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## PREFACE TO DOVER EDITION

The new edition of this book originally published in 1949 results from the continuing demand for a concise compilation of the subsonic aerodynamic characteristics of modern NACA wing sections together with a description of their geometry and associated theory. These wing sections, or their derivatives, continue to be the most commonly used ones for airplanes designed for both subsonic and supersonic speeds, and for application to helicopter rotor blades, propeller blades, and high performance fans.

A number of errors in the original version have been corrected in the present publication. The authors are pleased to acknowledge their debt to the many readers who called attention to these errors.

Since original publication many new contributions have been made to the understanding of the boundary layer, the methods of boundary-layer control, and the effects of compressibility at supercritical speeds. Proper treatment of each of these subjects would require a book in itself. Inasmuch as these subjects are only peripherally involved with the main material of this book, and could not, in any case, be treated adequately in this volume, it was considered best to expedite republication by foregoing extensive revision.

Chevy Chase, MD.
Ira H. Abbott

June, 1958

## PREFACE

In preparing this book an attempt has been made to present concisely the most important and useful results of research on the aerodynamics of wing sections at subcritical speeds. The theoretical and experimental results included are those found by the authors to be the most useful. Alternative theoretical approaches to the problem and many experimental data have been rigorously excluded to keep the book at a reasonable length. This exclusion of many interesting approaches to the problem prevents any claim to complete coverage of the subject but should permit easier use of the remaining material.

The book is intended to serve as a reference for engineers, but it should also be useful to students as a supplementary text. To a large extent, these two uses are not compatible in that they require different arrangements and developments of the material. Consideration has been given to the needs of students and engineers with a limited background in theoretical aerodynamics and mathematics. A knowledge of differential and integral calculus and of elementary mechanics is presupposed. Care has been taken in the theoretical developments to state the assumptions and to review briefly the elementary principles involved. An attempt has been made to keep the mathematics as simple as is consistent with the difficulties of the problems treated.

The material presented is largely the result of research conducted by the National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics over the last several years. Although the authors have been privileged to participate in this research, their contributions have been no greater than those of other members of the research team. The authors wish to acknowledge especially the contributions of Eastman N. Jacobs, who inspired and directed much of the research. The authors are pleased to acknowledge the important contributions of Theodore Theodorsen, I. E. Garrick, H. Julian Allen, Robert M. Pinkerton, John Stack, Robert T. Jones, and the many others whose names appear in the list of references. The authors also wish to acknowledge the contributions to the attainment of low-turbulence air streams made by Dr. Hugh L. Dryden and his former coworkers at the National Bureau of Standards, and to express their appreciation for the inspiration and support of the late Dr. George W. Lewis.

Ira H. Abbott
Albert E. von Doenhoff
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## CHAPTER 1

## THE SIGNIFICANCE OF WING-SECTION CHARACTERISTICS

### 1.1. Symbols.

$A$ aspect ratio
$A_{n}$ coefficients of the Fourier series for the span-load distribution
$C_{D}$ drag coefficient
$C_{D_{i}}$ induced drag coefficient
$C_{L}$ lift coefficient
$C_{L_{\max }}$ maximum lift coefficient
$C_{N}$ pitching-moment coefficient
$\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}$ pitching-moment coefficient about the aerodynamic center
$D$ drag
$E$ Jones ${ }^{50}$ edge-velocity factor, equals ratio of the semiperimeter of the plan form of the wing under consideration to the span of the wing
$E$ a factor (see Fig. 13)
G a factor (see Fig. 14)
$H$ a factor (see Fig. 15)
$J$ a factor (see Fig. 9)
$L$ lift
$L_{a}$ "additional" loading coefficient
$L_{0}$ "basic" loading coefficient
$M$ pitching moment
$S$ wing area
$V$ speed
$X_{a c}$ longitudinal distance between the aerodynamic center of the root section and the aerodynamic center of the wing, positive to the rear
a wing lift-curve slope
$a_{6}$ effective section lift-curve slope, $a_{0} / E$
$a_{0}$ section lift-curve slope
ac aerodynamic center
$b$ wing span
$c$ wing chord
$\bar{c}$ mean geometric chord, $S / b$
$c^{\prime}$ mean aerodynamic chord
$c_{d}$ section drag coefficient
$c_{d i}$ section induced-drag coefficient
$c_{l}$ section lift coefficient
$c_{l_{a t}}$ local "additional" section lift coefficient for a wing lift coefficient equal to unity
$c_{l_{b}}$ local "basic" section lift coefficient
$c_{l_{\text {max }}}$ section maximum lift coefficient
$c_{m}$ section-moment coefficient
$c_{m_{c e}}$ section-moment coefficient about the aerodynamic center
cs root chord
$c_{t}$ tip chord
d. section drag
$f$ a factor (see Fig. 8)
$k$ a spanwise station
$l$ section lift
$L_{a}$ "additional" section lift
$l_{0}$ "basic" section lift
$m$ seetion moment
$r$ an even number of stations used in the Fourier analysis of the span-load distribution
u a factor (see Fig. 10)
$v$ a factor (see Fig. 11)
$w$ a factor (see Fig. 12)
$x$ projected distance in the plane of symmetry from the wing reference point to the aerodynamic center of the wing section, measured parallel to the chord of the root section, positive to the rear
$y$ distance along the span
$z$ projected distance in the plane of symmetry from the wing reference point to the aerodynamic center of the wing section measured perpendicular to the root chord, positive upward
$\alpha$ angle of attack
$\infty_{0}$ section angle of attack
$\alpha_{8}$ effective angle of attack
$\alpha_{i}$ angle of downwash
$\alpha_{4}$ section angle of attack for zero lift
$\alpha_{L_{0}}$ angle of zero lift of the root section
$\alpha_{e}$ wing angle of attack measured from the chord of the root section
$\alpha_{a}\left(L_{-0}\right)$ angle of attack of the root section for zero lift of the wing
$\beta$ angle of sweepback
e aerodynamic twist from root to tip
$\eta_{m 1}$ multiplier for obtaining the wing characteristics
7 man multiplier for obtaining the span-load distribution
$\theta \cos ^{-1}\left(-\frac{2 y}{b}\right)$
$\lambda_{m k}$ multiplier for obtaining the induced-angle distribution
$\pi$ ratio of the circumference of a circle to its diameter
$p$ mass density of air
1.2. The Forces on Wings. The surfaces that support the aircraft by means of dynamic reaction on the air are called wings. An aircraft may have several wings which may either be fixed with respect to the fuselage or have any of several motions as in the case of helicopters or ornithopters. Regardless of the type of lifting surface, its aerodynamic characteristics will be strongly affected by the shape of the wing section. The wing characteristics may be predicted from the known aerodynamic characteristics of the wing section if the span is large with respect to the chord, if the Mach numbers are suberitical, and if the chordwise component of velocity is large compared with the spanwise component. Thus the wing-section characteristics considered in this volume have a large field of applicability. A complete discussion of the application of section characteristics to the
prediction of wing characteristics is beyond the scope of this work, but some indication of the methods is given for the case of the monoplane wing in steady straight flight without roll or sideslip.

The monoplane wing supports the airplane by means of a lift force generated by the motion through the air. This lift is defined as the component of force acting in the plane of symmetry in a direction perpendicular


Fia. 1. Typical wing characteristics.
to the line of flight. In addition to the lift, a force directly opposing the motion of the wing through the air is always present and is called the "drag." For a given attitude of geometrically similar wings, the forces tend to vary directly with the density of the air, the wing area, and the square of the speed. It is accordingly convenient to express these forces in terms of nondimensional coefficients that are functions primarily of the attitude of the wing. The lift and drag are given by the following expressions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& L=1 / 2 \rho V^{2} S C_{L}  \tag{1.1}\\
& D=1 / 2 \rho V^{2} S C_{D} \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

The lift and drag forces may be considered to act at a fixed point with respect to the wing. A complete specification of the forces acting on the
wing requires a knowledge of the moment about this fixed point. For a symmetrical wing moving with translation only in the plane of symmetry, the side force perpendicular to the lift and drag is equal to zero, and the moment acts in the plane of symmetry. This moment tends to change


Fig. 2. Lift coefficients plotted as function of angle of attack for aspect ratios of 7 to 1 .
the angle of attack of the wing. It is accordingly called the "pitching moment" and may be expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=1 / 2 \rho V^{2} S c C_{M} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A convenient way of describing the aerodynamic characteristics of a wing is to plot the values of the coefficients against the angle of attack, which is the angle between the plane of the wing and the direction of motion. Such a plot is shown in Fig. 1. The lift coefficient increases almost linearly with angle of attack until a maximum value is reached, whereupon the wing is said to "stall." The drag coefficient has a minimum value at a low lift coefficient, and the shape of the curve is approximately parabolic at angles of attack below the stall. If the point about which
the moment is taken is properly chosen (the aerodynamic center), the moment coefficient is essentially constant up to maximum lift. A measure of the efficiency of the wing as a lifting surface is given by the lift-drag


Fig. 3. Polar diagrams for seven wings with aspect ratios of 7 to 1 .
rutio, which is also plotted in Fig. 1. This ratio increases from zero at zero lift to a maximum value at a moderate lift coefficient, after which it decreases relatively slowly as the angle of attack is further increased.

It is desirable for the wing to have the smallest possible drag. Inasmuch as the high-speed lift coefficient is usually substantially less than that corresponding to the best lift-drag ratio, one of the best ways of reducing the wing drag is to reduce the wing area. This reduction of area is usually limited by considerations of stalling speed or maneuverability. These considerations are directly influenced by the maximum lift coefficient obtainable. The wing should therefore have a high maximum lift
coefficient combined with low drag coefficients for high-speed and cruising flight. This combination of desirable qualities can be obtained only to a limited extent by a single wing configuration. It is therefore customary to use some retractable device such as flaps to improve the maximum lift characteristics of the wing.
1.3. Effect of Aspect Ratio. Aspect ratio is defined as the ratio of the span squared to the wing area $\left(b^{2} / S\right)$, which reduces to the ratio of the


Fic. 4. Lift coefficients as function of angle of attack, reduced to aspect ratio of 5.
span to the chord in the case of a rectangular wing. Early wind-tunnel investigations of wing characteristics showed that the rates of change of the lift and drag coefficients with angle of attack were strongly affected by the aspect ratio of the model. Wings of high aspect ratio were observed to have higher lift-curve slopes and lower drag coefficients at high lift coefficients than wings of low aspect ratio. The effect of aspect ratio on the lift curve is shown in Fig. 2.88 The wings of various aspect ratios are shown to have about the same angle of attack at zero lift, but the slope of the lift curve increases progressively with increase of aspect
ratio. The effect of aspect ratio on the drag coefficient is shown in Fig. 3.8 Although the drag coefficients for all the models of various aspect ratios are substantially equal at zero lift, marked reductions in the drag


Fig. 5. Polar diagrams reduced to aspect ratio of 5.
coefficient occur at the higher lift coefficients as the aspect ratio is increased.

As a result of such observations, the Lanchester-Prandtl wing theory was developed. This theory shows that, for wings having elliptical spanwise distributions of lift, the following simple expressions relate the drag
coefficients and angles of attack as functions of aspect ratio at constant lift coefficients

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{D}^{\prime} & =C_{D}+\frac{C_{L}^{2}}{\pi}\left(\frac{1}{A^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{A}\right)  \tag{1.4}\\
\alpha^{\prime} & =\alpha+\frac{C_{L}}{\pi}\left(\frac{1}{A^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{A}\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{b}^{\prime}$ and $\alpha^{\prime}$ correspond, respectively, to the drag coefficient and angle of attack (radians) of a wing of aspect ratio $A^{\prime}$.

Application of Eqs. (1.4) and (1.5) to reduce the data of Figs. 2 and 3 to an aspect ratio of five results in the data of Figs. 4 and 5. ${ }^{88}$ These figures show that the characteristics of a wing of one aspect ratio may be predicted with considerable accuracy from data obtained from tests of a wing of widely different aspect ratio.

Equations (1.4) and (1.5) may be simplified by the concept of infinite aspect ratio. If $c_{d}$ and $\alpha_{0}$ indicate the drag coefficient and angle of attack of a wing of infinite aspect ratio, the characteristics of an elliptical wing of aspect ratio $A$ may be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{D} & =c_{d}+\frac{C_{L}^{2}}{\pi A}  \tag{1.6}\\
\alpha & =\alpha_{0}+\frac{C_{L}}{\pi A} \tag{1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

A wing of infinite aspect ratio would have the same flow pattern in all planes perpendicular to the span. In other words, there would be no components of flow along the span, and the flow over the wing section would be two-dimensional. Infinite aspect ratio characteristics are accordingly commonly called "section characteristics." The section characteristics are intrinsically associated with the shape of the wing sections as contrasted with wing characteristics, which are strongly affected by the wing plan form. The detailed study of wings is greatly simplified by the concept of wing-section characteristics because wing theory offers a method for obtaining the properties of wings of arbitrary plan form from a summation of the characteristics of the component sections.
1.4. Application of Section Data to Monoplane Wings. a. Basic Concepts of Lifting-line Theory. The simplest three-dimensional wing theory is that based on the concept of the lifting line. ${ }^{88.37}$ In this theory the wing is replaced by a straight line. The circulation about the wing associated with the lift is replaced by a vortex filament. This vortex filament lies along the straight line; and, at each spanwise station, the strength of the vortex is proportional to the local intensity of the lift. According to Helmholtz's theorem, a vortex filament cannot terminate in the fluid. The variation of vortex strength along the straight line is therefore assumed to
result from superposition of a number of horseshoe-shaped vortices, as shown in Fig. 6. The portions of the vortices lying along the span are called the "bound vortices." The portions of the vortices extending downstream indefinitely are called the "trailing vortices."

The effect of trailing vortices corresponding to a positive lift is to induce a downward component of velocity at and behind the wing. This downward component is called the "downwash." The magnitude of the downwash at any section along the span is equal to the sum of the effects of all the trailing vortices along the entire span. The effect of the downwash


Fig. 6. Vortex pattern representing a lifting wing.
is to change the relative direction of the air stream over the section. The section is assumed to have the same aerodynamic characteristics with respect to the rotated air stream as it has in normal two-dimensional flow. The rotation of the flow effectively reduces the angle of attack. Inasmuch as the downwash is proportional to the lift coefficient, the effect of the trailing vortices is to reduce the slope of the lift curve. The rotation of the flow also causes a corresponding rotation of the lift vector to produce a drag component in the direction of motion. This induced-drag coefficient varies as the square of the lift coefficient because the amount of rotation and the magnitude of the lift vector increase simultaneously.

The problem of evaluating the downwash at each point is difficult because of the interrelation of the downwash, lift distribution, and plan form. A comparatively simple solution was obtained by Prandtl ${ }^{88}$ for an elliptical lift distribution. In this case the downwash is constant between the wing tips and the induced drag is less than that for any other type of lift distribution. Equations (1.6) and (1.7) give the relation between the section and wing characteristics for an elliptical lift distribution.
b. Solutions for Linear Lift Curves. Glauert ${ }^{37}$ applied a Fourier series analysis to the problem and developed methods for obtaining solutions for wings of any plan form and twist. Anderson ${ }^{10}$ applied Glauert's methods
to the determination of the characteristics of wings with a wide range of aspect ratio and straight taper and with a linear spanwise variation of twist. Anderson considered the spanwise lift distribution for any typical wing to consist of two parts. One part, called the "basic distribution," is the distribution that depends principally on the twist of the wing and occurs when the total lift of the wing is zero; it does not change with the angle of attack of the wing. The second part of the lift distribution, called the "additional distribution," is the lift due to change of the wing angle


Fia. 7. Typical semispan lift distribution. $C_{L}=1.2$
of attack; it is independent of the twist of the wing and maintains the same form throughout the reasonably straight part of the lift curve. A typical distribution of lift over the semispan of a twisted, tapered wing at a moderately high lift coefficient is shown in Fig. 7.

Anderson presented his solutions in the form of tables and charts that are easy to apply. In order to apply these solutions the wing geometry must be known in the following terms:

[^1]
## $a_{e}$ effective lift-curve slope, $a_{0} / E$

$E$ Jones ${ }^{50}$ edge-velocity factor, equals ratio of the semiperimeter of the wing under consideration to the span of the wing
$c_{m_{\text {ce }}}$ section-moment coefficient about the aerodynamic center
chord at any spanwise station
Anderson considered only the case of a linear distribution of twist between the center section and the tip. The types of fairing ordinarily used for wings result in nonlinear distributions of twist if the wing is tapered. The departure from the assumed linear distribution may not be negligible if appreciable twist is combined with a large taper ratio.

The data required to find the spanwise lift distribution are given in Tables 1 and 2. The basic and additional loading coefficients $L_{b}$ and $L_{a}$ are presented at various spanwise stations for a wide range of aspect ratio and taper ratio. The local basic section lift coefficient $c_{b}$ for a wing lift coefficient equal to zero is given by the expression

$$
c_{L_{b}}=\frac{\epsilon a_{0} S}{c b} L_{b}
$$

The local additional section lift coefficient $c_{l_{\text {a }}}$ for a wing lift coefficient equal to unity is given by the expression

$$
c_{l_{m 1}}=\frac{S}{c b} L_{a}
$$

The actual or total local section lift coefficient $c_{l}$ for a wing lift coefficient equal to $C_{L}$ is obtained from

$$
c_{l}=c_{L}+C_{L} c_{l_{L}}
$$

The lift-curve slope per degree $a$ of the wing is obtained from

$$
a=f \frac{a_{e}}{1+\left(57.3 a_{e} / \pi A\right)}
$$

where the effective section lift-curve slope $a_{e}$ is taken as the average for the sections composing the wing and the factor $f$ is given in Fig. 8 on page 16.

The Jones edge-velocity correction, ${ }^{50}$ which had not been derived when Anderson ${ }^{10}$ obtained these results, has been appiied to Anderson's formulas by the use of an effective section lift-curve slope. This effective slope $a_{e}$ equals $a_{0} / E$ where $E$ is the ratio of the semiperimeter to the span of the wing under consideration. It will be noted that this effective lift-curve slope is not solely a property of the section but that it varies with the plan form of the wing.

The angle of attack of the wing corresponding to any value of the wing lift coefficient $C_{L}$ is given by

$$
\alpha_{s}=\frac{C_{L}}{a}+\alpha_{0_{0}}+J \epsilon
$$

Table 1.-Basic Span Lift-Distribution Data
Values of $L_{b}$ for tapered wings with rounded tips $c_{l_{b}}=\frac{\epsilon a_{0} S}{c b} I_{b}$


Spanwise station $y /(b / 2)=\mathbf{0} .4$

| 2 | -0.0 | -0.011 | -0.013 | -0.015 | -0.0 | -0.016 | -0.0 | -0.016 | -0.016 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | ${ }^{-0.002}$ | -0.010 | -0.012 | -0.015 | -0.016 | -0.016 | -0.016 | -0.016 | -0.017 | -0.018 | -0.018 |
| 5 | ${ }_{0}^{0} 0$ | -0.006 -0.004 | -0.011 -0.010 | -0.012 | -0.016 | -0.016 | -0.018 -0.020 | -0.019 -0.021 | -0.020 | -0.020 | -0.021 -0.023 |
| 6 | 0.009 | -0.002 | -0.008 | -0.012 | -0.016 | -0.018 | -0.020 | -0.021 | -0.022 | -0.024 |  |
| 7 | 0.012 | -0.001 | -0.010 | -0.013 | -0.017 | -0.018 | -0.020 | -0.022 | -0.025 | -0.027 | 29 |
| 8 | 0.014 |  | -0.008 | -0.012 | -0.017 | -0.019 | -0.021 | -0.025 | -0.029 | -0.030 | -0.030 |
| 10 | 0.021 | 0.007 | -0.002 | -0.010 | -0.017 | -0.020 | -0.022 | -0.027 | -0.030 | -0.032 | 32 |
| 12 | 0.028 | 0.009 | -0.001 | -0.010 | -0.017 | -0.021 | -0.025 | -0.029 | -0.032 | -0.036 |  |
| 14 | 0.036 | 0.013 |  | -0.010 | -0.017 | -0.021 | -0.028 | -0.031 | -0.035 | -0.040 |  |
| 16 | 0.0 | 0.019 | 0.002 | -0.008 | -0.016 | -0.022 | -0.029 | -0.034 | -0.038 | -0.041 |  |
| 18 | 0.049 | 0.022 | 0.004 | 0.008 | -0.015 | -0.022 | -0.031 | -0.038 | -0.041 | -0.043 | -0.046 |
| 20 | 0.050 | 23 | 06 | 6 | -0 | -0.022 | -0.031 | -0.038 | -0.04 | -0.046 |  |

Spanwise station $y /(b / 2)=0.6$

|  | 0.052 | 0.052 | 0.051 | 0.050 | 0.050 | 0.050 | 0.050 | 0.050 | 0.049 | 0.049 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 0.070 | 0.069 | 0.068 | 0.088 | 0.088 | 0.088 | 0.068 | 0.068 | 0.088 | 0.088 | 0.068 |
| 4 | 0.085 | 0.082 | 0.081 | 0.080 | 0.080 | 0.080 | 0.080 | 0.080 | 0.080 | 0.080 | 0.080 |
| 5 | 0.099 | 0.095 | 0.092 | 0.091 | 0.091 | 0.091 | 0.091 | 0.091 | 0.090 | 0.090 | 0.090 |
| 6 | 0.109 | 0.107 | 0.104 | 0.102 | 0.101 | 0.101 | 0.100 | 0.100 | 0.100 | 0.100 | 0.100 |
| 7 | 0.119 | 0.117 | 0.114 | 0.112 | 0.111 | 0.110 | 0.110 | 0.110 | 0.110 | 0.109 | 0.108 |
| 8 | 0.128 0.139 | 0.122 0.138 | 0.121 | 0.120 | 0.120 | 0.119 | 0.119 | 0.118 | 0.118 | 0.117 | 0.116 |
| 10 | 0.139 | 0.138 | 0.135 | 0.132 | 0.131 | 0.130 | 0.130 | 0.129 | 0.128 | 0.126 | 0.124 |
| 12 | 0.148 | 0.145 | 0.141 | 0.140 | 0.140 | 0.139 | 0.137 | 0.135 | 0.134 | 0.132 | 0.139 |
| 14 | 0.155 | 0.152 | 0.150 | 0.148 | 0.145 | 0.142 | 0.141 | 0.140 | 0.139 | 0.138 | 0.135 |
| 16 | 0.160 | 0.158 | 0.154 | 0.151 | 0.149 | 0.146 | 0.143 | 0.141 | 0.140 | 0.139 | 0.136 |
| 18 | 0.165 | 0.162 | 0.160 | 0.158 | 0.152 | 0.148 | 0.145 | 0.142 | 0.140 | 0.139 | 0.138 |
| 20 | 0.170 | 0.169 | 0.165 | 0.159 | 0.152 | 0.148 | 0.147 | 0.143 | 0.141 | 0.140 | 0.140 |

Table 1.-Basic Span Lift-Distribution Data.-(Continued.)

|  | 0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spanwise station $y /(b / 2)=0.8$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0.072 | 0.079 | 0.080 | 0.082 | 0.083 | 0.085 | 0.085 | 0.086 | 0.086 | 0.084 | 0.081 |
| 3 | 0.088 | 0.098 | 0.101 | 0.102 | 0.104 | 0.108 | 0.109 | 0.110 | 0.110 | 0.108 | 0.106 |
| 4 | 0.100 | 0.113 | 0.120 | 0.123 | 0.125 | 0.128 | 0.128 | 0.130 | 0.130 | 0.130 | 0.129 |
| 5 | 0.109 | 0.125 | 0.135 | 0.138 | 0.140 | 0.143 | 0.147 | 0.148 | 0.14.3 | 0.148 | 0.149 |
| 6 | 0.115 | 0.135 | 0.148 | 0.152 | 0.156 | 0.160 | 0.160 | 0.162 | 0.163 | 0.164 | 0.165 |
| 7 | 0.121 | 0.142 | 0.158 | 0.163 | 0.169 | 0.172 | 0.173 | 0.173 | 0.174 | 0.174 | 0.175 |
| 8 | 0.126 | 0.149 | 0.164 | 0.174 | 0.180 | 0.182 | 0.182 | 0.183 | 0.183 | 0.184 | 0.184 |
| 10 | 0.136 | 0.160 | 0.178 | 0.188 | 0.195 | 0.200 | 0.201 | 0.202 | 0.203 | 0.201 | 0.198 |
| 12 | 0.145 | 0.170 | 0.188 | 0.200 | 0.208 | 0.212 | 0.214 | 0.216 | 0.216 | 0.214 | 0.210 |
| 14 | 0.152 | 0.182 | 0.200 | 0.210 | 0.216 | 0.221 | 0.223 | 0.227 | 0.228 | 0.225 | 0.220 |
| 16 | 0.159 | 0.186 | 0.205 | 0.216 | 0.222 | 0.229 | 0.232 | 0.233 | 0.236 | 0.232 | 0.229 |
| 18 | 0.161 | 0.197 | 0.215 | 0.224 | 0.230 | 0.235 | 0.239 | 0.242 | 0.243 | 0.242 | 0.238 |
| 20 | 0.166 | 0.201 | 0.220 | 0.232 | 0.237 | 0.241 | 0.245 | 0.248 | 0.248 | 0.248 | 0.247 |
| Spanwise station $y /(b / 2)=0.9$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.059 | 0.068 | 0.072 | 0.073 | 0.075 | 0.076 | 0.075 | 0.075 | 0.075 | 0.075 | 0.075 |
| 3 | 0.068 | 0.083 | 0.092 | 0.098 | 0.099 | 0.100 | 0.100 | 0.100 | 0.100 | 0.100 | 0.100 |
| 4 | 0.074 | 0.098 | 0.111 | 0.118 | 0.121 | 0.122 | 0.123 | 0.123 | 0.123 | 0.123 | 0.123 |
| 5 | 0.081 | 0.107 | 0.122 | 0.131 | 0.138 | 0.140 | 0.141 | 0.141 | 0.142 | 0.142 | 0.142 |
| 6 | 0.087 | 0.117 | 0.136 | 0.148 | 0.154 | 0.159 | 0.160 | 0.160 | 0.160 | 0.160 | 0.160 |
| 7 | 0.090 | 0.123 | 0.146 | 0.160 | 0.167 | 0.171 | 0.171 | 0.172 | 0.172 | 0.172 | 0.172 |
| 8 | 0.092 | 0.131 | 0.153 | 0.170 | 0.179 | 0.182 | 0.183 | 0.184 | 0.185 | 0.186 | 0.187 |
| 10 | 0.098 | 0.139 | 0.168 | 0.184 | 0.197 | 0.201 | 0.203 | 0.205 | 0.207 | 0.209 | 0.210 |
| 12 | 0.100 | 0.147 | 0.178 | 0.198 | 0.210 | 0.213 | 0.221 | 0.225 | 0.228 | 0.229 | 0.230 |
| 14 | 0.102 | 0.156 | 0.188 | 0.208 | 0.220 | 0.231 | 0.238 | 0.241 | 0.243 | 0.245 | 0.246 |
| 16 | 0.103 | 0.161 | 0.197 | 0.219 | 0.231 | 0.241 | 0.249 | 0.253 | 0.258 | 0.259 | 0.260 |
| 18 | 0.105 | 0.166 | 0.202 | 0.228 | 0.243 | 0.252 | 0.260 | 0.263 | 0.269 | 0.271 | 0.275 |
| 20 | 0.107 | 0.172 | 0.211 | 0.233 | 0.248 | 0.260 | 0.268 | 0.273 | 0.279 | 0.282 | 0.285 |
| Spanwise station $y /(b / 2)=0.95$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.038 | 0.051 | 0.058 | 0.059 | 0.060 | 0.060 | 0.060 | 0.060 | 0.059 | 0.059 | 0.058 |
| 3 | 0.044 | 0.063 | 0.073 | 0.078 | 0.079 | 0.080 | 0.080 | 0.080 | 0.080 | 0.079 | 0.078 |
| 4 | 0.050 | 0.072 | 0.076 | 0.092 | 0.095 | 0.097 | 0.099 | 0.100 | 0.100 | 0.100 | 0.099 |
| 5 | 0.052 | 0.083 | 0.100 | 0.107 | 0.110 | 0.112 | 0.113 | 0.114 | 0.116 | 0.117 | 0.116 |
| 6 | 0.054 | 0.088 | 0.109 | 0.119 | 0.122 | 0.128 | 0.130 | 0.132 | 0.132 | 0.131 | 0.130 |
| 7 | 0.056 | 0.093 | 0.116 | 0.130 | 0.135 | 0.140 | 0.144 | 0.148 | 0.150 | 0.149 | 0.145 |
| 8 | 0.057 | 0.100 | 0.125 | 0.140 | 0.146 | 0.152 | 0.158 | 0.160 | 0.161 | 0.160 | 0.159 |
| 10 | 0.058 | 0.107 | 0.138 | 0.152 | 0.162 | 0.171 | 0.178 | 0.182 | 0.186 | 0.187 | 0.183 |
| 12 | 0.059 | 0.112 | 0.143 | 0.165 | 0.179 | 0.189 | 0.198 | 0.200 | 0.202 | 0.205 | 0.204 |
| 14 | 0.060 | 0.116 | 0.151 | 0.174 | 0.190 | 0.202 | 0.211 | 0.215 | 0.218 | 0.221 | 0.222 |
| 16 | 0.061 | 0.121 | 0.159 | 0.184 | 0.203 | 0.218 | 0.222 | 0.229 | 0.233 | 0.236 | 0.238 |
| 18 | 0.061 | 0.128 | 0.166 | 0.194 | 0.213 | 0.229 | 0.236 | 0.241 | 0.248 | 0.251 | 0.255 |
| 20 | 0.061 | 0.128 | 0.173 | 0.203 | 0.225 | 0.239 | 0.245 | 0.251 | 0.259 | 0.265 | 0.271 |
| Spanwise station $y /(b / 2)=0.975$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.019 | 0.030 | 0.035 | 0.037 | 0.037 |  | 0.037 | 0.036 | 0.036 | 0.035 |  |
| 3 | 0.022 | 0.039 | 0.045 | 0.049 | 0.050 | 0.051 | 0.052 | 0.054 | 0.053 | 0.052 | 0.051 |
| 4 | 0.026 | 0.043 | 0.054 | 0.070 | 0.062 | 0.064 | 0.088 | 0.069 | 0.069 | 0.068 | 0.067 |
| 5 | 0.029 | 0.051 | 0.065 | 0.070 | 0.071 | 0.075 | 0.078 | 0.081 | 0.082 | 0.083 | 0.083 |
| 6 | 0.030 | 0.055 | 0.071 | 0.079 | 0.082 | 0.088 | 0.091 | 0.094 | 0.097 | 0.097 | 0.097 |
| 7 | 0.030 | 0.060 | 0.078 | 0.087 | 0.091 | 0.098 | 0.101 | 0.107 | 0.110 | 0.110 | 0.110 |
|  | 0.030 | 0.062 | 0.081 | 0.091 | 0.100 | 0.107 | 0.112 | 0.120 | 0.121 | 0.121 | 0.121 |
| 10 | 0.031 | 0.067 | 0.090 | 0.105 | 0.115 | 0.124 | 0.132 | 0.138 | 0.141 | 0.142 | 0.143 |
| 12 | 0.031 | 0.069 | 0.095 | 0.115 | 0.131 | 0.141 | 0.149 | 0.153 | 0.160 | 0.161 | 0.162 |
| 14 | 0.031 | 0.071 | 0.102 | 0.127 | 0.143 | 0.155 | 0.163 | 0.171 | 0.175 | 0.177 | 0.178 |
| 16 | 0.031 | 0.077 | 0.111 | 0.138 | 0.156 | 0.169 | 0.178 | 0.182 | 0.188 | 0.190 | 0.191 |
| 18 | 0.032 | 0.083 0.086 | 0.121 | 0.150 | 0.189 0.178 | 0.182 | 0.191 | 0.197 | 0.200 0.210 | 0.201 | 0.202 0.213 |
| 20 | 0.032 | 0.088 | 0.128 | 0.158 | 0.178 | 0.193 | 0.202 | 0.208 | 0.210 | 0.212 | 0.213 |

Table 2.-Additional Span Lift-Distribution Data
Values of $L_{s}$ for tapered wings with rounded tips, $c_{l_{a 1}}=\frac{S}{c b} L_{s}$

|  | 0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spanwise station $y /(b / 2)=0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1.367 | ${ }_{1}^{1.339}$ |  | 1.301 | 1.298 | 1.292 | 1.290 | 1.287 |  |
| 8 | 1.489 | 1.430 1.42 | ${ }^{1} 1.380$ | 1.350 1380 | 1.322 1.329 | 1.302 | 1.288 1279 | 1.275 1.20 | 1.283 | 1.283 <br> 1.228 | 1.246 |
| ${ }_{5}^{4}$ | 1.527 | ${ }_{1}^{1.452}$ | 1.414 | 1.360 1.369 | 1.383 | 1.302 | 1.272 | 1.248 | 1.242 1.225 | 1.220 1.204 | 1.211 |
| 6 | 1.585 | 1.492 | 1.428 | 1.378 | 1.388 | 1.300 | 1.267 | 1.237 | 1.211 | 1.187 | 1.168 |
| 7 | 1.609 | 1.510 | 1.440 | 1.386 | 1.340 | 1.300 | 1.204 | 1.232 | 1.208 | 1.176 | 1.149 |
| 8 10 |  |  | 1.456 1.43 | 1.392 1.409 | 1.344 |  | 1.204 |  | 1.188 |  |  |
| 12 | 1.681 | 1.558 1.578 | 1.473 1.490 | 1.409 1.420 | 1.355 1.361 | 1.306 1.308 | 1.264 | 1.222 | 1.187 | 1.152 | 1.120 1.109 |
| 14 | 1.708 | 1.592 | 1.502 | 1.429 | 1.368 | 1.309 | 1.200 | 1.214 | 1.172 | 1.186 | 1.100 |
| 16 | 1.728 | 1.610 | 1.513 |  | 1.388 |  |  |  |  | 1.127 | 1.090 |
| 18 20 | 1.741 | 1.623 1.632 | 1.525 | 1.441 | 1.370 | 1.308 1.307 | 1.250 | 1.203 1.199 | 1.160 1.152 | 1.118 1.109 | 1.080 1.070 |

Spanwise station $y /(b / 2)=0.2$

| 2 | 1.36 | 1.329 | 1.300 | 1.27 | 1.267 | 1.280 | 1.258 | 1.256 | 1.253 | 1.250 | 1.248 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 1.405 | 1.346 | 1.308 | 1.279 | 1.260 | 1.248 | 1.241 | 1.284 | 1.228 | 1.221 | 1.214 |
| $\frac{4}{5}$ | 1.459 | ${ }_{1}^{1.363}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1.318}$ | 1.284 | 1.200 | 1.243 | ${ }_{1223}$ | 1.2208 | 1.209 | 1.181 | 1.188 |
| 6 | 1.477 | 1.388 | 1.329 | 1.290 | 1.259 | 1.236 | 1.218 | 1.200 | 1.184 | 1.109 | 1.151 |
| 7 | 1.491 | 1.393 | 1.332 | 1.291 | 1.259 | 1.236 | 1.214 | 1.193 | 1.174 | 1.157 | 1.138 |
| 8 | 1.502 | 1.401 | 1.338 | 1.294 | 1.261 | 1.236 | 1.212 | 1.189 | 1.168 | 1.148 | 1.129 |
| 10 | 1.513 | 1.411 | 1.347 | 1.299 | 1.265 | 1.236 | 1.209 | 1.182 | 1.158 | 1.137 | 1.114 |
| 12 | 1.520 | 1.417 | 1.349 | 1.302 | 1.285 | 1.233 | 1.202 | 1.172 | 1.148 | ${ }^{1.126}$ | 1.102 |
| 14 | 1.527 | 1.423 | 1.354 | 1.307 | 1.268 | 1.232 | 1.201 | 1.170 | 1.144 | 1.119 | 1.094 |
| 16 | 1.532 | 1.428 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 20 | 11.589 | 1.429 | 1.359 1.360 | 1.309 1.311 | 1.270 1.271 | 1.231 1.230 | 1.195 1.190 | 1.160 1.155 | 1.130 1.123 | 1.103 1.098 | 1.078 1.069 |

