PROCEEDINGS OF THE AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY Volume 125, Number 9, September 1997, Pages 2797–2801 S 0002-9939(97)03975-0

# ON THE COBORDISM INVARIANCE OF THE INDEX OF DIRAC OPERATORS

### LIVIU I. NICOLAESCU

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

ABSTRACT. We describe a "tunneling" proof of the cobordism invariance of the index of Dirac operators.

The goal of this note is to present a very short proof of the cobordism invariance of the index. More precisely, if  $\hat{D}$  is a Dirac operator on an odd dimensional manifold  $\hat{M}$  with boundary  $\partial \hat{M} = M$  then we show that the index of its restriction D to M is zero. The novelty of this proof consists in the fact that we provide an *explicit* isomorphism between the kernel and the cokernel of D. This map can be viewed as a sort of "propagator" (see Sect. 4).

### 1. The setting

Consider the following collection of data.

(a) A compact, oriented, (2n + 1)-dimensional Riemann manifold  $(\hat{M}^{2n+1}, \hat{g})$  with boundary  $\partial \hat{M} = M^{2n}$  such that  $\hat{g}$  is a product metric near the boundary. We denote by s the longitudinal coordinate on a collar neighborhood of M. The various orientations are defined as in Figure 1.

(b) A bundle of complex self-adjoint Clifford modules  $\hat{\mathcal{E}} \to \hat{M}$  (in the sense of [BGV]). The Clifford multiplication is denoted by

$$\hat{\mathbf{c}}: T^*M \to \operatorname{End}(\mathcal{E}).$$



FIGURE 1. A  $spin^c$  bordism

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 58G10; Secondary 58G20.

©1997 American Mathematical Society

Received by the editors April 22, 1996.

Set  $\mathcal{E} = \hat{\mathcal{E}}|_M$ . We assume that

(1) 
$$\operatorname{End}\left(\mathcal{E}\right) \cong Cl(T^*M) \otimes \mathbb{C},$$

i.e. M has a  $spin^c$  structure and  $\mathcal{E}$  is in fact a bundle of complex spinors associated to this  $spin^c$  structure.

(c) A formally selfadjoint Dirac operator  $\hat{D}: C^{\infty}(\hat{\mathcal{E}}) \to C^{\infty}(\hat{\mathcal{E}})$  such that along the neck it has the form

(2) 
$$\hat{D} = \hat{\mathbf{c}}(ds) \left(\nabla_s + D\right)$$

where the operator

$$D: C^{\infty}(\hat{\mathcal{E}}|_{\{s\} \times M}) \to C^{\infty}(\hat{\mathcal{E}}|_{\{s\} \times M})$$

is formally selfadjoint and independent of s. We set  $J = \hat{\mathbf{c}}(ds)$ . Note that since both  $\hat{D}$  and D are symmetric we have

$$(3) \qquad \qquad \{J,D\} = 0$$

where  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$  denotes the anticommutator of two operators.

Fix a local, oriented, orthonormal frame  $(e^1, \dots, e^{2n})$  of  $T^*M$  so that  $(ds, e^1, \dots, e^{2n})$  is an oriented orthonormal frame of  $T^*\hat{M}$ . If we denote by **c** the Clifford multiplication along the boundary then we have the equality

$$J\mathbf{c}(e^i) = \hat{\mathbf{c}}(ds)\mathbf{c}(e^i) = \hat{\mathbf{c}}(e^i)$$

and we can conclude from (2) that D is a Dirac operator on M with symbol  $\mathbf{c}$ .

