of this section is to produce a formula for computing the homology of the tensor product of a two short chain complexes.

Fix two abelian groups, or $R$ modules, and pick resolutions by free $R$ modules,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
0 \rightarrow F_{1} \xrightarrow[\partial_{1}^{F}]{\longrightarrow} F_{0} \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0 \\
0 \rightarrow G_{1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}^{G}} G_{0} \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0
\end{array}
$$

Assume $R$ is a PID so this can always be done. Form the tensor product chain complex but put an $\epsilon$ on the boundary map where $\epsilon= \pm 1$.

Call the tensor product complex $T^{\epsilon}$. The complex $T^{-1}$ is the tensor product complex where $F_{0}$ is in some even dimension and $T^{+1}$ is the tensor product complex where $F_{0}$ is in some odd dimension.

The dimension of $G_{0}$ is irrelevant but the dimensions of $F_{1}$ and $G_{1}$ are one more than the dimensions of $F_{0}$ and $G_{0}$.

Check that the next diagram commutes.


The horizontal rows are short exact so thinking of the columns as chain complexes, we have a short exact sequence of chain complexes. For notation say $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_{*} \rightarrow T_{*}^{\epsilon} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_{*} \rightarrow 0$. Then
$0=H_{2}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{*}\right) \rightarrow H_{2}\left(T_{*}^{\epsilon}\right) \rightarrow H_{2}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{*}\right) \rightarrow H_{1}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{*}\right) \rightarrow H_{1}\left(T_{*}^{\epsilon}\right) \rightarrow H_{1}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{*}\right) \rightarrow H_{0}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{*}\right) \rightarrow H_{0}\left(T_{*}^{\epsilon}\right) \rightarrow H_{0}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{*}\right)=0$
Since $G_{1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}^{G}} G_{0}$ is a free resolution of $B$, so is $G_{1} \xrightarrow{ \pm \epsilon \partial_{G}^{G}} G_{0}$.
Because $F_{0}$ is free, $H_{k}\left(\mathfrak{A}_{*}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}0 & k \neq 0 \\ F_{0} \otimes_{R} B & k=0\end{array}\right.$. This needs a bit of an argument since the result we proved earlier has the resolution on the right. But $\tau_{*}$ is a chain isomorphism between the resolution on the right complex and the resolution on the left complex.

Similarly, because $F_{1}$ is free, $H_{k}\left(\mathfrak{B}_{*}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}0 & k \neq 0 \\ F_{1} \otimes_{R} B & k=1\end{array}\right.$.
Hence $H_{2}\left(T_{*}^{\epsilon}\right)=0$ and

$$
0 \rightarrow H_{1}\left(T_{*}^{\epsilon}\right) \rightarrow F_{1} \otimes_{R} B \rightarrow F_{0} \otimes_{R} B \rightarrow H_{0}\left(T_{*}^{\epsilon}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

The map $F_{1} \otimes_{R} B \rightarrow F_{0} \otimes_{R} B$ is $\partial_{1}^{F} \otimes 1_{B}$.
The sequence $0 \rightarrow F_{1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}^{F}} F_{0} \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ is exact and so as we saw earlier

$$
0 \rightarrow A *_{R} B \rightarrow F_{1} \otimes_{R} B \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}^{F} \otimes_{R} 1_{B}} F_{0} \otimes_{R} B \rightarrow A \otimes_{R} B \rightarrow 0
$$

is exact. Hence with either $\epsilon, H_{k}\left(T_{*}^{\epsilon}\right)=0, k \neq 0$ or 1 . The natural maps

$$
\begin{array}{r}
A \otimes_{R} B \longrightarrow H_{0}\left(T_{*}^{\epsilon}\right) \\
H_{1}\left(T_{*}^{\epsilon}\right) \longrightarrow A *_{R} B
\end{array}
$$

are isomorphisms.
This partial result has several useful consequences.
Corollary 3. $A \otimes B$ and $B \otimes A$ are naturally isomorphic (which we already knew). Moreover $A * B$ and $B * A$ are naturally isomorphic.

