

# Math 30820 Honors Algebra 4

## Homework 5

Andrei Jorza

Due Wednesday, 2/22/2017

**Do 4 of the following questions. Some questions may be obligatory. Artin a.b.c means chapter a, section b, exercise c. You may use any problem to solve any other problem.**

Throughout this problem set  $R$  is an **integral domain**, unless otherwise specified.

- (You must do this problem. It's a more streamlined version of the proof I presented in class.) Suppose  $K/F$  is the splitting field of  $P(X) \in F[X]$  and  $Q(X) \in F[X]$  is an irreducible polynomial with roots  $\alpha, \beta$ .
  - Show that  $K(\alpha)$  (respectively  $K(\beta)$ ) is the splitting field of  $P(X)$  over  $F(\alpha)$  (respectively  $F(\beta)$ ).
  - Show that  $K(\alpha) \cong K(\beta)$ .
  - Deduce that  $K/F$  is a normal extension.

*Proof.* (a): Suppose  $P$  has roots  $u_1, \dots, u_n$ . Then the splitting field of  $P$  over any field  $S$  that contains  $F$  is  $S(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ . Over  $F$  this is  $K = F(u_1, \dots, u_n)$  while over  $F(\alpha)$  it is  $F(\alpha, u_1, \dots, u_n) = K(\alpha)$ .

(b): Since  $Q$  is irreducible there is an isomorphism  $f : F(\alpha) \rightarrow F(\beta)$  such that  $f|_F = \text{id}_F$  and  $f(\alpha) = \beta$ . As  $P \in F[X]$  it follows that  $f(P(X)) = P(X)$ . From class we know that there exists an isomorphism  $\phi$  between the splitting field  $K(\alpha)$  of  $P$  over  $F(\alpha)$  and the splitting field  $K(\beta)$  of  $P$  over  $F(\beta)$  such that  $\phi|_{F(\alpha)} = f$ .

(c): If  $\alpha \in K$  then  $K = K(\alpha) \cong K(\beta)$  and so  $[K(\beta) : K] = 1$  which implies  $K(\beta) = K$  so  $\beta \in K$ . This implies that if  $Q$  has a root in  $K$  then all its roots are in  $K$  as desired.  $\square$

- (You must do this problem.) Let  $k$  be a field and  $k(x)$  be the field of rational functions in the variable  $x$ . Let  $t = \frac{P(x)}{Q(x)} \in k(x)$  with  $P$  and  $Q \neq 0$  coprime in  $k[x]$ . Denote by  $k(t)$  the subextension of  $k(x)$  generated by  $t$ .
  - Show that the polynomial  $R(Y) = P(Y) - tQ(Y) \in k(t)[Y]$  is irreducible over  $k(t)$  and  $R(x) = 0$ . [Hint: Use Gauss' lemma and show that  $R(Y)$  is irreducible over  $k[t, Y]$ .]
  - Show that the degree of  $R(Y)$  as a polynomial in  $Y$  is the maximum of the degrees of  $P(x)$  and  $Q(x)$  as polynomials in  $x$ .
  - Show that  $[k(x) : k(t)] = \max(\deg P(x), \deg Q(x))$ .

*Proof.* (a): Gauss' lemma implies that we only need to show that  $R(Y)$  is irreducible in  $k[t, Y] = k[Y][t]$ . But  $P(Y) - tQ(Y) \in (k[Y])[t] \subset k(Y)[t]$  is linear in  $t$  and so is irreducible over the field  $k(Y)$  which immediately implies it is irreducible over the PID  $k[Y]$ . By definition of  $t$  we have  $R(x) = 0$ .

(b): Let  $n = \max(\deg P, \deg Q)$  and write  $P(Y) = aY^n + \text{lower}$  and  $Q(Y) = bY^n + \text{lower}$  where at least one of  $a, b$  is nonzero. Then  $R(Y) = (a + bt)Y^n + \text{lower}$  and since  $a + bt \neq 0$  if  $a, b \neq 0$  it follows that  $\deg R = n$ .

(c): The minimal polynomial of  $x$  over  $k(t)$  is  $R(Y)$  from part (a). Therefore  $[k(x) : k(t)] = \deg R(Y)$  and the conclusion follows.  $\square$

3. Let  $K/F$  and  $L/F$  be field extensions. Suppose you are given subextensions  $K/K_i/F$  and  $L/L_j/F$  for  $i$  and  $j$  in partially ordered index sets  $I$  and  $J$  such that if  $i \leq i'$  and  $j \leq j'$  then  $K_i \subset K_{i'}$  and  $L_j \subset L_{j'}$ . Further assume that  $I$  and  $J$  satisfy the following property: any two elements of the partially ordered set have an upper bound in the partially ordered set. If  $K = \bigcup_{i \in I} K_i$  and  $L = \bigcup_{j \in J} L_j$ . Show that  $KL = \bigcup_{(i,j) \in I \times J} K_i L_j$ . [Hint: Show that the RHS is the smallest field that contains  $K$  and  $L$ .]

*Proof.* Since  $K_i L_j \subset KL$  because  $K_i \subset K$  and  $L_j \subset L$  it suffices, as in the hint, to show that  $T = \bigcup_{(i,j)} K_i L_j$  is a field. Suppose  $x, y \in T$ . Then  $x \in K_i L_j$  and  $y \in K_{i'} L_{j'}$ . By hypothesis we may choose  $u \in I$  and  $v \in J$  such that  $u \geq i, i'$  and  $v \geq j, j'$ . The  $K_i, K_{i'} \subset K_u$  and  $L_j, L_{j'} \subset L_v$  and so  $x, y \in K_u L_v$ . Since  $K_u L_v$  is a field it follows that  $x + y, xy, x/y \in K_u L_v \subset T$  and so  $T$  is a field.  $\square$

4. Show that if  $K/F$  and  $L/F$  are algebraic extensions then  $KL/F$  is also an algebraic extension. [Hint: Use the previous problem and the result for finite extensions in class to show that if  $\{u_i\}$  is a basis of  $K/F$  and  $\{v_j\}$  are a basis of  $L/F$  then  $\{u_i v_j\}$  span  $KL/F$ .]

