# Using Stata for Two Sample Tests

All of the two sample problems we have discussed so far can be solved in Stata via either (a) statistical calculator functions, where you provide Stata with the necessary summary statistics for means, standard deviations, and sample sizes; these commands end with an i, where the i stands for "immediate" (but other commands also sometimes end with an i) (b) modules that directly analyze raw data; or (c) both. Some of these solutions require, or may be easier to solve, if you first add the Stataquest menus and commands; see

# http://www.stata.com/support/faqs/res/quest7.html

The commands shown below can all be generated via Stata's pulldown menus if you prefer to use them.

A. 2 Sample Case I:  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  are known.

**Problem.** Indiana University (population 1) claims that it has a lower crime rate than Ohio State University (population 2). A random sample of crime rates for 12 different months is drawn for each school, yielding  $\hat{\mu}_1 = 370$  and  $\hat{\mu}_2 = 400$ . It is known that  $\sigma_1^2 = 400$  and  $\sigma_2^2 = 800$ . Test Indiana's claim at the .02 level of significance. Also, construct the 99% confidence interval.

Stata Solution. I don't know of a way to do this with raw data in Stata, but you can do it with summary statistics and the ztest2i command that is installed with Stataquest. The format is

# ztest2i 12 370 20 12 400 28.28427125, level(99)

where the parameters are N1, Mean1, Known SD1, N2, Mean2, Known SD2, and desired CI level. Stata gives you

# . ztest2i 12 370 20 12 400 28.28427125, level(99)

				x: y:	Number of obs Number of obs	= 12 = 12
Variable	Mean	Std. Err.	Z	P> z	[99% Conf	. Interval]
x y	370   400	5.773503 8.164966	64.0859 48.9898	0.0000 0.0000	355.1284 378.9684	384.8716 421.0316
diff	-30	10	3	0.0027	-55.75829	-4.241707
		Ho: mean(x)	- mean(y)	= diff = 0		
Ha: z P < z	diff < 0 = -3.0000 = 0.0013	Ha: P > 2	diff $\sim = 0$ z = -3.00 z = 0.00	)00	Ha: diff > z = -3.0 P > z = 0.9	0 000 987

The one-tailed probability of getting a difference this large just by chance is only .0013, so reject the null. We would also reject if the alternative was 2-tailed; the two-tailed probability is only .0027 and the 99% confidence interval for the difference does not include 0.

# B. 2 Sample Case II: $\sigma_1$ and $\sigma_2$ are unknown but assumed to be equal.

**1.** A professor believes that women do better on her exams than men do. A sample of 8 women (N<sub>1</sub> = 8) and 10 men (N<sub>2</sub> = 10) yields  $\hat{\mu}_1 = 7$ ,  $\hat{\mu}_2 = 5.5$ ,  $s_1^2 = 1$ ,  $s_2^2 = 1.7$ .

(a) Using  $\alpha = .01$ , test whether the female mean is greater than the male mean. Assume that  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \sigma$ .

(b) Compute the 99% confidence interval

Stata solution. The ttesti or ttest commands can be used. For ttesti, the format is

ttesti 8 7 1 10 5.5 1.303840481, level(99)

Parameters are N1 Mean1 SD1 N2 Mean2 SD2, CI Level. You get

. ttesti 8 7 1 10 5.5 1.303840481, level(99)

Two-sample t test with equal variances

	Obs	Mean	Std. Err.	Std. Dev.	[99% Conf.	Interval]
х У	8 10	7 5.5	.3535534 .4123106	1 1.30384	5.762746 4.160058	8.237254 6.839942
combined	18	6.166667	.3248931	1.378405	5.225051	7.108282
diff		1.5	.5599944		1356214	3.135621
Degrees of	freedom:	16				
		Ho: mean(x	c) - mean(y)	= diff = 0		

Ha: diff < 0	Ha: diff != 0	Ha: diff > 0
t = 2.6786	t = 2.6786	t = 2.6786
P < t = 0.9918	P >  t  = 0.0165	P > t = 0.0082

The one-tailed probability of getting a difference this large is .0082; since that is less than .01, reject the null. If the alternative was two-tailed, you would not reject; .0165 is greater than .01. Also, 0 falls within the 99% confidence interval.

If you had the raw data, your data set would have 18 cases with 2 variables. The variable gender could be coded 1 if female, 2 if male. The variable score would equal the student's exam score. You use the ttest command with the by parameter to indicate that this is a separate samples t-test (default is to assume equal variances):

#### . ttest score, by(gender) level(99)

Two-sample t test with equal variances

Group	Obs	Mean	Std. Err.	Std. Dev.	[99% Conf.	Interval]		
female   male	8 10	7 5.5	.3535534 .4123106	1.303841	5.762746 4.160058	8.237254 6.839942		
combined	18	6.166667	.3248932	1.378405	5.225051	7.108282		
diff		1.5	.5599944		1356215	3.135621		
Degrees of	Degrees of freedom: 16							
Ho: mean(female) - mean(male) = diff = $0$								
Ha: d t = P < t =	liff < 0 = 2.6786 = 0.9918	1 P >	Ha: diff != t = 2.6  t  = 0.0	0 786 165	Ha: diff : t = 2 P > t = 0	> 0 .6786 .0082		

C. 2 Sample Case III:  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  are not known and are not assumed to be equal.