Proposition 4. Given a map of abelian groups $f: A \rightarrow B$ and resolutions $0 \rightarrow F_{1} \rightarrow F_{0} \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow G_{1} \rightarrow G_{0} \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$ be free $R$ modules, there exists a chain map $\hat{f}_{*}: F_{*} \rightarrow G_{*}$ such that $\hat{f}_{*}: H_{0}\left(F_{*}\right)=A \rightarrow H_{0}\left(G_{*}\right)=B$ is $f$. Any two such chain maps are chain homotopic

Corollary 5. Given $f: A_{1} \rightarrow A_{2}$ and $g: B_{1} \rightarrow B_{2}$. Then there is a chain map

$$
(f, g)_{*}: T_{*}^{\epsilon}\left(A_{1}, B_{1}\right) \rightarrow T_{*}^{\epsilon}\left(A_{2}, B_{2}\right)
$$

such that

commute.
3.1. Direct sum of chain complexes. Given two chain complexes $A_{*}$ and $B_{*}$, there is a direct sum chain complex $(A \oplus B)_{*}$ defined in each dimension by $(A \oplus B)_{n}=A_{n} \oplus B_{n}$ and $\partial_{n}^{A \oplus B}=$ $\partial_{n}^{A} \oplus \partial_{n}^{B}:(A \oplus B)_{n} \rightarrow(A \oplus B)_{n-1}$.

The boundary-boundary verification is routine. Chain maps respect direct sum as do chain homotopy equivalences. Again the required verifications are routine. The flip map $(A \oplus B)_{*} \rightarrow$ $(B \oplus A)_{*}$ is a chain map.

The direct sum construction can be extended to an arbitrary set of chain complexes.

For any set of $R$ modules $A_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, and any set of $R$ modules $B_{\beta}, \beta \in \mathcal{B}$ the natural map

$$
\underset{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}}{\oplus}\left(B_{\beta} \otimes_{R} A_{\alpha}\right) \rightarrow\left(\underset{\beta \in \mathcal{B}}{\oplus} B_{\beta}\right) \otimes_{R}\left(\underset{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}{\oplus} A_{\alpha}\right)
$$

is an isomorphism. To describe the isomorphism precisely recall that to define a map out of a direct sum, it is required to give a map from each summand to the range. The map

$$
B_{\beta^{\prime}} \otimes_{R} A_{\alpha^{\prime}} \rightarrow\left(\underset{\beta \in \mathcal{B}}{\oplus} B_{\beta}\right) \otimes_{R}\left(\underset{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}{\oplus} A_{\alpha}\right)
$$

is given by the tensor product of the inclusions $B_{\beta^{\prime}} \rightarrow \oplus_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}} B_{\beta}$ and $A_{\alpha^{\prime}} \rightarrow \oplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} A_{\alpha}$.
There is also a map

$$
\left(\underset{\beta \in \mathcal{B}}{\oplus} B_{\beta}\right) \otimes_{R}\left(\underset{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}{\oplus} A_{\alpha}\right) \rightarrow \underset{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}}{\times}\left(B_{\beta} \otimes_{R} A_{\alpha}\right)
$$

where $\underset{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}}{\times}$ denotes the direct product. To describe a map into a direct product, it is necessary to define a map from the domain into each summand of the range. The required maps

$$
\left(\underset{\beta \in \mathcal{B}}{\oplus} B_{\beta}\right) \otimes_{R}\left(\underset{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}{\oplus} A_{\alpha}\right) \rightarrow B_{\beta^{\prime}} \otimes_{R} A_{\alpha^{\prime}}
$$