Spanwise station $y /(b / 2)=0.4$

| 2 | 1.217 | 1.190 | 1.178 | 1.172 | 1.172 | 1.171 | 1.170 | 1.188 | 1.169 | 1.108 | 1.168 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 1.220 | 1.191 | 1.176 | 1.168 | 1.161 | 1.160 | 1.159 | 1.158 | 1.157 | 1.158 | 1.155 |
| 4 | 1.223 | 1.192 | 1.173 | 1.162 | 1.150 | 1.151 | 1.149 | 1.148 | 1.147 | 1.1 | ${ }^{1.125}$ |
| 5 |  | 1.198 | 1.72 | 1.159 | 1.149 | 1.142 | 1.140 | 1.188 | 1.138 | 1.134 | ${ }^{1.185}$ |
| 6 |  | 1.198 | 1.171 | 1.152 | 1.145 | 1.138 | 1.124 | 1.128 | 1.127 | 1.126 | ${ }^{1.125}$ |
| 7 | 1.229 | ${ }_{1}^{1.193}$ | 1.168 | 1.150 | 1.140 | 1.138 | 1.124 | 1.121 | 1.120 | 1.119 | 1.118 |
| 18 | 1.228 | 1.192 | 1.167 | 1.148 | 1.182 | ${ }_{1}^{1.128}$ | 1.120 | 1.116 1.108 | ${ }_{1}^{1.113}$ | 1.111 | 1.110 |
| 12 | 1.228 | 1.192 | 1.168 | 1.145 | 1.125 | 1.111 | 1.107 | 1.102 | 1.009 | 1.094 | 1.090 |
| 14 | 1.228 | 1.191 | 1.161 | 1.138 | 1.116 | 1.104 | 1.100 | 1.096 | 1.090 | 1.087 | 1.082 |
|  | 28 | 1.189 | 1.158 | 1.131 | 1.112 | 1.101 | 1.097 | 1.091 | 1.080 | 1.081 | 1.075 |
| 18 20 | 1.228 | 1.188 | 1.152 | 1.129 | 1.111 | 1.100 1.098 | 1.092 | 1.087 | 1.080 | 1.076 | 1.070 1.065 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Spanwise station $y /(b / 2)=0.6$

| 2 | 0.970 | 0.976 | 0.984 | 0.992 | 1.003 | 1.010 | 1.012 | 1.014 | 1.016 | 1.018 | 1.019 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 0.950 | 0.962 | 0.975 | 0.985 | 0.996 | 1.004 | 1.011 | 1.018 | 1.023 | 1.030 | 1.088 |
| $\frac{5}{5}$ | 0.920 | 0.938 | 0.953 | 0.971 | ${ }_{0}^{0.988}$ | 1.002 | 1.008 | 1.014 | 1.023 | 1.035 | 1.050 |
| 6 | 0.909 | 0.930 | 0.949 | 0.968 | 0.881 | 0.993 | 1.002 | 1.013 | 1.024 | 1.039 | 1.055 |
| 7 | 0.900 | 0.920 | 0.940 | 0.959 | 0.975 | 0.989 | 1.000 | 1.012 | 1.024 | 1.039 | 1.054 |
| 8 | 0.891 | 0.916 | 0.938 | 0.956 | 0.972 | 0.988 | 0.999 | 1.011 | 1.024 | 1.039 | 1.053 |
| 10 | 0.881 | 0.907 | 0.929 | 0.947 | 0.961 | 0.976 | 0.992 | 1.008 | 1.023 | 1.039 | 1.052 |
| 12 | 0.872 | 0.901 | 0.923 | 0.941 | 0.958 | 0.972 | 0.989 | 1.008 | 1.022 | 1.088 | 1.051 |
| 14 | 0.868 | 0.895 | 0.918 | 0.937 | 0.953 | 0.969 | 0.986 | 1.003 | 1.019 | 1.035 | 1.049 |
| 16 | 0.881 | 0.888 | 0.912 | 0.931 | 0.948 | 0.966 | 0.983 | 1.000 | 1.017 | 1.033 | 1.048 |
| 18 | 0.858 | 0.883 | 0.906 | 0.925 | 0.944 | 0.863 | 0.981 | 0.998 | 1.015 | 1.082 | 1.047 |
| 20 | 0.851 | 0.876 | 0.898 | 0.920 | 0.940 | 0.959 | 0.978 | 0.995 | 1.012 | 1.028 | 1.046 |

Table 2.-Additional Span Lift-Distribution Data.-(Continued.)

|  | 0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spanwise station $y /(b / 2)=0.8$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{3}^{2}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.815}$ | 0 | 0.772 |  | 0.740 | ${ }_{0}^{0.754}$ | 0764 | 0.742 | 0.747 | 0.747 | 0.748 |
| 4 | ${ }_{0}^{0} 0.568$ | 0.649 | ${ }_{0}^{0.760}$ | ${ }^{0.726}$ | 0.743 | - 0.764 | ${ }_{0}^{0.764}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.7725}$ | 0.782 | ${ }_{0}^{0.816}$ | 0.799 |
| 5 | ${ }_{0}^{0.548}$ | - ${ }_{0}^{0.632}$ | ${ }^{0.685}$ | -0.720 | ${ }^{0.748}$ | 0.769 | -7.790 | 0.808 | 0.822 | 0.834 | . 845 |
|  | 0.517 | 0.609 | 0.670 | 0.713 | 0.748 | 0.778 | 0.802 | 0.827 | 0.845 | 0.861 | . 875 |
| ${ }_{10}^{8}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.488}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.585}$ | 0.663 | ${ }_{0}^{0.710}$ | 0.748 | 0.779 0.783 | 0.815 | ${ }_{0}^{0.834}$ | 0.888 | ${ }_{0}^{0.882}$ | 0.886 |
| 12 | 0.472 | ${ }^{0} \mathbf{0} 5785$ | 0.648 | 0.702 | 0.748 | 0.788 | 0.821 | 0.80 | 0.877 | 0.899 | 0.919 |
| 16 | ${ }^{0} \mathbf{0} 4.456$ | ${ }_{0}^{0} \mathbf{0} 568$ | ${ }^{0.638}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0} .698$ | 0.748 | ${ }_{0}^{0.789}$ | 0.825 0.830 | 0.858 | 0.887 | ${ }_{0}^{0.921}$ | . 94 |
| 18 20 | 0.450 0.44 | ${ }_{0}^{\mathbf{0} .545}$ | 0.629 | (0.698 | 0.750 | 0.796 0.801 | 0.835 | 0.870 0.878 | 0.901 0.909 | 0.930 0.937 | 0.962 |
| Spanwise station $y /(b / 2)=0.9$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{3}^{2}$ | 0.378 0.352 | 0. | 0.500 | ${ }_{0}^{0.528}$ | 0.531 | ${ }_{0}^{0.534}$ | 0.535 0.559 | ${ }_{0}^{0.536}$ | 0.537 | 38 | 539 |
| 4 | ${ }_{0}^{0.331}$ | 0.435 | 0.595 | ${ }_{0}^{0.532}$ | 0.554 | ${ }_{0}^{0.559}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.589}$ | 0.589 | ${ }_{0}^{0.5688}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.603}$ | 0. 0.675 |
| 6 | 0.314 0.300 | ${ }_{0}^{0.424}$ | ${ }^{0.489}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.531}$ | 0.560 | ${ }_{0}^{0.583}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.600}$ | 0.613 | ${ }^{0.622}$ | 0.630 | . 636 |
| ${ }_{7}$ | 0.300 0.200 | 0.416 0.410 | 0.487 | ${ }_{0}^{0.531}$ | 0.572 | ${ }_{0}^{0.593}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.615}$ | 0.631 | 0.653 0.600 | 0.652 | 0.659 |
| 8 | 0.282 | 0.403 | 0.481 | 0.536 | 0.579 | ${ }_{0}^{0.612}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.638}$ | 0.658 | 0.673 | 0.686 | . 696 |
| 10 | 0.286 | -0.383 | 0.472 | ${ }^{0.512}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.590}$ | -0.628 | ${ }^{0.656}$ | 0.679 | ${ }^{0.698}$ | ${ }^{0.712}$ | 0.723 |
| 14 | 0.245 | ${ }_{0} .370$ | 0.468 | 0.545 | 0.602 | ${ }_{0}^{0.648}$ | ${ }_{0} .684$ | 0.715 | ${ }_{0}^{0} .739$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.759}$ | 0.776 |
| 18 | 0.239 | 0.366 0.367 | 0.488 | 0.547 0.552 | 0.018 | 0.659 0.689 | 0.898 | 0.729 | -0.756 | 0.780 0.800 | 0.801 |
| 20 | 0.231 | 0.368 | 0.473 | ${ }_{0}^{0.560}$ | 0.625 | ${ }_{0}^{0.679}$ | ${ }_{0.722}$ | 0.759 | 0.791 | 0.819 | 0.846 |
| Spanwise station $y /(b / 2)=0.95$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.231 | ${ }^{0.298}$ | 0.884 | ${ }_{0}^{0.358}$ | 0.370 0.389 | 0.379 0.401 | 0.381 <br> 0.407 <br> 1 | ${ }_{0}^{0.383}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.386}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.388}$ | 0.390 |
| 4 | 0.191 | 0.286 | 0.342 | ${ }_{0}^{0.378}$ | 0.402 | ${ }_{0}^{0.401}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.428}$ | 0.412 | 0.416 0.40 | 0.414 | 0.420 |
| 5 | ${ }_{0}^{0.1766}$ | 0.278 | 0.344 | 0.384 | 0.415 | 0.436 | 0.449 | 0.458 0.475 | 0.463 0.482 | 0.469 | 0.471 0.488 0 |
| 7 | ${ }_{0} 0.155$ | 0.272 | 0.346 | ${ }_{0} .398$ | 0.438 | ${ }_{0}^{0.464}$ | ${ }_{0} 0.481$ | 0.494 | ${ }_{0} 0.502$ | 0.510 | 0.515 |
| 8 | 0.148 | 0.261 | 0.346 | 0.403 | 0.446 | 0.475 | 0.495 | 0.510 | ${ }_{0} 0.521$ | 0.529 | 0. 534 |
| 10 | - 0.138 | ${ }^{0.255}$ | 0.366 | 0.410 | ${ }^{0.460}$ | ${ }^{0.495}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.520}$ | 0.538 | ${ }_{0}^{0.533}$ | 0.566 | 0.575 |
| 12 | ${ }_{0}^{0.132}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.254}$ | 0.348 | 0.419 0.423 | ${ }^{0.473}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.511}$ | ${ }^{0.542}$ | 0.568 | ${ }_{0}^{0.583}$ | 0.598 |  |
| 16 | 0.122 | - | ${ }^{0} 0.351$ | 0.432 | 0.495 | ${ }^{0.546}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0} .581$ | 0.610 | ${ }_{0}^{0.635}$ | 0.655 | 0.671 |
| ${ }_{20}^{18}$ | 0.122 0.121 | 0.258 | 0.357 0.364 | 0.439 0.449 | 0.516 | 0.558 0.569 | 0.598 0.613 | 0.648 | 0.680 | 0.682 0.707 | 0.730 |
| Spanwise station $\boldsymbol{y} /(\mathrm{b} / 2)=0.975$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0.172 | 0.207 |  |  | 0.272 |  |  | 0.279 | 0.281 | 0.282 |
| $\stackrel{3}{4}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.119}$ | ${ }^{0.166}$ | 0.214 | 0.258 | 0.288 | 0.289 <br> 0.304 | ${ }^{0.291}$ | 0.311 | ${ }_{0}^{0.315}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.319}$ | 0.302 |
| 5 | 0.098 | 0.158 | 0.217 | 0.269 | 0.304 | ${ }_{0}^{0.320}$ | 0.322 | 0.328 | ${ }_{0}^{0.333}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.338}$ | 0.342 |
| 6 | 0.089 | 0.158 | 0.219 | 0.272 | 0.314 | ${ }_{0}^{0.332}$ | ${ }^{0.340}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.344}$ | ${ }_{\substack{0 \\ 0.350}}^{\substack{358}}$ | 0.357 | ${ }_{0}^{0.381}$ |
| 7 | ${ }_{0}^{0.087}$ | 0.158 | 0.228 | ${ }^{0.283}$ | 0.328 | ${ }_{0.352}^{0.342}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.363}$ | -0.374 | ${ }_{0}^{0} .383$ | ${ }^{0} .391$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.400}$ |
| 10 | ${ }_{0}^{0.069}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.158}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.233}$ | ${ }^{0.295}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.343}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.373}$ | 0.413 | 0.403 | 0.415 | 0.428 | 0.438 |
| 14 | 0.068 | 0.163 | 0.248 | 0.320 | 0.376 | ${ }_{0}^{0.413}$ | 0.438 | 0.458 | 0.478 | 0.495 | 0.510 |
| ${ }_{18}^{16}$ | 0.064 | 0.168 | 0.253 | ${ }^{0.331}$ | 0.394 |  | 0.463 | 0.488 | ${ }_{0}^{0.510}$ |  | 0.546 |
| 20 | (0.062 | 0.171 | 0.271 | ${ }_{0.363}$ | 0.435 | 0.483 0.483 | 0.615 | 0.544 | 0.570 | 0.593 | ${ }_{0}^{0.615}$ |

where $\alpha_{s}=$ wing angle of attack measured from chord of root section
$\alpha_{0_{0}}=$ angle of zero lift of root section
$J=a$ factor presented in Fig. 9
The angle of zero lift for the wing $\alpha_{\sigma(L-0)}$ is obtained from

$$
\alpha_{s(L-0)}=\alpha_{L_{0}}+J \epsilon
$$

The induced-drag coefficient $C_{D_{i}}$ is given by

$$
C_{D_{i}}=\frac{C_{L}^{2}}{\pi A u}+C_{L} \epsilon a_{e} v+\left(\epsilon a_{e}\right)^{2} w
$$

where the effective section lift-curve slope $a_{e}$ is taken as the average for


Fig. 8. Chart for determining lift-curve elope.

$$
a=f \frac{a_{e}}{1+\left(57.3 a_{e} / \pi A\right)}
$$

the sections composing the wing and $u, v$, and $w$ are factors presented in Figs. 10, 11, and 12, respectively.

The drag coefficient $C_{D}$ of the wing is obtained from

$$
C_{D}=\frac{2}{S} \int_{0}^{b / 2} c_{d} c d y+C_{D_{t}}
$$

where $y=$ distance along span
$c_{d}=$ local section drag coefficient corresponding to local section lift coefficient $c_{l}$
The wing pitching-moment coefficient $C_{m_{\alpha c}}$ about an axis through the aerodynamic center is given by

$$
C_{m_{\infty}}=E c_{m_{\alpha c}}-G \epsilon a_{o} A \tan \beta
$$



Fig. 9. Chart for determining angle of attack.
$\alpha_{e}=\frac{C_{L}}{a}+\alpha_{i_{0}}+J_{\epsilon} \quad \alpha_{s}(L=0)=\alpha_{i_{0_{s}}}+J \epsilon$


Fic. 10. Chart for determining induced-drag factor $u$.

$$
C_{D_{i}}=\frac{C_{L^{2}}}{\pi A u}+C_{L e a_{0} v}+\left(e a_{0}\right)^{2} v
$$



Fig. 11. Chart for detarmining induced-drag factor $\boldsymbol{v}$.


Fig. 12. Chart for determining induced-drag factor $w$.
where the section pitching-moment coefficient $c_{m_{\infty}}$ is constant along the span. If the sections composing the wing have different pitching-moment coefficients, a weighted average may be used. $E$ and $G$ are factors presented in Figs. 13 and 14, respectively.

The longitudinal position of the aerodynamic center of the wing is given by

$$
\frac{X_{a c}}{S / b}=H A \tan \beta
$$

where $X_{a c}=$ longitudinal distance between aerodynamic center of root section and aerodynamic center of wing, positive to the rear $H=a$ factor presented in Fig. 15


Fra. 13. Chart for determining pitching moment due to section moment.
The maximum lift coefficient for the wing may be estimated from the assumption that this coefficient is reached when the local section lift coefficient at any position along the span is equal to the local maximum lift coefficient for the corresponding section. This value may be found conveniently by the process indicated by Fig. 16. Spanwise variations of the local maximum lift coefficient $c_{l_{\text {max }}}$, and of the additional $c_{L_{4}}$ and basic $c_{l_{b}}$ lift distributions are plotted. The spanwise variation of $c_{l_{\max }}-c_{L_{4}}$ is then plotted. The minimum value of the ratio

$$
\frac{c_{l_{\operatorname{lax}}}-c_{L_{0}}}{c_{l_{\mathrm{lat}}}}
$$

is then found. The minimum value of this ratio is considered to be the maximum lift coefficient of the wing.
c. Generalized Solution. Although the Glauert ${ }^{87}$ method used by Ander-
son ${ }^{10}$ requires the assumption of a linear variation of lift with angle of attack, methods of successive approximation have been developed by Sherman ${ }^{109}$ and Tani ${ }^{118}$ that permit application of the actual wing section data. Boshar ${ }^{16}$ applied Tani's method to the solution of this problem. Sivells and Neely ${ }^{111}$ have developed procedures based on this method which


Fig. 14. Chart for determining pitching moment due to basic lift forces. $C m_{l_{b}}=-G e a_{0} A \tan \beta$
can be carried out by an experienced computer and which yield highly satisfactory and complete lift, drag, and pitching-moment characteristics of wings. This method will be presented in sufficient detail to permit such calculations to be made.

The basic problem is the determination of the downwash at each point. along the span from summation of the effects of the trailing vortices. The strengths of the trailing vortices, however, are unknown, but they are intimately associated with the spanwise lift distribution which, in turn, is dependent upon the downwash. The relation between the downwash and the lift distribution as given by Prandt $1^{88}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i}=\frac{180}{\pi} \frac{b}{2 \pi} \int_{-b / 2}^{b / 2} \frac{(d / d y)\left(c_{c} c / 4 b\right) d y}{y_{1}-y} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 15. Chart for determining aerodynamic-center position.
$\frac{X_{a c}}{S / b}=H A \tan \beta$


Fig. 16. Fstimation of $\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{L}_{\max }}$ for example wing.
where $\alpha_{1}=$ angle of downwash (in degrees) at spanwise position $y_{1}$
$y=$ spanwise position, variable in the integration and measured from center line
The effective angle of attack of each section of the wing is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{0}=\alpha-\alpha_{\mathbf{t}} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{8}=$ effective angle of attack of any section (angle between chord line and local wind direction)
$\alpha=$ geometric angle of attack of any section (angle between chord line and direction of free stream)
The effective angle of attack is a function of the lift coefficient of that section $f\left(c_{l}\right)$ so that the equation for the effective angle of attack becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{e}=f\left(c_{i}\right)=\alpha-\frac{180}{\pi} \frac{b}{2 \pi} \int_{-b / 2}^{b / 2} \frac{(d / d y)\left(c_{i} c / 4 b\right) d y}{y_{1}-y} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This general integral equation must be solved to determine the downwash and span-load distribution. Equation (1.10) may be solved by assuming a span-load distribution and solving for the corresponding downwash. The load distribution corresponding to this calculated downwash is then found using Eq. (1.9) and the section data. This load distribution is compared with the assumed distribution, and a second approximation is made. The process is continued until the load and downwash distributions are compatible.

The span-load distribution is expressed, following Glauert, ${ }^{37}$ as the Fourier series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{c_{2} c}{4 b}=\Sigma A_{n} \sin n \theta \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\cos \theta=-2 y / b$
The development of this method will be limited to load distributions symmetrical about the center line. Only odd values of $n$ are therefore used. The induced angle from Eq. (1.8) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i}=\frac{180}{\pi} \frac{\sum n A_{n} \sin n \theta}{\sin \theta} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If it is assumed that values of $c_{2} c / 4 b$ are known at an even number $r$ of stations equally spaced with respect to $\theta$ in the range $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$, the coefficients $\boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ of the Fourier series

$$
\left(\frac{c_{n} c}{4 b}\right)_{m}=\sum_{n=1,3}^{r-1} A_{n} \sin n \frac{m \pi}{r}
$$

where $m=1,2,3, \ldots, r-1$ may be found by harmonic analysis as

$$
A_{n}=\sum_{m=1}^{r-1}\left(\frac{c_{r} c}{4 b}\right)_{m} \eta_{m n}
$$

where

$$
\eta_{m n}=\frac{2}{r} \sin n \frac{m \pi}{r}
$$

Because of the symmetrical relations
and

$$
\left(\frac{c_{1} c}{4 b}\right)_{m}=\left(\frac{c_{1} c}{4 b}\right)_{r-m}
$$

$$
\sin n \frac{m \pi}{r}=\sin n \frac{(r-m) \pi}{r}
$$

for odd values of $n$, the summation of $A_{n}$ needs to be made only for values of $m$ from 1 to $r / 2$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}=\sum_{m=1}^{r / 2}\left(\frac{c_{1} c}{4 b}\right)_{m} \eta_{m n} \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\eta_{m n}=\frac{4}{r} \sin n \frac{m \pi}{r} \quad \text { for } m=1,2,3, \ldots,(r / 2)-1
$$

and

$$
\eta_{m n}=\frac{2}{r} \sin n \frac{\pi}{2} \quad \text { for } m=r / 2
$$

From these relations Sivells and Neely obtained multipliers $\eta_{m n}$ which are presented in Table 3 for $r=20$. The coefficients $A_{n}$ may be obtained by multiplying the known value of $c_{l} c / 4 b$ by the appropriate multipliers and adding the resulting products.

By the substitution of the values of $A_{n}$ from Eq. (1.13) into Eq. (1.12), Sivells and Neely ${ }^{111}$ obtained the following expression for the downwash at the same stations at which $c_{c} c / b$ is known:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i_{k}}=\sum_{m=1}^{r / 2}\left(\frac{c_{1} c}{b}\right)_{m} \lambda_{m k} \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ designates the station at which $\alpha_{i}$ is to be evaluated, and $m$ is also a station designation that is variable in the summation. Values of $\lambda_{m k}$ for $r=20$ are given in Table 4. ${ }^{111}$ A similar table of values of ( $4 \pi / 180$ ) $\lambda_{m k}$ was also given by Munk. ${ }^{72}$ The induced-angle multipliers are independent of the aspect ratio and taper ratio of the wing and thus may be used for any wing whose load distribution is symmetrical.

Equation (1.14) permits the solution of Eq. (1.10) by successive approximations. For one geometric angle of attack of the wing, a lift dis-

Table 3.-Multipliers $\eta_{m n}$ for $A_{n}$ Coefficients of General Fourier Series


Table 3a. Multiplers $\frac{\pi}{4} \eta_{m 1}$ for Determination of Wing Coefficients

| $\frac{2 y}{b}$ | $\frac{\pi}{4} \eta_{\boldsymbol{m l}}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| 0 | 0.07854 |
| 0.1564 | 0.15515 |
| 0.3090 | 0.14939 |
| 0.4540 | 0.13996 |
| 0.5878 | 0.12708 |
| 0.7071 | 0.11107 |
| 0.8090 | 0.09233 |
| 0.8910 | 0.07131 |
| 0.9511 | 0.04854 |
| 0.9877 | 0.02457 |

tribution is assumed from which the load distribution $c_{c} c / b$ is obtained. The corresponding downwash is calculated from Eq. (1.14) using values of $\lambda_{m k}$ from Table 4. The downwash is subtracted from the geometric angle of attack at each station to give the effective angle of attack of the section [Eq (1.9)]. The section lift coefficients are obtained from curves of the section data plotted against the effective angle of attack $\alpha_{e}$ where

$$
\alpha_{e}=E\left(\alpha_{0}-\alpha_{\iota_{0}}\right)+\alpha_{\iota_{0}}
$$

and $E$ is the Jones ${ }^{50}$ edge-velocity correction.
If the resulting lift distribution does not agree with that assumed, a second approximation to the lift distribution is assumed and the process is repeated until the assumed and calculated lift distributions agree. The entire process must be repeated for each angle of attack for which the wing characteristics are desired. The amount of labor required to obtain the complete characteristics of a wing may be reduced by using Anderson's method ${ }^{10}$ for the range of lift coefficients where the section lift curves are substantially linear.

The wing lift coefficient is obtained by spanwise integration of the lift distribution. This process ${ }^{111}$ has been reduced to the following summation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{L}=A \sum_{m=1}^{r / 2}\left(\frac{c_{c} c}{b}\right)_{m} \frac{\pi}{4} \eta_{m 1} \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The multipliers $\pi / 4 \eta_{m 1}$ are equal to $\pi / 4$ times the multipliers for $A$ and are presented in Table $3 a$ for $r=20$.

The section induced-drag coefficient is equal to the product of the section lift coefficient and the downwash in radians.

$$
c_{d_{i}}=\frac{\pi c_{l} \alpha_{i}}{180}
$$


theory of wing sections

The wing induced-drag coefficient may be obtained by means of a spanwise integration of the section induced-drag coefficients multiplied by the local chord. As in the case of the lift coefficient, this process has been reduced to the following summation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{D_{i}}=\frac{\pi A}{180} \sum_{m=1}^{r / 2}\left(\frac{c_{1} C}{b} \alpha_{i}\right)_{m} \frac{\pi}{4} \eta_{m 1} \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The section profile drag coefficient can be obtained from the section data for the appropriate wing section and lift coefficient. The wing profile drag coefficient may be obtained by means of a spanwise integration of the section profile drag coefficient multiplied by the local chord. This process has again been reduced to the following summation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{D_{0}}=\sum_{m=1}^{r / 2}\left(c_{d_{0}} \frac{c}{\bar{c}}\right)_{m} \frac{\pi}{4} \eta_{m 1} \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{c}=$ mean geometric chord $S / b$
The section pitching-moment coefficient can be obtained from the section data for the appropriate wing section and lift coefficient. For each spanwise station the pitching-moment coefficient is transferred to the wing reference point by the equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{m}=c_{m_{\alpha}}-\frac{x}{c}\left[c_{l} \cos \left(\alpha_{s}-\alpha_{i}\right)+c_{d_{b}} \sin \left(\alpha_{s}-\alpha_{i}\right)\right] \\
&-\frac{z}{c}\left[c_{l} \sin \left(\alpha_{s}-\alpha_{i}\right)-c_{d_{b}} \cos \left(\alpha_{s}-\alpha_{i}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{c}_{\boldsymbol{m}}=$ section pitching-moment coefficient about wing reference point $\alpha_{s}=$ geometric angle of attack of root section
$x=$ projected distance in plane of symmetry from wing reference point to aerodynamic center of wing section, measured parallel to chord of root section, positive to the rear
$z=$ projected distance in plane of symmetry from wing reference point to aerodynamic center of wing section, measured perpendicular to root chord, positive upward.
The wing pitching-moment coefficient may be obtained by spanwise integration by use of the multipliers previously used.

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{m}=\sum_{m=1}^{r / 2}\left(\frac{c_{m} c^{2}}{\bar{c} c^{\prime}}\right)_{m} \frac{\pi}{4} \eta_{m 1} \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c^{\prime}=$ mean aerodynamic chord, $2 / S \int_{0}^{b / 2} c^{2} d y$
1.5. Applicability of Section Data. The applicability of section data to the prediction of the aerodynamic characteristics of wings is limited by the simplifying assumptions made in the development of wing theory. It is assumed, for instance, that each section acts independently of its neighboring sections except for the induced downwash. Strict compliance with this assumption would require two-dimensional flow, that is, no variation of section, chord, or lift along the span.

Such spanwise variations result in spanwise components of flow. If these components are small, the sections act nearly independently. Comparatively small spanwise variations of pressure, however, tend to produce large crossflows in the boundary layer. The air adjacent to the surface has lost most of its momentum and therefore tends to flow directly toward the region of lowest pressure rather than in the stream direction. These crossflows become particularly marked under conditions approaching separation. The flow of this low-energy air from one section to another tends to delay separation in some places and to promote it in others, with the result that the wing characteristics may depart seriously from the calculated ones.

In the development of the lifting-line theory, it was assumed that the effect of the trailing vortices was to change the local angle of attack, neglecting any change of downwash along the chord of the section. This variation of downwash is not negligible for sections close to a strong trailing vortex. Strong concentrations of the trailing vortices are obtained whenever a large spanwise variation of lift occurs. Consequently the sections are operating in a curved as well as a rotated flow field whenever the spanwise variation of lift is not small. This curved flow field may be interpreted as an effective change in camber which is not considered in ordinary liftingline theories. This difficulty is avoided by lifting-surface theory, the treatment of which is outside the scope of this volume.

Experience has shown that usable results are obtained from lifting-line theory if no spanwise discontinuities or rapid changes of section, chord, or twist are present, and if the wing has no pronounced sweep. These conditions are obviously not satisfied near the wing tips, near the extremities of partial span flaps or deflected ailerons, near cutouts and large fillets, or if the wing is partly stalled. Since the assumed conditions are not satisfied near the- wing tips, it is obvious that section data-are not applicable to wings of low aspect ratio. In fact, an entirely different theory ${ }^{51}$ applies to wings of very low aspect ratio. The ordinary threedimensional wing theories are obviously not applicable at supercritical speeds when the velocity of sound is exceeded anywhere in the field of flow.

Despite these limitations, wing-section data have a wide field of application to wings of the aspect ratios and plan forms customarily used for airplanes flying at sulacritical speeds. The lift, drag, and moment
characteristics of such wings may be estimated from the section data with a fair degree of accuracy by Anderson's methods ${ }^{10}$ and with a high degree of accuracy by the method of Sivells and Neely. ${ }^{111}$ The order of accuracy


Fis. 17. Calculated and measured characteristics of a wing of aspect ratio 10 with NACA 44-series sections.


Fig. 18. Experimental and calculated characteristics of a wing having NACA 64-210 airfoil sections, 2-deg washout, aspect ratio of 9 , and taper ratio of 2.5 . $R=4,400,000 ; M \approx 0.17$.
to be expected from predictions made by the latter method ${ }^{74,110}$ is indicated by Figs. 17 to 20. The three-dimensional wing characteristics were obtained from tests in the NACA 19 -foot pressure tunnel. The predicted characteristics were based on section data obtained in the NACA two-dimensional low-turbulence pressure tunnel. ${ }^{133}$ The agreement between the ex-


Fic. 19. Experimental and calculated characteristics of a wing having NACA 65-210 airfoil sections, 2-deg washout, aspect ratio of 9, and taper ratio of 2.5 . $R=4,400,000 ; M \approx 0.17$.


Fig. 20. Experimental and calculated characteristics of a wing having NACA 65-210 airfoil sertions, 0 -deg washout, aspect ratio of 9 , and taper ratio of 2.5 . $R \approx 4,400,000 ; M \approx 0.17$.
perimental and predicted characteristics is so good that the utmost care is required in model construction and experimental technique to obtain empirical wing characteristics as reliable as those predicted from the section data.

## CHAPTER 2

## SIMPLE TWO-DIMENSIONAL FLOWS

### 2.1. Symbols.

H total pressure
$K$ nondimensional circulation, $\Gamma / 2 \pi a V$
$S$ pressure coefficient, $(H-p) / 12_{2} \rho V^{2}$
$V$ resultant or free-stream velocity
$X$ component of force in the $x$ direction
$Y$ component of force in the $y$ direction
$Z$ component of force in the $z$ direction
$a$ constant
a $\sqrt{\frac{\mu}{2 \pi V}}$
$b$ constant
c constant
$c^{\prime}$ constant
' constant
e base of Naperian logarithm, 2.71828
section lift
n logarithm to the base e
$n$ source strength per unit length
p pressure
$r$ radial coordinate
s position of source on $x$ axis
$t$ time
$u$ component of velocity in the $x$ direction
$u^{\prime}$ radial component of velocity
$v$ component of velocity in the $y$ direction
$\boldsymbol{v}^{\prime}$ tangential component of velocity, positive counterclockwise
w component of velocity in the $z$ direction
$x$ length in Cartesian coordinates
$y$ length in Cartesian coordinates
$z$ length in Cartesian coordinates
$r$ circulation, positive clockwise
$\theta$ angular coordinate, positive counterclockwise
$\phi$ velocity potential
$\psi$ stream function
$\mu$ doublet strength, 2 ms
$\pi$ ratio of the circumference of a circle to its diameter
$p$ mass density of air
$\omega$ angular velocity, positive clockwise
2.2. Introduction. A considerable body of aerodynamic theory has been developed with which it is possible to calculate some of the important
characteristics of wing sections. Conversely it is possible to design wing sections to have certain desirable aerodynamic characteristics. The purpose of this chapter is to review the basic fluid mechanics necessary for understanding the theory of wing sections.
2.3. Concept of a Perfect Fluid. The concept of a perfect fluid is an important simplification in fluid mechanics. The perfect fluid is considered to be a continuous homogeneous medium within which no shearing stresses can exist. For the purpose of this chapter the perfect fluid is also considered to be incompressible.

The assumption of zero shearing stresses, or zero viscosity, eliminates the possibility of obtaining any information about the drag of wing sections or about the separation of the flow from the surface. This assumption is very useful, nevertheless, because it simplifies the equations of motion that otherwise cannot generally be solved and because the resulting solutions represent reasonable approximations to many actual flows. In all cases under consideration, the viscous forces are small compared with the inertia forces except in the layer of fluid adjacent to the surface. The direct effects of viscosity are negligible except in this layer, and viscosity has little effect on the general flow pattern unless the local effects are such as to make the flow separate from the surface.

The assumption of incompressibility also results in simplified solutions that are reasonable approximations to actual flows except at high speeds. Although gases such as air are compressible, the relative change in density occurring in a field of flow is small if the variation of pressure is smell compared with the absolute pressure. This assumption leads to increasingly important discrepancies as the local velocity anywhere in the field of flow approaches the velocity of sound. The effects of compressibility will be discussed in Chap. 9.
2.4. Equations of Motion. One of the fundamental conditions that must be satisfied is that no fluid can be created or destroyed within the field of flow considered. This condition means that the amount of fluid entering any small element of volume must equal the amount of fluid leaving the element. For an incompressible fluid the amount of fluid may be measured by its volume. The equation of continuity, expressing this condition, may be derived from the following considerations.

Figure 21 shows a small element of volume having dimensions $d x, d y$, and $d z$. The components of velocity along each of the three axes $x, y$, and $z$ are $u, v$, and $w$. The volume of fluid entering across each of the faces of the element of volume, perpendicular to the $x, y$, and $z$ axes, respectively, is

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
u d y d z & \text { entering } y z \text { face } \\
v d z d x & \text { entering } z x \text { face } \\
w d x d y & \text { entering } x y \text { face }
\end{array}
$$

To the first order of small quantities, the amount of fluid leaving the element of volume across the corresponding opposing faces is

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left(u+\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} d x\right) d y d z & \text { leaving } y z \text { face } \\
\left(v+\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} d y\right) d z d x & \text { leaving } z x \text { face } \\
\left(w+\frac{\partial w}{\partial z} d z\right) d x d y & \text { leaving } x y \text { face }
\end{array}
$$



Fig. 21. Element of volume considered in derivation of equation of continuity.
The condition of continuity requires that the volume of fluid leaving the element must be equal to the volume entering. Hence the equation of continuity for an incompressible fluid is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial w}{\partial z}=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The other fundamental condition to be satisfied is that the motion of the fluid must be in accordance with Newton's laws of motion. This condition may be stated as follows:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
X=\rho \frac{D u}{D t} d x d y d z  \tag{2.2}\\
Y=\rho \frac{D v}{D t} d x d y d z \\
Z=\rho \frac{D w}{D t} d x d y d z
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $X, Y, Z=$ components of force on the element of fluid $d x d y d z$ in the $x, y$, and $z$ directions, respectively
$t=$ time
and the differentiations are performed following the motion of the element.

For axes stationary with respect to the observer, the components of velocity $u, v$, and $w$ are, in general, functions of $t, x, y$, and $z$. The total derivatives given in Eqs. (2.2) may therefore be written

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+w \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}=\frac{D u}{D t} \\
& \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}+u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}+v \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}+w \frac{\partial v}{\partial z}=\frac{D v}{D t} \\
& \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}+u \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}+v \frac{\partial w}{\partial y}+w \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}=\frac{D w}{D t}
\end{aligned}
$$

If gravitational forces are neglected, the only forces acting on the element of volume are normal pressure forces. Let $p d y d z$ be the force acting on the face of the element (Fig. 21) in the $y z$ plane. Then to the first order $[p+(\partial p / \partial x) d x] d y d z$ will be the force acting on the opposite face. The resultant force on the element in the $x$ direction is therefore

$$
X=-\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} d x d y d z
$$

Similarly the resultant forces in the $y$ and $z$ directions are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y=-\frac{\partial p}{\partial y} d y d z d x \\
& Z=-\frac{\partial p}{\partial z} d z d x d y
\end{aligned}
$$

The equations stating that the motion of the fluid is in accordance with Newton's laws may be written in the following form by substituting the foregoing expressions for the forces and accelerations in Eqs. (2.2)

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
-\frac{\partial p}{\partial x}=\rho\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+w \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}\right) \\
-\frac{\partial p}{\partial y}=\rho\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}+u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}+v \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}+w \frac{\partial v}{\partial z}\right)  \tag{2.3}\\
-\frac{\partial p}{\partial z}=\rho\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}+u \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}+v \frac{\partial w}{\partial y}+w \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}\right)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

If we consider only steady motion, the derivatives with respect to time are equal to zero. In this case the differential equations for the path of an element are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d x}{u}=\frac{d y}{v}=\frac{d z}{w} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

These equations merely state that the displacement along any axis is proportional to the component of velocity along that axis. Preparatory to
integrating Eqs. (2.3) along the path of motion for steady flow, we shall multiply the equations for the $x, y$, and $z$ components by $d x, d y$, and $d z$, respectively. For example,

$$
-\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} d x=\rho\left(u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} d x+v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} d x+w \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} d x\right)
$$

According to Eqs. (2.4), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v d x=u d y \\
& w d x=u d z
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
-\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} d x=\rho\left(u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} d x+u \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} d y+u \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} d z\right)=\rho d\left(\frac{1}{2} u^{2}\right)
$$

Similarly

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{\partial p}{\partial y} d y=\rho d\left(\frac{1}{2} v^{2}\right) \\
& -\frac{\partial p}{\partial z} d z=\rho d\left(\frac{1}{2} w^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Adding the three foregoing relations, we have

$$
-d p=\frac{\rho}{2} d\left(u^{2}+v^{2}+w^{2}\right)=\frac{\rho}{2} d\left(V^{2}\right)
$$

where $V=$ magnitude of velocity.
Integrating this equation gives Bernoulli's equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
p+1 / 2 \rho V^{2}=H \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H$, the constant of integration, is the total pressure.
The application of Eq. (2.5) is limited by the assumptions made in its derivation, namely,

1. Perfect incompressible fluid.
2. Steady motion.
3. Integration along path of motion (streamline). It follows that this equation may be applied only along streamlines in unaccelerated flow where the effects of viscosity and compressibility are negligible.
2.5. Description of Flow Patterns. It is evident from the foregoing equations that the flow pattern would be completely determined if the values of $u, v$, and $w$ were known at every point. The theory of wing sections assumes that the flow is two-dimensional, that is, $w=0$. Even with this simplification, it is still necessary to specify both the components of velocity $u$ and $v$ to define the flow. By the use of the equation of continuity (2.1) it is possible to simplify the problem further so that it is necessary to specify only a single quantity at each point to determine the flow pattern.

