

Introduction to Algebraic Number Theory

Lecture 25

Andrei Jorza

10 ζ -functions and L -functions

(10.7) Density (continued).

Definition 1. Suppose \mathcal{P} is a set of prime ideals of K/\mathbb{Q} . The set \mathcal{P} is said to have **natural density** $\delta(\mathcal{P})_{\text{nat}}$ if

$$d(\mathcal{P}) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P} \mid \|\mathfrak{p}\| < x\}|}{|\{\mathfrak{p} \mid \|\mathfrak{p}\| < x\}|}$$

exists.

The set \mathcal{P} is said to have **Dirichlet density** $\delta(\mathcal{P})$ if

$$\delta(\mathcal{P}) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 1^+} \frac{\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}} \|\mathfrak{p}\|^{-s}}{\sum_{\mathfrak{p}} \|\mathfrak{p}\|^{-s}} = \lim_{s \rightarrow 1^+} \frac{\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}} \|\mathfrak{p}\|^{-s}}{-\log(s-1)}$$

exists.

Note that, if they exist, then $\delta(\mathcal{P}) \leq 1$ and $\delta(\mathcal{P})_{\text{nat}} \leq 1$.

Proposition 2. 1. If \mathcal{P} is finite then $d(\mathcal{P}) = \delta(\mathcal{P}) = 0$.

2. If $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{Q}$ then $\delta(\mathcal{P}) \leq \delta(\mathcal{Q})$.

3. Have $\delta(\mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{Q}) \leq \delta(\mathcal{P}) + \delta(\mathcal{Q})$ with equality when $\delta(\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{Q}) = 0$ (e.g., when the intersection is finite or empty).

4. If $d(\mathcal{P})$ exists and equals $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ then $\delta(\mathcal{P}) = d(\mathcal{P}) = \alpha$.

Proof. The only part requiring work is the last one, but we'll skip that since it reduces to basic, but unenlightening calculus. \square

(10.8) Counting primes in arithmetic progression. The goal of this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 3 (Dirichlet's theorem on primes in arithmetic progressions). *Let $n \geq 2$ and a coprime to n . The set $\mathcal{P}_{a,n}$ of primes $p \equiv a \pmod{n}$ has density (either natural or Dirichlet) equal to $1/\varphi(n)$. In particular the set $\mathcal{P}_{a,n}$ is infinite.*

Proof. We will only show that the Dirichlet density is $1/\varphi(n)$, which already implies that $\mathcal{P}_{a,n}$ is infinite.

First, writing $G = (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ note that

$$\sum_{\chi \in \widehat{G}} \chi(a^{-1}p) = \begin{cases} \varphi(n) & p \equiv a \pmod{n} \\ 0 & p \not\equiv a \pmod{n} \end{cases}$$

for any prime p . Thus

$$\varphi(n) \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_{a,n}} \frac{1}{p^s} = \sum_p \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{G}} \frac{\chi(a^{-1}p)}{p^s}$$

For $s \rightarrow 1^+$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\chi \in \widehat{G}} \chi(a^{-1}) \log(L(\chi, s)) &= - \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{G}} \sum_p \chi(a^{-1}) \log \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s} \right) \\
&= \sum_{\chi, p} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\chi(a^{-1}) \chi(p)^n}{np^{ns}} \\
&= \varphi(n) \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_{a,n}} \frac{1}{p^s} + \sum_{\chi, p} \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{\chi(a^{-1}) \chi(p)^n}{np^{ns}}
\end{aligned}$$

using the previous identity. The term $\sum_{\chi, p} \sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{\chi(a^{-1}) \chi(p)^n}{np^{ns}}$ is holomorphic around $s = 1$ by an argument similar to the estimate from the previous section.

We conclude that for $s \rightarrow 1^+$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(n) \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_{a,n}} \frac{1}{p^s} &= \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{G}} \chi(a^{-1}) \log(L(\chi, s)) + O(1) \\
&= \log(L(1, s)) + \sum_{\chi \neq 1} \chi(a^{-1}) \log(L(\chi, s))
\end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\log(\zeta(s)) = \log(L(1, s)) - \sum_{p|n} \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^s} \right) = \log(L(1, s)) + O(1)$$

around $s = 1$ and so

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta(\mathcal{P}_{a,n}) &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 1^+} \frac{\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_{a,n}} p^{-s}}{-\log(s-1)} \\
&= \lim_{s \rightarrow 1^+} \frac{\varphi(n)^{-1} \log(\zeta(s)) + \varphi(n)^{-1} \sum_{\chi \neq 1} \log(L(\chi, s)) + O(1)}{-\log(s-1)} \\
&= \lim_{s \rightarrow 1^+} \frac{\varphi(n)^{-1} \log(\zeta(s)) + O(1)}{-\log(s-1)} \\
&= \frac{1}{\varphi(n)}
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used that for $\chi \neq 1$, $L(\chi, 1) \neq 0$ and so $\log(L(\chi, 1)) = O(1)$. □

(10.9) The Chebotarëv density theorem.