We can regard  $J|_M$  as an endomorphism of the bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  and as such it satisfies the anticommutation relations

$$\{J, \mathbf{c}(e^i)\} = 0.$$

Using (1) we conclude that  $\mathbf{i}J$  ( $\mathbf{i} = \sqrt{-1}$ ) is a multiple of the chiral operator

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{E}} = \mathbf{i}^n \mathbf{c}(e^1) \cdots \mathbf{c}(e^{2n}).$$

We fix the orientations such that  $\mathbf{i}J = \Gamma$ . Thus  $\mathbf{i}J$  defines a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  grading on  $\mathcal{E}$ 

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_+ \oplus \mathcal{E}_-, \quad \mathcal{E}_\pm = \ker(\pm 1 - \mathbf{i}J).$$

The anticommutation equality (3) implies that D has a block decomposition

$$D = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 0 & D_{-} \\ D_{+} & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

where

$$D_{\pm}: C^{\infty}(\mathcal{E}_{\pm}) \to C^{\infty}(\mathcal{E}_{\mp}) \text{ and } D_{-} = D_{+}^{*}.$$

Define

ind 
$$D = \dim \ker D_+ - \dim \ker D_-$$

We will show that ind D = 0 by explicitly producing an isometry ker  $D_+ \to \ker D_-$ .

2798



FIGURE 2. Stretching the neck

## 2. Cauchy data spaces and their adiabatic limits

Denote by  $\hat{M}_t$   $(t \gg 0)$  the manifold obtained from  $\hat{M}$  by attaching the long cylinder  $[0, t] \times M$  (see Figure 2).

The bundle  $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$  and the operator  $\hat{D}$  have natural extensions  $\hat{\mathcal{E}}_t$  and  $\hat{D}_t$  to  $\hat{M}_t$ . For every  $r \geq 0$  denote by  $L^{r,2}$  the Sobolev space of distributions in  $L^2$  with  $L^2$ -derivatives up to order r and set

$$\mathcal{K}_t = \{ u \in L^{1/2,2}(\hat{\mathcal{E}}_t) ; \ \hat{D}_t u = 0 \}$$

In [BW] it is shown there exists a well defined continuous restriction map

$$r_t: \mathcal{K}_t \to L^2(\hat{\mathcal{E}}_t|_{\partial \hat{M}_t}).$$

The Cauchy data space of  $\hat{D}_t$  is defined as

$$\Lambda_t = r_t(\mathcal{K}_t).$$

 $\Lambda_t$  is a closed subspace of  $L^2(\mathcal{E})$  and satisfies a crucial condition (also established in [BW]), namely

$$\Lambda_t^{\perp} = J\Lambda_t.$$

The family  $(\Lambda_t)_{t>0}$  has an especially nice behavior as  $t \to \infty$ . To describe it we need a bit more terminology.

For every interval  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  we denote by  $\mathcal{H}_I$  the closed subspace of  $L^2(\mathcal{E})$  spanned by the eigenvectors of D corresponding to eigenvalues in I. In [N1] we proved that there exist  $E \geq 0$  and a D invariant subspace  $L_{\infty} \subset \mathcal{H}_{[-E,E]}$  such that

(4) 
$$JL_{\infty} = L_{\infty}^{\perp}$$

and

(5) 
$$\Lambda_t \xrightarrow{t \to \infty} \Lambda_\infty = L_\infty \oplus \mathcal{H}_{(-\infty, -E]}$$
 in the gap topology of [K].

### 3. The cobordism invariance of the index

Denote by  $P_{\infty}$  the orthogonal projection onto  $\Lambda_{\infty}$  and denote by  $R_{\infty} = 2P_{\infty} - 1$  the orthogonal reflection in  $\Lambda_{\infty}$ . The condition (4) is equivalent to

$$(6) \qquad \{R_{\infty}, J\} = 0.$$

Since  $\mathcal{H}_{[-E,E]}$  is *J*-invariant (by (3)) we obtain a splitting

$$\mathcal{H}_{[-E,E]} = \mathcal{H}^+_E \oplus \mathcal{H}^-_E$$

where  $\mathcal{H}_{E}^{\pm}$  is the  $\pm 1$ -eigenspace of  $\mathbf{i}J$  on  $\mathcal{H}_{[-E,E]}$ . The equality (6) implies that  $R_{\infty}$  switches the components  $\mathcal{H}_{E}^{\pm}$ , i.e.