Consider the flow across the arbitrary line oba that connects the origin $o$ with a point $a$ (Fig. 22). The amount of flow across this line will be the same as that across any other line connecting the two points, for example, line oca, provided that the equation of continuity holds for all points within the region enclosed by the two lines. With a fixed origin o the amount of fluid crossing any line joining the points $o$ and $a$ is therefore a function only of the position of the point $a$ for a given flow pattern. The amount of fluid passing between the points $o$ and $a$ is given by the following expression

or

$$
\psi=\int_{0}^{a} u d y-v d x
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \psi=u d y-v d x \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because the value of $\psi$ is independent of the path of integration, Eq. (2.6) remains valid even though $d x$ and $d y$ are varied independently. The general expression for the total differential of $\psi$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \psi=\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} d x+\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \dot{y}} d y \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation is also valid for independent variations of $d x$ and $d y$. The coefficients of $d x$ and $d y$ in Eqs. (2.6) and (2.7) must therefore be equal, or

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
u=\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}  \tag{2.8}\\
v=-\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

A single function $\psi$ has therefore been found by means of which it is possible to define both components of velocity at all points in the field of flow. This function $\psi$ is called the "stream function." Lines in the flow along which $\psi$ is constant are called "streamlines," and these lines are the paths of motion of fluid elements for steady motion.

In general, the component of velocity in any direction may be obtained by differentiating the stream function in a direction $90^{\circ}$ counterclockwise to the component desired. In polar coordinates, therefore, the expressions for the radial and tangential components of velocity are

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ll}
u^{\prime}=\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta} & \text { radial }  \tag{2.9}\\
v^{\prime}=-\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} & \text { tangential }
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Most flows of a perfect fluid are of the type known as "irrotational motion." In irrotational motion the fluid elements move with translation only. Their angular velocity is zero. This absence of angular velocity does not, of course, prevent the elements from moving in curved paths. To obtain expressions for the angular velocity of an element in terms of the velocity derivatives, consider the motion of a solid disk. If we assume clockwise motion to be positive, the angular velocity of the disk may be expressed as (Fig. 23)

$$
\omega=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right)
$$

The expression

$$
\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right)
$$


is called "vorticity." The vorticity is seen to be twice the angular velocity.
The integral of the tangential component of velocity around any closed curve is defined as the circulation. A simple relation exists between the circulation around a curve and the vorticity over the area enclosed by the curve. Consider the small element shown in Fig. 24.

$$
d \Gamma=v d y+\left(u+\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} d y\right) d x-\left(v+\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} d x\right) d y-u d x
$$

or

$$
d \Gamma=\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right) d x d y
$$

That is, the element of circulation is equal to the vorticity multiplied by the element of area. For any finite area, the


Fig. 24. Calculation of circulation about element of area. circulation is given by the following expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma=\iint\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right) d x d y \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The angular velocity of any element can be changed only by the application of tangential (shearing) forces which, by definition, are absent in a perfect fluid. It follows that, if the flow is once irrotational, it will remain irrotational and the circulation around any closed path will equal zero. If the flow is not irrotational, the circulation around any path moving with the fluid will remain constant.

If the flow is irrotational, it is possible to derive a second quantity which, like the stream function, can be used to describe the flow pattern completely. Consider the flow field indicated in Fig. 25. The line integral of the velocity over the path oap must be equal to the line integral of the velocity over the path $o b p$ if the motion is irrotational in the region between the two paths. The value of this integral, called the "velocity potential $\phi$," therefore depends only on the position of the point $p$ relative to the origin $o$. The value of the velocity potential is

$$
\phi=\int_{0}^{p} u d x+v d y
$$

$d \phi=V \cos \alpha d s$
Fra. 25. Definition of velocity potential.
or

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \phi=u d x+v d y \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By a process of reasoning similar to that previously given for the stream function, we obtain

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
u & =\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}  \tag{2.12}\\
v & =\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

In general, the component of velocity in any direction may be obtained by differentiating the velocity potential in the direction of the desired component. This property of the velocity potential makes it particularly useful for the study of three-dimensional flows. In polar coordinates, the expressions for the radial and tangential components of velocity are

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ll}
u^{\prime}=\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} & \text { radial }  \tag{2.13}\\
v^{\prime}=\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \theta} & \text { tangential }
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The equation of continuity for two-dimensional flow

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}=0 \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

assumes a particularly simple form when expressed in terms of the velocity potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial y^{2}}=0 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation is Laplace's equation in two dimensions.
The equation stating that the flow is irrotational is

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}=0
$$

When written in terms of the stream function, this equation becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x^{2}}=0 \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In writing Eq. (2.15), it is implicit in the definition of $\phi$ that the motion is irrotational and the equation itself states that no fluid is being created or destroyed. In writing Eq. (2.16), it is implicit in the definition of $\psi$ that no fluid is being created or destroyed, and the equation itself states that the flow is irrotational. Equations (2.15) and (2.16) impose rather general conditions on functions chosen to represent actual flow patterns. Any function of $\phi$ or $\psi$ satisfying Eqs. (2.15) or (2.16) represents a possible flow.

It can be seen from Eqs. (2.8) and (2.12) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u=\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \\
& v=\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}=-\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}
\end{aligned}
$$

These equations indicate that lines along which $\phi$ is constant intersect lines along which $\psi$ is constant at right angles.

Because Eqs. (2.15) and (2.16) are linear, functions of $\phi$ or $\psi$ representing possible flow patterns may be added to obtain new flow patterns. This method of obtaining new flow patterns by superposition of known flows is fundamental to the theory of wing sections because it leads to simple solutions of complicated problems.
2.6. Simple Two-dimensional Flows. A few simple_flows upon which the theory of wing sections is based are described in this section.
a. Uniform Stream. Consider the functions
and

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\psi=b y-a x  \tag{2.17}\\
\phi=b x+a y
\end{array}\right\}
$$

which satisfy Eqs. (2.15) and (2.16). The component of velocity along the $x$ axis is

$$
u=\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}=\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}=b
$$

The component of velocity along the $y$ axis is

$$
v=-\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}=a
$$

Equations (2.17) therefore represent a uniform field of flow having a velocity $V$ equal to $\sqrt{a^{2}+b^{2}}$ inclined to the $x$ axis at an angle whose tangent is $a / b$.
b. Sources and Sinks. The concept of sources and sinks is one of the building blocks used to construct desired flow patterns. A source is considered to be a point at which fluid is being created at a given rate. A sink
is a point at which fluid is being destroyed. The flow about a point source or sink is assumed to be uniform in all directions and to obey the equations of continuity and of irrotational motion everywhere except at the point itself. In two-dimensional motion, the flow is assumed to be the same in all planes perpendicular to the $z$ axis. The point source in two-dimensional motion is therefore a line parallel to the $z$ axis from which fluid emanates at a uniform rate throughout its infinite length. In accordance with these assumptions, the radial component of velocity $u^{\prime}$ at any point at a distance $r$ from a source creating fluid at a rate $m$ per unit length perpendicular to the plane of flow may be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}=\frac{m}{2 \pi r} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because the sink is simply a negative source, the equation for the radial component of velocity is the same as Eq. (2.18) except that the velocity is directed toward the sink. The tangential component of velocity resulting from a source or sink is zero.

Taking the origin at the source we have
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
u & =u^{\prime} \cos \theta \\
v & =u^{\prime} \sin \theta \\
r & =\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cos \theta=\frac{x}{\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}} \\
& \sin \theta=\frac{y}{\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting in Eq. (2.8), we have

$$
u=\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}=\frac{m x}{2 \pi\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}
$$

Integration of this expression with respect to $y$ results in the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=\frac{m}{2 \pi} \tan ^{-1} \frac{y}{x} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The same expression may be obtained by a corresponding process using the expression for $v$ and integrating with respect to $x$. It is seen that $\psi$ is a multiple-valued function of $x$ and $y$. This peculiarity results from the fact that the equation of continuity is not valid at the source itself.

The expression for the flow from a point source in terms of the velocity potential $\phi$ may be obtained as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}=\frac{m x}{2 \pi\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)} \\
& \phi=\frac{m}{2 \pi} \ln \sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}} \tag{2.20}
\end{align*}
$$

From Eqs. (2.19) and (2.20) it is seen that the streamlines for a source situated at the origin are radial lines and that the equipotential lines are circles about the origin.
c. Doublets. A doublet is the limiting case of the flow about a single source and a sink of equal strength that approach each other in such a manner that the product of the source strength and the distance between the source and sink remains constant. The axis of the doublet is the line joining the source and sink. To obtain expressions for the flow about a doubtlet, consider the arrangement of a source and a sink shown in Fig. 26. The source and sink are situated on the $x$ axis at $-s$ and $s$, respectively. The flow resulting from the combination is

$$
\psi=\frac{m}{2 \pi}\left(\tan ^{-1} \frac{y}{x+s}-\tan ^{-1} \frac{y}{x-s}\right)
$$

Since

$$
\tan ^{-1} a-\tan ^{-1} b=\tan ^{-1} \frac{a-b}{1+a^{\prime}}
$$

we have

$$
\psi=\frac{m}{2 \pi} \tan ^{-1} \frac{-2 y s}{x^{2}+y^{2}-s^{2}}
$$



Fig. 26. Streamlines of flow for a source and sink.

For values of $s$ small with respect to $x$ and $y$,

$$
\psi=\frac{m}{2 \pi}\left(\frac{-2 y s}{x^{2}+y^{2}}\right)
$$

If the product of $m$ and $s$ remains constant as $s$ approaches zero,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=-\frac{\mu y}{2 \pi\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu=2 \mathrm{~ms}$
d. Circular Cylinder in a Uniform Stream. The flow about a circular cylinder in a uniform stream is obtained by superposing a uniform stream [Eq. (2.17)] on the flow about a doublet [Eq. (2.21)] with the uniform stream flowing from the source to the sink.

$$
\psi=y\left(V-\frac{\mu}{2 \pi\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}\right)
$$

This equation may be written as follows in terms of polar coordinates:
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=\operatorname{Vr}\left(1-\frac{a^{2}}{r^{2}}\right) \sin \theta \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^{2} & =\mu / 2 \pi V \\
r^{2} & =x^{2}+y^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is obvious from Eq. (2.22) that part of the streamline $\psi=0$ is the circle $r=a$. At large distances from the origin the flow is uniform and parallel to the $x$ axis. Equation (2.22) therefore represents the flow about a circular cylinder in a uniform stream. The streamlines for this flow pattern are shown in Fig. 27.

The velocity distribution about the cylinder may be obtained by finding the tangential component of velocity on the circle $r=a$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=-\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r}=-V\left(1+\frac{a^{2}}{r^{2}}\right) \sin \theta=-2 V \sin \theta \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 27. Streamlines for the flow about a circular cylinder in a uniform stream.
Because the circle $r=a$ is a streamline, we may apply Bernoulli's equation (2.5) to obtain the pressure distribution about the cylinder.

$$
p+1 / 2 \rho\left(4 V^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta\right)=H
$$

If we define a pressure coefficient $S$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{H-p}{\frac{1}{2} p V^{2}} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

the distribution of $S$ over the surface of the cylinder is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=4 \sin ^{2} \theta \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integration of the pressure distribution over the surface of the cylinder will show that the resultant force is zero.
e. Vortex. A vortex is a flow pattern in which the elements of fluid follow circular paths about a point, and the flow is irrotational at all points except the center. The equations defining the flow pattern may be derived directly from Laplace's equation for the stream function. Equation (2.16) may be written as follows in terms of polar coordinates: ${ }^{30}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial \theta^{2}}=0 \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because the radial component of velocity is zero,

$$
\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta}=0
$$

and hence

$$
\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial \theta^{2}}=0
$$

Equation (2.26) therefore becomes

$$
\frac{d^{2} \psi}{d r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{d \psi}{d r}=0
$$

Integrating once with respect to $r$ we obtain

$$
\ln \frac{d \psi}{d r}+\ln r=c
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \psi}{d r}=\frac{c^{\prime}}{r}=\frac{\Gamma}{2 \pi r}=-v^{\prime} \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant of integration $c^{\prime}$ is assigned the value $\Gamma / 2 \pi$. The velocity therefore varies inversely with the distance from the center of the vortex. The circulation $\Gamma$ about the vortex is equal to $-2 \pi r v^{\prime}$. The circulation is considered to be positive in the clockwise direction, whereas $v^{\prime}$ is positive in the counterclockwise direction. The stream function may be obtained by integration of Eq. (2.27)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=\frac{\Gamma}{2 \pi} \ln r+c^{\prime \prime} \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 28. Equilibrium conditions for a vortex.

The pressure in the field of flow may be calculated from the condition that the pressure gradients must maintain the fluid elements in equilibrium with the accelerations (d'Alembert's principle). The centrifugal force acting on each element must be (Fig. 28)

$$
-\frac{\rho v^{\prime 2}}{r} r d \theta d r
$$

The pressure force acting on the element is

$$
\left[p-\left(p+\frac{d p}{d r} d r\right)\right] r d \theta
$$

Equating the pressure and acceleration forces, we have

$$
\frac{\rho v^{\prime 2}}{r}=\frac{d p}{d r}
$$

Substituting the value of $v^{\prime}$ from Eq. (2.27) gives

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\rho \Gamma^{2}}{4 \pi^{2} r^{3}}=\frac{d p}{d r} \\
p=-\frac{\rho \Gamma^{2}}{8 \pi^{2} r^{2}}+H
\end{gathered}
$$

where $H=$ constant of integration
This equation may be written in the form

$$
p+1 / 2 \rho v^{\prime 2}=H
$$

which shows that Bernoulli's equation may be applied throughout the field of flow.


Fig. 29. Streamlines for the flow about a circular cylinder in a uniform stream with circulation corresponding to a wing-section lift coefficient of 0.6.
f. Circular Cylinder with Circulation. The flow pattern represented by a circular cylinder with circulation is the basic flow pattern from which the flow about wing sections of arbitrary shape at various angles of attack is calculated. Such a flow pattern is obtained by superposing the flow produced by a point vortex upon the flow about a circular cylinder. Adding the flows corresponding to Eqs. (2.22) and (2.28), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=\operatorname{Vr}\left(1-\frac{a^{2}}{r^{2}}\right) \sin \theta+\frac{\Gamma}{2 \pi} \ln \frac{r}{a} \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant $c^{\prime \prime}$ in Eq. (2.28) has been taken equal to

$$
\frac{-\Gamma}{2 \pi} \ln a
$$

A typical flow pattern for a moderate value of the circulation $\Gamma$ is given in Fig. 29.

The velocity distribution about the cylinder is found by differentiating the expression for the stream function [Eq. (2.29)] as follows:

$$
\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r}=V\left(1+\frac{a^{2}}{r^{2}}\right) \sin \theta+\frac{\Gamma}{2 \pi r}
$$

The tangential component of velocity $v^{\prime}$ (positive counterclockwise) at the surface of the cylinder is obtained from Eq. (2.9) and the substitution of $r=a$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{\prime}=-2 V \sin \theta+\frac{\Gamma}{2 \pi a} \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is seen that the addition of the circulation $\Gamma$ moves the points of zero velocity (stagnation points) from the positions $\theta=0$ and $\pi$ to the positions

$$
\theta=\sin ^{-1} \frac{\Gamma}{4 \pi a V}
$$

The pressure distribution about the cylinder may be found by applying Bernoulli's equation (2.5) along the streamline $\psi=0$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
p+\frac{1}{2} \rho\left(4 V^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta-\frac{2 V \Gamma \sin \theta}{\pi a}+\frac{\Gamma^{2}}{4 \pi^{2} a^{2}}\right)=H \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Gamma}{2 \pi a V}=K \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

the pressure coefficient $S$ [Eq. (2.24)] becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=4 \sin ^{2} \theta-4 K \sin \theta+K^{2} \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The symmetry of Eq. (2.33) about the line $\theta=\pi / 2$ shows that there can be no drag force. The lift on the cylinder can be obtained by integration, over the surface, of the components of pressure normal to the stream.

$$
\begin{aligned}
l & =1 / 2 \rho V^{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} S a \sin \theta d \theta \\
& =1 / 2 \rho V^{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(4 a \sin ^{3} \theta-4 a K \sin ^{2} \theta+a K^{2} \sin \theta\right) d \theta \\
l & =1 / 2 \rho V^{2} a k[2 \theta-\sin 2 \theta]_{0}^{2 \pi} \\
l & =2 \rho V^{2} a k \pi=\rho V \Gamma
\end{aligned}
$$

It can be shown that the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
l=\rho V \Gamma \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid regardless of the shape of the body. ${ }^{61}$

## CHAPTER 3

## THEORY OF WING SECTIONS OF FINITE THICKNESS

### 3.1. Symbols.

$A_{n}, B_{n}$ coefficients of the transformation from $x^{\prime}$ to $x$
$H$ total pressure
$S$ pressure coefficient ( $H-p$ )/1/2p $V^{2}$
$V$ velocity of free stream
a radius of circular cylinder
$c_{l}$ section lift coefficient
$e$ base of Naperian logarithms, 2.71828
$i \sqrt{-1}$
In logarithm to the base $e$
$m$ source strength per unit length
p $\quad 1-\left(\frac{x}{2 a}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{y}{2 a}\right)^{2}$
$p$ local static pressure
$r$ radius vector of $z$ (modulus)
$u$ component of velocity along $x$ axis
$v$ component of velocity along $y$ axis
$v$ local velocity at any point on the surface of the wing section
w complex variable
$x$ Cartesian coordinate
$x$ real part of the complex variable $z$ or $\zeta$
$y$ Cartesian coordinate
$y$ magnitude of the imaginary part of the complex variable $z$
$z$ complex variable
$z^{\prime}$ complex variable in the near-circle plane
$z^{*}$ complex variable for the flow about a circle whose center is shifted from the center of coordinates
$\Gamma$ circulation, positive clockwise
$\alpha_{0}$ section angle of attack
$\epsilon$ distance of the center of the circle from the center of coordinates
5 complex variable
$\eta$ magnitude of the imaginary part of the complex variable $\zeta$
$\theta$ angular coordinate of the complex variable $z$ (argument)
$\theta$ angular coordinate of $z^{\prime}$ (see Fig. 32)
$\lambda a e^{\lambda}$ is the radius vector of $z$
$\mu$ doublet strength per unit length
$\xi$ real part of the complex variable $\zeta$
$\pi$ ratio of the circumference of a circle to its diameter
$p$ mass density of air
$\phi$ real part of the complex variable $w$
$\phi$ potential function

```
\varphi angular coordinate of z
m magnitude of the imaginary part of the complex variable w
stream function
\psi ae l
\psio average value of \psi
\infty}\mathrm{ infinity
```

3.2. Introduction. It was shown in Chap. 2 that the field of flow about a circular cylinder with circulation in a uniform stream is known. It is possible to relate this field of flow to that about an arbitrary wing section by means of conformal mapping. In relating these fields of flow, the circulation is selected to satisfy the Kutta condition that the velocity at the trailing edge of the section must be finite. Such characteristics as the lift and pressure distribution may then be determined from the known flow about the circular cylinder. The resulting theory permits the approximate calculation of the angle of zero lift, the moment coefficient, the pressure distribution, and the field of flow about the section under conditions where the flow adheres closely to the surface.
3.3. Complex Variables. Conformal mapping, which makes the calculation of wing-section characteristics possible, depends on the use of complex variables. A complex number is a number composed of two parts, a real part and a so-called imaginary part. The real part is just an ordinary number that may have any value. The imaginary part contains the factor $\sqrt{-1}$, which is given the symbol $i$. A complex variable $z$ may therefore be written in the form

$$
z=x+i y
$$

where the symbols in the expression $x+i y$ obey all the usual rules of algebra. It should be remembered that $i^{2}$ is equal to - 1 . Such a variable $z$ can be represented conveniently by plotting the real part $x$ as the abscissa of a point and the magnitude $y$ of the imaginary part as the ordinate. By De Moivre's theorem, $z$ may also be written in the form

$$
z=r e^{i \theta}
$$

where $r$ and $\theta$ are the polar coordinates.
Let us consider the complex variable

$$
w=\phi+i \psi
$$

and let $w=f(z)$, that is,

$$
\phi+i \psi=f(x+i y)
$$

Then

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial x^{2}}+i \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x^{2}}=f_{x x}(x+i y)=f^{\prime \prime}(z)
$$

and

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial y^{2}}+i \frac{\partial^{2} \dot{\psi}}{\partial y^{2}}=f_{y y}(x+i y)=-f^{\prime \prime}(z)
$$

adding, we have

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial y^{2}}+i\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial y^{2}}\right)=0
$$

In any equation involving complex variables, the real and imaginary parts must be equal to each other independently. Therefore

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial y^{2}}=0
$$

and

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial y^{2}}=0
$$

Because these equations are the same as those of Eqs. (2.15) and (2.16), any differentiable function

$$
w=f(z)
$$

where

$$
w=\phi+i \psi
$$

and

$$
z=x+i y
$$

may be interpreted as a possible case of irrotational fluid motion by giving $\phi$ and $\psi$ the meaning of velocity potential and stream function, respectively.

The derivative $d w_{i}^{\prime} d z$ has a simple meaning in terms of the velocities in the field of flow.

$$
\begin{aligned}
d w & =d \phi+i d \psi \\
d z & =d x+i d y
\end{aligned}
$$

Further

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d \phi=\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} d x+\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} d y \\
& d \psi=\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} d x+\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} d y
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\frac{d w}{d z}=\frac{[(\partial \phi / \partial x) d x+(\partial \phi / \partial y) d y]+i[(\partial \psi / \partial x) d x+(\partial \psi / \partial y) d y]}{d x+i d y}
$$

In order for $d w / d z$ to have a definite meaning, it is necessary that the value of $d w^{\prime} d z$ be independent of the manner with which $d z$ approaches zero. Iî $d y$ is assumed to be zero, the value of the differential quotient $d w / d z$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}+i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}=u-i v=\frac{d w}{d z} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

according to Eqs. (2.8) and (2.12). Similarly, if $d x$ is assumed to be zero, the value of the differential quotient $d w / d z$ is

$$
\frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}=-i v+u
$$

The expressions for simple two-dimensional flows given in Sec. 2.6 may be expressed conveniently in terms of complex variables.
Uniform stream parallel to $x$ axis

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=V z \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Source at the origin

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=\frac{m}{2 \pi} \ln z \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Doublet at origin with axis along $x$ axis

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=\frac{\mu}{2 \pi z} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Circular cylinder of radius $a$ in a uniform stream

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=V\left(z+\frac{a^{2}}{z}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Vortex at origin

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=\frac{i \Gamma}{2 \pi} \ln z \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Circular cylinder with circulation

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=V\left(z+\frac{a^{2}}{z}\right)+\frac{i \Gamma}{2 \pi} \ln \frac{z}{a} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

3.4. Conformal Transformations. A conformal transformation consists in mapping a region of one plane on another plane in such a manner that the detailed shape of infinitesimal elements of area is not changed. This restriction does not mean that the shape of finite areas cannot be considerably altered. It has been shown previously that the equipotential lines and streamlines intersect at right angles, thus dividing the field of flow into a large number of small rectangles. It has also been shown that the equation

$$
w=f(z)
$$

represents a possible flow pattern. The equation

$$
w=g(\zeta)
$$

represents another flow pattern where $\zeta$ is the complex variable

$$
\xi+i \eta
$$

The coordinates in the $z$ plane are considered to be $x$ and $y$, and those in the $\zeta$ plane are $\xi$ and $\eta$. If the equipotential lines and streamlines are plotted in either of the planes, they will divide the plane into a large number of small rectangles. These rectangles will be similar at corresponding points in both planes. The corresponding points are found from the relation

$$
f(z)=g(\zeta)
$$

This equation accordingly represents the conformal transformation from the $z$ plane to the $\zeta$ plane or the converse. In practical use, the flow function in the $z$ plane is known and the corresponding flow function in the $\zeta$ plane is desired. To plot the flow known on the $z$ plane on the $\zeta$ plane, it is necessary to solve this relation for $\zeta$ and to obtain an equation in the form

$$
\zeta=h(z)
$$

In the transformations we shall consider, this relation will be given, The velocities in the $z$ plane, by Eq. (3.1), are

$$
\frac{d w}{d z}=u-i v
$$

The corresponding velocities in the $\zeta$ plane are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d w}{d \zeta}=\frac{d w}{d z} \frac{d z}{d \zeta} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a simple example of a conformal transformation, consider the relations

$$
w=V\left(z+\frac{a^{2}}{z}\right)=V \zeta
$$

These relations transform the flow about a circular cylinder on the $z$ plane [Eq. (3.5)] to uniform flow parallel to the $\xi$ axis on the $\zeta$ plane [Eq. (3.2)]. Corresponding points of both planes are obtained from the relation

$$
\zeta=z+\frac{a^{2}}{z}
$$

, and are indicated in Fig. 30.
3.5. Transformation of a Circle into a Wing Section. A circle can be transformed into a shape resembling that of a wing section by substitution of the variable

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta=z+\frac{a^{2}}{z} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

into the expression for the flow about a circular cylinder having a radius slightly larger than $a$, and so placed that the circumference passes through the point $x=a$. If, in addition, the center of the larger cylinder is placed on the $x$ axis, the transformed curve will be that of a symmetrical wing section (Fig. 31). In the present example, let the center of the larger cylinder be placed at the point $x=-\epsilon$ where $\epsilon$ is a real quantity. The radius of this cylinder will then be $a+\epsilon$. The equation of flow about the larger cylinder with circulation is then

$$
w=V\left(z^{*}+\epsilon+\frac{(a+\epsilon)^{2}}{z^{*}+\epsilon}\right)+\frac{i \Gamma}{2 \pi} \ln \frac{z^{*}+\epsilon}{a+\epsilon}
$$



Fig. 30. Conformal transformation of the flow about a circular cylinder to uniform flow.


Fig. 31. Conformal transformation of a circle into a symmetrical wing section.

The more general expression for the flow about the circular cylinder with the flow at infinity inclined at an angle $\alpha_{0}$ to the $x$ axis is found by substituting the expression

$$
\begin{gather*}
z+\epsilon=\left(z^{*}+\epsilon\right) e^{i \alpha_{0}} \\
w=V\left[(z+\epsilon) e^{-i \alpha_{0}}+\frac{(a+\epsilon)^{2} e^{i \alpha_{0}}}{z+\epsilon}\right]+\frac{i \Gamma}{2 \pi} \ln \frac{(z+\epsilon) e^{-i \alpha_{0}}}{a+\epsilon} \tag{3.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

Substitution of Eq. (3.9) into Eq. (3.10) would result in the equation for the flow about the wing section but would lead to a complicated expression. A simple way of obtaining the shape of the wing section is to select values of $z$ corresponding to points on the larger cylinder and find the corresponding points in the $\zeta$ plane by the use of Eq. (3.9). The velocity of any point on the wing section can be found from Eq. (3.8).

$$
\frac{d w}{d \zeta}=\frac{d w}{d z} \frac{d z}{d \zeta}=\left[V\left(e^{-i \alpha_{0}}-\frac{(a+\epsilon)^{2} e^{i \alpha_{0}}}{(z+\epsilon)^{2}}\right)+\frac{i \Gamma}{2 \pi(z+\epsilon)}\right]\left(\frac{z^{2}}{z^{2}-a^{2}}\right)
$$

It can be seen from this equation that the velocity at the point $z=a$ is infinite unless the first factor is zero. The point $z=a$ corresponds to the trailing edge of the wing section. The Kutta-Joukowsky condition states that the value of the circulation is such as to make the first factor equal to zero, which is the condition that ensures smooth flow at the trailing edge. The value of the circulation satisfying this condition is found as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
V\left[e^{-i \alpha_{0}}-\frac{(a+\epsilon)^{2} e^{i \alpha_{0}}}{(a+\epsilon)^{2}}\right]+\frac{i \Gamma}{2 \pi(a+\epsilon)}=0 \\
i \Gamma=2 \pi(a+\epsilon) V\left(e^{i \alpha_{0}}-e^{-i \alpha_{0}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{e^{i \alpha_{0}}-e^{-i \alpha_{0}}}{2}=\sinh i \alpha_{0}=i \sin \alpha_{0} \\
i \Gamma=4 \pi(a+\epsilon) V i \sin \alpha_{0}
\end{gathered}
$$

or

$$
\Gamma=4 \pi(a+\epsilon) V \sin \alpha_{0}
$$

The leading edge of the wing section corresponds to the point

$$
\zeta=-a-2 \epsilon-\frac{a^{2}}{a+2 \epsilon}
$$

neglecting powers of $\epsilon$ greater than one

$$
\zeta=-2 a
$$

Because the trailing edge corresponds to the point

$$
\zeta=2 a
$$

the chord of the wing section is $4 a$. The lift on the wing section is $\rho V \Gamma$, and the lift coefficient is

$$
c_{l}=2 \pi\left(1+\frac{\epsilon}{a}\right) \sin \alpha_{0}
$$

In the limiting case as $\epsilon / a$ approaches zero, the slope of the lift curve $d c_{l} / d \alpha_{0}$ is $2 \pi$ per radian for small angles of attack. Detailed computations ${ }^{37}$


Fig. 32. Illustration of transformations used to derive airfoils and calculate pressure distributions.
will show that the thickness ratio of the wing section is nearly equal to $(3 \sqrt{3} / 4)(\epsilon / a)$. For wing sections approximately 12 per cent thick, the theoretical lift-curve slope is about nine per cent greater than its limiting value for thin sections.
3.6. Flow about Arbitrary Wing Sections. It was seen in the previous section that the transformation

$$
\zeta=z+\frac{a^{2}}{z}
$$

transforms a circle in the $z$ plane into a curve resembling a wing section in the $\zeta$ plane. Most wing sections have a general resemblance to each other. If the aforementioned transformation is applied to a wing section, the resulting curve in the $z$ plane will therefore be nearly circular in shape. Theodorsen recognized this fact and showed that the flow about the nearly circular curve, and hence about the wing section, can be derived from the flow about the true circle by a rapidly converging process. The basic method is presented in reference 122, and a detailed discussion of the method is given in reference 125. The derivation of Theodorsen's relation for the velocity distribution about the wing is divided into three parts (Fig. 32).

1. Derivation of relations between the flow in the plane of the wing section ( $\zeta$ plane) and in the plane of the near circle ( $z^{\prime}$ plane).
2. Derivation of relations between the flow in the $z^{\prime}$ plane and in the plane of the true circle ( $z$ plane).
3. Combination of the foregoing relations to obtain the final expression for the velocity distribution in the $\zeta$ plane in terms of the ordinates of the wing section.

The basic relation between the $z^{\prime}$ plane and the $\zeta$ plane is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta=z^{\prime}+\frac{a^{2}}{z^{\prime}} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coordinates of $\zeta$ are defined by the relation

$$
\zeta=x+i y
$$

and the coordinates of $z^{\prime}$ are defined by the relation

$$
z^{\prime}=a e^{\ell+\infty}
$$

By Eq. (3.11),

$$
\zeta=a e^{\psi+w}+a e^{-\psi-i}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{i \theta} & =\cos \theta+i \sin \theta \\
\zeta & =a\left(e^{\psi}+e^{-\psi}\right) \cos \theta+i a\left(e^{\psi}-e^{-\psi}\right) \sin \theta \\
& =2 a \cosh \psi \cos \theta+2 i a \sinh \psi \sin \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

and since

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\zeta=x+i y \\
x=2 a \cosh \psi \cos \theta \\
y=2 a \sinh \psi \sin \theta \tag{3.12}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Expressions for $\psi$ and $\theta$ in terms of $x$ and $y$ are desired and may be obtained as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cosh \psi=\frac{x}{2 a \cos \theta} \\
& \sinh \psi=\frac{y}{2 a \sin \theta}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\cosh ^{2} \psi-\sinh ^{2} \psi & =1 \\
\left(\frac{x}{2 a \cos \theta}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{y}{2 a \sin \theta}\right)^{2} & =1
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \sin ^{2} \theta=p+\sqrt{p^{2}+\left(\frac{y}{a}\right)^{2}} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
p=1-\left(\frac{x}{2 a}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{y}{2 a}\right)^{2}
$$

Because $\sin \theta$, and hence $\cos \theta$, are known in terms of the ordinates $x$ and $y$ of the wing section, the values of $\sinh \psi$ and $\cosh \psi$ can be found from Eq. (3.12).

The factor relating velocities in the $z^{\prime}$ plane to those in the $\zeta$ plane is $d z^{\prime} / d \zeta$. From Eq. (3.11),

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d \zeta}{d z^{\prime}} & =1-\frac{a^{2}}{z^{\prime 2}}=\frac{1}{z^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}-\frac{a^{2}}{z^{\prime}}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{z^{\prime}}\left(a e^{\psi+i \theta}-a e^{-\psi-i \theta}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{z^{\prime}} a\left(e^{\psi}-e^{-\psi}\right) \cos \theta+i a\left(e^{\psi}+e^{-\psi}\right) \sin \theta \\
& =\frac{1}{z^{\prime}}(2 a \sinh \psi \cos \theta+2 i a \cosh \psi \sin \theta) \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

The second step is to find the relation between the flows in the $z^{\prime}$ plane and those in the $z$ plane. The coordinates of $z$ are defined by the relation

$$
z=a e^{\lambda+i \varphi}
$$

The transformation relating the $z^{\prime}$ to the $z$ plane is the general transformation

By definition

$$
z^{\prime}=z e^{\sum_{1}^{D}\left(A_{n}+i B_{n}\right)\left(1 / z^{n}\right)}
$$

Consequently

$$
z^{\prime}=z e^{\psi-\lambda+i(\theta-\varphi)}
$$

$$
\psi-\lambda+i(\theta-\varphi)=\sum_{1}^{\infty}\left(A_{n}+i B_{n}\right) \frac{1}{z^{n}}
$$

or

$$
\psi-\lambda+i(\theta-\varphi)=\sum_{i}^{\infty}\left(A_{n}+i B_{n}\right) \frac{1}{r^{n}}(\cos n \varphi-i \sin n \varphi)
$$

where $z$ has been expressed in polar form

$$
z=r(\cos \varphi+i \sin \varphi)
$$

Equating the real and imaginary parts, we obtain the two Fourier expansions
and

$$
\left.\psi-\lambda=\sum_{1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{A_{n}}{r^{n}} \cos n \varphi+\frac{B_{n}}{r^{n}} \sin n \varphi\right)\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\theta-\varphi=\sum_{1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{B_{n}}{r^{n}} \cos n \varphi-\frac{A_{n}}{r^{n}} \sin n \varphi\right)\right) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

These relations show that $\psi-\lambda$ and $\theta-\varphi$ are conjugate functions. In order for the deviation of the near circle from the true circle to be a minimum, the value of $\lambda$ corresponding to the radius of the true circle is taken to be

$$
\lambda=\psi_{0}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \psi d \varphi
$$

where the values of $\psi$ correspond to points on the near circle. The required transformation from the near circle to the true circle is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z^{\prime}}{z}=a e^{\psi-\psi_{0}+i(\theta-\infty)} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\psi$ is known as a function of $\varphi, \theta-\varphi$ can be found by a method developed by Naiman. ${ }^{73}$ The $\psi$ function is assumed to be approximated by a finite trigonometric series of the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
\psi(\varphi)=\psi_{0}+A_{1} \cos \varphi+\cdots+A_{n-1} \cos (n-1) \varphi \\
\\
\quad+A_{n} \cos \varphi+B_{1} \sin \varphi+\cdots+B_{n-1} \sin (n-1) \varphi \\
\psi(\varphi)=\psi_{0}+\sum_{m=1}^{n-1}\left(A_{m} \cos m \varphi+B_{m} \sin m \varphi\right)+A_{n} \cos n \varphi
\end{gathered}
$$

If $\psi$ is specified at $2 n$ equally spaced intervals in the range $0 \leq \varphi \leq 2 \pi$; that is, $0, \pi / n, 2 \pi / n, \ldots,[(2 n-1) \pi] / n$ then

$$
\psi_{0}=\frac{1}{2 n} \sum_{r=0}^{2 n-1} \psi_{r}
$$

where $\psi_{r}=$ value of $\psi$ at $\varphi=r \pi / n$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{m}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{r=0}^{2 n-1} \psi_{r} \cos m \frac{r \pi}{n} \\
& B_{m}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{r=0}^{2 n-1} \psi_{r} \sin m \frac{r \pi}{n} \\
& A_{n}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{r=0}^{2 n-1}(-1)^{r} \psi_{r} \quad B_{n}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, by Eqs. (3.15),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi-\theta=\epsilon(\varphi)= & \sum_{m=1}^{n-1}\left(A_{m} \sin m \varphi-B_{m} \cos m \varphi\right)+A_{n} \sin n \varphi \\
= & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{n-1}\left(\sin m \varphi \sum_{r=0}^{2 n-1} \psi_{r} \cos m \frac{r \pi}{n}\right. \\
& \left.-\cos m \varphi \sum_{r=0}^{2 n-1} \psi_{r} \sin m \frac{r \pi}{n}\right)+\frac{1}{2 n} \sin n \varphi \sum_{r=0}^{2 n-1}(-1)^{r} \psi_{r} \\
= & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \sum_{r=0}^{2 n-1} \psi_{r} \sin m\left(\varphi-\frac{r \pi}{n}\right)+\frac{1}{2 n} \sin n \varphi \sum_{r=0}^{2 n-1}(-1)^{r} \psi_{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

Upon interchanging the order of summation, there is obtained

$$
\epsilon(\varphi)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=0}^{2 n-1} \psi_{r} \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \sin m\left(\varphi-\frac{r \pi}{n}\right)+\frac{1}{2 n} \sin n \varphi \sum_{r=0}^{2 n-1}(-1)^{r} \psi_{r}
$$

If $\epsilon$ is evaluated at the same points $\varphi$ at which $\psi$ is given, that is, at the points $\varphi=r^{\prime} \pi / n$, the variable $\varphi-(r \pi / n)$ becomes $\left[\left(r^{\prime}-r\right) \pi\right] / n=-(K \pi / n)$, and the last term becomes zero.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{r}=\psi \frac{r_{\pi}}{n} & =\psi\left(\frac{\gamma^{\prime} \pi}{n}+\frac{K \pi}{n}\right)=\psi\left(\varphi+\frac{K \pi}{n}\right) \equiv \psi_{K} \\
\epsilon(\varphi) & =-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{K=0}^{2 n-1} \psi_{K} \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \sin m \frac{K \pi}{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

The summation over $K$ may also be taken from 0 to $2 n-1$ because of the periodicity of $\psi_{\pi}$. A simple expression can be obtained for the coefficients of $\psi_{K}$

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \sin m \frac{K \pi}{n}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\cot \frac{K \pi}{2 n} & K \text { odd } \\
0 & K \text { even }
\end{array}\right.
$$

and therefore

$$
\epsilon(\varphi)=-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{K=1}^{2 n-1} \psi_{K} \cot \frac{K \pi}{2 n} \quad K \text { odd }
$$

or

$$
\begin{gather*}
\epsilon(\varphi)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{K=1}^{n}\left(\psi_{-K}-\psi_{K}\right) \cot \frac{K \pi}{2 n} \quad K \text { odd }  \tag{3.17}\\
\psi_{K}=\psi\left(\varphi+\frac{K \pi}{n}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

In most cases a value of $n$ equal to 40 gives sufficiently accurate results. Ordinarily $\psi$ is known as a function of $\theta$, and first approximations to the values of $\psi_{0}$ and $\theta-\varphi$ are obtained by substitution of $\theta$ for $\varphi$ in the relations for $\theta-\varphi$ and $\psi_{0}$.