Let L/K be a finite Galois extension of number fields. Recall that for $\mathfrak{q} | \mathfrak{p}$ prime ideals of L and K one has $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p}} \in G_{L/K}$ well-defined up to an element of inertia $I_{\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p}}$. If $\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p}$ is unramified then $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p}}$ is uniquely defined. Moreover, if $\mathfrak{q}' = \sigma(\mathfrak{q})$ is some other prime ideals above \mathfrak{p} where $\sigma \in G_{L/K}$ then $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}'/\mathfrak{p}} = \sigma \text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p}} \sigma^{-1}$ and so one gets a well-defined conjugacy class

$$\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \{ \text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p}} \in G_{L/K} | \mathfrak{q} | \mathfrak{p} \}$$

Theorem 4 (The Chebotarëv density theorem). *Suppose $C \subset G_{L/K}$ is a conjugacy class. Then the set \mathcal{P}_C of prime ideals \mathfrak{p} of K such that the conjugacy class $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is C has both natural and Dirichlet density*

$$\delta(\mathcal{P}_C) = \frac{|C|}{|G_{L/K}|}$$

Proposition 5. *The Dirichlet theorem on primes in arithmetic progressions is equivalent to Chebotarev for $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)/\mathbb{Q}$.*

Proof. Indeed, taking $L = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ and $K = \mathbb{Q}$ then $G_{L/K}$ is abelian $\cong (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ and so every conjugacy class consists of one element. Taking $C = a \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ we deduce that the density of primes p such that $\text{Frob}_p = a$ is $1/\varphi(n)$. But Frob_p is $p \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$. \square

Proposition 6. *1. If Chebotarev is true for abelian Galois extensions (i.e., where the Galois group is abelian) then it is true for all Galois extensions.*

2. If Chebotarev is true for the abelian Galois extension M/K then it is true for L/K for any $M/L/K$.

Proof. Part one: Let $L^{\text{ab}} \subset L$ be the subfield fixed by the commutator $[G_{L/K}, G_{L/K}] = \{aba^{-1}b^{-1} \mid a, b \in G_{L/K}\}$. Then L^{ab}/K is abelian and $G_{L^{\text{ab}}/K} \cong G_{L/K}/[G_{L/K}, G_{L/K}] = G_{L/K}^{\text{ab}}$ is the largest abelian quotient of $G_{L/K}$. Moreover, if $C \subset G_{L/K}$ is a conjugacy class with image $c \in G_{L/K}^{\text{ab}}$ then the preimage of c under the projection $G_{L/K} \twoheadrightarrow G_{L/K}^{\text{ab}}$ is C . Finally, if \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal of K then the image of the conjugacy class $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset G_{L/K}$ in $G_{L^{\text{ab}}/K} \cong G_{L^{\text{ab}}, K}$ is the Frobenius element $\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ since both are lifts of the same map on residue fields.

By Chebotarev for abelian Galois extensions

$$\delta(\{\mathfrak{p} \mid \text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}} = c\}) = \frac{1}{|G_{L^{\text{ab}}/K}^{\text{ab}}|}$$

and so

$$\delta(\{\mathfrak{p} \mid \text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}} = C\}) = \frac{|C|}{|G_{L/K}|}$$

Part two: Let $c \in G_{L/K}$ with preimage $\{c_1, \dots, c_d\} \subset G_{M/K}$ where $d = [M : L]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(\{\mathfrak{p} \mid \text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}} = c\}) &= \delta(\{\mathfrak{p} \mid \text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \{c_1, \dots, c_d\}\}) \\ &= \sum \delta(\{\mathfrak{p} \mid \text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}} = c_i\}) \\ &= \frac{d}{|G_{M/K}|} \\ &= \frac{1}{|G_{L/K}|} \end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square