$$R_{\infty}(\mathcal{H}_E^{\pm}) = \mathcal{H}_E^{\mp}.$$

This "switch" is obviously an isomorphism. Note that

$$\ker D_{\pm} \subset \mathcal{H}_E^{\pm}$$

Since  $L_{\infty}$  is also D-invariant we deduce that  $R_{\infty}$  maps ker  $D_+$  isometrically onto ker  $D_-$ . Geometrically this switch is the reflection in  $L_{\infty}$ . This shows ind D=0.

*Remark.* In [N2] we use this adiabatic limit technique to establish the cobordism invariance of the index of *arbitrary families* of Dirac operators. The extreme generality of that situation may obscure some nice phenomena in special cases such as the one discussed below.

### 4. An example

Consider a cobordism as in Figure 3 and  $\hat{D}$  a Dirac operator on  $\hat{M}$  with all the properties listed in Section 1. The boundary operator D consists of two pieces  $D_{\pm\infty}$  corresponding to the two components of the boundary and each is equipped with the induced chiral grading

$$D_{\pm\infty} = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 0 & D_{\pm\infty}^- \\ D_{\pm\infty}^+ & 0 \end{array} \right].$$

We assume that ker  $D^{-}_{\pm\infty} = \{0\}$ . Set

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{-\infty} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\infty} \stackrel{def}{=} \ker D^+_{-\infty} \oplus \ker D^+_{\infty}.$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{L}$  the space of extended  $L^2$ -solutions of  $\hat{D}$  on the manifold  $\hat{M}_{\infty}$  obtained by attaching infinite half-cylinders at its ends (we refer to [APS] for the exact definition). Then the space  $L_{\infty} \cap \mathcal{H}$  described in Section 3 consists of the asymptotic values of these extended solutions and we can set  $L_{\infty} \cap \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{L}|_{\partial \hat{M}}$ . According to the considerations in Section 3 any  $u \in \mathcal{L}|_{\partial \hat{M}}$  has an unique decomposition

(7) 
$$u = u_+ + u_+, \quad u_\pm \in \mathcal{H}_{\pm\infty}.$$



FIGURE 3. Cobordism

2800

In other words  $\mathcal{L}|_{\partial \hat{\mathcal{M}}}$  is the graph of a linear operator (the "propagator")

$$\mathcal{P}: u_{-} \mapsto u_{+}.$$

The uniqueness of the decomposition (7) implies that the "propagator"  $\mathcal{P}$  is an isomorphism  $\mathcal{P}: \mathcal{H}_{-\infty} \to \mathcal{H}_{\infty}$ .

#### References

- [APS] M.F. Atiyah, V.K. Patodi, I.M. Singer: Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry I, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 78(1975), p.405-432. MR 53:1655b
- [BGV] N. Beline, E. Getzler, M. Vergne: Heat Kernels And Dirac Operators, Springer Vrelag, Berlin, 1992. MR 94e:58130
- [BW] B. Boos, K. Wojciechowski: Elliptic Boundary Problems For Dirac Operators, Birckhauser, Basel, 1993. MR 94h:58168
- [K] T. Kato: Perturbation Theory For Linear Operators, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1984. MR 53:11389
- [N1] L. I. Nicolaescu : The Maslov index, the spectral flow and decompositions of manifolds, Duke Math. J., vol. 80 (1995), p. 485-533. CMP 96:06
- [N2] L. I. Nicolaescu: Generalized symplectic geometries and the index of families of elliptic problems, (to appear in Mem. A.M.S.) CMP 96:12

E-mail address: liviu@math.lsa.umich.edu

 $Current \ address:$  Department of Mathematics, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada L8S $4\mathrm{K1}$