The factor relating the velocities in the true-circle plane to those in the near-circle plane is $d z^{\prime} / d z$. From Eq. (3.16),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d z^{\prime}}{d z} & =z^{\prime}\left\{\frac{1}{z}+\frac{d}{d z}\left[\left(\psi-\psi_{0}\right)+i(\theta-\varphi)\right]\right\} \\
& =z^{\prime} \frac{d}{d z}[\psi+i(\theta-\varphi)+\ln z]
\end{aligned}
$$

But, on the true circle,

$$
z=a e^{b_{0}+i p}
$$

from which

$$
\frac{1}{z}=\frac{d}{d z} \ln z=\frac{d}{d z}\left(\ln a+\psi_{0}+i \varphi\right)=\frac{d}{d z}(i \varphi)
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d z^{\prime}}{d z}=z^{\prime} \frac{d}{d z}[\psi+i(\theta-\varphi)+i \varphi] \\
& \frac{d z^{\prime}}{d z}=z^{\prime} \frac{d}{d z}(\psi+i \theta)
\end{aligned}
$$

This expression may be written

$$
\frac{d z^{\prime}}{d z}=z^{\prime} \frac{d}{d \theta}(\psi+i \theta) \frac{d \theta}{d z}
$$

But

$$
\frac{1}{z}=i \frac{d \varphi}{d z}
$$

or

$$
\frac{d z}{z}=i d \varphi=i d(\varphi-\theta)+i d \theta
$$

and

$$
\frac{d z}{d \theta}=i z\left[1+\frac{d(\varphi-\theta)}{d \theta}\right]
$$

Hence

$$
\frac{d z^{\prime}}{d z}=\frac{z^{\prime}}{z} \frac{d}{d \theta}(-i \psi+\theta) \frac{1}{1+(d \epsilon / d \theta)}
$$

where
or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d z^{\prime}}{d z}=\frac{z^{\prime}}{z} \frac{1-i(d \psi / d \theta)}{1+(d \epsilon / d \theta)} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The flow in the plane of the true circle of radius $a e^{t_{0}}$ is described by the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=V\left(z+\frac{a^{2} e^{2 \psi_{0}}}{z}\right)+\frac{i \Gamma}{2 \pi} \ln \frac{z}{a e^{\psi_{0}}} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the value of $a$ in Eq. (3.7) is here taken to be $a e^{v_{0}}$. The velocities in the true-circle plane are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d w}{d z}=V\left(1-\frac{a^{2} e^{2 \psi_{0}}}{z^{2}}\right)+\frac{i \Gamma}{2 \pi z} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order for the rear stagnation point of the circular cylinder to correspond to the trailing edge of the wing section, the flow about the cylinder is rotated through an angle $\alpha_{0}$ equal to the angle of attack of the wing section. This process corresponds to the application of the Kutta-Joukowski condition. At zero angle of attack, the trailing edge corresponds to the point

$$
z=a e^{y_{0}+i_{T} T}
$$

It is therefore necessary for the circulation $\Gamma$ to have a value corresponding to a rotation of the stagnation point by an amount $\alpha_{0}+\epsilon_{\boldsymbol{T}}$. This value may be seen from Eq. (2.30) to be

$$
\Gamma=4 \pi a e^{\psi_{0}} V \sin \left(\alpha_{0}+\epsilon_{T}\right)
$$

Substituting the general value of $z$ on the surface of the cylinder into Eq. (3.20)

$$
\begin{gathered}
z=\pi e^{\nu_{0}+i\left(\alpha_{0}+ष\right)} \\
\frac{d w}{d z}=V\left[1-e^{-2 i\left(\alpha_{0}+\varphi\right)}+2 i \sin \left(\alpha_{0}+\epsilon_{T}\right) e^{-i\left(\alpha_{0}+\varphi\right)}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

from which the absolute value of $d w / d z$ may be obtained

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{d w}{d z}\right|=2 V\left[\sin \left(\alpha_{0}+\varphi\right)+\sin \left(\alpha_{0}+\epsilon_{T}\right)\right] \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The third step is to obtain the velocities in the plane of the wing section from the expression for the velocities in the plane of the true circle. The expression for the velocity in the plane of the wing section is

$$
\frac{d w}{d \zeta}=\frac{d w}{d z} \frac{d z}{d z^{\prime}} \frac{d z^{\prime}}{d \zeta}
$$

Multiplying Eqs. (3.14) and (3.18), we obtain

$$
\frac{d \zeta}{d z^{\prime}} \frac{d z^{\prime}}{d z}=\frac{1}{z}\left[\frac{1-i(d \psi / d \theta)}{1+(d \epsilon / d \theta)}\right](2 a \sinh \psi \cos \theta+2 i a \cosh \psi \sin \theta)
$$

The absolute value of

$$
\left|\frac{d \zeta}{d z}\right|=\frac{2 \sqrt{1+(d \psi / d \theta)^{2}}}{e^{\psi_{0}[1+(d e / d \theta)]}} \sqrt{\sinh ^{2} \psi+\sin ^{2} \theta}
$$

Dividing Eq. (3.21) by this expression,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{d w}{d \zeta}\right|=v=V \frac{\left[\sin \left(\alpha_{0}+\varphi\right)+\sin \left(\alpha_{0}+\epsilon_{r}\right)\right][1+(d \epsilon / d \theta)] e^{\psi_{0}}}{\sqrt{\left(\sinh ^{2} \psi+\sin ^{2} \theta\right)\left[1+(d \psi / d \theta)^{2}\right]}} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad v=$ local velocity at any point on surface of wing section $V=$ free-stream velocity
The necessary calculations to obtain the pressure distribution for a given wing section are as follows:

1. The coordinates of the wing section are found with respect to a line joining a point midway between the nose of the section and its center of curvature and its trailing edge for sections having sharp trailing edges. ${ }^{122}$ The coordinates of these points are taken as $(-2 a, 0)$ and ( $2 a, 0$ ), respectively. It is usually convenient to let $a$ have the value of unity.
2. $\sin \theta$ is found from Eq. (3.13).
3. $\sinh \psi$ is found from Eqs. (3.12).
4. $\psi$ is found from tables of hyperbolic functions.
5. $\psi$ is plotted as a function of $\theta$.
6. $\psi_{0}$ is determined from the relation

$$
\psi_{0}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \psi d \theta
$$

7. A first approximation to $\epsilon$ is obtained by conjugating the curve of $\psi$ plotted against $\theta$ using Eq. (3.17).
8. From the curves of $\epsilon$ and $\psi$ plotted against $\theta, d \epsilon / d \theta$ and $d \psi_{i}^{\prime} d \theta$ are found.
9. Determine $F$ by the relation

$$
F=\frac{[1+(d \epsilon / d \theta)] e^{\psi_{0}}}{\sqrt{\left(\sinh ^{2} \psi+\sin ^{2} \theta\right)\left[1+(d \psi / d \theta)^{2}\right]}}
$$

10. $\frac{v}{V}=F\left[\sin \left(\theta+\alpha_{0}+\epsilon\right)+\sin \left(\alpha_{0}+\epsilon r\right)\right]$
11. The pressure coefficient $S=(v / V)^{2}$.

For most purposes the first approximation to $\epsilon$ is sufficiently accurate. If greater accuracy is desired, a second approximation may be found by plotting $\psi$ against $\theta+\epsilon$ and repeating steps 6 and 7 before proceeding.
3.7. Empirical Modification of the Theory. Although the foregoing theory for computing the pressure distribution about arbitary wing sections is exact for perfect fluid flow, the presence of viscous effects in actual flows leads to discrepancies. Even when the flow is not separated from the surface, the thickness of the boundary layer effectively distorts the shape of the section. One result of this distortion is that the theoretical slope of the lift curve is not realized. A comparison of the actual lift and moment
characteristics with the theoretical ones is made in Fig. 33 for the NACA 4412 wing section. ${ }^{22}$ This comparison is typical in that the experimental lift coefficient is lower than the theoretical value at a given angle of attack and the theoretical value of the pitching-moment coefficient is not realized.

Several methods suggest themselves for bringing the theory into closer agreement with experiment. It is obvious that the lift coefficient can be


Fig. 33. Comparison between theoretical and measured lift and pitching-moment coefficients, NACA 4412 wing section.
brought into agreement by reducing the theoretical angle of attack. This method seriously alters the flow about the leading edge, leading to discrepancies in the pressure distribution over the forward portion of the wing section. Another method tried by Pinkerton ${ }^{82}$ is to reduce the circulation to the required value by disregarding the Kutta condition. This method leads to fair agreement over the greater part of the wing section (Fig. 34) but, as would be expected, leads to infinite velocities at the trailing edge.

Pinkerton ${ }^{82}$ found that fairly satisfactory agreement with experiment could be obtained by effectively distorting the shape of the section (Fig. 34). This distortion is affected by finding an increment $\Delta \epsilon_{\boldsymbol{T}}$ required to avoid infinite velocities at the trailing edge when the circulation is ad-
justed to produce the experimentally observed lift coefficient. The altered $\epsilon$ function $\epsilon_{\alpha}$ is arbitrarily assumed to be given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{\alpha}=\epsilon+\frac{\Delta \epsilon T}{2}(1-\cos \theta) \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon=$ original value computed by methods of preceding section
$\epsilon_{\alpha}=$ modified value used to compute flow about distorted section


Fig. 34. Comparison between measured and various theoretical pressure distributions NACA 4412 wing section.
The agreement obtained by this method is indicated by Fig. 34 and the moment coefficients of Fig. 33.
3.8. Design of Wing Sections. Section 3.6 presents a method for obtaining the pressure distribution for arbitrary wing sections. A knowledge of the pressure distribution is desirable for structural design and for the estimation of the critical Mach number and the moment coefficient if tests are not available. The pressure distribution also exerts a strong or predominant influence on the boundary-layer flow and, hence, on the section characteristics. It is therefore usually advisable to relate the aerodynamic characteristics to the pressure distribution rather than directly to the geometry of the wing section. In the experimental development of wing sections, it is accordingly desirable to have a method of determining changes of shape of the section corresponding to desired changes in the
pressure distribution. A method that has been used successfully for the development of the newer NACA wing sections is presented in reference 3.

Equation (3.22) gives the relation between the velocity distribution over the wing section and the shape parameters $\psi$ and $\theta$. It is shown by Theodorsen and Garrick ${ }^{125}$ that an alternate expression is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{v}{V}=\frac{\sin \left(\alpha_{0}+\varphi\right)+\sin \left(\alpha_{0}+\epsilon_{T}\right) e^{\psi_{0}}}{\sqrt{\left(\sinh ^{2} \psi+\sin ^{2} \theta\right)\left\{[1-(d \epsilon / d \varphi)]^{2}+[(d \psi / d \varphi)]^{2}\right\}}} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Basic symmetrical shapes are derived by assuming suitable values of $d \epsilon / d \varphi$ as a function of $\varphi$. These values are chosen on the basis of previous experience and are subject to the conditions that

$$
\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{d \epsilon}{d \varphi}=0
$$

and $d \epsilon / d \varphi$ at $\varphi$ is equal to $d \epsilon / d \varphi$ at - $\varphi$. These conditions are necessary for obtaining closed symmetrical shapes. Values of $\epsilon(\varphi)$ are obtained by integrating $(d \epsilon / d \varphi) d \varphi$. Values of $\psi(\varphi)$ are found by obtaining the conjugate curve of $\epsilon(\varphi)$ by means of Eq. (3.17) and adding an arbitrary value $\psi_{0}$ sufficient to make the value of $\psi$ equal to or slightly greater than zero at $\varphi=\pi$. This condition assures a sharp trailing-edge shape. If $\psi$ equals zero at $\varphi=\pi$, the wing section will have a cusplike trailing edge. Slightly positive values of $\psi$ result in more conventional trailing-edge shapes. Theodorsen ${ }^{123}$ has shown that small changes in the velocity distribution at any point on the surface are approximately proportional to $1+(d \epsilon / d \varphi)$. The initially assumed values of $d \epsilon / d \varphi$ are accordingly altered by a process of successive approximations until the desired type of velocity distribution is obtained. After the final values of $\psi$ and $\epsilon$ are obtained, the ordinates of the symmetrical section are computed by Eq. (3.12).

Although a similar procedure may be used to design cambered sections, it has been found convenient to design symmetrical sections which may then be cambered by a method to be described in Sec. 4.5. This method is satisfactory for thin or moderately thick sections. Very thick sections must be designed directly with the desired camber. Goldstein ${ }^{3 n a}$ has also developed useful approximate methods for the design of cambered wing sections.

## CHArTER 4

## THEORY OF THIN WING SECTIONS

### 4.1. Symbols.

A coefficients of Fourier series
A multiplier for obtaining the angle of zero lift
B multiplier for obtaining the pitching-moment coefficient
$L$ lower surface ordinate in fraction of the chord
$P_{R}$ local load coefficient
$S$ pressure coefficient ( $H-p$ ) $/ 1 / 2 \mathrm{p}^{2}$
$U$ upper surface ordinate in fraction of the chord
$V$ velocity of the free stream
a mean-line designation; fraction of the chord from leading edge over which loading is uniform at the ideal angle of attack
c chord
$c_{1}$ section lift coefficient
$c_{b_{0}}$ value of $c_{l}$ corresponding to calculated valuc of $\Delta x_{a} / V$
$c_{i}$ ideal lift coefficient
$c_{c_{L E}}$ section pitching-moment coefficient about the leading edge
$c_{m / s}$ section pitching-moment coefficient about the quarter-chord point
e base of Naperian logarithm, 2.71828
$k$ multiplier for obtaining the angle of zero lift
$l$ section lift
ln logarithm to the base $e$
$m_{L E}$ section pitching moment about the leading edge
p local static pressure
$v$ local velocity over the surface of a symmetrical wing section at zero lift
$v_{n}$ component of velocity normal to the chord
$\Delta v$ increment of local velocity over the surface of a wing section associated with camber
$\Delta v_{\text {a }}$ increment of local velocity over the surface of a wing section associated with angle of attack
distance along chord
$x_{1} \quad$ fixed point on the $x$ axis
$y$ ordinate of the mean line
$\Gamma$ circulation
$\alpha_{0}$ section angle of attack
$\alpha_{i}$ ideal angle of attack
$\alpha_{L=0}$ angle of zero lift
$\beta_{0}$ quantity defined by Eq. (4.13)
$\gamma$ circulation per unit length along chord
angle whose cosine is $1-(2 x / c)$
$\theta_{1}$ angle whose cosine is $1-\left(2 x_{1} / c\right)$
$\mu_{2}$ quantity defined by Eq. (4.14)
$\pi$ ratio of the circumference of a circle to its diameter

- mass density of air
$\sim$ infinity
4.2. Basic Concepts. Many of the properties of wing sections are primarily functions of the shape of the mean line. The mean line is considered to be the locus of points situated halfway between the upper and lower surfaces of the section, these distances being measured normal to the mean line. Although the mean line of an arbitrary wing section is rather difficult to obtain, the construction of cambered wing sections from given mean lines and symmetrical thickness distributions is relatively easy. Among the properties mainly associated with the shape of the mean line are

1. The chordwise load distribution.
2. The angle of zero lift.
3. The pitching-moment coefficient.

Other important results obtained from the theory of thin wing sections


Fig. 35. Configuration for analysis of mean lines.
are a value of the slope of the lift curve and the approximate position of the aerodynamic center.

The theory of thin wing sections was developed by Munk. ${ }^{71}$ Later contributions to the theory were made by Birnbaum, Glauert, ${ }^{87}$ Theodorsen, ${ }^{121}$ and Allen. ${ }^{7}$ The present treatment follows that given by Glauert. ${ }^{37}$

For the purposes of the theory, the wing section is considered to be replaced by its mean line. The chordwise distribution of load is assumed to be connected with a chordwise distribution of vortices.

Let $\gamma$ be the difference in velocity between the upper and lower surfaces. The total circulation around the section is then given by the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma=\int_{0}^{c} \gamma d x \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is the chord and the camber is assumed to be sufficieutly small for distances along the chord line to be substantially equal to those along the mean line. The configuration for the analysis is given in Fig. 35.

The vertical components of velocity caused by an element of the vortex distribution along the mean line have the magnitudes [see Eq. (2.27)]

$$
\frac{\gamma d x}{2 \pi\left(x-x_{1}\right)}
$$

where $x$ is the position of the element and $x_{1}$ is the point where velocity is being calculated. The total vertical component of velocity at any point $x_{1}$ is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{n}\left(x_{1}\right)=\int_{0}^{e} \frac{\gamma d x}{2 \pi\left(x-x_{1}\right)} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v_{n}=$ component of velocity normal to chord line
The thin wing section must of course be a streamline. There is no flow through it. The equation expressing this condition is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{0}+\frac{v_{n}}{V}=\frac{d y}{d x} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for small angles. Equations (4.2) and (4.3) are the fundamental relations between the shape of the mean line and its aerodynamic characteristics.

It is possible to obtain the characteristics of arbitary thin wing sections from these relations. The pressure distribution or the chordwise load distribution may, however, be obtained more accurately and just as conveniently by the method presented in Sec. 3.6.
4.3. Angle of Zero Lift and Pitching Moment. Simple relations for the angle of zero lift and the pitching moment of arbitrary thin wing sections may be obtained by the application of a Fourier method of analysis to Eqs. (4.2) and (4.3).

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\frac{c}{2}(1-\cos \theta) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
d x=\frac{c}{2} \sin \theta d \theta
$$

Assume that $\gamma$ may be represented by a trignometric series as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=2 V\left(A_{0} \cot \frac{\theta}{2}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n} \sin n \theta\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\gamma$ is expressed in this manner, it will be shown later that the coefficient $A_{0}$ depends only on the angle of attack, and the coefficients $A_{n}$ depend only on the shape of the mean line. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cot \frac{\theta}{2}=\frac{1+\cos \theta}{\sin \theta} \\
& \gamma d x=c V\left[A_{0}(1+\cos \theta)+\sum_{1}^{\infty} A_{n} \sin n \theta \sin \theta\right] d \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

The lift is

$$
\begin{align*}
l & =\int_{0}^{c} \rho V \gamma d x \\
& =\int_{0}^{\pi} c \rho V^{2}\left[A_{0}(1+\cos \theta)+\sum_{1}^{\infty} A_{n} \sin n \theta \sin \theta\right] d \theta \\
& =\pi c \rho V^{2}\left(A_{0}+1 / 2 A_{1}\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

and the lift coefficient

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{l}=\frac{l}{1 / 2 \rho V^{2} c}=2 \pi\left(A_{0}+\frac{1}{2} A_{1}\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expression for the pitching moment about the leading edge is given by the relation

$$
m_{L E}=-\int_{0}^{c} \rho V \gamma x d x
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sin \theta(1-\cos \theta) & =\sin \theta-\sin \theta \cos \theta \\
& =\sin \theta-1 / 2 \sin 2 \theta \\
m_{L E}=-\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{c^{2}}{2} \rho V^{2}\left[A_{0}\left(1-\cos ^{2} \theta\right)\right. & \left.+\sum_{1}^{\infty} A_{n} \sin n \theta\left(\sin \theta-\frac{1}{2} \sin 2 \theta\right)\right] d \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

Upon integration

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{L E}=-\frac{\pi}{4} c^{2} \rho V^{2}\left(A_{0}+A_{1}-\frac{1}{2} A_{2}\right) \\
& c_{m_{L E}}=\frac{\pi}{4}\left(A_{2}-A_{1}\right)-\frac{1}{4} c_{l} \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (4.7) and (4.8) provide simple relations between the lift and moment and the first few coefficients of the Fourier series. The next step is to determine the relations between the coefficients of the Fourier series and the shape of the mean line.

Substituting Eqs. (4.4) and (4.5) into Eq. (4.2), the expression for the vertical component of velocity becomes

$$
v_{n}\left(\theta_{1}\right)=\frac{V}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi}\left\{\frac{A_{0}(1+\cos \theta)}{\cos \theta_{1}-\cos \theta}+\frac{1,2 \sum_{1}^{\infty} A_{n}[\cos (n-1) \theta-\cos (n+1) \theta]}{\cos \theta_{1}-\cos \theta}\right\} d \theta
$$

since

$$
1 / 2[\cos (n-1) \theta-\cos (n+1) \theta]=\sin n \theta \sin \theta
$$

It is shown by Glauert ${ }^{37}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{\cos n \theta}{\cos \theta-\cos \phi} d \theta=\pi \frac{\sin n \phi}{\sin \phi} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently

$$
v_{n}\left(\theta_{1}\right)=V\left[-A_{0}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{1}^{\infty} A_{n} \frac{\sin (n+1) \theta_{1}-\sin (n-1) \theta_{1}}{\sin \theta_{1}}\right]
$$

and, dropping the subscript,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{v_{n}}{V}=-A_{0}+\sum_{1}^{\infty} A_{n} \cos n \theta \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The required relation between the Fourier coefficients and the shape of the mean line is obtained by substitution of Eq. (4.10) in Eq. (4.3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d y}{d x}=\alpha_{0}-A_{0}+\sum_{1}^{\infty} A_{n} \cos n \theta \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The standard expressions for the Fourier series coefficients are then

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\alpha_{0}-A_{0} & =\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{d y}{d x} d \theta  \tag{4.12}\\
A_{n} & =\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{d y}{d x} \cos n \theta d \theta
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Equations (4.12) for $A_{0}, A_{1}$, and $A_{2}$ can be transformed in such a manner that the angle of zero lift and the pitching moment are expressed in terms of the ordinates of the mean line rather than in terms of its slope. The quantities $\beta_{0}$ and $\mu_{0}$ defined as follows are convenient in effecting the change.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{0}=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{y}{c} \frac{d \theta}{1+\cos \theta} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{0}=\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{y}{c} \cos \theta d \theta \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The value of $\beta_{0}$ in terms of the coefficients of the original Fourier series may be found by the following process.

Integrating Eq. (4.13) by parts we obtain

$$
\beta_{0}=\frac{2}{\pi}\left[\frac{y}{c} \sqrt{\frac{1-\cos \theta}{1+\cos \theta}}\right]_{0}^{\pi}-\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{1}{c} \frac{d y}{d x} \frac{d x}{d \theta} \sqrt{\frac{1-\cos \theta}{1+\cos \theta}} d \theta
$$

(reference 80, formulas 296 and 578). The first term of this expression equals zero if $y$ approaches zero at $\theta=\pi$ faster than $\sqrt{c-x}$. This is true for nearly all wing sections. From Eq. (4.4),

$$
\frac{d x}{d \theta}=\frac{c}{2} \sin \theta=\frac{c}{2} \sqrt{(1+\cos \theta)(1-\cos \theta)}
$$

Hence

$$
\beta_{0}=-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{d y}{d x}(1-\cos \theta) d \theta
$$

and, from Eq. (4.12),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{0}=A_{0}-\alpha_{0}+1 / 2 A_{1} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The value of $\mu_{0}$ in terms of the Fourier coefficients $A_{n}$ is found as follows: Integrating Eq. (4.14) by parts,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mu_{0} & =\left[\frac{y}{c} \sin \theta\right]_{0}^{\pi}-\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{1}{c} \frac{d y}{d x} \frac{d x}{d \theta} \sin \theta d \theta \\
& =-\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{1}{4} \frac{d y}{d x}(1-\cos 2 \theta) d \theta \\
& =-\frac{\pi}{4}\left(\alpha_{0}-A_{0}-\frac{1}{2} A_{2}\right) \tag{4.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Comparing Eq. (4.7) with Eq. (4.15)

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{l}=2 \pi\left(\alpha_{0}+\beta_{0}\right) \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The angle of zero lift $\alpha_{L-0}$ is therefore $-\beta_{0}$, and the slope of the lift curve according to Eq. (4.17) is $2 \pi$ per radian.

The equation for the pitching-moment coefficient about the leading edge is found by substituting Eqs. (4.15) and (4.16) into Eq. (4.8)

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{m_{L \Sigma}}=\left(2 \mu_{0}-\frac{\pi}{2} \beta_{0}\right)-\frac{1}{4} c_{l} \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The pitching-moment coefficient about a point one-quarter of the chord behind the leading edge $c_{m_{o / 4}}$ equals $c_{m_{L E}}+(1 / 4) c_{l}$. From Eq. (4.18),

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{m_{c / 4}}=2 \mu_{0}-\frac{\pi}{2} \cdot \beta_{0} \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be noted that the pitching-moment coefficient about the quarterchord point is independent of the lift coefficient. The quarter-chord point is therefore the aerodynamic center of thin wing sections. The aerodynamic center for most commonly used wing sections is found to be approximately the quarter-chord point at speeds where the velocity of sound is not reached in the field of flow.

By substituting the expression

$$
x=\frac{c}{2}(1-\cos \theta)
$$

into Eqs. (4.13) and (4.14)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{0}=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{y}{c} f_{1}\left(\frac{x}{c}\right) \frac{d \grave{x}}{c} \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{0}=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{y}{c} f_{2}\left(\frac{x}{c}\right) \frac{d x}{c} \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{1}\left(\frac{x}{c}\right) & =\frac{1}{\pi[1-(x / c)] \sqrt{(x / c)[1-(x / c)]}} \\
f_{2}\left(\frac{x}{c}\right) & =\frac{1-(2 x / c)}{\sqrt{(x / c)[1-(x / c)]}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to avoid infinite velocities at the leading edge, it is necessary that the coefficient $A_{0}$ of Eq. (4.5) be equal to zero. From Eq. (4.12), $A_{0}$ equals zero when

$$
\alpha_{0}=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{d y}{d x} d \theta
$$

Substituting the value of $d x$ from Eq. (4.4)

$$
\alpha_{0}=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{d y d \theta}{(c / 2) \sin \theta d \theta}
$$

and integrating by parts

$$
\alpha_{0}=\frac{1}{\pi}\left[\frac{2 y / c}{\sin \theta}\right]_{0}^{\pi}+\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{2(y / c) \cos \theta d \theta}{\sin ^{2} \theta}
$$

As previously discussed in connection with the integration of Eq. (4.13) the first term of this expression equals zero for most wing sections. Substitution of the expression

$$
x=\frac{c}{2}(1-\cos \theta)
$$

gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i}=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{y}{c} f_{3}\left(\frac{x}{c}\right) \frac{d x}{c} \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
f_{3}\left(\frac{x}{c}\right)=\frac{1-(2 x / c)}{2 \pi\{(x / c)[1-(x / c)]\}^{3 / 2}}
$$

This angle of attack is called the "ideal angle of attack" by Theodorsen. ${ }^{121}$ The lift coefficient corresponding to this angle of attack [obtained from Eq. (4.17)] is called the "ideal" or "design" lift coefficient.

In general the angle of zero lift, the pitching moment about the quarterchord point, and the ideal angle of attack may be calculated by graphical integration of Eqs. (4.20), (4.21), and (4.22). For convenience in such calculations, values of the functions $f_{1}, f_{2}$, and $f_{3}$ corresponding to several values of $x / c$ are presented in Table 5. Although the functions $f_{1}, f_{2}$, and $f_{z}$ become infinite at both the leading and trailing edges, the integrands of

Eqs. (4.20) and (4.21) approach zero at the leading edge for mean lines that approach zero faster than $\sqrt{x / c}$. Most mean lines satisfy this condition. Similarly, the integrand of Eq. (4.21) approaches zero at the trailing edge in most cases. In order to avoid the difficulties at the trailing edge in Eq. (4.20) and at the leading and trailing edges in Eq. (4.22), parts of these integrals are evaluated analytically. ${ }^{49}$ The analytical determina-

| Table 5 .-Values of |  | Functions $f_{1}, f_{2}$, and $f_{2}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x / c$ | $f_{1}(x / c)$ | $f_{2}(x / c)$ | $f_{3}(x / c)$ |
| 0 | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ |
| 0.0125 | 2.901 | 8.774 | 113.15 |
| 0.0250 | 2.091 | 6.085 | 39.73 |
| 0.0500 | 1.537 | 4.131 | 13.84 |
| 0.0750 | 1.306 | 3.226 | 7.403 |
| 0.1000 | 1.179 | 2.667 | 4.716 |
| 0.15 | 1.049 | 1.960 | 2.447 |
| 0.20 | 0.995 | 1.502 | 1.492 |
| 0.25 | 0.980 | 1.156 | 0.980 |
| 0.30 | 0.992 | 0.873 | 0.662 |
| 0.40 | 1.083 | 0.408 | 0.271 |
| 0.50 | 1.273 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.60 | 1.624 | -0.408 | -0.271 |
| 0.70 | 2.315 | -0.873 | -0.662 |
| 0.80 | 3.979 | -1.502 | -1.492 |
| 0.90 | 10.61 | -2.667 | -4.716 |
| 0.95 | 29.21 | -4.131 | -13.84 |
| 1.00 | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $-\infty$ |

tion of the increments at the critical points is accomplished by assuming the mean line near the ends to be of the form

$$
\frac{y}{c}=A+B \frac{x}{c}+C\left(\frac{x}{c}\right)^{2}
$$

Evaluation of the increment of the angle of zero lift gives

$$
\Delta \beta_{0}=0.964 y_{0.95}-0.0954 \frac{d y}{d x_{1}} \quad\left(\frac{x}{c}=0.95 \text { to } 1.0\right)
$$

Where $y_{0.95}$ is the ordinate of the mean line at $x_{/} c=0.95$ and $d y / d x_{1}$ is the slope of the mean line at $x / c=1.0$. This increment is added to the value of $\beta_{0}$ obtained by graphical integration from $x / c=0$ to $x / c=0.95$. The increments for the ideal angle of attack are

$$
\Delta \alpha_{i}= \begin{cases}+0.467 y_{0.05}+0.0472 \frac{d y}{d x_{0}} & \left(\frac{x}{c}=0 \text { to } 0.05\right) \\ -0.467 y_{0.95}+0.0472 \frac{d y}{d x_{1}} & \left(\frac{x}{c}=0.9 \dot{y} \text { to } 1.0\right)\end{cases}
$$

These increments are added to the values of $\alpha_{i}$ obtained by graphical integration from $x / c=0.05$ to $x / c=0.95$.

By application of Gauss's rules for numerical integration to the expression for the angle of zero lift, Munk ${ }^{70}$ obtained a simple approximate solution.

$$
-\alpha_{0}=k_{1} y_{1}+k_{2} y_{2}+k_{3} y_{3}+k_{4} y_{4}+k_{5} y_{5}
$$

where $y_{1}, y_{2}$, etc., are the ordinates of the mean line expressed as fractions of the chord at the points $x_{1}, x_{2}$, etc., as tabulated together with corresponding values of the constants $k_{1}, k_{2}$, etc., calculated to give the angle of zero lift in degrees.

| $x_{1}$ | 0.99458 | $k_{1}$ | $1,252.24$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{2}$ | 0.87426 | $k_{2}$ | 109.048 |
| $x_{2}$ | 0.50000 | $k_{3}$ | 32.5959 |
| $x_{4}$ | 0.12574 | $k_{4}$ | 15.6838 |
| $x_{5}$ | 0.00542 | $k_{5}$ | 5.97817 |

This method is useful for quick calculation of the angle of zero lift when a high order of accuracy is not required.

Approximate solutions for the angle of zero lift and the moment coefficient were also obtained by Pankhurst ${ }^{78}$ in the following form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{L=0} & =\Sigma A(U+L) \\
c_{m_{0} / 4} & =\Sigma B(U+L)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $U, L=$ upper and lower ordinates of wing section in fractions of chord
$A, B=$ constants given in following table

| $x$ | $A$ | $B$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 1.45 | -0.119 |
| 0.025 | 2.11 | -0.156 |
| 0.05 | 1.56 | -0.104 |
| 0.1 | 2.41 | -0.124 |
| 0.2 | 2.94 | -0.074 |
| 0.3 | 2.88 | -0.009 |
| 0.4 | 3.13 | 0.045 |
| 0.5 | 3.67 | 0.101 |
| 0.6 | 4.69 | 0.170 |
| 0.7 | 6.72 | 0.273 |
| 0.8 | 11.75 | 0.477 |
| 0.9 | 21.72 | 0.786 |
| 0.95 | 99.85 | 3.026 |
| 1.00 | -164.90 | -4.289 |

Pankhurst's solution for the angle of zero lift is often more convenient than Munk's because the constants are given for stations at which the ordinates are usually specified. The solution is based on the assumptions that the mean-line ordinates are represented satisfactorily by $(U+L) / 2$ and that the tangent of the mean line at the trailing edge coincides with the last two points. The accuracy is accordingly expected to be limited for sections with large curvatures of the mean line near the trailing edge, or for thick, highly cambered sections.
4.4. Design of Mean Lines. It is possible to design mean lines to have certain desired load distributions by means of the theory of thin wing sections. The fundamental relations are Eqs. (4.1), (4.2), and (4.3). A simple example of the manner in which a mean line is designed may be demonstrated by the design of a mean line to have uniform load. ${ }^{113}$

For a uniform chordwise load distribution, the value of $\gamma$ in Eq. (4.2) is a constant over the chord. Equation (4.2) may therefore be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{n}\left(x_{1}\right)=\frac{\gamma}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{c} \frac{d x}{x-x_{1}} \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The lift is

$$
l=\int_{0}^{c} \rho V \gamma d x=\rho V \gamma c
$$

and $c_{l_{i}}$ equals

$$
c_{l_{6}}=\frac{2 l}{\rho V^{2} c}=\frac{2 \gamma}{V}
$$

or

$$
\gamma=\frac{V c_{l_{i}}}{2}
$$

By substitution, Eq. (4.23) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{v_{n}}{V}\left(\frac{x_{1}}{c}\right)=\frac{c_{l_{i}}}{4 \pi} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d(x / c)}{(x / c)-\left(x_{1} / c\right)} \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Special means must be used to integrate Eq. (4.24) because the integrand becomes infinite as $x$ approaches $x_{1}$. The integration is performed as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{v_{n}}{V}\left(\frac{x_{1}}{c}\right) & =\frac{c_{l_{4}}}{4 \pi} \lim _{c \rightarrow 0}\left[\int_{0}^{\left.\left(x_{1} / c\right)-\frac{d(x / c)}{(x / c)-\left(x_{1} / c\right)}+\int_{\left(x_{1} / c\right)+e}^{1} \frac{d(x / c)}{(x / c)-\left(x_{1} / c\right)}\right]}\right. \\
& =\frac{c_{c_{4}}}{4 \pi} \lim _{c \rightarrow 0}\left\{\left[\ln \left(\frac{x}{c}-\frac{x_{1}}{c}\right)\right]_{0}^{x_{1}-c}+\left[\ln \left(\frac{x}{c}-\frac{x_{1}}{c}\right)\right]_{x_{1}+c}^{1}\right\} \\
& =\frac{c_{l_{4}}}{4 \pi}\left[\ln \left(1-\frac{x_{1}}{c}\right)-\ln \left(\frac{x_{1}}{c}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The angle of attack at which the uniform load distribution is realized is zero because the assumed load distribution, and accordingly the mean line, is symmetrical about the mid-chord point. Equation (4.3) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d y}{d x}=\frac{c_{t_{4}}}{4 \pi}\left[\ln \left(1-\frac{x_{1}}{c}\right)-\ln \left(\frac{x_{1}}{c}\right)\right] \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integration of this expression gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=-\frac{c_{i}}{4 \pi}\left[\left(1-\frac{x}{c}\right) \ln \left(1-\frac{x}{c}\right)+\frac{x}{c} \ln \frac{x}{c}\right] \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant of integration has been selected to make the ordinates zero at the leading and trailing edges.

By similar but more complicated processes, the mean lines corresponding to other types of load distribution may be calculated. In this connection, it is interesting to note that the distribution of $\gamma$ and the slope of the mean line are conjugate functions at the ideal angle of attack [see Eqs. (4.5) and (4.11)]. The slope of the mean line can therefore be obtained from the assumed load distribution by the proper application of Naiman's coefficients ${ }^{8,73}$ as in Eq. (3.17). The mean-line ordinates can then be obtained by graphical or analytical methods.

Mean lines have been calculated ${ }^{45}$ corresponding to a load distribution that is uniform from $x / c=0$ to $x / c=a$ and decreases linearly to zero at $x / c=1$. The ordinates are given by the expression

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{y}{c}=\frac{c_{l_{i}}}{2 \pi(a+1)}\left\{\frac{1}{1-a}\right. {\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(a-\frac{x}{c}\right)^{2} \ln \left|a-\frac{x}{c}\right|-\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{x}{c}\right)^{2} \ln \left(1-\frac{x}{c}\right)\right.} \\
&\left.\left.+\frac{1}{4}\left(1-\frac{x}{c}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{4}\left(a-\frac{x}{c}\right)^{2}\right]-\frac{x}{c} \ln \frac{x}{c}+g-h \frac{x}{c}\right\} \tag{4.27}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g=\frac{-1}{1-a}\left[a^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} \ln a-\frac{1}{4}\right)+\frac{1}{4}\right] \\
& h=\frac{1}{1-a}\left[\frac{1}{2}(1-a)^{2} \ln (1-a)-\frac{1}{4}(1-a)^{2}\right]+g
\end{aligned}
$$

The ideal angle of attack for these mean lines is

$$
\alpha_{i}=\frac{c_{l, h}}{2 \pi(a+1)}
$$

It will be noted that the ordinates of the mean lines are directly proportional to the design lift coefficient. The fact that the load distributions and the ordinates of the corresponding mean lines are additive may be seen from examination of Eqs. (4.5) and (4.11). This property is, of course, a direct consequence of the linearizing assumptions made in writing the fundamental expressions (4.1), (4.2), and (4.3). This linearity is convenient because ordinates of a mean line having a load distribution made
up of the sums of load distributions for which the corresponding ordinates are known can be obtained by simply adding the ordinates of the component mean lines.