**Problem.** Again work this problem: A professor believes that women do better on her exams than men do. A sample of 8 women (N<sub>1</sub> = 8) and 10 men (N<sub>2</sub> = 10) yields  $\hat{\mu}_1 = 7$ ,  $\hat{\mu}_2 = 5.5$ ,  $s_1^2 = 1$ ,  $s_2^2 = 1.7$ . Using  $\alpha = .01$ , Test whether the female mean is greater than the male mean. DO NOT ASSUME  $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$ .

Stata solution. Just add the unequal parameter to our earlier commands. For ttesti,

## . ttesti 8 7 1 10 5.5 1.303840481, level(99) unequal

Two-sample t test with unequal variances

	Obs	Mean	Std. Err.	Std. Dev.	[99% Conf.	Interval]
х У	8 10	7 5.5	.3535534 .4123106	1 1.30384	5.762746 4.160058	8.237254 6.839942
combined	18	6.166667	.3248931	1.378405	5.225051	7.108282
diff		1.5	.543139		086552	3.086552
Satterthwa	aite's degre	ees of freed	om: 15.9877			

## Ho: mean(x) - mean(y) = diff = 0

Ha: diff < 0	Ha: diff != 0	Ha: diff > 0
t = 2.7617	t = 2.7617	t = 2.7617
P < t = 0.9930	P >  t  = 0.0139	P > t = 0.0070

For the ttest command using raw data,

## . ttest score, by(gender) level(99) unequal

Two-sample t test with unequal variances

Group	0bs	Mean	Std. Err.	Std. Dev.	[99% Conf	. Interval]
female male	8   10	 7 5.5	.3535534 .4123106	1 1.303841	5.762746 4.160058	8.237254 6.839942
combined	18	6.166667	.3248932	1.378405	5.225051	7.108282
diff	+	1.5	.543139		0865521	3.086552

Satterthwaite's degrees of freedom: 15.9877

Ho: mean(female) - mean(male) = diff = 0

Ha: diff < 0	Ha: diff != 0	Ha: diff > 0
t = 2.7617	t = 2.7617	t = 2.7617
P < t = 0.9930	P >  t  = 0.0139	P > t = 0.0070

Incidentally, if, for some reason, you've always been a big fan of Welch's degrees of freedom rather than Satterthwaite's, just add the welch parameter to either version of the t-test command, e.g.

## . ttest score, by(gender) level(99) unequal welch

Two-sample t test with unequal variances

Group	Obs	Mean	Std. Err.	Std. Dev.	[99% Conf.	Interval]
female male	8   10	 7 5.5	.3535534 .4123106	1 1.303841	5.762746 4.160058	8.237254 6.839942
combined	18	6.166667	.3248932	1.378405	5.225051	7.108282
diff	+	1.5	.543139		0639565	3.063957

Welch's degrees of freedom: 17.9444

Ho: mean(female) - mean(male) = diff = 0

Ha: dif:	E < 0	Ha: diff	!= 0	Ha:	diff	> 0
t =	2.7617	t =	2.7617	t	= 2	2.7617
P < t =	0.9936	P >  t  =	0.0129	P > t	= (	0.0064

D. 2 Sample Case IV: Matched Pairs,  $\sigma$  unknown.

A researcher constructed a scale to measure influence on family decision-making, and collected the following data from 8 pairs of husbands and wives:

Pair #	H score	W score
1	26	30
2	28	29
3	28	28
4	29	27
5	30	26
6	31	25
7	34	24
8	37	23

(a) Test, at the .05 level, whether there is any significant difference between the average scores of husbands and wives.

(b) Construct the 95% c.i. for the average difference between the husband's and wife's score.

Stata Solution. Stata does not have a calculator function for matched pairs that I know of. However, remember that, if you have the mean and sample variance of D, you could solve such a problem the same way you would a Simple Sample Test, Case 3, Sigma unknown. You could then use the procedures described in the Single sample tests handout.

