5.6 Multistep Methods(cont'd)

Example. Derive Adams-Bashforth two-step *explicit* method: Solve the IVP: $y' = f(t,y), \quad a \le t \le b, \ y(a) = \alpha$.

Integrate $y' = f(t,y)$ over $[y_i, y_{i+1}]$

$$y_{i+1} - y_i = \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} y'(t)dt = \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} f(t, y(t))dt$$

Use (t_i, y_i) and (t_{i-1}, y_{i-1}) to form interpolating polynomial $P_1(t)$ (by Newton backward difference (Page 129)) to approximate $f(t,y)$.

$$\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} f(t,y)dt = \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} (f(t_i,y_i) + \nabla f(t_i,y_i)\frac{(t-t_i)}{h} + \text{error})dt$$

$$y_{i+1} - y_i = h\left[f(t_i,y_i) + \frac{1}{2}\big(f(t_i,y_i) - f(t_{i-1},y_{i-1})\big)\right] + \text{Error}$$

where $h = t_{i+1} - t_i$, and the backward difference $\nabla f(t_i,y_i) = hf[t_i,t_{i-1}] = (f(t_i,y_i) - f(t_{i-1},y_{i-1}))$.

Consequently, Adams-Bashforth two-step *explicit* method is:

$$w_0 = \alpha, \quad w_1 = \alpha_1$$

$$w_{i+1} = w_i + \frac{h}{2}[3f(t_i,w_i) - f(t_{i-1},w_{i-1})] \quad \text{where } i = 1, 2, \ldots N-1.$$

Local Truncation Error. If $y(t)$ solves the IVP $y' = f(t,y), \quad a \le t \le b, \ y(a) = \alpha$ and

$$w_{i+1} = a_{m-1}w_i + a_{m-2}w_{i-1} + \cdots + a_0 w_{i+1-m}$$
$$h[b_m f(t_{i+1},w_{i+1}) + b_{m-1}f(t_i,w_i) + \cdots$$
$$+b_0 f(t_{i+1-m},w_{i+1-m})],$$

the local truncation error is

$$\tau_{i+1}(h) = \frac{y(t_{i+1}) - a_{m-1}y(t_i) + a_{m-2}y(t_{i-1}) + \cdots + a_0 y(t_{i+1-m})}{h} - [b_m f\big(t_{i+1}, y(t_{i+1})\big) + \cdots + b_0 f(t_{i+1-m}, y(t_i))]$$

NOTE: the local truncation error of a *m*-step *explicit* step is $O(h^m)$.

the local truncation error of a *m*-step *implicit* step is $O(h^{m+1})$.

Predictor-Corrector Method

Motivation: (1) Solve the IVP $y' = e^y, \quad 0 \le t \le 0.25, \; y(0) = 1$ by the three-step Adams-Moulton method.
Solution: The three-step Adams-Moulton method is

$$w_{i+1} = w_i + \frac{h}{24}[9e^{w_{i+1}} + 19e^{w_i} - 5e^{w_{i-1}} + e^{w_{i-2}}] \qquad Eq.(1)$$

$Eq.(1)$ can be solved by Newton's method. However, this can be quite computationally expensive.

(2) combine explicit and implicit methods.

4^{th} order Predictor-Corrector Method

(we will combine 4^{th} order Runge-Kutta method + 4^{th} order 4-step explicit Adams-Bashforth method + 4^{th} order three-step Adams-Moulton implicit method)

Step 1: Use 4^{th} order Runge-Kutta method to compute w_0, w_1, w_2 and w_3.