The linearizing assumptions lead to increasing errors as the slope of the mean line increases. For geometrically similar mean lines, the slope increases with the design lift coefficient, and the errors are consequently greater for the larger design lift coefficients. In the case of the uniformload mean line [Eq. (4.26)], the slope is infinite at the leading and trailing edges, and it is not to be expected that the assumed load will be maintained


Fig. 36. Typical basic load distribution at ideal angle of attack.
near these points. The region in which the slope is large is extremely small, however, because of the manner in which the slope approaches infinity [Eq. (4.25)].
4.5. Engineering Applications of Section Theory. The theory of wing sections presented in Sec. 3.6 permits the calculation of the pressure distribution and certain other characteristics of arbitrary sections with considerable accuracy. Although this method is not unduly laborious, the computations required are too long to permit quick and easy calculations for large numbers of wing sections. The need for a simple method of quickly obtaining pressure distributions with engineering accuracy has led to the development of a method ${ }^{3}$ combining features of thin and thick wing-section theory. This simple method makes use of previously calculated characteristics of a limited number of mean lines and thickness forms that may be combined to form large numbers of related wing sections.

The theory of thin wing sections as presented in Sec. 4.3 and reference 121 shows that the load distribution of a thin section may be considered to consist of

1. A basic distribution at the ideal angle of attack (Fig. 36).
2. An additional distribution proportional to the angle of attack as measured from the ideal angle of attack (Fig. 37).

The first load distribution is a function only of the shape of the thin wing section or (if the thin wing section is considered to be a mean line) of the mean-line geometry. Integration of this load distribution along the
chord results in a normal force coefficient which, at small angles of attack, is substantially equal to the design lift coefficient. If, moreover, the camber of the mean line is changed by multiplying the mean-line ordinates by a constant factor, the resulting load distribution, the ideal or design angle of attack $\alpha_{i}$, and the design lift coefficient $c_{k}$ may be obtained simply by multiplying the original values by the same factor.

The second load distribution, which results from changing the angle of attack, is designated the "additional load distribution," and the corresponding lift coefficient is designated the "additional lift coefficient."


Fra. 37. Additional load distribution associated with angle of attack
This additional load distribution contributes no moment about the quarterchord point and, according to the theory of thin wing sections, is independent of the geometry of the wing section except for angle of attack. The additional load distribution obtained from the theory of thin wing sections is of limited practical application, however, because this simple theory leads to infinite values of the velocity at the leading edge. This difficulty is obviated by the exact theory of wing sections presented in Sec. 3.6, which also shows that the additional load distribution is neither completely independent of the airfoil shape nor exactly a linear function of the angle of attack. Suitable additional load distributions can be calculated by the methods of Sec. 3.6 for each thickness form (symmetrical section) and a typical value of the additional lift coefficient. The variation of the additional load distribution with the additional lift coefficient is arbitrarily assumed to be linear.

In addition to the pressure distributions associated with these two load distributions, another pressure distribution exists which is associated with the thickness form, or basic symmetrical section, at zero angle of attack, and which is calculated by the methods of Sec. 3.6.

The velocity distribution about the wing section is thus considered to be composed of three separate and independent components as follows:

1. The distribution corresponding to the velocity distribution over the basic thickness form at zero angle of attack.
2. The distribution corresponding to the load distribution of the mean line at its ideal angle of attack.
3. The distribution corresponding to the additional load distribution associated with angle of attack.

The local load at any chordwise position is caused by a difference of velocity between the upper and the lower surfaces. It is assumed ${ }^{7}$ that the velocity increment on one surface is equal to the velocity decrement on the other surface. This assumption is in accord with the basic concept of the distribution of circulation used in the theory of thin wing sections. It can be shown that the relation between the local load coefficient $P_{R}$ and the velocity increment ratios $\Delta v / V$ or $\Delta v_{a} / V$ is

$$
\frac{\Delta v}{V} \quad \text { or } \quad \frac{\Delta v_{a}}{V}=\frac{1}{4} \frac{P_{R}}{v / V}
$$

where $v / V$ is the velocity ratio at the corresponding point on the surface of the basic thickness form. In actual practice the value of $\Delta v / V$ used is that corresponding to the thin wing section or mean line where $v / V$ equals unity:

The velocity increment ratios $\Delta v / V$ and $\Delta v_{a} / V$ corresponding to components 2 and 3 are added to the velocity ratio corresponding to component 1 to obtain the total velocity at one point from which the pressure coefficient $S$ is obtained, thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\left(\frac{v}{V} \pm \frac{\Delta v}{V} \pm \frac{\Delta v_{a}}{V}\right)^{2} \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

This procedure is illustrated in Fig. 38. When this formula is used, values of the ratios corresponding to one value of $x / c$ are added together and the resulting value of the pressure coefficient $S$ is assigned to the surface of the wing section at the same value of $x / c$. The values of $\Delta v / V$ and $\Delta v_{a} / V$ are positive on the upper surface and negative on the lower surface for positive values of the corresponding local loads.

When the ratio $\Delta v_{a} / V$ has the value of zero, integration of the resulting pressure-distribution diagram will give approximately the design lift coefficient $c_{l}$ of the mean line. In general, however, the value of $c_{l}$ will be greater than $c_{l}$ by an amount dependent on the thickness ratio of the basic thickness form. This discrepancy is caused by applying the values of $\Delta v / V$ obtained for the mean line to the sections of finite thickness where $v / V$ is greater than unity over most of the surface.

The pressure distribution will usually be desired at some specified lift coefficient not corresponding to $c_{l}$. For this purpose, the ratio $\Delta v_{a} / V$ must


Fig. 38. Synthesis of pressure distribution.
be assigned some value by multiplying the originally calculated values of this ratio by a factor $f(\alpha)$. For a first approximation, this factor may be assigned the value

$$
f(\alpha)=\frac{c_{l}-c_{l_{i}}}{c_{b_{0}}}
$$

where $c_{l}$ is the lift coefficient for which the pressure distribution is desired and $c_{i_{0}}$ is the lift coefficient for which the values of $\Delta v_{a} / V$ were originally calculated. If greater accuracy is desired, the value of $f(\alpha)$ may be ad-
justed by trial and error to produce the actual desired life coefficient as determined by integration of the pressure-distribution diagram.

Although this method of superposition of velocities has inadequate theoretical justification, experience has shown that the results obtained are


Fig. 39. Comparison of theoretical and experimental pressure distributions for the NACA 66(215)-216 airfoil; $c=0.23$
adequate for many engineering uses. In fact the results of even the first approximation agree well with experimental data and are adequate for at least preliminary consideration and selection of wing sections. A comparison of a first-approximation theoretical pressure distribution with an experimental distribution is shown in Fig. 39. Some discrepancy naturally occurs between the results of experiment and the results of any theoretical method based on potential flow because of the presence of the boundary layer. These effects are small, however, over the range of lift coefficients for which the boundary layer is thin and the drag coefficient is low.

## EHAPTER 5

## THE EFFECTS OF VISCOSITY

### 5.1. Symbols.

A constant
F velocity gradient
F skin friction
H total pressure outside boundary layer
$H$ ratio of the displacement thickness to the momentum thickness
$K$ constant
$L$ characteristic length
$L_{f}$ length of mean free path of molecules
$R$ Reynolds number $\rho V L / \mu$
$\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{c}}$ Reynolds number $\rho V \mathrm{~V} / \mu$
$R_{r}$ Reynolds number $\rho \bar{u} r / \mu$
$R_{x}$ Reynolds number $\rho V x / \mu$
$R_{\delta^{*}}$ Reynolds number $\rho V \delta^{*} / \mu$ or $\rho U \delta^{*} / \mu$
$R_{\theta}$ Reynolds number $\rho U \theta / \mu$
$U$ velocity outside boundary layer
$U_{0}$ maximum velocity
$U_{0}$ velocity outside boundary layer at the point $x_{0}$
U. velocity outside boundary
$U_{x}$ value of $U$ at the point $x$
$V$ velocity of the free streamc chord
$c_{d}$ section drag coefficient
$c_{F}$ skin-friction coefficient
cs local skin-friction coefficient
d diameter of pipe

- base of Naperian logarithms, 2.71828
$l$ length
$l$ wave length
In logarithm to the base e
$\log$ logarithm to the base 10
$n$ exponent
p local static pressure
q dynamic pressure
qo dynamic pressure of the free stream $1 / 2 \rho V^{2}$
radius of pipe
$u$ component of velocity in boundary layer along the $x$ axis
$\bar{u}$ average velocity of flow in pipe
$v$ component of velocity in boundary layer along the $y$ axis
vi mean molecular velocity
$v^{*}$ friction velocity $\sqrt{\tau / \rho}$
$v_{n}$ velocity of slip

[^2]5.2. Concept of Reynolds Number and Boundary Layer. In order to be able to compare directly the forces acting on geometrically similar bodies of various sizes at various air speeds, it is customary to express the forces in terms of nondimensional coefficients, as explained in Chap. 1. For geometrically similar configurations, these coefficients tend to remain constant, and they would remain exactly constant if all factors influencing the flow about the body were properly accounted for. It is well known, however, that some characteristics such as the drag and maximum lift coefficients vary with the size of the wing for a given air speed, and with the air speed for a given size of wing.

The two most important factors that are neglected in defining the coefficients are effects associated with the compressibility and viscosity of air. An examination of the complete equations of motion ${ }^{89}$ shows the significant parameters to be the ratio of the air speed to the speed of sound in air (the Mach number) and the Reynolds number $\rho V L / \mu$ where $\rho$ is the mass density of the fluid, $V$ is the velocity of the free stream, $L$ is the characteristic length, and, $\mu$ is the viscosity of the fluid. It can be shown that similarity of the flows about different bodies is obtained only if the bodies are geometrically similar and if the Reynolds number and the Mach number are the same. At speeds where the pressure variations around the body are small compared with the absolute pressure (low Mach numbers) the effects of compressibility are negligible, and the viscous effects may be considered independently.

Some concept of the physical significance of the Reynolds number may be obtained by expressing this number in terms of the mean velocity and the mean free path of the molecules, as suggested by von Kármán. ${ }^{137}$ The kinetic theory of gases indicates that the coefficient of viscosity $\mu$ of a homogeneous gas may be expressed by a formula of the type ${ }^{56}$
where $K=$ constant

$$
\mu=K \rho \bar{v} \bar{L}_{f}
$$

$\bar{v}=$ mean molecular velocity
$\bar{L}_{f}=$ mean free path of the molecules
Substituting this value of $\mu$ into the formula for the Reynolds number, we obtain

$$
R=\frac{1}{K} \frac{V}{\bar{v}} \frac{L}{\bar{L}_{f}}
$$

where $R=$ Reynolds number
The Reynolds number is thus proportional to the product of the ratio of the speed of the body to the mean speed of the molecules and of the ratio of the size of the body to the mean free path of the molecules. For bodies of ordinary size moving at low Mach numbers in air of ordinary density, the ratio $V / v$ is small and the ratio $L / \bar{L}_{f}$ is very large. Under these conditions, the flow around the body corresponds to the conditions under which this formula was derived, namely, that the velocities are not large compared with the mean velocity and that the spatial dimensions of the phenomenon are large compared with the mean free path.

The mean velocity $\bar{v}$ is of the same order of magnitude as the velocity of sound, and consequently the ratio $V / v$ is of the same order as the Mach number. It may accordingly be inferred that the Reynolds and Mach numbers are not independent parameters and that the scale effects experienced at high Mach numbers would be different from those at low Mach numbers even though the Reynolds numbers were the same in each case. Moreover, in a rarefied gas, as at extremely high altitudes, the mean free path may be of the same order as, or even much larger than, the characteristic length of the body. The concept of Reynolds number as presented here presupposes a mean free path that is small compared with the thickness of the boundary layer. Consequently the significance of this concept as applied to flows in very rarefied gases or at high Mach numbers is uncertain. Under such conditions, it is preferable to compare flows on the basis of the independent parameters $V / v$ and $L / \bar{L}_{f}$.

The effects of viscosity are of primary importance in a thin region near the surface of the wing called the "boundary layer." Boundary layers, in general, are of two types, namely, laminar and turbulent. The flow in the laminar layer is smooth and free from any eddying motion. The flow in the turbulent layer is characterized by the presence of a large number of relatively small eddies. The eddies in the turbulent layer produce a trans-
fer of momentum from the relatively fast moving outer parts of the boundary layer to the portions closer to the surface. Consequently the distribution of average velocity is characterized by relatively higher velocities near the surface and a greater total boundary-layer thickness in a turbulent than in a laminar boundary layer developed under otherwise identical conditions. Skin friction is therefore higher for turbulent boundary-layer flow than for laminar flow.

Aerodynamically, the concept of the boundary layer is based on the premise of a continuous homogeneous viscous fluid. According to this concept the velocity within the boundary layer varies from zero at the surface to the full local stream value at the outer edge of the layer. Such a concept is valid only if the density of the gas is sufficiently great to limit the mean free path of the molecules to a length very small compared with the thickness of the boundary layer. The kinetic theory of gases ${ }^{56}$ indicates that the velocity at the surface is not exactly zero but that there is a velocity of slip proportional to the velocity gradient.

$$
v_{t}=\xi \frac{d u}{d y}
$$

The coefficient of slip $\xi$ has the dimension of length and may be considered as a backward displacement of the wall with the velocity gradient extending effectively right up to the displaced wall where the velocity is zero. It has been shown by Maxwell, Millikan, and others that, for most surfaces, the coefficient of slip is very nearly equal to the mean free path of the molecules. At ordinary altitudes, this distance is so small that it may properly be neglected. At very high altitudes, this slip velocity may have large effects even though the mean free path is still only a fraction of the boundary-layer thickness. At still higher altitudes where the mean free path is long, the entire concept of the boundary layer and viscosity as presented here becomes invalid.
5.3. Flow around Wing Sections. When the pressures along the wing surfaces are increasing in the direction of flow, a general deceleration takes place. At the outer limits of the boundary layer, this deceleration takes place in accordance with Bernoulli's law. Closer to the surface, no such simple law can be given because of the action of the viscous forces within the boundary layer. In general, however, the relative loss of speed is somewhat greater for the particles of fluid within the boundary layer than for those at the outer limits of the layer, because the reduced kinetic energy of the boundary-layer air limits its ability to flow against the adverse pressure gradient. If the rise in pressure is sufficiently great, portions of the fluid within the boundary layer may actually have their direction of motion reversed and may start moving upstream. When this reversal occurs, the boundary layer is said to be "separated." Because of the increased inter-
change of momentum from different parts of the layer, turbulent boundary layers are much more resistant to separation than are laminar layers. Except under very special circumstances laminar boundary layers can exist for only a relatively short distance in a region in which the pressure increases in the direction of flow.

After laminar separation occurs, the flow may either leave the surface permanently or reattach itself in the form of a turbulent layer. Not much is known concerning the factors controlling this phenomenon. Laminar separation on wings is usually not permanent at flight values of the Reynolds number except when it occurs on some wing sections near the leading edge under conditions corresponding to maximum lift. The size of the locally separated region that is formed when the laminar boundary layer separates and the flow returns to the surface decreases with increasing Reynolds number at a given angle of attack.

The flow over aerodynamically smooth wings at low and moderate lift coefficients is characterized by laminar boundary layers from the leading edge back to approximately the location of the first minimum-pressure point on both upper and lower surfaces. If the region of laminar flow is extensive, separation occurs immediately downstream from the location of minimum pressure and the flow returns to the surface almost inmediately at flight Reynolds numbers as a turbulent layer. This turbulent boundary layer extends to the trailing edge. If the surfaces are not sufficiently smooth and fair, if the air stream is turbulent, or perhaps if the Reynolds number is sufficiently large, transition from laminar to turbulent flow may occur anywhere upstream of the calculated laminar separation point.

For low and moderate lift coefficients where inappreciable separation occurs, the wing profile drag is largely caused by skin friction, and the value of the drag coefficient depends mostly on the relative amounts of laminar and turbulent flow, If the location of transition is known or assumed, the drag coefficient may be calculated with reasonable accuracy from boundary-layer theory.

As the lift coefficient of the wing is increased by changing the angle of attack, the resulting application of the additional type of lift distribution moves the minimum-pressure point upstream on the upper surface, and the possible extent of laminar flow is thus reduced. The resulting greater proportion of turbulent flow, together with the larger average velocity of flow over the surfaces, causes the drag to increase with lift coefficient.

At high lift coefficients, a large part of the drag is contributed by pressure or form drag resulting from separation of the flow from the surface. The flow over the upper surface is characterized by a negative pressure peak near the leading edge, which causes laminar separation. The onset of turbulence causes the flow to return to the surface as a turbulent boundary
layer. High Reynolds numbers are favorable to the development of turbulence and aid in this process. If the lift coefficient is sufficiently high or if the reestablishment of the flow following laminar separation is unduly delayed by low Reynolds numbers, the turbulent layer will separate from the surface near the trailing edge with resulting large drag increases. The eventual loss of lift with increasing angle of attack may result either from relatively sudden failure of the boundary layer to reattach itself to the surface following separation of the laminar boundary layer near the leading edge or from progressive forward movement of turbulent separation. Under the latter condition, the flow over a relatively large portion of the surface may be separated prior to maximum lift.
5.4. Characteristics of the Laminar Layer. The characteristics of the laminar boundary layer may be deduced from detailed consideration of the general equations of motion. For two-dimensional steady motion neglecting the effects of compressibility, these equations are ${ }^{28}$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}=-\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}+\frac{\mu}{\rho}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}\right) \\
u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}+v \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}=-\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial y}+\frac{\mu}{\rho}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial y^{2}}\right) \tag{5.1}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

These equations are known as the "Navier-Stokes equations." Their general solution is difficult. A simple integration can be performed only in special cases. These equations, however, may be simplified by the use of dimensionless variables and consideration of the order of magnitude of each term. Prandt ${ }^{87}$ has shown that, if the viscous effects are assumed to be confined to a thin layer over the surface, the following approximate relation applies in this region

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}=-\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}+\frac{\mu}{\rho} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation is a simplified form of the first relation of Eq. (5.1). Consideration of the order of magnitude of the various terms of the second relation of Eq. (5.1) indicates that $\partial p / \partial y$ is small and hence that pressures are transmitted unchanged through the boundary layer.

An interesting conclusion is reached by substitution of the following nondimensional variables in Eq. (5.2).

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x_{1}=\frac{x}{c} & y_{1}=\frac{y}{c} \sqrt{R} \\
u_{1}=\frac{u}{V} & v_{1}=\frac{v}{V} \sqrt{R} \\
p_{1}=\frac{p}{\rho V^{2}} &
\end{array}
$$

Equation (5.2) becomes

$$
u_{1} V \frac{\partial\left(u_{1} V\right)}{\partial\left(x_{1} c\right)}+\frac{v_{1} V}{\sqrt{R}} \frac{\partial\left(u_{1} V\right)}{\partial\left(y_{1} c / \sqrt{R}\right)}=-\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial\left(p_{1} \rho V^{2}\right)}{\partial\left(x_{1} c\right)}+\frac{\partial^{2}\left(u_{1} V\right)}{\partial\left(y_{1} c / \sqrt{R}\right)^{2}}
$$

and, upon simplification,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}+v_{1} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial y_{1}}=-\frac{\partial p_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u_{1}}{\partial y_{1}{ }^{2}} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It will be noted that the viscosity does not appear directly in Eq. (5 3). Solution of this equation will give $u_{1}$ and $v_{1}$ in terms of $x_{1}$ and $y_{1}$. Becsuse $y_{1}$ equals $(y / c) \sqrt{R}$, the effect of variations of the Reynolds number will be merely to change $y$ in a manner inversely proportional to the square root


Fig. 40. Effect of pressure gradient on velocity distribution in laminar boundary layer.
of the Reynolds number. It follows directly that the thickness of the laminar boundary layer varies inversely with the square root of the Reynolds number. It may also be concluded that the shape of the velocity distribution through the boundary layer is independent of the Reynolds number, unless, of course, the pressure distribution is a function of the Reynolds number. It also follows that the position of the laminar separation point, characterized by the condition that $\partial u / \partial y$ is zero at the surface, is independent of the Reynolds number.

A considerable amount of information concerning the velocity distribution in the laminar boundary layer can be derived from Eq. (5.3) without actually attempting a solution. At the surface $u_{1}$ and $v_{1}$ must both equal zero. At the outer limit of the boundary layer $u_{1}$ approaches a constant value. Consequently near the outer edge of the layer $\partial^{2} u / \partial y^{2}$ must be negative and approach zero with increasing distance from the surface, as shown in Fig. 40. At the surface, Eq. (5.3) simplifies to the form

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} u_{1}}{\partial y_{1}{ }^{2}}=\frac{\partial p_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}
$$

When $\partial p_{1} / \partial x_{1}$ is positive (increasing pressure in the direction of flow'), $\partial^{2} u / \partial y^{2}$ must be positive at the surface, and the shape of the velocity distribution will resemble that shown in Fig. 40 for this condition. Similarly the velocity distribution must be linear near the surface for zero pressure
gradient, and the velocity profile will be continuously convex upward as shown in Fig. 40 for decreasing pressures in the direction of flow.
5.5. Laminar Skin Friction. Blasius ${ }^{14}$ obtained a solution for Eq. (5.2) for the case where $\partial p / \partial x$ is zero, that is, for a flat plate with uniform velocity outside the boundary layer. Blasius found that Eq. (5.2) could be greatly simplified by assuming a variable oi the form

$$
\eta=\frac{y}{x} \sqrt{R_{x}}
$$

where $R_{x}=\rho V x / \mu$ and by the introduction of the nondimensional stream function

$$
\psi_{1}=\int_{0}^{\eta} u_{1} d \eta=f(\eta)
$$

where $u_{1}=u / V$
The true stream function is

$$
\begin{gathered}
\psi=\int_{0}^{y} u d y \\
\psi=\frac{V x}{\sqrt{R_{x}}} \int_{0}^{\eta} u_{1} d \eta=\frac{V x}{\sqrt{R_{x}}} f(\eta)=\sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\rho} x V} f(\eta) \\
u=\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}=\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial y}=\left[\sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\rho} x V f^{\prime}(\eta)}\right]\left(\frac{\sqrt{R_{x}}}{x}\right)=V f^{\prime}(\eta)
\end{gathered}
$$

The velocity $v$ perpendicular to the flat plate is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v=-\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}=-\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} \\
& v=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\mu \bar{V}}{\rho x}}\left[\eta f^{\prime}(\eta)-f(\eta)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Making similar calculations for $\partial u / d x, \partial u / d y$, and $\partial^{2} u / \partial y^{2}$ and substituting in Eq. (5.2) with $\partial p / \partial x$ zero, we obtain
or

$$
-\frac{V^{2}}{2 x} \eta f^{\prime}(\eta) f^{\prime \prime}(\eta)+\frac{V^{2}}{2 x}\left[\eta f^{\prime}(\eta)-f(\eta)\right] f^{\prime \prime}(\eta)=\frac{\mu}{\rho} \frac{V^{2}}{x} \frac{\rho}{\mu} f^{\prime \prime \prime}(\eta)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\eta) f^{\prime \prime}(\eta)+2 f^{\prime \prime \prime}(\eta)=0 \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fact that neither $x$ nor $y$ appears explicitly in Eq. (5.4) indicates that the initial assumption that $\psi_{1}$ is a function of $\eta$ alone is correct, that is, $u_{1}$ is a function of $\eta$ alone.

The boundary conditions for the solution of Eq. (5.4) are that

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
f(\eta) & =0 & \text { for } \eta=0 \\
f^{\prime}(\eta) & =1 & & \text { for } \eta \rightarrow \infty \\
f^{\prime \prime}(\eta) & \rightarrow 0 & & \text { for } \eta \rightarrow \infty
\end{array}
$$

Blasius obtained the solution of Eq. (5.4) by an approximate integration in a Taylor series. ${ }^{12}$ The solution has not been given in explicit form but is presented in the following table.

| $\eta$ | $f^{\prime}(\eta)$ | $\eta$ | $f^{\prime}(\eta)$ | $\eta$ | $f^{\prime}(\eta)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 2.0 | 0.6298 | 4.0 | 0.9555 |
| 0.2 | 0.0664 | 2.2 | 0.6813 | 4.2 | 0.9670 |
| 0.4 | 0.1328 | 2.4 | 0.7290 | 4.4 | 0.9759 |
| 0.6 | 0.1990 | 2.6 | 0.7725 | 4.6 | 0.9827 |
| 0.8 | 0.2647 | 2.8 | 0.8115 | 4.8 | 0.9878 |
| 1.0 | 0.3298 | 3.0 | 0.8461 | 5.0 | 0.9916 |
| 1.2 | 0.3938 | 3.2 | 0.8761 | 5.2 | 0.9943 |
| 1.4 | 0.4563 | 3.4 | 0.9018 | 5.4 | 0.9962 |
| 1.6 | 0.5168 | 3.6 | 0.9233 | 5.6 | 0.9975 |
| 1.8 | 0.5778 | 3.8 | 0.9411 | 5.8 | 0.9984 |
|  |  |  |  | 6.0 | 0.9990 |

A plot of these values is given in Fig. 41. These values define the velocity distribution in a laminar boundary layer in the absence of a pre:-


Fig. 41. Velocity distribution in the boundary layer over a flat plate according to Blasius.
sure gradient. The form of the variables in which this velocity distribution is specified shows that the boundary-layer thickness increases as the square root of the distance from the leading edge and inversely as the square root of the Reynolds number and that the velocity distribution is similar at all points along a flat plate.

In addition to the velocity distribution through the boundary layer,
the solution of Eq. (5.4) gives a value of $f^{\prime \prime}(\eta)$ at the surface equal to 0.332 , that is,

$$
0.332=f^{\prime \prime}(\eta)=\frac{d(u / V)}{\partial \eta}=\frac{d(u / V)}{d\left[(y / x) \sqrt{R_{x}}\right]}
$$

For the skin friction, we have

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\tau=\mu\left(\frac{\partial u}{d y}\right)_{y=0}=0.332 \frac{\mu V}{x} \sqrt{R_{x}}  \tag{5.5}\\
c_{f}=\frac{\tau}{1 / 2 \rho V^{2}}=0.664 \frac{\mu}{\rho V x} \sqrt{R_{x}}=\frac{0.664}{\sqrt{R_{x}}}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The total drag coefficient for one side of a flat plate is then given by

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{P} & =\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} c_{f} d x=\frac{1}{x} \int_{0}^{x} \frac{0.664}{\sqrt{x}} \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\rho V}} d x \\
& =\frac{1}{x}\left(1.323 \sqrt{\frac{\mu x}{\rho \mid}}\right)=\frac{1.328}{\sqrt{R_{x}}} \tag{5.6}
\end{align*}
$$

The obvious definition of the boundary-layer thickness is the distance from the surface to where the local velocity defect due to viscosity is zero, that is, $u / V=1$. Practically, this distance is not well defined because $u / V$ approaches unity asymptotically. A definition that has frequently been used is that the boundary-layer thickness is the distance from the surface to where $u / V=0.995$. The preceding table indicates that, according to this definition, $\delta / x \cong 5.3 / \sqrt{R_{x}}$. A thickness parameter that is convenient for experimental work is the distance from the surface to the point where $(u / V)^{2}=1 / 2$ or $\delta / x \cong 2.3 / \sqrt{R_{x}}$. For theoretical work it is frequently desirable to obtain a measure of the effect of the boundary layer on the outside flow. The reduced velocities within the boundary layer cause a displacement of the streamlines around the body. This displacement is called the "displacement thickness" of the boundary layer $\delta^{*}$ and is calculated from the relation

$$
\delta^{*}=\frac{1}{V} \int_{0}^{\delta}(V-u) d y
$$

or in the general case

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{*}=\frac{1}{U} \int_{0}^{\delta}(U-u) d y \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U=$ velocity just outside boundary layer
For the laminar boundary layer over a flat plate with zero pressure gradient

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\delta^{*}}{x}=\frac{1.73}{\sqrt{R_{x}}} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

5.6. Momentum Relation. The Karmán integral relation ${ }^{27,158}$ provides a method for relating the boundary-layer thickness to the pressure distribution if the skin friction and the velocity distribution through the boundary layer are known or assumed. The relation is useful because good approximations to the skin friction and the velocity distribution can often be obtained from consideration of local conditions. This relation is applicable to both laminar and turbulent boundary layers. The Kármán integral relation is based on the principle of mechanics that, if any closed surface is described within a steady stream of fluid, the time rate of increase, within the surface, of momentum in any direction is equal to the sum of the components in that direction of all the forces acting on the fluid.


Fic. 42. Forces on an element of the boundary layer. If body forces such as weight are absent, the only forces to be considered are those acting on the surface, that is, normal forces caused by pressures and tangential forces caused by viscous shearing.

Consider the equilibrium of the element shown in Fig. 42. The momentum from left to right entering the left face per unit time is

$$
\int_{0}^{\delta} u(\rho u d y)
$$

while the momentum leaving the right face per unit time is

$$
\int_{0}^{\delta} u(\rho u d y)+\left[\frac{d}{d x} \int_{0}^{\delta} u(\rho u d y)\right] d x
$$

The mass flow per unit time leaving the right face must be the sum of the mass flow entering the left face plus the mass flow entering the upper boundary. The mass flow per unit time through the upper boundary is

$$
\frac{d}{d x}\left(\int_{0}^{\delta} \rho u d y\right) d x
$$

This mass enters with the velocity $U$. The momentum entering the upper boundary per unit time is then

$$
U\left(\frac{d}{d x} \int_{0}^{\delta} p u d y\right) d x
$$

The total rate of change of momentum from left to right in the element is then

$$
\left(\frac{d}{d x} \int_{0}^{\delta} \rho u^{2} d y\right) d x-U\left(\frac{d}{d x} \int_{0}^{\delta} \rho u d y\right) d x
$$

The forces acting on the element are

$$
\begin{aligned}
p \delta & \text { on the left face } \\
-\left[p \delta+\frac{d}{d x}(p \delta) d x\right] & \text { on the right face } \\
p \frac{d \delta}{d x} d x & \text { on the upper boundary } \\
-\tau d x & \text { on the lower boundary }
\end{aligned}
$$

The resultant force is

$$
-\frac{d}{d x}(p \delta) d x+p \frac{d \delta}{d x} d x-\tau d x
$$

$$
-\delta \frac{d p}{d x} d x-\tau d x
$$

Equating the resultant force and the rate of change of momentum

$$
\left(\frac{d}{d x} \int_{0}^{\delta} \rho u^{2} d y\right) d x-U\left(\frac{d}{d x} \int_{0}^{\delta} \rho u d y\right) d x=-\delta \frac{d p}{d x} d x-\tau d x
$$

Dividing through by $\rho d x$, we obtain

$$
\frac{d}{d x} \int_{0}^{\delta} u^{2} d y-U \frac{d}{d x} \int_{0}^{\delta} u d y=-\frac{\delta}{\rho} \frac{d p}{d x}-\frac{\tau}{\rho}
$$

This equation is not very convenient because the values of the integrands do not approach zero at the upper limit of integration. To avoid this difficulty the integrands are expressed in terms of the variable ( $U-u$ ). by making the substitution

$$
\begin{gathered}
u=U-(U-u) \\
\frac{d}{d x} \int_{0}^{\delta}[U-(U-u)]^{2} d y-U \frac{d}{d x} \int_{0}^{\delta}[U-(U-u)] d y=-\frac{\delta}{\rho} \frac{d p}{d x}-\frac{\tau}{\rho}
\end{gathered}
$$

Expanding and collecting terms
$U \delta \frac{d U}{d x}-\frac{d}{d x} U \int_{0}^{\bar{o}}(U-u) d y+U \frac{d}{d x} \int_{0}^{\delta}(U-u) d y-\frac{d}{d x} \int_{0}^{\delta}(U-u) u d y$

$$
=\frac{\delta}{\rho} \frac{d p}{d x}-\frac{\tau}{\rho}
$$

At the outer limit of the boundary layer, Bernoulli's equation is valid; hence

$$
\frac{d}{d x}\left(p+\frac{1}{2} \rho U^{2}\right)=\frac{d H}{d x}=0
$$

and

$$
\frac{d p}{d x}=-\rho U \frac{d U}{d x}
$$

Substitution of this relation in the previous equation gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{d U}{d x} \int_{0}^{\delta}(U-u) d y-\frac{d}{d x} \int_{0}^{\delta}(U-u) u d y=-\frac{\tau}{\rho} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation is known as the "Kármán integral relation." In this form the integrals approach zero as the upper limit is approached. This equation is suitable for the experimental determination of the skin friction, although the accuracy of the determination may be affected considerably by the form in which this equation is expressed.

Another form of Eq. (5.9) can be obtained by the use of the displacement and momentum thicknesses. The displacement thickness is defined by Eq. (5.7). The momentum thickness is related to the loss of momentum of the air in the boundary layer and is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=\int_{0}^{\delta}\left(1-\frac{u}{U}\right) \frac{u}{U} d y=\frac{1}{U^{2}} \int_{0}^{\delta}(U-u) u d y \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitution of $\delta^{*}$ and $\theta$ in Eq. (5.9) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
U \delta^{*} \frac{d U}{d x}+\frac{d}{d x} U^{2} \theta & =\frac{\tau}{\rho} \\
U \delta^{*} \frac{d U}{d x}+2 U \theta \frac{d U}{d x}+U^{2} \frac{d \theta}{d x} & =\frac{\tau}{\rho} \\
\frac{d \theta}{d x}+\frac{\theta}{U} \frac{d U}{d x}\left(\frac{\delta^{*}}{\theta}+2\right) & =\frac{\tau}{\rho U^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Introducing the shape parameter $H=\delta^{*} / \theta$ and the dynamic pressure $q=1 / 2 \rho U^{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \theta}{d x}+\left(\frac{H+2}{2}\right) \frac{\theta}{q} \frac{d q}{d x}=\frac{\tau}{2 q} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (5.11) is in a convenient form for the calculation of the thickness of the laminar boundary layer when the pressures are not constant, as was assumed in the Blasius solution. ${ }^{132}$ In many cases of interest, the pressure gradients are sufficiently small so that the type of velocity distribution through the boundary layer will not differ greatly from that in the boundary layer of a flat plate. Application of Eq. (5.10) to the Blasius distribution gives a value of $\theta / x$ equal to $0.664 / \sqrt{R_{x}}$. Consequently the value of $H$ for the Blasius distribution is $1.73 / 0.664=2.605$. From Eq. (5.5),

$$
\tau=0.332 \frac{\mu U}{x} \sqrt{R_{x}}
$$

Substituting the value of $\theta$

$$
\tau=0.664 \times 0.332 \frac{\mu U}{\theta}=0.220 \frac{\mu U}{\theta}
$$

Substituting the values for $H$ and $\tau$ in Eq. (5.11)

$$
\frac{d \theta}{d x}+\left(\frac{4.605}{2}\right)\left(\frac{\theta}{1 / 2 \rho U^{2}}\right) \rho U \frac{d U}{d x}=\frac{0.220 \mu U}{\rho U^{2} \theta}
$$

By use of the integrating factor $2 \theta U^{9.210}$, this expression becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta^{2}=\frac{0.440 \mu}{\rho U_{x}^{9.210}} \int_{0}^{x} U^{8.210} d x \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U_{x}=$ velocity at limit $x$
If $U$ is known as a function of $x$, that is, if the pressure distribution is known, Eq. (5.12) permits the boundary-layer thickness to be calculated approximately if the pressure gradients are sufficiently small for the actual distribution of velocity through the boundary layer to be approximated by the Blasius distribution. For most wing sections at low and moderate lift coefficients, the pressure gradients satisfy this condition back to the position of minimum pressure. The skin friction may be assumed to be that corresponding to the Blasius velocity distribution for the calculated thickness of the boundary layer. A convenient way of performing these calculations is to find an equivalent length of flat plate ${ }^{132}$ which is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{e}=\frac{1}{U_{x}^{8.210}} \int_{0}^{x} U^{8.210} d x \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{e}$ is the length of flat plate in a stream of velocity $U_{x}$ that will generate the same laminar boundary-layer thickness as actually exists on the body in question at the point $x$.
5.7. Laminar Separation. It was pointed out in Sec. 5.3 that the laminar boundary layer cannot ordinarily exist for long distances in a region where the pressures are increasing in the direction of flow, and the extent of the laminar boundary layer under such conditions is limited by separation. The Blasius solution does not, of course, give any information about laminar separation because this solution is premised on the absence of pressure gradients. Calculations of the location of laminar separation require a solution of the boundary-layer equations in more general form. One such attempt was made by Pohlhausen. ${ }^{86}$ He assumed that the velocity distribution in the boundary layer could be expressed by a polynomial of the form

$$
\frac{u}{U}=a_{1} \frac{y}{\delta}+a_{2}\left(\frac{y}{\delta}\right)^{2}+a_{3}\left(\frac{y}{\delta}\right)^{3}+a_{4}\left(\frac{y}{\delta}\right)^{4}
$$

Using plausible assumptions regarding the physical characteristics of the layer, Pohlhausen developed a method for calculating the velocity distribution in the boundary layer and the position of the separation point. This method has only limited applicability to the calculation of the laminar separation point. ${ }^{105}$ Kármán and Millikan ${ }^{141}$ obtained more accurate
solutions of Eq. (5.2). The solutions are involved and require much calculation, but the results appear to be satisfactory.

For most actual work on wing sections, the extent of the laminar boundary layer in regions of adverse pressure gradients is too short to require much detailed attention. It is frequently desirable, however, to know the possible extent of the laminar layer as determined by laminar separation. It has been possible to develop a method for applying the Kármán-Millikan solution to the rapid estimation of the laminar separation point ${ }^{132}$ which is sufficiently accurate for most applications. More detailed studies may, however, be required for the condition associated with maximum lift.