are given by the tensor product of the projections $\oplus_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}} B_{\beta} \rightarrow B_{\beta^{\prime}}$ and $\oplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} A_{\alpha} \rightarrow A_{\alpha^{\prime}}$. By looking at elementary tensors, we see that the image in the direct product has only finitely many non-zero terms, or in other words it is onto the direct sum. By looking at elementary tensors the map

$$
\underset{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}}{\oplus}\left(B_{\beta} \otimes_{R} A_{\alpha}\right) \rightarrow\left(\underset{\beta \in \mathcal{B}}{\oplus} B_{\beta}\right) \otimes_{R}\left(\underset{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}{\oplus} A_{\alpha}\right)
$$

is onto and hence split onto and hence is an isomorphism.
These isomorphisms induce isomorphisms of sums of chain complexes. Let $A_{*}^{\alpha}$ and $B_{*}^{\beta}$ be sets of chain complexes of $R$ modules. Then

$$
\underset{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}}{\oplus}\left(B_{*}^{\beta} \otimes_{R} A_{*}^{\alpha}\right) \rightarrow\left(\underset{\beta \in \mathcal{B}}{\oplus} B_{*}^{\beta}\right) \otimes_{R}\left(\underset{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}{\oplus} A_{*}^{\alpha}\right)
$$

is a chain isomorphism.

## 4. A Decomposition result for chain complexes over a PID

Given a chain complex of $R$ modules, $\left\{A_{*}, \partial_{*}^{A}\right\}$ it can be decomposed as follows. For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, resolve $H_{k}\left(A_{*}\right)$ by two free $r$ modules, $0 \rightarrow A_{k+1}^{[k]} \xrightarrow{\partial_{k+1}^{4[k]}} A_{k}^{[k]} \xrightarrow{\rho_{k}} H_{k}\left(A_{*}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Since $A_{k}^{[k]}$ is free, there exists a map $A_{k}^{[k]} \xrightarrow{t_{k}^{[k]}} \operatorname{ker} \partial_{k}^{A} \subset A_{k}$ such that

commutes. We can then find $\iota_{k+1}^{[k]}: A_{k+1}^{[k]} \rightarrow A_{k+1}$ such that

commutes. Make the $A^{[k]}$ into chain complexes by setting the remaining groups to 0 . Then $\left\{A_{*}^{[k]}, \partial_{*}^{A^{[k]}}\right\}$ is a chain complex, $\iota_{*}^{[k]}$ is a chain map.

Define $A_{\ell}^{[r]}=\underset{k \in \mathbb{Z}}{\oplus} A_{\ell}^{[k]}$ and $\iota_{k}: A_{k}^{[r]} \rightarrow A_{k}$. It then follows that $\iota_{*}: A_{*}^{[r]} \rightarrow A_{*}$ is a quasi-isomorphism. If $A_{*}$ also consists of free $R$ modules, then $\iota_{*}$ is a chain homotopy equivalence if $A_{*}$ is bounded below. Theorem 6. Let $R$ be a PID. If $A_{*}$ consists of free $R$ modules and is bounded below, then $A_{*}$ is chain homotopy equivalent to a chain complex $B_{*}$ with $B_{k}$ finitely-generated for all $k$ if and only if $H_{k}\left(A_{*}\right)$ is finitely-generated for all $k$.

## 5. The full Künneth formula

The Künneth formula computes the homology of the tensor product of two chain complexes. The version we will do is for two chain complexes of free $R$ modules with $R$ a PID.
Theorem 7. Suppose $A_{*}$ and $B_{*}$ are chain complexes of free $R$ modules, $R$ a PID. Suppose $A_{*}$ and $B_{*}$ are bounded from below. Then there exists a natural short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \underset{i+j=n}{\oplus} H_{i}\left(A_{*}\right) \otimes H_{j}\left(B_{*}\right) \rightarrow H_{n}\left(A_{*} \otimes B_{*}\right) \rightarrow \underset{i+j=n-1}{\oplus} H_{i}\left(A_{*}\right) * H_{j}\left(B_{*}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

The sequence is split although not naturally.

