

## How to Interpret Regression Coefficients ECON 30331

**Bill Evans**  
**Fall 2010**

How one interprets the coefficients in regression models will be a function of how the dependent (y) and independent (x) variables are measured. In general, there are three main types of variables used in econometrics: continuous variables, the natural log of continuous variables, and dummy variables. In the examples below we will consider models with three independent variables:

$x_{1i}$  a continuous variable  
 $\ln(x_{2i})$  the natural log of a continuous variable  
 $x_{3i}$  a dummy variable that equals 1 (if yes) and 0 (if no)

Listed below are three models. In each case, the right hand side variables are the same, but the dependent variables differ. In each of these regressions, the dependent variable will be measured either as a continuous variable, the natural log or a dummy variable. Define the following dependent variables:

$y_{1i}$  a continuous variable  
 $\ln(y_{2i})$  the natural log of a continuous variable  
 $y_{3i}$  a dummy variable that equals 1 (if yes) and 0 (if no)

Below each model is text that describes how to interpret particular regression coefficients.

**Model 1:**  $y_{1i} = \beta_0 + x_{1i}\beta_1 + \ln(x_{2i})\beta_2 + x_{3i}\beta_3 + \varepsilon_i$

$\beta_1 = \partial y_{1i} / \partial x_{1i}$  = a one unit change in  $x_1$  generates a  $\beta_1$  unit change in  $y_{1i}$

$\beta_2 = \partial y_{1i} / \partial \ln(x_{2i})$  = a 100% change in  $x_2$  generates a  $\beta_2$  change in  $y_{1i}$

$\beta_3$  = the movement of  $x_{3i}$  from 0 to 1 produces a  $\beta_3$  unit change in  $y_{1i}$

**Model 2:**  $\ln(y_{2i}) = \beta_0 + x_{1i}\beta_1 + \ln(x_{2i})\beta_2 + x_{3i}\beta_3 + \varepsilon_i$

$\beta_1 = \partial \ln(y_{2i}) / \partial x_{1i}$  = a one unit change in  $x_1$  generates a  $100 \cdot \beta_1$  percent change in  $y_{2i}$

$\beta_2 = \partial \ln(y_{2i}) / \partial \ln(x_{2i})$  = a 100% change in  $x_2$  generates a  $100 \cdot \beta_2$  percent change in  $y_{2i}$

$\beta_3$  = the movement of  $x_{3i}$  from 0 to 1 produced a  $100 \cdot \beta_3$  percent change in  $y_{2i}$

**Model 3:**  $y_{3i} = \beta_0 + x_{1i}\beta_1 + \ln(x_{2i})\beta_2 + x_{3i}\beta_3 + \varepsilon_i$

$\beta_1 = \partial y_{3i} / \partial x_{1i}$  = a one unit change in  $x_1$  generates a  $100 \cdot \beta_1$  percentage point change in the probability  $y_{3i}$  occurs

$\beta_2 = \partial y_{3i} / \partial \ln(x_{2i})$  = a 100% change in  $x_2$  generates a  $100 \cdot \beta_2$  percentage point change in the probability  $y_{3i}$  occurs

$\beta_3$  = the movement of  $x_{3i}$  from 0 to 1 produced a  $100 \cdot \beta_3$  percentage point change in the probability that  $y_{3i}$  occurs

### An extended example:

Below are results from three regressions generated from one data set. The results parallel the three models outlined above. The data set contains responses from a sample of senior citizens (aged 65+) who are all on Medicare. The regressions have three different outcome measures (total expenditures on medical care (totalexp), the natural log of total medical expenditures (totalexp\_ln) and whether the person has high blood pressure (high\_bp). For each of these dependent variables, there are three potential independent variables, a continuous variable (age), the natural log of a continuous variable (ln of family income) and a dummy variable (obese) that equals 1 if a respondent is obese, =0 otherwise.