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{B}_K = (u_i)$  be a basis for  $K/F$  and  $\mathcal{B}_L = (v_j)$  be a basis for  $L/F$ . Let  $I$  be the set of finite subsets of  $\mathcal{B}_K$ , partially ordered with respect to inclusion, and similarly let  $J$  be the set of finite subsets of  $\mathcal{B}_L$ , partially ordered with respect to inclusion. Simply by taking unions of finite sets we deduce that any two finite sets in  $I$  (or  $J$ ) have an upper bound in  $I$  ( $J$ ).

For  $S \in I$  define  $K_S = K(u \mid u \in S)$  and similarly  $L_T$  for  $T \in J$ . Since  $K/F$  is algebraic it follows that  $u$  is algebraic over  $F$  for all  $u \in S$ . The result from class then shows that  $K_S/F$ , being the composite of finitely many finite extensions over  $F$ , is then finite and therefore algebraic. As  $S \leq S'$  implies that  $S \subset S'$  we deduce that  $K_S \subset K_{S'}$ , and the analogous statement for  $L_T$ . From the previous problem  $KL = \bigcup K_S L_T$ . As  $K_S/F$  and  $L_T/F$  are finite extensions it follows that so is  $K_S L_T$  and so every element of  $KL$ , being in some  $K_S L_T$ , will have to be algebraic over  $F$ .  $\square$

5. Show that if  $L/F$  and  $K/F$  are finite extensions such that  $[KL : F] = [K : F][L : F]$  then  $K \cap L = F$ .

*Proof.* Let  $K \cap L = M$ . Then  $[KL : F] = [KL : M][M : F] \leq [K : M][L : M][M : F]$ . But the LHS is  $[K : F][L : F] = [K : M][L : M][M : F]^2$ . Combining we deduce that  $[M : F] \leq 1$  and so  $M = F$ .  $\square$

6. Artin 15.3.7 on page 473.

*Proof.* (a): Suppose  $i \in F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{-2})$ . Then  $\sqrt{-2} = i\sqrt{2} \in F$  and so  $\sqrt{2} \in F$ . Note  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  have only  $\mathbb{Q}$  in common (otherwise their degrees being 2 it would mean  $\mathbb{Q}(i) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  and the RHS  $\subset \mathbb{R}$  whereas  $i \notin \mathbb{R}$ ) and they have degree 2 the problem on the exam implies  $[\mathbb{Q}(i, \sqrt{2}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 4$ . But  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{-2}) : \mathbb{Q} = 4$  so we'd have  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{-2}) = \mathbb{Q}(i, \sqrt{2})$ . Now  $\sqrt[4]{-2} = \frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt[4]{2}$  and since  $i, \sqrt{2} \in F$  it would follow that  $\sqrt[4]{2} \in F$  as well. But since  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{2})$  has degree 4 over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , it would follow that  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{-2}) \supset \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{2})$  would have to be an equality. But then  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{2}) \subset \mathbb{R}$  whereas  $i \notin \mathbb{R}$ .

(b): Write  $\alpha = \sqrt[3]{2}$  and  $\zeta = \zeta_3$ . Suppose  $\beta = \sqrt[3]{5} \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \subset K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta, \alpha)$ , the latter field being the splitting of  $X^3 - 2 \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ . Consider the field isomorphism  $f : \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\zeta\alpha)$  being the identity on  $\mathbb{Q}$  and sending  $\alpha \mapsto \zeta\alpha$ . This can be done as  $\alpha$  and  $\zeta\alpha$  are roots of  $X^3 - 2$ . From class we can find an isomorphism  $\phi : K \rightarrow K$  such that  $\phi|_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)} = f$ .

Note  $\beta \in K$  is a root of  $X^3 - 5$  and from class we know that then  $\phi(\beta)$  is another root of  $X^3 - 5$  so it would have to be  $\xi\beta$  where  $\xi \in \{1, \zeta, \zeta^2\}$ . Writing  $\beta = a + b\alpha + c\alpha^2$  (as  $1, \alpha, \alpha^2$  are a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -basis for  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ ) if  $\phi(\beta) = \xi\beta$  then

$$a\xi + b\alpha\xi + c\alpha^2\xi = \xi\beta = \phi(\beta) = \phi(a + b\alpha + c\alpha^2) = a + b\zeta\alpha + c\zeta^2\alpha^2$$

From class we know that  $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta, \alpha) : \mathbb{Q}] = 6$  so  $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \zeta) : \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)] = 2$  and so again from class the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -basis  $1, \alpha, \alpha^2$  is independent over  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ . But then the relation

$$a(1 - \xi) + b(\zeta - \xi)\alpha + c(\zeta^2 - \xi)\alpha^2 = 0$$

with coefficients  $a(1 - \xi), b(\zeta - \xi), c(\zeta^2 - \xi) \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$  would have to have all coefficients equal to 0. We deduce that 2 of  $a, b, c$  must be 0 and so

$$\beta = \sqrt[3]{5} \in \{\sqrt[3]{2}, \zeta\sqrt[3]{2}, \zeta^2\sqrt[3]{2}\}$$

This can't be because then  $\sqrt[3]{5/2} \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$  and  $\beta/\alpha$  has degree 3 over  $\mathbb{Q}$  whereas  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$  is quadratic over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .  $\square$