The method for rapidly estimating the position of laminar separation is based on the assumption that it is possible to replace the actual velocity distribution effectively by a simplified velocity distribution consisting of a region of uniform velocity followed by a region of linearly decreasing velocity. The actual pressure distribution up to the point of minimum pressure is used to calculate the equivalent length of flat plate from Eq. (5.13). Because $d p / d x$ is zero at the point of minimum pressure, the velocity distribution in the boundary layer at this point must approximate the Blasius distribution very closely. The replacement of the actual pressure distribution downstream of the point of minimum pressure by a !inear velocity gradient is usually justified by the relatively small extent of the laminar boundary layer in this region. Consequently, the laminar separation point may be estimated from positions calculated for a family of such simplified pressure distributions.

The position of the laminar separation point was calculated by the Kármán-Millikan method for a series of pressure distributions of the following type.

$$
\frac{U}{U_{0}}=1 \quad \text { for } 0 \leq \frac{x}{x_{e}} \leq 1
$$

and

$$
\frac{U}{U_{0}}=1+F \frac{x-x_{e}}{x_{e}} \quad \text { for } 1 \leq \frac{x}{x_{e}}
$$

where $\quad x=$ distance along surface from leading edge
$x_{s}=$ length of flat plate equivalent to length from leading edge to position of minimum pressure
$U=$ velocity outside boundary layer at any point $x$
$U_{0}=$ maximum velocity
$F=$ velocity gradient $\left(x_{e} / U_{0}\right)(d U / d x)$ (constant for any given case)
The values of $U_{s} / U_{0}$, where $U_{S}$ is the velocity at the laminar separation point, were calculated by the Kármán-Millikan method for a series of values of $F$, and the results are shown in Fig. 43.

In applying this method, the value of $F$ is obtained from calculated values of $x_{e} / U_{0}$ and the value of $u U / d x$ corresponding to the estimated
equivalent adverse velocity gradient. The corresponding value of $U_{S} / U_{0}$ is found from Fig. 43 and is applied to the actual velocity distribution to locate the position of laminar separation.
5.8. Turbulent Flow in Pipes. The earliest information on the nature of turbulent flow was obtained from studies of the flow in pipes. Reynolds found that, if the diameter of the pipe and the velocity were sufficiently


Fig. 43. Velocity ratio for laminar separation as a function of the nondimensional velocity gradient $F$.
small, the flow was rectilinear or laminar, as shown by the behavior of colored filaments of fluid which did not mix with the surrounding fluid. With larger diameters and greater velocities, the flow became turbulent, as shown by diffusion of the colored filaments. Reynolds found that, if the quantity $\rho V d / \mu$ was less than about 2,000 , the flow was always laminar. The upper limit of this Reynolds number for laminar flow was indefinite and depended mainly on the care taken to provide steady conditions and smooth surfaces. Values of the upper limit as much as ten to twenty-five times the lower limit have been found by various observers. ${ }^{77}$

With laminar flow, the pressure gradient along the pipe increases linearly with the velocity; but, with turbulent flow, the gradient increases nearly as the square of the velocity. The velocity distributions across the diameter of the pipe for laminar and turbulent flows are shown in Fig. 44. For turbulent flow, the velocity distribution is more nearly uniform than for laminar flow, and the shearing stresses near the walls are considerably higher. These conditions result from the increased transport of momentum associated with the turbulent motion.

It has been observed that the nondimensional velocity distribution
across the pipe is affected only slightly by the Reynolds number. On the basis of dimensional reasoning similar to that used for the formation of dimensionless coefficients for wings, Blasius ${ }^{15}$ expressed the pressure loss in coefficient form as follows:

$$
\lambda=\frac{p_{1}-p_{2}}{l} \frac{r}{1 / 2 \rho \overline{u^{2}}}
$$

where $p_{1}-p_{2}=$ pressure drop in a pipe of length $l$ and radius $r$ with a fluid of density $\rho$ flowing at average velocity $\bar{u}$.
For smooth pipes or pipes having geometrically similar roughness, the
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Fig. 44. Velocity distribution across pipe for laminar and turbulent flow. coefficient $\lambda$ is a function only of the Reynolds number $R_{r}=\rho \bar{u} r / \mu$. The formula given by Blasius for the coefficient $\lambda$ for the case of turbulent flow in smooth pipes is

$$
\lambda=\frac{0.133}{\sqrt[4]{R_{r}}}
$$

Prandt ${ }^{89}$ arrived at the conclusion that there should be a relation between the velocity distribution across the pipe and the rate at which the pressure-loss coefficient changes with Reynolds number. The most important region in which to study the nature of the phenomena appeared to be in the vicinity of the wall of the pipe where the velocity gradient is high rather than in the middle of the pipe where the velocity is nearly uniform. It appeared reasonable to assume that the velocity distribution near the wall depended on the local quantities $\rho$ and $\mu$ and the skin friction $\tau$ and was independent of the radius of the pipe. It was assumed, in approximate agreement with experimental evidence, that the velocity distribution across the entire pipe could be expressed as a function of $y / r$ alone where $y$ is the distance from the wall of the pipe; that is, the velocity distribution remains similar for various mean velocities and pipe sizes.

Equating the pressure loss to the skin friction

From Blasius' formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right) \pi r^{2} & =2 \pi r l \tau \\
p_{1}-p_{2} & =\frac{2 l \tau}{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{1}-p_{2}=\frac{0.133}{\sqrt{R_{r}}} \frac{l}{r} \frac{\rho}{2} \bar{u}^{2} \\
\tau=\frac{0.033}{\sqrt[3]{R_{r}}} \rho \bar{u}^{2}=0.033 \rho^{3 / 4} \mu^{1 / 4} r^{-1 / 4} \bar{u}^{7 / 4} \tag{5.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

If it is further assumed that the velocity distribution may be expressed as a power function of $y / r$,

$$
u=V\left(\frac{y}{r}\right)^{n}
$$

where $V=$ velocity at center of pipe

$$
\tau=K \rho^{3 / 4} \mu^{1 / 4} r^{-1 / 4} V^{7 / 4}\left(\frac{r}{y}\right)^{(7 / 4)^{n}}
$$

where $K=$ a constant factor dependent on ratio of maximum velocity to mean velocity
Applying the assumption that the velocity distribution near the wall and hence the skin friction is independent of the radius of the pipe, the exponent of $r$ in the foregoing expression must be zero,

$$
\begin{aligned}
-1 / 4+7 / 4 n & =0 \\
n & =14
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=V\left(\frac{y}{r}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The assumption made in the derivation of this equation that the velocity distribution is a function only of local parameters near the wall would indicate that this equation would also apply to the velocity distribution in a turbulent boundary layer on a flat plate. In this case, $r$ is interpreted as the thickness of the boundary layer and $V$ as the velocity just outside the layer. The validity of the analogy between the flow in pipes and that over a flat plate is shown by the data of reference 19 , some of which are presented in Fig. 45.
5.9. Turbulent Skin Friction. It is possible to calculate the skin friction on a flat plate with turbulent flow by application of the one-seventh power law [Eq. (5.15)] and the Blasius skin-friction formula [Eq. (5.14)] to the local boundary-layer conditions. In the case of the flow of an incompressible fluid in a pipe, the Reynolds number along the pipe remains constant and the skin friction results in a loss of pressure. In the case of the flat plate, the pressure is constant along the plate and the skin friction causes a deceleration of the fluid particles, resulting in an increase of the thickness of the boundary layer and a corresponding increase of the boundary-layer Reynolds number. At any station along the plate, the skin-friction drag over that portion of the plate upstream of the station can be obtained from the rate of loss of momentum in the boundary layer. It is assumed that, for any station, the velocity distribution in the boundary layer corresponds to that in the pipe and that the local skin friction is the same as that in a pipe at the same Reynolds number.

The particles in the boundary layer having an original velocity $V$ up-

stream of the plate are decelerated to a velocity $u$ at station $x$. The rate of loss of momentum at station $x$ is given by the following expression and is equal to the skin-friction drag of that portion of the plate upstream of station $x$.

$$
F=\rho \int_{0}^{\delta} u(V-u) d y
$$

From the assumption that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{u}{V}=\left(\frac{y}{\delta}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& F=\frac{7}{72} \rho V^{2} \delta
\end{aligned}
$$

but

$$
\frac{d F}{d x}=\tau
$$

hence

$$
\tau=\frac{7}{72} \rho V^{2} \frac{d \delta}{d x}
$$

A corresponding value of $\tau$ may be obtained from Eq. (5.14) if the relation between $\bar{u}$ and $V$ is known. A commonly used experimental value of $\bar{u} / V$ is approximately 0.8 . Using this value Eq. (5.14) may be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=0.0225 \rho^{3 / 4} \mu^{1 / 4} \delta^{-1 / 4} V^{7 / 4} \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equating the two expressions for $\tau$ and integrating

Solving for $\boldsymbol{\delta}$

$$
\frac{4}{5} \delta^{5 / 4}=\frac{72}{7} 0.0225\left(\frac{\mu}{\rho V}\right)^{1 / 4} x
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=0.37\left(\frac{\mu}{\rho V}\right)^{1 / 5} x^{35} \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting this value of $\delta$ into the expression for the drag

$$
F=0.036 \rho V^{2} x\left(\frac{\mu}{\rho V x}\right)^{1 / 5}
$$

In coefficient form

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{F}=\frac{F}{1 / 2 p V^{2} x}=0.072 R_{x}^{-1 / 5} \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (5.18) is a formula for the drag coefficient for one side of a smooth flat plate of length $x$ with turbulent flow over the whole surface. Figure 46 shows the skin-friction coefficient for turbulent flow from Eq. (5.18) and for laminar flow from Eq. (5.6).

Nikuradse performed pipe experiments over a range of Reynolds numbers much larger than for previous investigations. ${ }^{75,75 a}$ These experiments
showed that the pressure losses departed from values predicted by the Blasius formula above Reynolds numbers of about 50,000 , the experimental values decreasing less rapidly than the predicted values as the Reynolds number was increased. As expected from the simplified analysis of the relation between the pressure-loss coefficients and the velocity distributions, the velocity distributions at the higher Reynolds numbers are approximated better by one-eighth, one-ninth, or smaller powers than


Fig. 46. Laminar and turbulent skin-friction coefficients for one side of a flat plate.
by the one-seventh power. On the basis of an elaborate and extended dimensional analysis, a logarithmic expression was obtained ${ }^{28,128}$ for the velocity distributions corresponding to Nikuradse's values for the pressure losses.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=2.5 \ln \eta+5.5 \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi & =\frac{u}{v^{*}} \\
\eta & =\frac{\rho v^{*} y}{\mu} \\
v^{*} & =\sqrt{\frac{\tau}{\rho}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and is called the "friction velocity." The skin friction on a flat plate may be calculated using this expression by a process using the same general
principles as that for the derivation of Eq. (5.18). ${ }^{28}$ The tabular solution to this problem may be approximated by the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{F}=\frac{0.472}{\left(\log R_{x}\right)^{2.58}} \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Skin-friction coefficients calculated from this formula are shown in Fig. 46.
Formulas ( 5.18 ) and (5.20) are in convenient form for the calculation of skin friction on flat plates. For application to bodies having arbitrary pressure distributions, it is more convenient to relate the local skin-friction coefficient to a Reynolds number based on the momentum thickness of the boundary layer. For the one-seventh power velocity distribution, such an expression may be obtained from Eq. (5.16). For this case, according to Eq. (5.10),

$$
\begin{gather*}
\theta=\frac{7}{72} \delta \\
c_{f}=\frac{\tau}{1 / 2 \rho U^{2}}=0.0251\left(\frac{\mu}{\rho l^{2} \theta}\right)^{1 / 4}=0.0251 R_{\theta}^{-1 / 4} \tag{5.21}
\end{gather*}
$$

For the case of the logarithmic velocity distribution, approximate formulas have been obtained relating the local skin-friction coefficient to the boundary-layer Reynolds number $R_{\theta}$. That given by Squire and Young ${ }^{112}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\rho L^{r}}{\tau}=\left[5.890 \log \left(4.0 \grave{t}_{\tilde{j}} R_{0}\right)\right]^{2} \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known that Eq. ( $\overline{5} .21$ ) is valid only for moderately small Reynolds numbers, whereas Eq. ( 5.22 ) is a much better approximation at large Reynolds numbers. Equation (5.22) is, however, inconvenient for use in connection with the momentum equation (5.11). Interpolation formulas have therefore been developed in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{f}=A R_{\theta}^{-n} \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficients and exponents are selected to correspond with the desired range of Reynolds numbers.

For any particular application, the two constants of Ea. (5.23) may be found by making Eq. (5.23) agree with the assumed skin-friction law at two values of $R_{\theta}$ including the range under consideration. If the subscript 1 corresponds to conditions at the low value of $R_{\theta}$ and the subscript 2 to conditions at the high value of $R_{\theta}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
n & =\frac{\log \frac{\left(\tau_{1}^{\prime} \rho U^{2}\right)_{1}}{\left(\tau / \rho U^{2}\right)_{2}}}{\log \left(R_{\theta_{2}} / R_{\theta_{1}}\right)} \\
A & =c_{f_{1}} R_{\theta_{1}}{ }^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

The assumed skin-friction law may be Eq. (5.22) or the following formula suggested by Tetervin ${ }^{120}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\tau}{\rho U^{2}}=\frac{1}{\left[2.5 \ln \frac{R_{\theta}}{2.5\left(1-5 \sqrt{\tau / \rho U^{2}}\right)}+5.5\right]^{2}} \tag{5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The values of $c_{f}$ corresponding to Eqs. (5.22) and (5.24) are plotted in Fig. 47.
5.10. Calculation of Thickness of the Turbulent Layer. A knowledge of the thickness of the turbulent boundary layer is required for several


Fig. 47. Local turbulent skin-friction coefficient as a function of the boundary-layer Reynolds number.
purposes. The profile drag of the wing is, of course, intimately associated with the thickness of the boundary layer at the trailing edge. It is also desirable to know the thickness of the boundary layer at various points on the wing surface in connection with the study of control surfaces, high-lift devices, air intakes, and protuberances.

The thickness of the turbulent boundary layer can be calculated from the momentum equation (5.11) if a relation between the local skin-friction coefficient and the dependent variables $\theta, q$, and $H$ is known. Values of the skin-friction coefficient have been found by assuming that the relation between the boundary-layer Reynolds number $R_{\theta}$ and the skin-friction coefficient $c_{f}$ is the same as the corresponding relation found from pipe experiments. This relation has been plotted in Fig. 47. Although this relation may not be very accurate, particularly for values of the shape parameter $H$ differing considerably from those found in pipes, such marked differences usually occur only when $d q / d x$ is large negatively. In this case Eq. (5.11) shows that the contribution of the skin-friction term to the rate of increase of the boundary-layer thickness $d \theta / d x$ is relatively small. It may accordingly be assumed for practical calculations that $c_{f}$ is independent of the value of $H$.

Further simplification of the calculations is obtained by assuming a
skin-friction law of the type indicated by Eq. (5.23). In this case, Eq. (5.11) may be written in the form

$$
\frac{d \theta}{d x}+\left(\frac{H+2}{U}\right) \frac{d U}{d x} \theta=\frac{A \mu^{n}}{(\rho U)^{n}} \theta^{-n}
$$

The value of the factor $(H+2)$ is not greatly affected by the value of $H$, which usually has values of about 1.4 or 1.5 with extreme variations from about 1.2 to 2.5. Detailed calculations have shown that excellent agreement can be obtained between experimental and calculated values of $\theta$ if $H$ is assumed to be constant and to have a value ${ }^{190}$ between 1.4 and 1.6. With $H$ assumed constant, this equation is seen to be of the Bernoulli type, and it can be integrated to give the value of $\theta$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(\frac{\theta}{c}\right)_{x / c}=\frac{1}{(U / V)^{H+2}}\left[\frac{(1+n) A}{2 R_{c}^{*}} \int_{x_{0} / c}^{x / c}\left(\frac{U}{V}\right)^{(H+1)(n+1)+1} d \frac{x}{c}\right. \\
&\left.+\left(\frac{\theta_{1}}{c}\right)^{n+1}\left(\frac{U_{0}}{V}\right)^{(H+2)(n+1)}\right]^{1 /(1+n)} \tag{5.25}
\end{align*}
$$

5.11. Turbulent Separation. To determine the turbulent separation point, detailed information is needed concerning the velocity distribution in the boundary layer and the effects on the velocity distribution of such factors as the pressure distribution and the skin friction. Although the value of the shape parameter $H$ can be found for any given velocity distribution, the value of $H$ in itself does not define the velocity distribution. The data collected by Gruschwitz ${ }^{88}$ and by Tetervin ${ }^{135}$ show experimentally that the velocity distributions in turbulent boundary layers actually form a one-parameter family of curves and that the velocity distributions can be specified by the value of $H$. Velocity profiles for turbulent boundary layers ${ }^{135}$ are presented for various values of $H$ in Fig. 48. The value of $H$ increases as the separation point is approached. It is not possible to give an exact value of $H$ corresponding to separation, because the turbulent separation point is not very well defined. The value of $H$ varies so rapidly near the separation point, however, that it is not necessary to fix accurately the value of $H$ corresponding to separation. Separation has not been observed for a value of $H$ less than 1.8 and appears definitely to have been observed for a value of $H$ of 2.6 .

An empirical expression was found ${ }^{135}$ for the rate of change of $H$ along the surface in terms of the ratio of the pressure gradient to the skin friction and the shape parameter itself. The following equation was derived to fit the experimental data:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta \frac{d H}{d x}=e^{4.680(H-2.975)}\left[-\frac{\theta}{q} \frac{d q}{d x} \frac{2 q}{\tau}-2.035(H-1.286)\right] \tag{5.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The skin friction corresponding to a given value of $R_{\theta}$ may be obtained from Fig. 47 or from Eqs. (5.22) or (5.24). Equations (5.11) and (5.26) are
simultaneous first-order differential equations that can be solved by step-by-step calculation. It is usually necessary to use such a method, although,

(a) - Voriation of $4 / \mathrm{l}$ with H for various volhes of $1 / \mathrm{\theta}$

(b)-velocity profiles for turbulent boundary loyers corresponding to various walues of $H$
Fig. 48. Velocity distributions in turbulent boundary layers.
for some particular cases, the equations may be integrated directly. The method of calculation is as follows. The values of the variables entering into the computation at the initial station are substituted in the momentum equation (5.11) and the equation for $d H / d x$ (5.26). Values for $d \theta / d x$ and $d H / d x$ are thus obtained at the initial station. An increment of the length
along the surface of the body $x$ is then chosen and multiplied by $d \theta / d x$ and $d H / d x$ to give $\Delta \theta$ and $\Delta H$, respectively. These increments of $\theta$ and $H$ are added to the initial values and result in values of $\theta$ and $H$ for the new value of $x$. The process is repeated until the separation point is reached, as shown by the value of $H$. For the purposes of the computations the value of $H$ corresponding to separation may be taken as 2.6. The choice of the increments of $x$ is a matter for the judgment of the investigator. As a general rule, the increment of $x$ should be made small when $d \theta / d x$ or $d H / d x$ changes rapidly from one value of $x$ to the next.
5.12. Transition from Laminar to Turbulent Flow. Experience has shown that transition from laminar to turbulent flow takes place at a Reynolds number that depends upon the magnitude of the disturbances. For viscous flows at very low Reynolds numbers, as in oil, all disturbances are damped out by the viscosity, and the flow is laminar regardless of the magnitude of any disturbance. As the Reynolds number is increased, a condition is reached at which some particular types of disturbances are amplified and eventually cause transition. This value of the Reynolds number is called the "lower critical." Further increase of the Reynolds number causes amplification to occur for a greater variety of disturbances and increases the rate of amplification. Under these circumstances the Reynolds number at which transition occurs depends on the magnitude of the disturbances. Transition can be delayed to high values of the Reynolds number only by reducing all disturbances such as stream turbulence, unsteadiness, and surface roughness to a minimum. For flows in a pipe, the lower value of the critical Reynolds number based on the diameter and the mean velocity is about $2,300 .{ }^{98}$ The upper value appears to depend only on the care taken in conducting the experiment, and values twenty times as great as the lower value have been obtained.

It has been found possible ${ }^{99,100,127,128}$ to compute the rate of amplification or damping of disturbances of various frequencies and wave lengths. Such computations ${ }^{66 a}$ permit curves of constant amplification coefficient $\beta i \delta^{*} / V$ to be plotted on coordinates of $\alpha \delta^{*}$ against the Reynolds number $R_{\delta^{*}}=\rho V \delta^{*} / \mu$ where $\alpha=2 \pi / l$ and $l$ is the wave length. Such a plot is given in Fig. 49. Experimental points obtained by Schubauer and Skramstad ${ }^{106}$ are also plotted in Fig. 49. The data of Fig. 49 were obtained for a Blasius profile corresponding to flow over a flat plate. It will be noted that there is a Reynolds number below which no disturbance will be amplified. This value of the Reynolds number is the lower critical. The agreement between the experimental and theoretical results is good considering the difficulties of the experimental work and the simplifying assumptions made in the development of the theory.

Similar calculations have been made by Schlichting and Ulrich ${ }^{101}$ for Pohlhausen's velocity profiles corresponding to various pressure gradients.

The results are presented in Fig. 50, which is a plot of the lower critical Reynolds number against the parameter $\lambda$ that defines the velocity distribution through the laminar layer. According to the Pohlhausen method,

$R$
Fig. 49. Contours of equal amplification according to Schlichting. Values of $\beta_{i} \delta^{*} / U_{y}$, (all values to be multiplied by $10^{-4}$ ) opposite points are amplifications determined by experiment. Faired experimental contour of zero amplification shown by broken curves.
the velocity distribution through the layer is given by the following formula

$$
\frac{u}{U}=\left(\frac{12+\lambda}{6}\right) \frac{y}{\delta}-\frac{\lambda}{2}\left(\frac{y}{\delta}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{4-\lambda}{2}\right)\left(\frac{y}{\delta}\right)^{3}+\left(\frac{6-\lambda}{6}\right)\left(\frac{y}{\delta}\right)^{4}
$$

and

$$
\lambda=\frac{\rho \delta^{2}}{\mu} \frac{d U}{d x}
$$

Figure 50 shows that decreasing pressures in the direction of flow are favorable to the stability of the laminar boundary layer and that increasing pressures are unfavorable.

The theory has not been developed to such a point that it can be used to predict transition, although it is useful in pointing out the fundamental reasons for the instability of the laminar layer at high Reynolds numbers. The theory does not relate the boundary-layer disturbances to either the
surface irregularities or the turbulence of the air stream. The theory also does not relate the transition to the magnitude of the fluctuations of velocity in the boundary layer. Under these circumstances, it is necessary to


Fig. 50. Critical values of $R \delta^{\text {e }}$ as a function of the shape parameter $\lambda$ according to Schlichting and Ulrich. ${ }^{01}$
rely on empirical results to predict the location of transition. The empirical data are discussed in Chap. 7.
5.13. Calculation of Profile Drag. In cases where it is known that the flow is not separated from the surface, the boundary-layer thickness at the trailing edge of a wing section and the profile drag can be calculated from a knowledge of the potential-flow pressure distribution and the location of transition from laminar to turbulent flow. The momentum thickness of the laminar boundary layer at the transition point can be calculated from Eq. (5.12). The initial momentum thickness of the turbulent boundary layer is taken to be the same as that of the laminar layer at the transition point. The thickness of the turhulent boundary layer at the trailing edge can be calculated from Eq. (5.25). If the pressure at the trailing edge were free-stream static pressure, as in the case of a flat plate, the profile drag would be

$$
c_{d}=2\left(\frac{\theta}{c}\right)
$$



Fig. 51. Comparison of calculated and experimentally measured polars for NACA 67,1-215 airfoil.


Fia. 52. Comparison of calculated and experimentally measured polars for NACA 23015 airfoil at $R=5.9 \times 10^{6}$.
where $\theta=$ sum of momentum thicknesses on upper and lower surfaces
In the usual case, the pressure at the trailing edge is not the same as free-stream static pressure, and some means must be found for finding the effective momentum thickness at a point far downstream in the wake
where the pressure has returned to the free-stream static value. Squire and Young ${ }^{112}$ derived the required relation by setting the value of $\tau$ in Eq. (5.11) equal to zero in the wake and finding an empirical relation between $H$ and $q / q_{0}$. The resulting expression for the profile drag is

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{d}=2\left(\frac{\theta}{c}\right)_{t}\left(\frac{U}{V}\right)_{t}^{(H+5) / 2} \tag{5.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the subscript $t$ designates conditions at the trailing edge. The value of $H$ is the value used in calculating the boundary-layer thickness.

The agreement to be expected between experimental and calculated profile drag coefficients is indicated by Figs. 51 and 52. The calculated drag coefficients presented in these figures were obtained by a method ${ }^{76}$ fundamentally the same as that presented here.
5.14. Effect of Mach Number on Skin Friction. Solutions for the velocity distribution through the laminar boundary layer in compressible flow and for the corresponding skin friction have been obtained by von Kármán and Tsien ${ }^{122}$ for the


Fig. 53. Skin-friction coefficients. (A) No heat transferred to wall, ( $B$ ) wall temperature one-quartor of free-strean temperature. case of the flat plate. The results of the skin-friction calculations are presented in Fig. 53 for the case of no heat transfer to the plate and for the case of a plate whose absolute temperature is one-quarter of that of the free stream. These results show a moderate decrease in laminar skin friction with increasing Mach number. Although these results indicate that the laminar skin friction increases with heat transfer from the fluid to the plate, this increase is small even for the extremely low plate temperatures for which these results were obtained.

For the turbulent boundary layer, Theodorsen and Regier ${ }^{126}$ found experimentally that the skin friction is independent of the Mach number (at least up to a value of 1.69). The experimental data are presented in Fig. 54. These data were obtained by measuring the torques (moments) required to rotate smooth disks in atmospheres of air and Freon $12\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}\right)$ at various pressures. Data obtained by Keenan and Neumann ${ }^{55}$ for the skin friction in pipes appear to confirm Theodorsen's conclusion for the fully developed turbulent flow.

Analytical studies by Lees ${ }^{52}$ on the effect of Mach number and heat transfer on the lower critical Reynolds number for the case of the flat plate indicate that increasing the Mach number has a destabilizing influence on laminar flow when there is no heat transfer to the plate. Heat
transfer to the plate has a stabilizing effect, while the opposite is the case for heat transfer from the plate to the fluid. This effect becomes stronger


Fig. 54. Moment coefficient for disks as function of Reynolds number for several values of Mach number with air and Freon 12 as mediums. Maximum Mach number, 1.69.
as the Mach number is increased, and, at moderate supersonic speeds, comparatively small amounts of heat transfer to the plate stabilize the laminar layer to very high values of the Reynolds number.

## CHAPTER 6

## FAMILIES OF WING SECTIONS

### 6.1. Symbols.

$P_{R} \quad$ resultant pressure coefficient
$R$ radius of curvature of surface of modified NACA four-digit series symmetrical sections at the point of maximum thickness
$V$ velocity of the free stream
a coefficient
a mean-line designation; fraction of the chord from leading edge over which loading is uniform at the ideal angle of attack
c chord
$c_{l_{i}}$ section design lift coefficient
$c_{\boldsymbol{m}_{c} / 4}$ section moment coefficient about the quarter-chord point
d coefficient
$k_{1}$ constant
$m$ maximum ordinate of the mean line in fraction of the chord
$p$ chordwise position of $m$
$r$ leading-edge radius in fraction of the chord
$r_{t}$ leading-edge radius corresponding to thickness ratio $t$
$t$ maximum thickness of section in fraction of chord
$v$ local velocity over the surface of a symmetrical section at zero lift
$\Delta v$ increment of local velocity over the surface of a wing section associated with camber
$\Delta v_{a}$ increment of local velocity over the surface of a wing section associated with angle of attack
abscissa of point on the surface of a symmetrical section or a chord line
$x_{L}$ abscissa of point on the lower surface of a wing section
$x_{U}$ abscisa of point on the upper surface of a wing section
$x_{c}$ abscissa of point on the mean line
$y_{L}$ ordinate of point on the lower surface of a wing section
$U$ ordinate of point on the upper surface of a wing section
ordinate of point on the mean line
$y_{t}$ ordinate of point on the surface of a symmetrical section
$\alpha_{i}$ design angle of attack
$\theta \tan ^{-1}\left(d y_{c} / d x_{c}\right)$
$\tau$ trailing-cdge angle
6.2. Intzoduction. Until recently the development of wing sections has been almost entirely empirical. Very early tests indicated the desirability of a rounded leading edge and of a sharp trailing edge. The demand for improved wings for early airplanes and the lack of any generally accepted wing theory led to tests of large numbers of wings with shapes gradually improving as the result of experience. The Eiffel and early RAF series were outstanding examples of this approach to the problem.

The gradual development of wing theory tended to isolate the wingsection problem from the effects of plan form and led to a more systematic experimental approach. The tests made at Göttingen during the First World War contributed much to the development of modern types of wing sections. Up to about the Second World War, most wing sections in common use were derived from more or less direct extensions of the work at Göttingen. During this period, many families of wing sections were tested in the laboratories of various countries, but the work of the NACA was outstanding. The NACA investigations were further systematized by separation of the effects of camber and thickness distribution, and the experi-


* Thickness distribution oblained from ordinates of the NACA 65,3-018 airfoil.
$\dagger$ Ordinates of the mean line, 0.8 of the ordinaie for $c_{4}=1.0$.
$\ddagger$ Slope of radius through end of chord.
Fig. 55. Method of combining mean lines and basio-thickness forms.
mental work was performed at higher Reynolds numbers than were generally obtained elsewhere. The wing sections now in common use are either NACA sections or have been strongly influenced by the NACA investigations. For this reason, and because the NACA sections form consistent families. detailed attention will be given only to modern NACA wing sections.
6.3. Method of Combining Mean Lines and Thickness Distributions. The cambered wing sections of all NACA families of wing sections considered here are obtsined by combining a mean line and a thickness distribution. The process for combining a mean line and a thickness distribution to obtain the desired cambered wing section is illustrated in Fig. 55. The leading and trailing edges are defined as the forward and
rearward extremities, respectively, of the mean line. The chord line is defined as the straight line connecting the leading and trailing edges. Ordinates of the cambered wing sections are obtained by laying off the thickness distributions perpendicular to the mean lines. The abscissas, ordinates, and slopes of the mean line are designated as $x_{c}, y_{c}$, and $\tan \theta$, respectively. If $x_{v}$ and $y_{v}$ represent, respectively, the abscissa and ordinate of a typical point of the upper surface of the wing section and $y_{t}$ is the ordinate of the symmetrical thickness distribution at chordwise position $x$, the upper-surface coordinates are given by the following relations:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
x_{V}=x-y_{t} \sin \theta  \tag{6.1}\\
y_{v}=y_{c}+y_{t} \cos 6
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The corresponding expressions for the lower-surface coordinates are

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
x_{L}=x+y_{t} \sin \theta \\
y_{L}=y_{c}-y_{\iota} \cos \theta \tag{6.1}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The center for the leading-edge radius is found by drawing a line through the end of the chord at the leading edge with a slope equal to the slope of the mean line at that point and laying off a distance from the leading edge along this line equal to the leading-edge radius. This method of construction causes the cambered wing sections to project slightly forward of the leading-edge point. Because the slope at the leading edge is theoretically infinite for the mean lines having a theoretically finite load at the leading edge, the slope of the radius through the end of the chord for such mean lines is usually taken as the slope of the mean line at $x / c$ equals 0.005 . This procedure is iustified by the manner in which the slope increases to the theoretically infinite value as $x / c$ approaches 0 . The slope increases slowly until very small ralues of $x / c$ are reached. Large values of the slope are thus limited to values of $x / c$ very close to 0 and may be neglected in practical wing-section design.

The data required to construct some cambered wing sections are presented in Appendixes I and II, and ordinates for a number of cambered sections are presented in Appendix III.
6.4. NACA Four-digit Wing Sections. a. Thickness Distributions. When the NACA four-digit wing sections were derived, ${ }^{49}$ it was found that the thickness distributions of efficient wing sections such as the Göttingen 398 and the Clark $Y$ were nearly the same when their camber was removed (mean line straightened) and they were reduced to the same maximum thickness. The thickness distribution for the NACA four-digit sections was selected to correspond closely to that for these wing sections and is given by the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pm y_{\mathrm{t}}=\frac{t}{0.20}\left(0.29690 \sqrt{x}-0.12600 x-0.35160 x^{2}+0.28430 x^{3}-0.10150 x^{4}\right) \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t=$ maximum thickness expressed as a fraction of the chord The leading-edge radius is

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{t}=1.1019 t^{2} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It will be noted from Eqs. (6.2) and (6.3) that the ordinate at any point is directly proportional to the thickness ratio and that the leading-edge radius varies as the square of the thickness ratio. Ordinates for thickness ratios of 6, 9, 12, 15, 18, 21, and 24 per cent are given in Appendix I.
b. Mean Lines. In order to study systematically the effect of variation of the amount of camber and the shape of the mean line, the shape of the mean lines was expressed analytically as two parabolic arcs tangent at the position of maximum mean-line ordinate. The equations ${ }^{49}$ defining the mean lines were taken to be

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
y_{c} & =\frac{m}{p^{2}}\left(2 p x-x^{2}\right) \quad \text { forward of maximum ordinate }  \tag{6.4}\\
\text { nd } \\
y_{c} & =\frac{m}{(1-p)^{2}}\left[(1-2 p)+2 p x-x^{2}\right] \quad \text { aft of maximum ordinate }
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $m=$ maximum ordinate of mean line expressed as fraction of chord $p=$ chordwise position of maximum ordinate
It will be noted that the ordinates at all points on the mean line vary directly with the maximum ordinate. Data defining the geometry of mean lines with the maximum ordinate equal to 6 per cent of the chord are presented in Appendix II for chordwise positions of the maximum ordinate of $20,30,40,50,60$, and 70 per cent of the chord.
c. Numbering System. The numbering system for NACA wing sections of the four-digit series is based on the section geometry. The first integer indicates the maximum value of the mean-line ordinate $y_{c}$ in per cent of the chord. The second integer indicates the distance from the leading edge to the location of the maximum camber in tenths of the chord. The last two integers indicate the section thickness in per cent of the chord. Thus the NACA 2415 wing section has 2 per cent camber at 0.4 of the chord from the leading edge and is 15 per cent thick.

The first two integers taken together define the mean line, for example, the NACA 24 mean line. Symmetrical sections are designated by zeros for the first two integers, as in the case of the NACA 0015 wing section, and are the thickness distributions for the family.
d. Approximate Theoretical Characteristics. Values of $(v / V)^{2}$, which is equivalent to the low-speed pressure distribution, and values of $v / V$ are presented in Appendix I for the NACA 0006, 0009, 0012, 0015, 0018, 0021, and 0024 wing sections at zero angle of attack. These values were calculated by the method of Sec. 3.6. Values of the velocity increments $\Delta v_{a} / V$ induced by changing angle of a.ttack are also presented for an
additional lift coefficient of approximately unity. Values of the velocity ratio $v / V$ for intermediate thickness ratios may be obtained approximately by linear scaling of the velocity increments obtained from the tabulated values of $v / V$ for the nearest thickness ratio; thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{v}{V}\right)_{t_{2}}=\left[\left(\frac{v}{V}\right)_{t_{1}}-1\right] \frac{t_{2}}{t_{1}}+1 \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Values of the velocity increment ratio $\Delta v_{a} / V$ may be obtained for intermediate thicknesses by interpolation.

The design lift coefficient $c_{i}$, and the corresponding design angle of attack $\alpha_{i}$, the moment coefficient $c_{m_{\sigma / s}}$ the resultant pressure coefficient $P_{R}$, and the velocity ratio $\Delta v / V$ for the NACA $62,63,64,65,66$, and 67 mean lines are presented in Appendix II. These values were calculated by the method of Sec. 3.6. The tabulated values for each mean line may be assumed to vary linearly with the maximum ordinate $y_{c}$; and data for similar mean lines with different amounts of camber, within the usual range, may be obtained simply by scaling the tabulated values. Data for the NACA 22 mean line may thus be obtained simply by multiplying the data for the NACA 62 mean line by the ratio $2: 6$, and for the NACA 44 mean line by multiplying the data for the NACA 64 mean line by the ratio 4:6.

Approximate theoretical pressure distributions may be obtained for cambered wing sections from the tabulated data for the thickness forms and mean lines by the method presented in Sec. 4.5.
6.5. NACA Five-digit Wing Sections. a. Thickness Distributions. The thickness distributions for the NACA five-digit wing sections are the same as for the NACA four-digit sections (see Sec. 6.4a).
b. Mean Lines. The results of tests of the NACA four-digit series wing sections indicated that the maximum lift coefficient increased as the position of maximum camber was shifted either forward or aft of approximately the mid-chord position. The rearward positions of maximum camber were not of much interest because of large pitching-moment coefficients. Because the type of mean line used for the NACA four-digit sections was not suitable for extreme forward positions of the maximum camber, a new series of mean lines was developed, and the resulting sections are the NACA five-digit series.