Step 2: For $i = 3, 5, \ldots N$

(a) Predictor sub-step. Use 4^{th} order 4-step explicit Adams-Bashforth method to compute a predicated value

$$w_{i+1,p} = w_i + \frac{h}{24}[55f(t_i, w_i) - 59f(t_{i-1}, w_{i-1}) + 37f(t_{i-2}, w_{i-2}) - 9f(t_{i-3}, w_{i-3})]$$

(b) Correction sub-step. Use 4^{th} order three-step Adams-Moulton implicit method to compute a correction (the approximation at $i+1$ time step)

$$w_{i+1} = w_i + \frac{h}{24}[9f(t_{i+1}, w_{i+1,p}) + 19f(t_i, w_i) - 5f(t_{i-1}, w_{i-1}) + f(t_{i-2}, w_{i-2})]$$

5.10 Stability

Consistency and Convergence

Definition. A one-step difference equation with local truncation error $\tau_i(h)$ is said to be ***consistent*** if

$$\lim_{h\to 0}\max_{1\le i\le N}|\tau_i(h)| = 0$$

Definition. A one-step difference equation is said to be ***convergent*** if

$$\lim_{h\to 0}\max_{1\le i\le N}|w_i - y(t_i)| = 0$$

where $y(t_i)$ is the exact solution and w_i is the approximate solution.

Example. To solve $y' = f(t,y), \quad a \le t \le b, \; y(a) = \alpha$. Let $|y''(t)| \le M,$ an $f(t,y)$ be continuous and satisfy a Lipschitz condition with Lipschitz constant L. Show that Euler's method is consistent and convergent.

Solution:

$$|\tau_{i+1}(h)| = |\tfrac{h}{2}y''(\xi_i)| \le \tfrac{h}{2}M$$

$$\lim_{h\to 0}\max_{1\le i\le N}|\tau_i(h)| \le \lim_{h\to 0}\frac{h}{2}M = 0$$

Thus Euler's method is consistent.

By Theorem 5.9,

$$\max_{1\le i\le N}|w_i - y(t_i)| \le \frac{Mh}{2L}[e^{L(b-a)} - 1]$$

$$\lim_{h\to 0}\max_{1\le i\le N}|w_i - y(t_i)| \le \lim_{h\to 0}\frac{Mh}{2L}\left[e^{L(b-a)} - 1\right] = 0$$

Thus Euler's method is convergent.

The rate of convergence of Euler's method is $O(h)$.

Stability

Motivation: How does round-off error affect approximation? To solve IVP $y' = f(t, y), \quad a \le t \le b, \; y(a) = \alpha$ by Euler's method. Suppose δ_i is the round-off error associated with each step.

$$u_0 = \alpha + \delta_0$$

$$u_{i+1} = u_i + hf(t_i, u_i) + \delta_{i+1} \qquad \text{for each } \; i = 0, 1, \dots, N-1.$$

Then $|u_i - y(t_i)| \le \frac{1}{L}\left(\frac{hM}{2} + \frac{\delta}{h}\right)\left[e^{L(t_i - a)} - 1\right] + |\delta_0| e^{L(t_i - a)}$. Here $|\delta_i| < \delta$.

$$\lim_{h \to 0}\left(\frac{hM}{2} + \frac{\delta}{h}\right) = \infty.$$

Stability: small changes in the initial conditions produce correspondingly small changes in the subsequent approximations.

Convergence of One-Step Methods

Theorem. Suppose the IVP $y' = f(t, y), \quad a \le t \le b, \; y(a) = \alpha$ is approximated by a one-step difference method in the form

$$w_0 = \alpha,$$

$$w_{i+1} = w_i + h\phi(t_i, w_i, h) \qquad \text{where } i = 0, 2, \dots N.$$

Suppose also that $h_0 > 0$ exists and $\phi(t, w, h)$ is continuous with a Lipschitz condition in w with constant L on D, then

$$D = \{(t, w, h) | \; a \le t \le b, -\infty < w < \infty, 0 \le h \le h_0\}.$$

(1) The method is ***stable***;
(2) The method is ***convergent*** if and only if it is ***consistent***:

$$\phi(t, w, 0) = f(t, y), \qquad \text{for all } a \le t \le b$$

(3) If τ exists s.t. $|\tau_i(h)| \le \tau(h)$ when $0 \le h \le h_0$, then

$$|w_i - y(t_i)| \le \frac{\tau(h)}{L} e^{L(t_i - a)}.$$