Analyzing raw data – the data set has 8 cases with two variables for each case: hscore (husband's score) and wscore (wife's score). Use the ttest command with the following format:

. ttest ha	score = wsco	ore, level(9	5)			
Paired t t	test					
Variable	 0bs	Mean	Std. Err.	Std. Dev.	[95% Conf.	Interval]
hscore wscore	8	30.375 26.5	1.266851 .8660254	3.583195 2.44949	27.37937 24.45218	33.37063 28.54782
diff	8	3.875	2.108126	5.962682	-1.109927	8.859927
	Но:	mean(hscore	- wscore) =	mean(diff)	= 0	
Ha: mear t =	n(diff) < 0 = 1.8381	Ha:	<pre>mean(diff) t = 1.8</pre>	!= 0 3381	Ha: mean(dif: t = 1	E) > 0 .8381

P > |t| = 0.1086

P < t = 0.9457

P > t = 0.0543

Both the t-value and the confidence interval indicate you should not reject the null; the means of the husbands and wives do not significantly differ.

Minor Sidelight – Easily switching from Case IV to Case II or III: If you have set the data up for Case IV, Matched Pairs – but for some reason would like to treat the problem as though it fell under Case II or III – Stata makes it easy to do so. All you have to do is add the unpaired option to the ttest command, e.g., for Case II,

#### . ttest hscore = wscore, unpaired level(95)

Two-sample t test with equal variances

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Err.	. Std. Dev.	[95% Conf	. Interval]
hscore wscore	8	30.375 26.5	1.266851 .8660254	3.583195 2.44949	27.37937 24.45218	33.37063 28.54782
combined	16	28.4375	.8942816	3.577126	26.53138	30.34362
diff		3.875	1.534572		.5836708	7.166329

Degrees of freedom: 14

Ho: mean(hscore) - mean(wscore) = diff = 0

Ha: diff < 0	Ha: diff != 0	Ha: diff > 0
t = 2.5251	t = 2.5251	t = 2.5251
P < t = 0.9879	P >  t  = 0.0243	P > t = 0.0121

For Case III,

## . ttest hscore = wscore, unpaired unequal level(95)

Two-sample t test with unequal variances

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Err.	Std. Dev.	[95% Conf	. Interval]
hscore wscore	8	30.375 26.5	1.266851 .8660254	3.583195 2.44949	27.37937 24.45218	33.37063 28.54782
combined	16	28.4375	.8942816	3.577126	26.53138	30.34362
diff		3.875	1.534572		.5425064	7.207494

Satterthwaite's degrees of freedom: 12.3698

Ho: mean(hscore) - mean(wscore) = diff = 0

	Нε	:	dif	E < 0		Ha	a: diff	!= 0		Ha	a:	dif	f > 0
		t	=	2.5251			t =	2.5251			t	=	2.5251
Ρ	<	t	=	0.9869	Ρ	>	t  =	0.0261	P	>	t	=	0.0131

E. 2 Sample Case V: Difference between two proportions.

**1.** Two groups, A and B, each consist of 100 randomly assigned people who have a disease. One serum is given to Group A and a different serum is given to Group B; otherwise, the two groups are treated identically. It is found that in groups A and B, 75 and 65 people, respectively, recover from the disease.

(a) Test the hypothesis that the serums differ in their effectiveness using  $\alpha = .05$ .

(b) Compute the approximate 95% c.i. for  $p_1 - p_2$ .

Stata solution. Use the prtesti or prtest command. Using prtesti,

. prtesti 100 .75 100 .65, level(95) (i.e. N1 p1 N2 p2, desired CI level) x: Number of obs = Two-sample test of proportion 100 y: Number of obs = 100 \_\_\_\_\_ Variable | Mean Std. Err. z P>|z| [95% Conf. Interval] ----+---+ · · · x | .75 .0433013 y | .65 .047697 .6651311 .8348689 .5565157 .7434843 diff | .1 .0644205 | under Ho: .0648074 1.54 0.123 -.0262618 .2262618 • Ho: proportion(x) - proportion(y) = diff = 0

Ha: diff < 0</th>Ha: diff != 0Ha: diff > 0z = 1.543z = 1.543z = 1.543P < z = 0.9386P > |z| = 0.1228P > z = 0.0614

For analyzing raw data – you have 200 cases with 2 variables. recover is coded 1 if the patient recovered, 0 otherwise. group is coded 1 if in group A, 2 if in group B. Use the prtest command with the by parameter:

. prtest recov	ver, by(group	) level(95)				
Two-sample tes	st of proport	ion	G: G:	roup A: roup B:	Number of obs a Number of obs a	= 100 = 100
Variable	Mean	Std. Err.	Z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
Group A Group B	.75 .65	.0433013 .047697			.6651311 .5565157	.8348689 .7434843
diff	.1 under Ho:	.0644205 .0648074	1.54	0.123	0262618	.2262618
но: в	proportion(Gr	oup A) - pro	portion(	Group B)	) = diff = 0	
Ha: dif z = 1 P < z = (	Ef < 0 L.543 D.9386	Ha: dif z = P >  z  =	f != 0 1.543 0.1228		Ha: diff > z = 1.543 P > z = 0.061	0

Both the z value and the confidence interval indicate you should not reject the null; the two serums do not significantly differ in their effectiveness.