The mean lines are defined ${ }^{* 5}$ by two equations derived so as to produce shapes having progressively decreasing curvatures from the leading edge aft. The curvature decreases to zero at a point slightly aft of the position of maximum camber and remains zero from this point to the trailing edge. The equations for the mean line are

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\left.\begin{array}{l}
y_{c}=1 / 6 k_{1}\left[x^{3}-3 m x^{2}+m^{2}(3-m) x\right] \quad \text { from } x=0 \text { to } x=m \\
y_{c}=1 / 6 k_{1} m^{3}(1-x)
\end{array}\right\}, \text { from } x=m \text { to } x=c=1 \tag{6.6}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The values of $m$ were determined to give five positions $p$ of maximum camber, namely, $0.05 c, 0.10 c, 0.15 c .0 .20 c$, and $0.25 c$. Values of $k_{1}$ were initially calculated to give a design lift coefficient of 0.3 . The resulting values of $p, m$, and $k_{1}$ are given in the following table.

| Mean-line <br> designation | Position of <br> camber $p$ | $m$ | $k_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 210 | 0.05 | 0.0580 | 361.4 |
| 220 | 0.10 | 0.1260 | 51.64 |
| 230 | 0.15 | 0.2025 | 15.957 |
| 240 | 0.20 | 0.2900 | 6.643 |
| 250 | 0.25 | 0.3910 | 3.230 |

This series of mean lines was later extended ${ }^{48}$ to other design lift coefficients by scaling the ordinates of the mean lines. Data for the mean lines tabulated in the foregoing table are presented in Appendix II.
c. Numbering System. The numbering system for wing sections of the NACA five-digit series is based on a combination of theoretical aerodynamic characteristics and geometric characteristics. The first integer indicates the amount of camber in terms of the relative magnitude of the design lift coefficient; the design lift coefficient in tenths is thus three-halves of the first integer. The second and third integers together indicate the distance from the leading edge to the location of the maximum camber; this distance in per cent of the chord is one-half the number represented by these integers. The last two integers indicate the section thickness in per cent of the chord. The NACA 23012 wing section thus has a design lift coefficient of 0.3 , has its maximum camber at 15 per cent of the chord, and has a thickness ratio of 12 per cent.
d. Approximate Theoretical Characteristics. The theoretical aerodynamic characteristics of the NACA five-digit series wing sections may be obtained by the same method as that previously described (Sec. 6.4d) for the NACA four-digit series sections using the data presented in Appendixes I and II.
6.6. Modified NACA Four- and Five-digit Series Wing Sections. Some early modifications of the NACA four-digit series wing section ${ }^{49}$ included thinner nosed and blunter nosed sections which were denoted by the suffixes $T$ and $B$, respectively. Some sections of this family ${ }^{49}$ with reflexed mean lines were designated by numbers of the type $2 R_{1} 12$ and $2 R_{2} 12$, where the first integer indicates the maximum camber in per cent of the chord and the subscripts 1 and 2 indicate small positive and negative moments, respectively.

Another series of NACA five-digit wing sections ${ }^{46}$ is the same as those previously described except that the mean lines are reflexed to produce theoretically zero pitching moment. These sertions are distinguished by
ihe third integer, which is always 1 instead of 0 . These modified sections lave been little used and will not be discussed further.

More important modifications common to both the NACA four-digit und five-digit series wing sections consisted of systematic variations of the hickness distributions. ${ }^{116}$ These modifications are indicated by a suffix consisting of a dash and two digits as for the NACA 0012-64 or the 23012-64 jections. These modifications consist essentially of changes of the leadingsdge radius from the normal value [Eq. (6.3)] and changes of the position f maximum thickness from the normal position at $0.30 c$ [Eq. (6.2)]. The first integer following the dash indicates the relative magnitude of the leading-edge radius. The normal leading-edge radius is designated by 6 and $\iota$ sharp leading edge by 0 . The leading-edge radius varies as the square of this integer except for values larger than 8 , when the variation becomes arbitrary. ${ }^{16}$ The second integer following the dash indicates the position of maximum thickness in tenths of the chord. The suffix -63 indicates sections very nearly but not exactly the same as the sections without the suffix.

The modified thickness forms are defined by the following two equations:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl} 
\pm y_{t} & =a_{0} \sqrt{x}+a_{1} x+a_{2} x^{2}+a_{3} x^{3} \text { ahead of maximum thickness } \\
\pm y_{t} & =d_{0}+d_{1}(1-x)+d_{2}(1-x)^{2}+d_{3}(1-x)^{3} \text { aft of maximum thickness } \tag{6.7}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The four coefficients $d_{0}, d_{1}, d_{2}$, and $d_{5}$ are determined from the following conditions:

1. Maximum thickness, $t$.
2. Position of maximum thickness. $m$.
3. Ordinate at the trailing edge $x=1, y_{\imath}=0.01 t$.
4. Trailing-edge angle, defined by the following table:

| $m$ | $\frac{d y}{d x},(x=1)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.2 | $1.000 t$ |
| 0.3 | $1.170 t$ |
| 0.4 | $1.575 t$ |
| 0.5 | $2.325 t$ |
| 0.6 | $3.500 t$ |

The four coefficients $a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}$, and $a_{3}$ are determined from the following conditions:

1. Maximum thickness, $t$.
2. Position of maximum thickness. $m$.
3. Leading-edge radius, $r_{t}=a_{0}^{2} / 2 . \quad r_{t}=1.1019(t I / 6)^{2}$, where $I$ is the first integer following the dash in the designation and the value of $I$ does not exceed 8.
4. Radius of curvature $R$ at- the point of maximum thickness

$$
R=\frac{1}{2 d_{2}+6 d_{3}(1-m)}
$$

Data ${ }^{\text {bsa }}$ for some of the modified thickness forms are presented in Appendix I. The data presented may be used together with data for the desired type of mean line to obtain approximate theoretical characteristics by the method presented in Sec. 4.5.

The family of NACA wing sections defined by these equations has been studied extensively by German aerodynamicists, who have applied designations of the following type to these sections:

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\text { NACA } & 1.8 & 25 & 14-1.1 & 30 / 0.50
\end{array}
$$

where 1.8 = maximum camber in per cent of chord
25 = location of maximum camber in per cent of the chord from the leading edge
$14=$ maximum thickness in per cent of the chord
$1.1=$ leading-edge radius parameter, $r / t^{2}$
$30=$ location of maximum thickness in per cent of the chord from the leading edge
$0.50=$ trailing-edge angle parameter, $(1 / t)(\tan \tau / 2)$ and
$t=$ thickness ratio
$r=$ leading-edge radius, fraction of chord
$\tau=$ trailing-edge angle (included angle between the tangents to the upper and lower surfaces at the trailing edge)
For wing sections having this designation, the selected values of the leading-edge radius and of the trailing-edge angle are used directly with the other conditions in obtaining the coefficients of Eq. (6.7): The selected values for the maximum camber and location of maximum camber are applied to Eq. (6.4) to obtain the corresponding mean line.
6.7. NACA 1 -series Wing Sections. The NACA 1 -series wing sections ${ }^{45}, 113$ represent the first attempt to develop sections having desired types of pressure distributions and are the first family of NACA low-drag high-critical-speed wing sections. In order to meet one of the requirements for extensive laminar boundary layers, and to minimize the induced velocities, it was desired to locate the minimum-pressure point unusually far back on both surfaces and to have a small continuously favorable pressure gradient from the leading edge to the position of minimum pressure. The development of these wing sections (prior to 1939) was hampered by the lack of adequate theory, and difficulties were experienced in obtaining the desired pressure distribution over more than a very limited range of lift coefficients. As compared with later sections, the N.ACA 1 -series wing sections are characterized by small leading-edge radii, comparatively large trailing-edge angles, and slightly higher critical speeds for a given thickness ratio. These sections have proved useful for propellers. The only commonly used sections of this series have the minimum pressure located at 60 per cent of the chord from the leading edge, and data will be presented only for these sections.
a. Thickness Distributions. Ordinates for thickness distributions with the minimum pressure located at $0.6 c$ and thickness ratios of $6,9,12,15$, 18, and 21 per cent are presented in Appendix I. These data are similar in form to those presented for the NACA four-digit series. These sections were not developed from any analytical expression. The ordinate at any station is directly proportional to the thickness ratio, and sections of intermediate thickness may be correctly obtained by scaling the ordinates.
b. Mean Lines. The NACA 1 -series wing sections, as commonly used, are cambered with a mean line of the uniform-load type [Eq. (4.26)]. Data for the mean line are tabulated in Appendix II. This type of mean line was selected because, at the design lift coefficient, it does not change the shape of the pressure distribution of the symmetrical section at zero lift. This mean line also imposes minimum induced velocities for a given design lift coefficient.
c. Numbering System. The NACA 1 -series wing sections are designated by a five-digit number as, for example, the NACA $16-212$ section. The first integer represents the series designation. The second integer represents the distance in tenths of the chord from the leading edge to the position of minimum pressure for the symmetrical section at zero lift. The first number following the dash indicates the amount of camber expressed in terms of the design lift coefficient in tenths, and the last two numbers together indicate the thickness in per cent of the chord. The commonly used sections of this family have minimum pressure at 0.6 of the chord from the leading edge and are usually referred to as the NACA 16 -series sections.
d. Approximate Theoretical Characteristics. The theoretical aerodynamic characteristics of the NACA 1 -series wing sections may be obtained by application of the method of Sec. 4.5 to the data of Appendixes I and II by the same method as that described for the NACA four-digit wing sections (Sec. 6.4d).
6.8. NACA 6-series Wing Sections. Successive attempts to design wing sections by approximate theoretical methods led to families of wing sections designated NACA 2 - to 5 -series sections. ${ }^{45}$ Experience with these sections showed that none of the approximate methods tried was sufficiently accurate to show correctly the effect of changes in profile near the leading edge. Wind-tunnel and flight tests of these sections showed that extensive laminar boundary layers could be maintained at comparatively large values of the Reynolds number if the wing surfaces were sufficiently fair and smooth. These tests also provided qualitative information on the effects of the magnitude of the favorable pressure gradient, leading-edge radius, and other shape variables. The data alse showed that separation of the turbulent boundary layer over the rear of the section, especially with rough surfaces, limited the extent of laminar layer for which the wing sections should be designed. The wing sections of these early families generally showed relatively low maximum lift coefficients and, in many
cases, were designed for a greater extent of laminar flow than is practical. It was learned that, although sections designed for an excessive extent of laminar flow gave extremely low drag coefficients near the design lift coefficient when smooth, the drag of such sections became unduly large when rough, particularly at lift coefficients higher than the design value. These families of wing sections are accordingly considered obsolete.

The NACA 6 -series basic thickness forms were derived by new and improved methods described in Sec. 3.8, in accordance with design criteria established with the objective of obtaining desirable drag, critical Mach number, and maximum-lift characteristics.
a. Thickness Distributions. Data for NACA 6-series thickness distributions covering a wide range of thickness ratios and positions of minimum pressure are presented in Appendix I. These data are comparable with the similar data for wing sections of the NACA four-digit series (Sec. 6.4a) except that ordinates for intermediate thickness ratios may not be correctly obtained by scaling the tabulated ordinates proportional to the thickness ratio. This method of changing the ordinates by a factor will, however, produce shapes satisfactorily approximating members of the family if the change of thickness ratio is small.
b. Mean Lines. The mean lines commonly used with the NACA 6 -series wing sections produce a uniform chordwise loading from the leading edge to the point $x / c=a$, and a linearly decreasing load from this point to the trailing edge. Data for NACA mean lines with values of $a$ equal to $0,0.1,0.2,0.3,0.4,0.5,0.6,0.7,0.8,0.9$, and 1.0 are presented in Appendix II. The ordinates were computer from Eqs. (4.26) and (4.27). The data are presented for a design lift coefficient $c_{l_{c}}$ equal to unity. All tabulated values vary directly with the design lift coefficient. Corresponding data for similar mean lines with other design lift coefficients may accordingly be obtained simply by multiplying the tabulated values by the desired design lift coefficient.

In order to camber NACA 6-series wing sections, mean lines are usually used having values of $a$ equal to or greater than the distance from the leading edge to the location of minimum pressure for the selected thickness distributions at zero lift. For special purposes, load distributions other than those corresponding to the simple mean lines may be obtained by combining two or more types of mean line having positive or negative values of the design lift coefficient.
c. Numbering System. The NACA 6-series wing sections are usually designated by a six-digit number together with a statement showing the type of mean line used. For example, in the designation NACA 65,3-218, $a=0.5$, the 6 is the series designation. The 5 denotes the chordwise position of minimum pressure in tenths of the chord behind the leading edge for the basic symmetrical section at zero lift. The 3 following the comma
ives the range of lift coefficient in tenths above and below the design lift oefficient in which favorable pressure gradients exist on both surfaces. 'he 2 following the dash gives the design lift coefficient in tenths. The ist two digits indicate the thickness of the wing section in per cent of the hord. The designation $a=0.5$ shows the type of mean line used. When he mean-line designation is not given, it is understood that the uniformoad mean line ( $a=1.0$ ) has been used.

When the mean line used is obtained by combining more than one mean ine, the design lift coefficient used in the designation is the algebraic sum of the design lift coefficients of the mean lines used, and the mean lines are lescribed in the statement following the number as in the following case:

$$
\text { NACA } 65,3-218\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
a=0.5 & c_{l_{i}}=0.3 \\
a=1.0 & c_{l_{i}}=-0.1
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Wing sections having a thickness distribution obtained by linearly increasing or decreasing the ordinates of one of the originally derived thickness distributions are designated as in the following example:

$$
\text { NACA } 65(318)-217 \quad a=0.5
$$

The significance of all the numbers except those in the parentheses is the same as before. The first number and the last two numbers enclosed in the parentheses denote, respectively, the low-drag range and the thickness in per cent of the chord of the originally derived thickness distribution.

The more recent NACA 6 -series wing sections are derived as members of thickness families having a simple relationship between the conformal transformations for wing sections of different thickness ratios but having minimum pressure at the same chordwise position. These wing sections are distinguished from the earlier individually derived wing sections by writing the number indicating the low-drag range as a subscript, for example,

$$
\mathrm{NACA} 65_{3}-218 \quad a=0.5
$$

Ordinates for the basic thickness distributions designated by a subscript are slightly different from those for the corresponding individually derived thickness distributions. As before, if the ordinates of the basic thickness distributions are changed by a factor, the low-drag range and thickness ratio of the original thickness distribution are enclosed in parentheses as follows-

$$
\text { NACA } 6 \overline{5}_{(318)}-217 \quad a=0 . \overline{5}
$$

For wing sections having a thickness ratio less than 12 per cent, the low-drag range is less than 0.1 and the subscript denoting this range is omitted from the designation, thus

$$
\text { NACA } 65-210
$$

or

$$
\text { NACA } 65_{(10)}-211
$$

The latter designation indicates that the 11 per cent thick section was obtained by linearly scaling the ordinates of the 10 per cent thick symmetrical section.

If the design lift coefficient in tenths or the thickness of the wing section in per cent of the chord are not whole integers, the numbers giving these quantities are usually enclosed in parentheses as in the following example:

$$
\text { NACA } 65_{(318)}-(1.5)(16.5) \quad a=0.5
$$

Some early experimental wing sections are designated by the insertion of the letter $x$ immediately preceding the dash as in the designation $66,2 x-015$.

Some modifications of the NACA 6-series sections are designated by replacing the dash by a capital letter, thus

$$
\text { NACA } 64_{1} \mathrm{~A} 212
$$

In this case, the letter indicates both the modified thickness distribution and the type of mean line used to camber the section. Sections designated by the letter $A$ are substantially straight on both surfaces from about $0.8 c$ to the trailing edge.
d. Approximate Theoretical Characteristics. Approximate theoretical characteristics may be obtained by applying the methods of Sec. 4.5 to the data of Appendixes I and II as described for the NACA four-digit series sections in Sec. 6.4d. If two or more of the simple mean lines are combined to camber the desired section, data for the resulting mean line may be obtained by algebraic addition of the scaled values for the component mean lines.
6.9. NACA 7 -series Wing Sections. The NACA 7 -series wing sections are characterized by a greater extent of possible laminar flow on the lower than on the upper surface. These sections permit low pitching-moment coefficients with moderately high design lift coefficients at the expense of some reduction of maximum lift and critical Mach number.

The NACA 7 -series wing sections are designated by a number of the following type:

## NACA 747A315

The first number 7 indicates the series number. The second number 4 indicates the extent over the upper surface, in tenths of the chord from the leading edge, of the region of favorable pressure gradient at the design lift coefficient. The third number 7 indicates the extent over the lower surface, in tenths of the chord from the leading edge, of the region of favorable pressure gradient at the design lift coefficient. The significance of the last group of three numbers is the same as for the NACA 6-series wing sections. The letter $A$ which follows the first three numbers is a serial letter to distinguish different sections having parameters that would
correspond to the same numerical designation. For example, a second section having the same extent of favorable pressure gradient over the upper and lower surfaces, the same design lift coefficient, and the same thickness ratio as the original wing section but having a different meanline combination or thickness distribution would have the serial letter $B$. Mean lines used for the NACA 7 -series sections are obtained by combining two or more of the previously described mean lines. The basic thickness distribution is given a designation similar to those of the final cambered wing sections. For example, the basic thickness distribution for the NACA 747A315 and 747A415 sections is given the designation NACA 747A015 even though minimum pressure occurs at $0.4 c$ on both the upper and lower surfaces at zero lift. Data for this thickness distribution are presented in Appendix I.

The NACA 747 A 315 wing section is cambered with the following combination of mean lines:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
a=0.4 & c_{l_{\mathrm{i}}=0.763} \\
a=0.7 & c_{l_{\mathrm{i}}}=-0.463
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The NACA 747A415 wing section is cambered with the following combination of mean lines:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
a=0.4 & c_{l_{i}}=0.763 \\
a=0.7 & c_{l_{i}}=-0.463 \\
a=1.0 & c_{l_{i}}=0.100
\end{array}\right\}
$$

6.10. Special Combinations of Thickness and Camber. The methods presented for combining thickness distributions and mean lines are sufficiently flexible to permit combining any thickness distribution, regardless of family, with any mean line or combination of mean lines. Approximate theoretical characteristics of such combinations may be obtained by application of the method of Sec. 4.5 to the tabulated data. In this manner, it is possible to approximate a considerable variety of pressure distributions without deriving new wing sections.

## CHAPTER 7

## EXPERIMENTAL CHARACTERISTICS OF WING SECTIONS

### 7.1. Symbols.

$R$ Reynolds number, $\rho V \mathrm{~V} / \mu$
$U$ velocity just outside the boundary layer
$V$ velocity of the free stream
a mean-line designation; fraction of the chord from leading edge over which loading is uniform at the ideal angle of attack
ac aerodynamic center
c chord
$c_{d}$ section drag coefficient
$c_{d_{\text {min }}}$ minimum section drag coefficient
$c_{f}$ local skin-friction coefficient
$c_{l}$ section lift coefficient
$c_{l_{i}}$ design lift coefficient
$c_{l_{\text {max }}}$ maximum section lift coefficient
$c_{m_{m e}}$ section pitching-moment coefficient about the aerodynamic center
$c_{m e / 4} \cdot$ section pitching-moment coefficient about the quarter-chord point
$k$ height of roughness
$t$ time
$x$ abscissa measured from the leading edge
$y$ ordinate measured from the chord line
section angle of attack
$\delta_{f}$ angle of flap deflection
viscosity of air
mass density of air
7.2. Introduction. The theories presented in Chaps. 3 to 5 permit reasonably accurate calculations to be made of certain characteristics of wing sections, but the simplifying assumptions made in the development of these theories limit their over-all applicability. For instance, the perfectfluid theories of Chaps. 3 and 4 permit the pressure distribution to be calculated, provided that the effects of the boundary-layer flow on the pressure distribution are small. Similarly the viscous theories of Chap. 5 permit the calculation of some of the boundary-layer conditions, provided that the pressure distribution is known. Obvious interactions between the viscous and potential flows are the relatively small effective changes of body shape caused by the displacement thickness of the unseparated boundary layer and the seriously large changes caused by separation. None of the wing characteristics can be calculated with confidence if the flow is separated
over an appreciable part of the surface. Under these circumstances, wingsection characteristics used for design are obtained experimentally.

Wind-tunnel investigations of wing characteristics were made before airplanes were successfully flown and still constitute an important phase of aerodynamic testing. Until recently wing characteristics were usually obtained from tests of models of finite aspect ratio. The development of wing theory led to the concept of wing-section characteristics that were derived from data obtained from tests of finite-aspect-ratio wings. These derived data were then used to predict the characteristics of wings of different plan forms. The systematic investigations in the NACA variabledensity wind tunnel ${ }^{46,48,49}$ were examples of this type of investigation. This method of testing is hampered by the difficulties of obtaining full-scale values of the Reynolds number and sufficiently low air-stream turbulence to duplicate flight conditions properly without excessive cost for equipment and models. Other difficulties were experienced in properly correcting the data for the support tares and interference effects and in deriving the section characteristics from tests of models necessarily having varied spanload distributions and tip effects. ${ }^{\text {L5a }}$

In order to avoid some of these difficulties and to permit testing of models that are large relative to the size of the wind tunnel, two-dimensional testing equipment was built by the NACA. The NACA two-dimensional low-turbulence pressure tunnel ${ }^{123}$ provides facilities for testing wing sections in two-dimensional flow at large Reynolds numbers in an air stream of very low turbulence, approaching that of the atmosphere. The wing-section data presented here were obtained from tests in this tunnel.

This tunnel ${ }^{123}$ has a test section 3 feet wide and $71 / 2$ feet high and is capable of operation at pressures up to 10 atmospheres. The usual models are of 2-foot chord and completely span the 3 -foot width of the test section. The lift is measured by integration of pressures representing the reaction on the floor and ceiling of the tunnel. The drag is obtained from wakesurvey measurements, and the pitching moments are measured directly by a balance. Wing-section characteristics can be obtained from such measurements with a high degree of accuracy.

The usual tests were made over a range of Reynolds numbers from 3 to 9 million and at Mach numbers less than about 0.17 . This range of Reynolds numbers covers the range where large-scale effects are usually experienced between the usual low-scale test data and the large-scale flight range. Individual tests have been made to provide some data at lower Reynolds numbers applicable to small personal airplanes and at much larger Reynolds numbers to indicate trends for very large airplanes. The tunnel turbulence level is very low, of the order of a few hundredths of 1 per cent; and, although it is not definitely known that the remaining turbulence is negligible, the tunnel results appear to correspond closely to

(a) NACA four- and five-digit series.

(b) naca 63-series.

Fig. 56. Measured section angles of zero lift for a number of NACA

airfoil sections of various thicknesses and cambers. $R, 6 \times 10^{6}$.

(d) HACA 65-series.
airfoil sections of various thicknesses and cambers. $R, 6 \times 10^{6}$.
those obtained in flight. Application of these wing-section data to the prediction of the characteristics of wings of finite span depends on the adequacy of three-dimensional wing theory. These data are not applicable at high speeds where compressibility effects become important.
7.3. Standard Aerodynamic Characteristics. The resultant force on a wing section can be specified by two components of force perpendicular and parallel to the air stream (the lift and drag, respectively) and by a moment in the plane of these two forces (the pitching moment). These forces are functions of the angle of attack of the section. The standard method of presenting the characteristics of wing sections is by means of plots of the lift, drag, and moment coefficients against angle of attack or, alternately, plots of angle of attack, drag, and moment coefficients against lift coefficient.

Plots of wing-section characteristics are presented in Appendix IV for a wide range of shape parameters. On the left-hand side of each plot, the lift coefficient and the moment coefficient about the quarter-chord point are plotted against the angle of attack. On the right-hand side of each plot the drag coefficient and moment coefficient about the aerodynamic center are plotted against the lift coefficient. In most cases, the data indicated in the following table are presented.

| Characteristic | Surface condition | Split flap deflection degrees | Reynolds number, millions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Left-hand side |  |  |  |
| Lift. | Smooth | 0 | 3, 6, 9 |
| Lift. | Rough* | 0 | 6 |
| Lift. | Smooth | 60 | 6 |
| Lift. | Rough* | 60 | 6 |
| Moment | Smooth | 0 | 3, 6, 9 |
| Moment. | Smooth | 60 | 6 |

Right-hand side

| Drag. | Smooth | 0 | 3, 6, 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drag. | Rough* | 0 | 6 |
| Moment | Smooth | 0 | 3, 6, 9 |

* 0.011 -inch grain carborundum spread thinly to cover 5 to 10 per cent of the ares from the leading edge to 0.08 c along both surfaces of a section with a chord of 24 inches.
7.4. Lift Characteristics. a. Angle of Zero Lift. As indicated in Chap. 4, the angle of zero lift of a wing section is largely determined by the camber. The theory of wing sections provides a means for computing the angle of zero lift from the mean-line data presented in Appendix II. The agreement between the calculated and the experimental angles of zero lift
depends on the type of mean line used. Comparison of the theoretical data given in Appendix II with the experimental data of Appendix IV shows that the agreement is good except for the uniform-load type ( $a=1$ ) of mean line. The angles of zero lift for this type of mean line are generally closer to 0 degrees than predicted.

The experimental values of the angles of zero lift for a number of NACA four- and five-digit and NACA 6-series wing sections are presented in Fig. 56. The thickness ratio of the wing section appears to have little effect on the angle of zero lift regardless of the type of thickness distribu-

tion or camber. For the NACA four-digit series wing sections, the angles of zero lift are approximately 0.93 of the value given by the theory of thin wing sections. For the NACA 230 -series wing sections, this factor is approximately 1.08 ; and for the NACA 6 -series sections with the uniformload type of mean line, this factor is approximately 0.74 .
b. Lift-curve Slope. Lift-curve slopes for a number of NACA four- and five-digit series and NACA 6-series wing sertions are plot ted against thickness ratio in Fig. 57. These values of the lift-curve slope were measured for a Reynolds number of 6 million at values of the lift coefficient approximately equal to the design lift coefficient of the wing sections. This lift coefficient is approximately in the center of the low-drag range for the NACA 6-series wing sections.

In the range of thickness ratios from 6 to 10 per cent, the NACA fourand five-digit series and the NACA 64 -series wing sections have values of the lift-curve slope very close to the value given by the theory of thin wing sections ( $2 \pi$ per radian, or 0.110 per degree). Variation of Reynolds num-

(6) NhCA four- and five-digit serles.

(b) yach 63-soriea.

(c) macn 64-series.

Fra. 57. Variation of lift-curve slope with airfoil thickness ratio and camber for a

(d) maca 65-series.

(e) NACA 66-sories.
number of NACA airfoil sections in both the smooth and rough conditions. $R, 6 \times 10^{6}$.
ber between 3 and 9 million and variation of camber up to $0.04 c$ appear to have no systematic effect on the lift-curve slope. The thickness distribution appears to be the primary variable. For the NACA four- and fivedigit series wing sections, the lift-curve slope decreases with increase of thickness ratio. For the NACA 6-series wing sections, however, the

(a) NACA four- and five-digit series.

Fig. 58. Variation of maximum section lift coefficient with airfoil thickness ratio and camber for several NACA airfoil sections with and without simulated split flaps and standard roughness. $R, 6 \times 10^{6}$.
lift-curve slope increases with increase of thickness ratio and with forward movement of the position of minimum pressure on the basic thickness form. The effect of thickness ratio is comparatively small for the NACA 66 -series sections. The thick-wing-section theory (Chap. 3) shows that the slope of the lift curve should increase with increasing thickness ratio in he absence of viscous effects. For wing sections with arbitrary modifications of shape near the trailing edge, the lift-curve slope appears to decrease with increasing trailing-edge angle.

Some NACA 6-series wing sections show jogs in the lift curve at the end
of the low-drag range, especially at low Reynolds numbers. This jog becomes more pronounced with increase of camber or thickness ratio and with rearward movement of the position of minimum pressure on the basic thickness form. This jog decreases rapidly in severity with increasing Reynolds number, becomes merely a change of lift-curve slope, and is practically nonexistent at a Reynolds number of 9 million for most wing

(b) NACA 63-series.

Fig. 58. (Continucd)
sections that would be considered for practical application. This jog may be a consideration in the selection of wing sections for small low-speed airplanes. An analysis of the flow conditions leading to this jog is presented in reference 134 .

The values of the lift-curve slopes presented are for steady conditions and do not necessarily correspond to the slopes obtained in transient conditions when the boundary layer has insufficient time to develop fully at cach lift coefficient. Some experimental results ${ }^{800}$ indicate that variations of the steady value of the lift-curve slope do not result in similar variations of the gust loading.
c. Maximum Lift. The variation of maximum lift coefficient with thickness ratio at a Reynolds number of 6 million is shown in Fig. 58 for a considerable number of NACA wing sections. The sections for which data are presented in this figure have a range of thickness ratios from 6 to 24 per cent and cambers up to 4 per cent of the chord. From the data for the NACA four- and five-digit wing sections (Fig. 58a), it appears that the

(c) NACA 64-series.

Frg. 58. (Continued)
maximum lift coefficients are the greatest for a thickness ratio of 12 per cent. In general, the rate of change of maximum lift coefficient with thickness ratio appears to be greater for sections having a thickness ratio less than 12 per cent than for the thicker sections. The data for the NACA 6 -series sections (Figs. $58 b$ to $d$ ) show a rapid increase of maximum lift coefficient with increasing thickness ratio for thickness ratios less than 12 per cent. The optimum thickness ratio for maximum lift coefficient increases with rearward movement of the position of minimum pressure and decreases with increase of camber.

For wing sections having thickness ratios of 6 per cent and for wing sections having thickness ratios of 18 or 21 per cent, the maximum lift

(d) NACA 65 -series.

Fig. 58. (Conlinued)
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(e) NACA 66-series.

Fig. 58. (Concluded)
coefficients do not appear to be very much affected by the position of minimum pressure on the basic thickness form. The maximum lift coefficients of sections of intermediate thickness, however, decrease with rearward movement of the position of minimum pressure. The maximum lift coefficients for the NACA 64 -series wing sections cambered for a design lift coefficient of 0.4 are slightly higher than those for the NACA 44 -series


Frg. 59. Variation of maximum lift coefficient with type of camber for some NACA 653-418 airfoil sections from tests in the Langley two-dimensional low-turbulence pressure tunnel.
sections. The NACA 230 -series sections, however, have somewhat higher maximum lift coefficients.

The maximum lift coefficients of moderately cambered sections increase with increasing camber (Fig. 58). For wing sections of about 18 per cent thickness, the rate of increase of maximum lift coefficient with camber is largest for small cambers. The effect of camber in increasing the section maximum lift coefficient becomes progressively less as the thickness ratio increases above 12 per cent. The variation of maximum lift with type of camber is shown in Fig. 59 for one condition. No systematic data are available for mean lines with values of $a$ less than 0.5 . It should be noted, however, that wing sections such as the NACA 230-series with the maximum camber far forward show large increments of maximum lift as compared with symmetrical sections. Wing sections with the meximum camber far
forward and with normal thickness ratios stall from the leading edge with large sudden losses of lift. A more desirable gradual stall is obtained when

(a) NACA four-digit series.

Fig. 60. Variation of maximum section lift coefficient with airfoil thickness ratio at several Reynolds numbers for a number of NACA airfoil sections of different cambers.
the location of maximum camber is farther back, as with the NACA 24-, 44 -, and 6 -series sections with normal types of camber.

The variations of maximum lift coefficient with Reynolds number (scale effect) of a number of NACA wing sections are presented in Fig. 60 for a range of Reynolds numbers from 3 to 9 million. The scale effect for the NACA 24-, 44-, and 230 -series wing sections (Figs. $60 a$ and b) having

(b) HACA four- and five-digit series.

Fig. 60. (Continued)

(c) HACA 63-series.

Fic. 60. (Continued)


(e) NACA 65-seriea.

Fig. 60 (Continued)

(f) NACA 66-series.

Fig. 60. (Concluded)
thickness ratios from 12 to 24 per cent is uniformly favorable and nearly independent of the thickness ratio. Increasing the Reynolds number from 3 to 9 million results in an increase of the maximum lift coefficient of approximately 0.15 to 0.20 . The scale effect on the NACA 00 - and 14 series sections having thickness ratios of $0.12 c$ and less is very small. The scale-effect data for the NACA 6-series wing sections (Figs. 60c to e) do not show an entirely systematic variation. In general, the scale effect is favorable for these sections. For the NACA 64-series wing sections, the increase of maximum lift coefficient with increase of Reynolds number is generally small for thickness ratios less than 12 per cent, but it is somewhat larger for the thicker sections. The character of the scale effect for the NACA 65- and 66-series wing sections is similar to that for the NACA 64 -series, but the trends are not so well defined. The scale effect for the NACA 6-series wing sections cambered for a design lift coefficient of 0.4 or 0.6 is greater than that for these sections with less camber. The data of Fig. 61 show that the maximum lift coefficient for the NACA 63(420)-422 section continues to increase with Reynolds number up to values of at least 26 million.

The values of the maximum lift coefficient presented are for steady conditions. It is known that the maximum lift coefficient increases with the rate of change of angle of attack. ${ }^{1000}$ The significant parameter is $\left(d \alpha_{0} / d t\right)(c / V)$. Even such low rates of change of angle of attack as those encountered in landing flares produce increases of the maximum lift coefficient.
d. Effect of Surface Condition on Lift Characteristics. It has long been known that surface roughness, especially near the leading edge, has large effects on the characteristics of wing sections. The maximum lift coefficient, in particular, is sensitive to leading-edge roughness. The effect on maximum lift coefficient of various degrees of roughness applied to the leading edge of the NACA 63(420)-422 wing section is shown in Fig. 62. The maximum lift coefficient decreases progressively with increasing roughness. For a given surface condition at the leading edge, the maximum lift coefficient increases slowly with increasing Reynolds number (Fig. 63). Figure 64 shows that roughness strips located more than about 0.20 c from the leading edge have little effect on the maximum lift coefficient or lift-curve slope.

It is desirable to determine the relative effects of leading-edge roughness on various wing sections. In order to make a systematic investigation of this sort, it is necessary to select a standard form of roughness. The standard leading-edge roughness selected by the NACA for 24 -inch chord models ${ }^{3}$ consisted of 0.011 -inch carborundum grains applied to the surface of the model at the leading edge over a surface length of $0.08 c$ measured from the leading edge on both surfaces. The grains were thinly spread to cover 5 to 10 per cent of the area. This standard roughness is considerably


Fig. 61. Lift and drag characteristics of the NACA 63(420)-422 airfoil at high Reynolds number; TDT tests 228 and 255.


Fig. 61. (Concluded)


Fig. 62. Lift characteristics of a NACA 63(420)-422 airfoil with various degrees of roughness at the leading edge.


Fia. 63. Effects of Reynolds number on maximum section lift coefficient $a$ of the NACA 63(420)-422 airfoil with roughened and smooth leading edge.


Fig. 64. Lift characteristics of a NACA 63(420)-422 airfoil with 0.011-inch-grain roughness at various chordwise locations.


Fig. 65. Variation of minimum drag coefficient with position of minimum pressure for some NACA 6 -series airfoils of the same camber and thickness. $\boldsymbol{R}, 6 \times 10^{6}$.
more severe than that caused by usual manufacturing irregularities or deterioration in service, but it is considerably less severe than that likely to be encountered in service as a result of accumulation of ice, mud, or damage in military combat.

Maximum-lift-coefficient data at a Reynolds number of 6 million for a large number of NACA wing sections are presented in Figs. 58 and 59. The variation of maximum lift coefficient with thickness for the NACA four- and five-digit series wing sections with standard roughness shows the same trends as those for the smooth sections except that the values are considerably reduced for all these sections other than the NACA. 00 -series of 6 per cent thickness. The values of the maximum lift coefficient for these rough sections with thickness ratios greater than 12 per cent are substantially the same for a given thickness. Much less variation of maximum lift coefficient with thickness ratio is shown by the NACA 6 -series wing sections in the rough condition than in the smooth condition. The variation of maximum lift coefficient with camber, however, is about the same for the wing sections with standard roughness as for the smooth sections. The maximum lift coefficients of rough wing sections decrease with rearward movement of the position of minimum pressure; and, as in the smooth condition, the optimum thickness ratio for maximum lift increases with rearward movement of the position of minimum pressure. The NACA 64 -series wing sections cambered for a design lift coefficient of 0.4 have maximum lift coefficients consistently higher than the NACA 24-, 44-, and 230 -series sections of comparable thickness when rough, with the exception of the NACA 4412.

For normal wing sections, the angle of zero lift is practically unaffected by the standard leading-edge roughness. The results presented in Appendix IV show that the effect of roughness is to decrease the lift-curve slope for wing sections having thickness ratios of 18 per cent or more. The effect increases with increase of thickness ratio. For wing sections less than 18 per cent thick, the effect of roughness on the lift-curve slope is relatively small.
7.5. Drag Characteristics. a. Minimum Drag of Smooth Wing Sections. The value of the minimum drag coefficient for smooth wing sections is mainly a function of the Reynolds number and the relative extent of the laminar boundary layer, and it is moderately affected by thickness ratio and camber. If the extent of the laminar boundary layer is known, the minimum drag coefficient may be calculated with reasonable accuracy by the method presented in Sec. 5.13.

The effect on minimum drag of the position of minimum pressure that determines the possible extent of laminar flow is shown in Fig. 65 for some NACA 6-series wing sections. The data show a regular decrease of drag coefficient with rearward movement of minimum pressure. The variation
of minimum drag coefficient with Reynolds number for several wing sections is shown in Fig. 66. The drag coefficient generally decreases with increasing Reynolds number up to Reynolds numbers of the order of 20 million. Above this Reynolds number, the drag coefficient of the NACA $65_{(21)}-420$ section remains substantially constant up to a Reynolds number of nearly 40 million. The earlier increase of drag coefficient shown by the NACA $66(2 x 15)-116$ section may be caused by surface irregularities because the specimen tested was a practical construction model. It may be noted that the drag coefficient for the NACA $65_{3}-418$ wing section at low Reynolds numbers is substantially higher than that of the NACA 0012 section, whereas at high Reynolds numbers the opposite is the case. The higher drag of the NACA $65_{z}-418$ wing section at low Reynolds numbers is caused by a relatively extensive region of laminar separation downstream. from the point of minimum pressure. This region decreases in size with increasing Reynolds number. These data illustrate the inadequacy of low Reynolds number test data either to estimate the full-scale characteristics or to determine the relative merits of wing sections at flight values of the Reynolds number.

The variation of the minimum drag coefficient with camber is shown in Fig. 67 for a number of smooth 18 per cent thick NACA 6 -series wing sections. These data show little change of minimum drag coefficient with increase of camber. A considerable amount of systematic data is included in Fig. 68 showing the variation of minimum drag coefficient with thickness ratio for some NACA wing sections ranging in thickness ratio from 6 to 24 per cent of the chord. The minimum drag coefficient is seen to increase with increase of thickness ratio for each series of wing sections. This increase, however, is greater for the NACA four- and five-digit series wing sections (Fig. 68a) than for the NACA 6-series sections (Figs. 68b, c, and d).
b. Variation of Profile Drag with Lift Coefficient. Most of the variation of drag with lift for wings of finite span results from the induced-drag coefficient, which varies approximately as the square of the lift coefficient for a given wing configuration. It is important to keep the induced drag in mind when considering the variation of profile drag with lift, because the variation of the wing drag coefficient with lift coefficient will be largely determined by the induced drag, which is a function of the aspect ratio.