The sample description and the sample means are presented below.

```
. desc

Contains data from D:\bill\fall2008\econ30331\mepps_senior.dta
  obs:      2,970
  vars:      6                               20 Oct 2008 17:24
  size:     77,220 (99.3% of memory free)
-----
```

variable name	storage type	display format	value label	variable label
age	byte	%8.0g		age in years
totalexp	long	%12.0g		total expenditures on medical care, 2005
high_bp	byte	%8.0g		dummy variable, =1 if have high blood pressure, =0 otherwise
income_ln	float	%9.0g		natural log of family income
totalexp_ln	float	%9.0g		natural log of total medical expenditures
obese	float	%9.0g		dummy variable, =1 if obese, =0 otherwise

```
-----

. sum

  Variable |      Obs      Mean   Std. Dev.   Min      Max
-----+-----
      age |     2970    74.07576    6.228823     65     85
    totalexp |     2970   8358.247   14109.34      1  235392
      high_bp |     2970    .6703704    .4701578      0      1
    income_ln |     2970    9.557707    .3464276    9.220389  9.913537
    totalexp_ln |     2970    8.045003    1.904871      0  12.36901
-----+-----
      obese |     2970    .2690236    .4435269      0      1
```

. \*\*\*\*\* model 1 \*\*\*\*\*

. reg totalexp age income\_ln obese

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs = 2970		
Model	4.8607e+09	3	1.6202e+09	F( 3, 2966)	=	8.20
Residual	5.8619e+11	2966	197636123	Prob > F	=	0.0000
-----				R-squared	=	0.0082
Total	5.9105e+11	2969	199073579	Adj R-squared	=	0.0072
-----				Root MSE	=	14058

  

totalexp	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
age	202.1078	43.41592	4.66	0.000	116.9794	287.2362
income_ln	-260.2222	772.2026	-0.34	0.736	-1774.329	1253.885
obese	1251.303	588.4134	2.13	0.034	97.56308	2405.043
_cons	-4462.544	7241.433	-0.62	0.538	-18661.29	9736.197

Interpreting the coefficients:

- age: a one year increase in age will increase annual medical spending by \$202
- income\_ln: a 100% increase in income will reduce medical spending by \$260
- male: Obese seniors spend \$1251 more per year on medical care than the non-obese

. \*\*\*\*\* model 2 \*\*\*\*\*

. reg totalexp\_ln age income\_ln obese

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs = 2970		
Model	249.870278	3	83.2900927	F( 3, 2966)	=	23.48
Residual	10523.2502	2966	3.54796029	Prob > F	=	0.0000
-----				R-squared	=	0.0232
Total	10773.1205	2969	3.62853502	Adj R-squared	=	0.0222
-----				Root MSE	=	1.8836

  

totalexp_ln	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
age	.0419183	.0058171	7.21	0.000	.0305124	.0533243
income_ln	-.1696737	.1034636	-1.64	0.101	-.3725414	.0331939
obese	.420106	.0788386	5.33	0.000	.2655222	.5746899
_cons	6.448543	.9702434	6.65	0.000	4.546125	8.350962

Interpreting the coefficients:

- age: a one year increase in age will increase medical spending by 4.2%
- income\_ln: a 100% increase in income will reduce medical spending by roughly 17%
- male: Obese seniors have 42% higher medical care spending than non-obese seniors.

```
. ***** model 3 *****
```

```
. reg high_bp age income_ln obese
```

Source	SS	df	MS			
Model	28.371025	3	9.45700834	Number of obs =	2970	
Residual	627.921568	2966	.21170653	F( 3, 2966) =	44.67	
Total	656.292593	2969	.221048364	Prob > F =	0.0000	
				R-squared =	0.0432	
				Adj R-squared =	0.0423	
				Root MSE =	.46012	

  

high_bp	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
age	.0053784	.001421	3.79	0.000	.0025922	.0081646
income_ln	.0914678	.0252735	3.62	0.000	.0419125	.1410232
obese	.1987462	.0192582	10.32	0.000	.1609854	.2365071
_cons	-.6557299	.2370055	-2.77	0.006	-1.120442	-.191018

Interpreting the coefficients:

- age: a one year increase in age will increase the probability of having high blood pressure by 0.5 percentage points
- income\_ln: a 100% increase in income will increase the probability of having high blood pressure by 9.1 percentage points
- male: Obese seniors have 19.9 percentage point higher probability of being obese than non-obese seniors