At low and moderate lift coefficients where there is no appreciable separation of the flow, the drag is caused almost completely by skin friction. Under these circumstances, the value of the drag coefficient depends upon the relative extent of the laminar boundary layer and the induced velocities over the surfaces of the section and may be calculated by the method of Sec. 5.13. As the lift coefficient increases, the average square of the velocities over the surfaces increases, resulting in a small drag increase even though the relative extent of laminar flow was not affected. The


Fig. 66. Variation of minimum drag coefficient with Reynolds number for several airfoils, together with laminar and turbulent skin-friction coefficients for a flat plate.


Fig. 67. Variation of section minimum drag coefficient with camber for several NACA 6 -series airfoil sections of 18 per cent thickness ratio. $R, 6 \times 10^{6}$.
more important effect of lift coefficient is to change the possible extent of laminar flow by moving the minimum-pressure points. In many of the older types of wing section, the forward movement of transition is gradual and the resulting variation of drag with lift coefficient occurs smoothly. The pressure distributions for the NACA 6-series wing sections are such as to cause transition to move forward suddenly at the end of the low-drag range of lift coefficients. A sharp increase of drag coefficient to the value corresponding to a forward location of transition on one of the surfaces results. Such sudden shifts of transition give the typical drag curve for these wing sections with a "sag" or "bucket" in the low-drag range. The same characteristic is shown to a smaller degree by some of the earlier wing sections such as the NACA 23015 when tested in a low-turbulence air stream.

The data presented in Appendix I for the NACA 6-series thickness forms show that the range of lift coefficients for low drag varies markedly with thickness ratio. It has been possible to design wing sections of 12 per cent thickness with a total theoretical low-drag range of lift coefficients of 0.2 . This theoretical range increases by approximately 0.2 for each 3 per cent increase of thickness ratio. Figure 69 shows that the theoretical extent of the low-drag range is approximately realized at a Reynolds number of 9 million. Figure 69 also shows a characteristic tendency for the drag to increase to some extent toward the upper end of the low-drag range for moderately cambered wing sections, particularly for the thicker ones. All data for the NACA 6 -series wing sections show a decrease of the extent of the low-drag range with increasing Reynolds number. Extrapolation of the rate of decrease observed at Reynolds numbers below 9 million would indicate a vanishingly small low-drag range at flight values of the Reynolds number. Tests of a carefully constructed model of the NACA $65_{(421)}-420$ section showed, however, that the rate of reduction of the low-drag range with increasing Reynolds number decreased markedly at Reynolds numbers above 9 million (Fig. 70). These data indicate that the extent of the low-drag range for this wing section is reduced to about one-half the theoretical value at a Reynolds number of 35 million.

The values of the lift coefficient for which low drag is obtained are determined largely by the amount of camber. The lift coefficient at the center of the low-drag range corresponds approximately to the design lift. coefficient of the mean line. The effects on the drag characteristics of various amounts of camber are shown in Fig. 71. Section data indicate that the location of the low-drag range may be shifted by even such crude camber changes as those caused by small deflections of a plain flap. ${ }^{3}$

The location of the low-drag range shows some variation from that predicted from the simple theory of thin wing sections. This departure appears to be a function of the type of the mean line used and the thickness

(b) NACA 63-series.

Fig. 68. Variation of section minimum drag coefficient with airfoil thickness ratio for several N.ACA airfoil sections of different cambers in both smooth and rough conditions. $R, 6 \times 10^{6}$.

ratio. The effect of thickness ratio is shown in Fig. 69 from which the center of the low-drag range is seen to shift to higher lift coefficients with increasing thickness ratio. This shift is partly explained by the increase of lift coefficient above the design lift coefficient for the mean line obtained when the velocity increments caused by the mean line are combined with


Fig. 69. Drag characteristics of some NACA 64-series airfoil sections of various thicknesses, cambered to a design lift coefficient of 0.4 . $R, 9 \times 10^{6}$.
the velocity distribution for the thickness form according to the firstapproximation method of Sec. 4.5.

At the end of the low-drag range, the drag increases rapidly with increase of the lift coefficient. For symmetrical and low-cambered wing sections for which the lift coefficient at the upper end of the low-drag range is moderate, this high rate of increase does not continue (Fig. 71). For highly cambered sections for which the lift at the upper end of the low-drag range is already high, the drag coefficient shows a continued rapid increase.

Comparison of data for wing sections cambered with a uniform-load

(a) Variation of upper and lower limits of low-drag range with Reynolds number.

(b) Section drag characteristics at various Reynolds numbers.

Fig. 70 Variation of low-drag range with Reynolds number for the NACA $65_{(42)}-420$ airfoil.
mean line with data for sections cambered to carry the load farther forward shows that the uniform-load mean line is favorable for obtaining low-drag coefficients at high lift coefficients (Fig. 72).

Data for many of the wing sections given in Appendix IV show large reductions of drag with increasing Reynolds number at high lift coefficients.


Fig. 71. Drag characteristics of some NACA 65-series airfoil sections of 18 per cent thickness with various amounts of camber. $R, 6 \times 10^{6}$.

This scale effect is too large to be accounted for by the normal variation of skin friction and appears to be associated with the effect of Reynolds number on the onset of turbulent flow following laminar separation near the leading edge. ${ }^{134}$

A comparison of the drag characteristics of the NACA 23012 and of three NACA 6-series wing sections is presented in Fig. 73. The drag for the NACA 6-series sections is substantially lower than for the NACA 23012 section in the range of lift coefficients corresponding to high-speed flight, and this margin may usually be maintained through the range of lift
coefficients useful for cruising by suitable choice of camber. The NACA 6 -series sections show the higher maximum values of the lift-drag ratio. At high values of the lift coefficient, however, the earlier NACA sections generally have lower drag coefficients than the NACA 6-series sections.
c. Effect of Surface Irregularities on Drag Characteristics. Numerous measurements of the effects of surface irregularities on the characteristics of wings have shown that the condition of the surface is one of the most important variables affecting the drag. Although a large part of the drag increment associated with surface roughness results from a forward movement of transition, substantial drag increments result from surface roughness in the region of turbulent flow: It is accordingly important to maintain smooth surfaces even when extensive laminar flow cannot be expected. The possible gains resulting from smooth surfaces are greater, however, for wing sections such as the NACA 6 -series than for sections where the extent of laminar flow is limited by a forward position of minimum pressure.

No accurate method of specifying the surface condition necessary for extensive laminar flow at high Reynolds numbers has been developed, although some general conclusions have been reached. It may be presumed that, for a given Reynolds number and chordwise location, the size of the permissible roughness will vary directly with the chord of the wing section. It is known, at one extreme, that the surfaces do not have to be polished or optically smooth. Such polishing or waxing has shown no improvement in tests ${ }^{3}$ in the NACA two-dimensional low-turbulence tunnels when applied to satisfactorily sanded surfaces. Pulishing or waxing a surface that is not aerodynamically smooth will, of course, result in improvement, and such finishes may be of considerable practical value because deterioration of the finish may be easily seen and possibly postponed. Large models having chord lengths of 5 to 8 feet tested in the NACA two-dimensional low-turbulence tunnels are usually finished by sanding in the chordwise direction with No. 320 carborundum paper when an aerodynamically smooth surface is desired. ${ }^{3}$ Experience has shown the resulting finish to be satisfactory at flight values of the Reynolds number. Any rougher surface texture should be considered as a possible source of transition, although slightly rougher surfaces have appeared to produce satisfactory results in some cases.

Loftin ${ }^{68}$ showed that small protuberances extending above the general surface level of an otherwise satisfactory surface are more likely to cause transition than are small depressions. Dust particles, for example, are more effective than small scratches in producing transition if the material at the edges of the scratches is not forced above the general surface level. Dust particles adhering to the oil left on wing surfaces by fingerprints may be expected to cause transition at high Reynolds numbers.

Transition spreads from an individual disturbance with an included angle of about 15 degrees. ${ }^{26,42}$ A few scattered specks, especially near the


FIG. 72. Comparison of the aerodynamic characteristics of the NACA 65 - 418 and NACA 653-118, $a=0.5$ airfoils. $R, 9 \times 10^{6}$.
leading edge, will cause the flow to be largely turbulent. This fact makes necessary an extremely thorough inspection if low drags are to be realized. Specks sufficiently large to cause premature transition can be felt by hand. The inspection procedure used in the NACA two-dimensional low-turbulence
tunnels is to feel the entire surface by hand, after which the surface is thoroughly wiped with a dry cloth.


Fic. 72. (Concluded)
It has been noticed that transition caused by individual sharp protuberances, in contrast to waves, tends to occur at the protuberance. Transition caused by surface waviness appears to move gradually upstream toward the wave as the Reynolds number or wave size is increased. The height of a small cylindrical protuberance necessary to cause
transition when located at 5 per cent of the chord with its axis normal to the surface ${ }^{68}$ is shown in Fig. 74. These data were obtained at rather low


Fig. 73. Comparison of the aerodynamic characteristics of some NACA airfuils from tests in the Langley two-dimensional low-turbulence pressure tunnel.
values of the Reynolds number and show a large decrease of the allowable height with increase of Reynolds number.

Analysis ${ }^{68}$ of these data showed that the height of the protuberance that caused transition depended on the shape of the protuberance and on the Reynolds number based on the height of the protuberance and the local
velocity at the top of the protuberance. This Reynolds number is plotted against the fineness ratio of the protuberance in Fig. 75 for protuberances located at various chordwise positions on two wing sections.


Fig. 73. (Concluded)

The effect of Reynolds number on permissible surface roughness ${ }^{3}$ is also indicated in Fig. 76, in which a sharp increase of drag at a Reynolds number of approximately 20 million occurs for the model painted with camouflage lacquer. Experiments with models finished with camouflage paint ${ }^{17}$ in-
dicate that it is possible to obtain a matte surface without causing premature transition but that it is extremely difficult to obtain such a surface sufficiently free from specks without sanding.


Fig. 74. Variation with wing Reynolds number of the minimum height of a cylindrical protuberance necessary to cause premature transition. Protuberance has 0.035 -inch diameter with axis normal to the wing surface and is located at 5 per cent chord of a 90 -inchchord symmetrical 6-series airfoil section of 15 per cent thickness and with minimum preseure at 70 per cent chord.


Fig. 75. Variation of boundary-layer Reynolds number factor with projection fineness ratio for two low-drag airfoils.

The magnitude of the favorable pressure gradient appears to have a small effect on the permissible surface roughness for laminar flow. Figure 77 shows that the roughness becomes more important at the extremities of
the low-drag range where the favorable pressure gradient is reduced on one surface. ${ }^{3}$ The effect of increasing the Reynolds number for a surface of

(a)SMOOTH CONDITION

(b) LACOUER CAMOUFLAGE UNIMPROVED AFTER PAINTING

Fig. 76. Variation of drag coefficient with Revnolds number for a $\mathbf{6 0}$-inch-chord model of the NACA $65(121)-420$ airfoil for two surface conditions.


Fig. 77. Drag characteristics of NACA 65(s21)-420 airfoil for two surface conditions.
marginal smoothness, which has an effect similar to increasing the surface roughness for a given Reynolds number, is to reduce rapidly the extent of the low-drag range and then io increase the minimum drag coefficient
(Fig. 77). The data of Fig. 77 were especially chosen to show this effect. In most cases, the effect of increasing the Reynolds number when the surfaces are rough is to increase the drag over the whole low-drag range. The effect of pressure gradient as shown in Fig. 77 is apparently not very powerful and tends to be evident only in cases of surfaces of marginal smoothness. ${ }^{17}$


Fig. 78. Drag characteristics of a NACA 63(420)-422 airfoil with various degrees of roughness at the leading edge.

More difficulty is generally encountered in reducing the waviness to permissible values for the maintenance of laminar flow than in obtaining the required surface smoothness. In addition, the specification of the required fairness is more difficult than that of the required smoothness. The problem is not limited to finding the minimum wave size that will cause transition under given conditions because the number of waves and the shape of the waves require consideration.

If the wave is sufficiently large to affect the pressure distribution in such a manner that laminar separation is encountered, there is little doubt that such a wave will cause premature transition at all useful Reynolds numbers. Relations between the dimensions of a wave and the pressure distribution may be found by the method suggested by Allen.' If the pressure
distribution over the wave is known, the criteria for laminar separation discussed in Sec. 5.7 may be applied. The size of the wave required to reverse the pressure gradient increases with the pressure gradient. Large negative pressure gradients would therefore appear to be favorable for


Frg. 79. Drag characteristics of a NACA $63(420)-422$ airfoil with 0.011 -inch-grain roughness at various chordwise locations.
wavy surfaces. Experimental results have shown this conclusion to be qualitatively correct.

For the types of waves usually found on practical-construction wings, the test of rocking a straightedge over the surface in a chordwise direction is a fairly satisfactory criterion. ${ }^{3}$ The straightedge should rock smoothly without jarring or clicking. The straightedge test will not show the existence of waves that leave the surface convex. Tests of a large number of practical-ッonstruction models, however, have shown that those models
which passed the straightedge test were sufficiently free from small waves to permit low drags to be obtained at flight values of the Reynolds number.

It does not appear feasible to specify construction tolerances on ordinates of wings with sufficient accuracy to ensure adequate freedom from


Fra 80. Drag characteristics of two NACA 6-serics airfoils with 0.011-inch-grain roughness at $0.30 c$.
waviness. If care is taken to obtain fair surfaces, normal tolerances may be used without causing serious alteration of the drag characteristics.

If the wing surface is sufficiently rough to cause transition near the leading edge, large drag increases are to be expected even if the roughness is confined to the region of the leading edge. Figure 78 shows that, although the degree of roughness has some effect, the increment of minimum drag coefficient caused by the smallest roughness capable of producing transition is nearly as great as that caused by much larger grain roughness when the roughness is confined to the leading edge. ${ }^{3}$ The degree of roughness has a much larger effect on the drag at high lift coefficients. If the roughness is sufficiently large to cause transition at all Reynolds numbers considered,
the drag of the wing with roughness only at the leading edge decreases with increasing Reynolds number. ${ }^{1}$

The effect ${ }^{3}$ of fixing transition by means of a roughness strip of carborundum of 0.011 -inch grains is shown in Fig. 79. The minimum drag


Fig. 81. Comparison of section drag coefficients obtained in flight on various airfoils. Tests of NACA 27-212 and 35-215 sections made on gloves.
increases progressively with forward movement of the roughness strip. The effect on the drag at high lift coefficients is not progressive; the drag increases rapidly when the roughness is at the leading edge. Figure 80 shows that the drag coefficients for the NACA 65(223)-422 and 63(420)-422 wing sections ${ }^{3}$ are nearly the same throughout most of the lift range when the extent of the laminar flow is limited to 0.30 c . These data indicate that,
for wing sections of the same thickness ratio and camber, the drag coefficients depend almost entirely on the extent of the laminar boundary layer if no appreciable separation occurs.

The variation of minimum drag coefficient with thickness ratio for a number of NACA four-digit, five-digit, and 6 -series wing sections with



Fig. 82. Drag scale effect on 100 -inch-chord practical-construction model of the NACA $65(216)-3(16.5)$ (approx.) airfoil section. $c_{1}=0.2$ (approx.).
leading edges rough is shown in Fig. 68. The minimum drag coefficients increase with thickness ratio but are substantially the same for all the sections of equal thickness ratio. The increments of drag coefficient caused! by leading-edge roughness are correspondingly greater for the sections having the lower drag coefficients when smooth.

The section drag coefficients of several airplane wings have been measured in flight ${ }^{160}$ by the wake-survey method, and a number of practicalconstruction wing sections have been tested in the NACA tiwo-dimensional low-turbulence pressure tunnel ${ }^{3}$ at flight values of the Reynolds number. Some of the flight data obtained by Zalovcik ${ }^{160}$ are summarized in Fig. 81.

All wings for which data are presented in Fig. 81 were carefully finished to produce smooth surfaces. Care was taken to reduce surface waviness to a minimum for all the sections except the NACA 2415.5, N-22, Republic


Fig. 83. Variation of the drag coefficient with leynolds number for the NACA 23016 airfoil section together with laminar and turbulent skin-friction coeflicients for a flat plate.

S-3, 13, and the NACA 27-212. Curvature gauge measurements of surface waviness for some of these sections are presented by Zaloveik. ${ }^{160}$ These data show that the NACA 2 -, 3 -, and 6 -series sections that permitted extensive laminar flow had substantially lower drag coefficients when smooth than did the other sections.

Data obtained in the NACA two-dimensional low-turbulence pressure tunnel ${ }^{3}$ for typical practical-construction sections are presented in Figs. 82
to 86 . Figure 87 presents a comparison of the drag coefficients obtained in this wind tunnel for a model of the NACA 0012 section and in flight for the same model mounted on an airplane. ${ }^{3}$ For this case, the wind-tunnel and flight data agree to within the experimental error.

The wind-tunnel tests of practical-construction wing sections as delivered by the manufacturer showed minimum drag coefficients of the order of 0.0070 to 0.0080 in nearly all cases regardless of the type of section


Fig. 84. Drag characteristics of the NACA 65,2-417 (approx.) and NACA 23015 (approx.) airfoil sections built by practical-construction methods by the same manufacturer. $R$, $10.23 \times 10^{6}$.
(Figs. 82 to 86). Such values may be regarded as typical for good American construction practice during the Second World War. Finishing the sections to produce smooth surfaces always resulted in substantial drag reductions, although considerable waviness usually remained. None of the sections tested had fair surfaces at the front spar. Unless special care is taken to produce fair surfaces at the front spar, the resulting wave may be expected to cause transition either at the spar location or a short distance behind it. One practical-construction specimen tested with smooth surfaces maintained relatively low drags up to Reynolds numbers of approximately 30 million [NACA 66(2x15)-116 wing section of Fig. 66]. This specimen had no spar forward of about 35 per cent chord from the leading edge and no spanwise stiffeners forward of the spar. This type of construction resulted in unusually fair surfaces.

Few data are available on the effect of propeller slipstream on transition or wing drag; the data that are available do not show consistent results.


Fic. 85. Scale effect on drag of the NACA 66(215)-116 and NACA 23016 airfoil sections built by practical-construction methods by the same manufacturer and tested as received.


Fig. 86. Drag scale effect for a model of the NACA 65-serics airfoil section 18.27 per cent thick and the Davis airfoil section 18.27 per ceut thick, built by practical-construction methods by the same manufacturer. $c_{l}=0.46$ (approx.).


Fig. 87. Comparison of drag coefficients measured in flight and wind tunnel for the NACA 0012 airfoil section at zero ilit.

This inconsistency may result from variation of lift coefficient, surface condition, air-stream turbulence, propeller advance-diameter ratio, and num-


Fig. 88. The effect of propeller operation on section drag coefficient of a fighter-type airplane. from tests of a model on the Langley 19 -foot pressure tunnel. $C_{L}=0.10 ; R, 3.7 \times 10^{6}$.
ber of blades. Some early British investigations ${ }^{157,} 158,159$ showed that transition occurred at 5 to 10 per cent of the chord from the leading edge in the slipstream. Similar results were indicated by tests in the NACA 8-foot high-speed tunnel. ${ }^{43}$ Drag measurements in the NACA 19-foot pressure tunnel ${ }^{3}$ (Fig. 88) indicated that only moderate drag increments
resulted from a windmilling propeller. Although these data are only qualitative ${ }^{3}$ because of the difficulty of making wake surveys in the slipstream, they seem to preclude very large drag increments such as would result from a movement of transition to a position close to the laading edge. A


Fra. 89. Flight measurements of transition on a NACA 66-series wing within and outside the slipstream.
similar conclusion is indicated by N.ACA flight data ${ }^{3}$ (Fig. 89), which show transition as far back as 20 per cent of the chord in the slipstream.

Even fewer data are available on the effects of vibration on transition. Tests in the NACA 8 -foot high-speed tunne ${ }^{13}$ showed negligible effects, but the range of frequencies tested may not have been sufficiently wide to represent conditions encountered on airplanes. Some NACA flight data ${ }^{3}$ showed small but consistent rearward movements of transition outside the slipstream when the propellers were feathered. This effect was noticed even when the propeller on the opposite side of the two-engined airplane was feathered, and it was accordingly attributed to vibration or noise. In
some cases, increases of drag have been noted in wind tunnels when the model or its supports vibrated. A tentative conclusion may be drawn from the meager data that vibration may cause small forward movements of transition on airplane wings.

The skin friction associated with a turbulent boundary layer on a smooth surface decreases with increase of Reynolds number, as shown in Chap. 5. This favorable scale effect is not obtained at high Reynolds


Fig. 90. Coefficient of resistance of rough and smooth tubes dependent on Reynolds number.
numbers on rough surfaces. An explanation of this effect is that the pressure drag of the individual protuberances constituting the roughness contribute to the skin friction when they project through the laminar sublayer. The shapes of the individual protuberances are usually such that the scale effect on the pressure drag should be small. The result at large Reynolds numbers for generally roughened surfaces is that the skin-friction coefficient is essentially constánt.

The general nature of the scale effects for rough surfaces has been indicated by experiments in pipes. ${ }^{28}$ These data (Fig. 90) show that at low Reynolds numbers the pipe-loss coefficient decreases with increasing Reynolds number at the rate expected for laminar flow. When transition occurs, the pipe-loss coefficient increases to the valuc expected for turbulent flow over smooth surfaces. For very small roughness, the pipe-loss coefficient decreases with increasing Reynolds number along the turbulent skin-friction curve for smooth surfaces until large values of the Reynolds number are reached. For any given size of roughness, however, there ap-
pears to be a Reynolds number beyond which the coefficient increases slightly to a constant value. The value of the Reynolds number decreases and the constant value of the coefficient increases as the grain size of the roughness increases. At large values of the Reynolds number, the roughness causes large increments of skin friction over the values corresponding to smooth surfaces.

On the basis of the pipe experiments and reasoning similar to the foregoing, von Kármán ${ }^{139}$ obtained a formula for the grain size just sufficiently large to affect turbulent skin friction. This formula may be written

$$
\frac{\rho C \%}{\mu}=3 \sqrt{\frac{2}{c_{j}}}
$$

where $\frac{\rho U k}{\mu}=\begin{aligned} & \text { Reynolds number based on grain size of roughness and local } \\ & \text { velocity outside boundary layer }\end{aligned}$
$c_{f}=$ local skin-friction coefficient
For a wing of approximately 9 -foot chord at a speed of 300 feet per second, the limiting grain size is approximately 0.0004 inch and varies only slightly over the surface, increasing toward the trailing edge.

The effect of roughness on the drag of wing sections with largely turbulent flow is analogous to the effect in pipes as shown ${ }^{3}$ by Fig. 83. At the lower Reynolds numbers, the drag coefficient decreases with increasing Reynolds number at about the rate expected for turbulent flow over smooth surfaces. In this case, the drag remains essentially constant at Reynolds numbers above about 15 million. At a Reynolds number of 70 million the increment of drag caused by the moderate roughness was of the order of one-quarter of the drag that would have been obtained had the favorable scale effect continued. The sensitivity of the turbulent boundary layer to roughness is so great that airplanes should not be expected to show favorable scale effects at large Reynolds numbers unless considerable care is taken to obtain smooth surfaces.

It should be noted that, in contrast to the situation with respect to the laminar layer, it is relatively easy to obtain reductions of drag by attention to the surface conditions for turbulent flow. Even though the allowable size of the roughness is very small, each speck of roughness presumably contributes only the drag of itself and does not have any appreciable effect on the skin friction over the surface downstream. Consequently favorable effects may be expected from careful finishing of the general surface of modern high-performance airplanes even though imperfections such as rivets and seams may be present.
d. Unconservative Wing Sections. The need for low drags in order to obtain long range for large airplanes flying at speeds below the critical Mach number leads to designs having high wing loadings to reduce the wing area and profile drag together with relatively low span loadings to
avoid high induced drags. These tendencies result in wings of high aspect ratio that require large spar depths for structural efficiency. The large spar depths require the use of thick root sections. The comparatively high lift coefficients corresponding to the cruise condition for such designs leads to the use of large cambers to obtain low profile drags. Such designs are encouraged by the drag characteristics of modern smooth wing sections


Fig. 91. Variation of the lift coefficient corresponding to a drag coefficient of 0.02 with thickness and camber for a number of NACA airfoil sections with roughened leading edges. $R, 6 \times 10^{6}$.
which show relatively small increases of drag coefficient with increasing thickness ratio and camber (Sec. 7.5a).

Unfortunately airplane wings are not usually constructed with smooth surfaces, and, in any case, the surfaces cannot be relied upon to stay smooth under all service conditions. The effect of roughening the leading edges of thick wing sections is to cause large increases of the drag coefficient at high lift coefficients. The resulting drag coefficient may be excessive at cruising lift coefficients for heavily loaded high-altitude airplanes. Wing sections that have suitable characteristics when smooth but have excessive drag coefficients when rough at lift coefficients corresponding to cruising or climbing conditions are called "unconservative."
-The decision as to whether a given wing section is conservative will depend upon the power and wing loadings of the airplane. The decision may be affected by expected service and operating conditions. For ex-
ample, the ability of a multiengine airplane to fly with one or more engines inoperative in icing conditions or, in the case of military airplanes, after

(c) iiaca 6l-series.

Fig. 91. (Continued)
suffering damage in combat may be a consideration. As an aid in judging whether the sections are conservative, the lift coefficient corresponding to a drag coefficient of 0.02 was determined from the figures of Appendix IV for a number of NACA wing sections with roughened leading edges. The variation of this lift coefficient with thickness ratio and camber ${ }^{3}$ is shown
in Fig. 91 for a Reynolds number of 6 million. These data show that, in general, the lift coefficient at which the drag coefficient is 0.02 decreases with rearward movement of the position of minimum pressure and with

(o) NaCA 66-series.

Fig. 91. (Concluded)
increased thickness above thickness ratios of about 15 per cent. For wing sections thinner than approximately 18 per cent, the effect of camber is to increase this lift coefficient. For the thicker sections, however, increasing the camber becomes relatively ineffective and may even be harmful in extreme cases. The highest values of this lift coefficient for wing sections having thickness ratios greater than 15 per cent are obtained with the NACA 64 -series sections having a design lift coefficient of 0.4.
7.6. Pitching-moment Characteristics. The variation of the quarterchord pitching-moment coefficient at zero angle of attack with thickness


Frg. 92. Variation of section quarter-chord pitching-moment coefficient (measured at an angle of attack of zero degrees) with airfoil thickness ratio for several NACA airfoil sections of different camber. $R, 6 \times 10^{6}$.
ratio and camber is presented in Fig. 92 for a large number of NACA wing sections. ${ }^{3}$ The pitching-moment coefficients of the NACA four- and fivedigit series sections become more negative with decreasing thickness ratio. Comparison of the experimental data in Fig. 92 with the theoretical values obtained from the theory of thin wing sections shows that the absolute
magnitudes of the pitching-moment coefficients for the NACA four- and five-digit series sections are somewhat less than those indicated by the theory. The pitching-moment coefficients for the NACA 6-series wing


Fig. 92. (Continued)
sections show practically no variation with thickness ratio or position of minimum pressure. As indicated by the theory of thin wing sections, increasing the amount of camber causes a nearly uniform negative increase of the pitching-moment coefficient. In general, the absolute magnitude of the quarter-chord pitching-moment coefficients for the NACA 6 -series wing sections having mean lines of the type $a=1.0$ are approximately three-

(e) naca 66-series.

Fig. 92. (Concluded)


Fig. 93. Comparison of theoretical and measured pitching-moment coefficients for some NACA airfoils. $R, 6 \times 10^{6}$.
quarters of the theoretical values. The pitching-moment coefficients for sections having mean lines of the type $a<1.0$ are equal to or slightly more negative than the theoretical values as shown in Fig. 93. Consequently, changing the type of mean line from $a=1.0$ to $a<1.0$ to reduce the magnitude of the pitching-moment coefficient is relatively ineffective unless the value of $a$ is reduced to a small value.

The variation of chordwise position of the aerodynamic center at a Reynolds number of 6 million for a large number of NACA wing sections is presented in Fig. 94. From the data presented in Appendix IV, there appears to be no systematic variation of chordwise position of the aerodynamic center with Reynolds number between 3 and 9 million. For the NACA 24 -, 44 -, and 230 -series wing sections with thickness ratios ranging from 12 to 24 per cent, the chordwise position of the aerodynamic center is ahead of the quarter-chord point and moves forward with increases of thickness ratio. The data for the NACA 00 - and 14 -series sections show that the aerodynamic center is at the quarter-chord point. The aerodynamic center is aft of the quarter-chord point for the NACA 6-series wing sections and moves rearward with increase of thickness ratio. There appears to be little systematic variation of the chordwise position of the aerodynamic center with camber or position of minimum pressure for these sections. For the thick cambered sections, the chordwise position of the aerodynamic center appears to move forward as the design position of minimum pressure moves back. For wing sections with arbitrary modifications of shape near the trailing edge, the trailing-edge angle appears to be an important parameter affecting the chordwise position of the aerodynamic center. For such sections, the aerodynamic center moves forward as the trailing-edge angle is increased. ${ }^{92}$

(a) NACA four- and five-digit series.

Fig. 94. Variation of section chordwise position of the aerodynamic center with airfoil thickness ratio for several NACA airfoil sections of different cambers. $R, 6 \times 10^{6}$.

(b) NACA 63-series.

Fig. 94. (Continued)

(c) N.ACA 6 -4-series.

Fig. 94. (Continued)

(d) NACA 65 -series.

Fig. 94. (Continued)

(e) NACA 66-series.

Fig. 94. (Concluded)

## CHAPTER 8

## HIGH-LIFT DEVICES

### 8.1. Symbols.

$C_{D}$ drag coefficient
$C_{D_{\text {min }}}$ minimum lift coefficient
$C_{L_{\max }}$ maximum lift coefficient
$\Delta C_{L_{\max }}$ increment of maximum lift coefficient
$C_{N}$ normal-force coefficient
$C_{N}$, flap normal-force coefficient based on the area of the flap
$C_{Q}$ volume-flow coefficient, Q/VS
$E$ flap-chord ratio, $c_{J} / c$
G moment arm, in terms of the chord, of the basic normal force about the quarterchord point
M Mach number
$P_{a_{0}}$ incremental additional load distribution associated with flap deflection
$P_{b_{b}}$ incremental basic load distribution associated with flap deflection
$P_{\delta}$ incremental load distribution associated with flap deflection
$Q$ volume of flow through slot
$R$ Reynolds number, $\rho V c / \mu$
$S$ wing area
$V$ velocity of the free stream
chord
$c_{d}$ section drag coefficient
c) flap chord
$c_{h}$ section fiap hinge-moment coefficient, $h / 1 / 2 \rho V^{2} c_{\rho^{2}}$
$c_{l}$ section lift coefficient
$c_{l}$ section flap lift coefficient, $l_{l} / Y_{2} \rho^{2} V^{2} c_{s}$
$c_{i_{\max }}$ maximum section lift coefficient
$\Delta c_{t_{\text {max }}}$ increment of maximum section lift coefficient
$c_{m}$ section pitching-moment coefficient, $m / 1 / 2 \rho V^{2} c^{2}$
$c_{m_{c} / 4}$ section pitching-moment coefficient about the quarter-chord point
$c_{m_{1}}$ section pitching-moment coefficient about the quarter-chord point with flap neutral
$c_{m_{2}}$ section pitching-moment coefficient about the quarter-chord point with flap deflected
$\Delta c_{m}$ incremental section pitching-moment coefficient about the quarter-chord point
$c_{n_{1}}$ section normal-force coefficient with flap neutral
$c_{m_{2}}$ section normal-force coefficient with flap deflected
$c_{n_{0}}$ incremental additional normal-force coefficient associated with flap deflection
$c_{n_{b_{\delta}}}$ incremental basic normal-force coefficient associated with flap deflection
$c_{n_{f}}$ incremental flap normal-force coefficient
$\Delta c_{n}$ incremental section normal-force coefficient
$(c p)$; center of pressure of the load on a flap measured from the leading edge of the flap
$h$ section flap hinge-moment coefficient (positive in direction of positive flap deflection)

$$
\begin{aligned}
l_{f} & \text { lift force acting on the flap per unit span } \\
m & \text { section pitching moment } \\
n_{f_{\delta}} & \text { incremental flap normal force per unit span } \\
q & \text { dynamic pressure, } 1 / 2 \rho V^{2} \\
r & \text { leading-edge radius } \\
t & \text { thickness of wing section } \\
v & \text { local velocity over the surface of a symmetrical section at zero lift } \\
\Delta v_{a} & \text { increment of local velocity over the surface of a wing section associated with } \\
& \text { angle of attack } \\
x & \text { distance parallel to chord } \\
y & \text { distance normal to chord } \\
\alpha & \text { angle of attack } \\
\alpha_{0} & \text { section angle of attack } \\
\Delta \alpha_{0} & \text { increment of section angle of zero lift } \\
\gamma_{a_{\delta},}, \gamma_{b_{\delta}} & \text { ratios of the flap normal force to the section normal force for the incremental } \\
& \text { additional and the incremental basic normal forces } \\
\delta & \text { flap deflection } \\
\delta_{f_{1}} & \text { deflection of fore flap or vane } \\
\delta \delta, & \text { deflection of main flap of a double-slotted flap configuration } \\
\Delta \delta & \text { increment of flap deflection } \\
\rho & \text { mass density of air } \\
\tau & \text { turbulence factor, effective Reynolds number/test Reynolds number } \\
\tau_{n}, \tau_{m} & \text { see Eq. (8.8) }
\end{aligned}
$$

8.2. Introduction. The auxiliary devices discussed in this chapter are essentially movable elements that permit the pilot to change the geometry and aerodynamic characteristics of the wing sections to control the motion of the airplane or to improve the performance in some desired manner. The desire to imp ove performance by increasing the wing loading while maintaining acceptable landing and take-off speeds led to the development of retrac able devices to improve the maximum lift coefficients of wings without changing the characteristics for the cruising and high-speed flight conditions. Some typical high-lift devices are illustrated in Fig. 95. The aerodynamic characteristics of some typical high-lift devices are presented and discussed in the following sections. Primary emphasis is given to the capabilities and relative merits

of the various devices. Numerous references to the literature are given to provide design information.

(b) $\delta$ range from $0^{\circ}$ to $20^{\circ}$.

Fic. 96. Variation of section flap effectiveness with flap chord ratio for true-airfoil-oontour flaps without exposed overhang balance on a number of airfoil sections; gaps sesled; $c_{1}=0$.
8.3. Plain Flaps. Plain trailing-edge flaps are formed by hinging the rearmost part of the wing section about a point within the contour. Downward deflections of the trailing edge are called "positive-flap deflections." Deflection of a plain flap with no gap effectively changes the camber of the wing section, and some of the resulting changes of the aerodynamic charas-

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Sym- } \\ \text { bol } \end{gathered}$ | Basic airfoil | Type of flap | Air-flow characteristics |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\tau$ | M | $\boldsymbol{R}$ |
|  | NACA 0009 | Plain | 1.93 | 0.08 | .... |
| $\underline{+}$ | NACA 0015 | Plain | 1.93 | 0.10 | $1.4 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $x$ | NACA 23012 | Plain | 1.60 | 0.11 | $2.2 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $\square$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { NACA } \\ 66(2 \times 15)-009 \end{gathered}$ | Plain, straight contour | 1.93 | 0.10 | $1.4 \times 10^{6}$ |
| ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NACA } \\ & 66-009 \end{aligned}$ | Plain | 1.93 | 0.11 | $1.4 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $\nabla$ | NACA <br> 63,4-4(17.8) approx. | Internally balanced | Approaching 1.00 | 0.17 | $2.5 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $\Delta$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { NACA } \\ 66(2 \times 15)-216, \\ a=0.6 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Internally balanced | Approaching 1.00 | 0.18 | $5.3 \times 10^{6}$ |
| 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { NACA } \\ 66(2 \times 15)-116, \\ a=0.6 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Internally balanced | Approaching 1.00 | 0.14 | $6.0 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $D$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { NACA } \\ 64,2-(1.4)(13.5) \end{gathered}$ | Plain | $\begin{gathered} \text { Approach- } \\ \text { ing } 1.00 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $13.0 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $\Delta$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { NACA } \\ 65,2-318 \text { approx. } \end{gathered}$ | Internally balanced | Approaching 1.00 | 0.14 | $6.0 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $\Delta$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { NACA } \\ 63(420)-521 \text { approx. } \end{gathered}$ | Internally balanced | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Approach- } \\ & \text { ing } 1.00 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $8.0 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $\nabla$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { NACA } \\ 66(215)-216 \\ a=0.6 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Internally balanced | Approaching 1.00 | $\begin{gathered} 0.20 \\ \text { to } \\ 0.48 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.8 \times 10^{6} \\ \text { to } \\ 6.8 \times 10^{6} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| $\nabla$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { NACA } \\ 66(215)-014 \end{gathered}$ | Plain | 1.93 | 0.09 | $1.2 \times 10^{6}$ |
| 0 | $\begin{gathered} \text { NACA } \\ 66(215)-216 \\ a=0.6 \end{gathered}$ | Plain | Approaching 1.00 |  | $6.0 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $\square$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NACA } \\ & 65_{z}-415 \end{aligned}$ | Plain | Approaching 1.00 | 0.13 | $6.0 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $\square$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{NACA} \\ & 65_{-}-418 \end{aligned}$ | Plain | Approaching 1.00 | 0.13 | $6.0 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $\square$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{NACA} \\ & 65_{4}-421 \end{aligned}$ | Plain | Approaching 1.00 | 0.13 | $6.0 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $0$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { NACA } \\ 65_{(112)}-213 \end{gathered}$ | Internally balanced | Approaching 1.00 | 0.14 | $8.0 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $\gamma$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { NACA } \\ \text { 745A317 approx. } \end{gathered}$ | Internally balanced | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Approach- } \\ & \text { ing } 1.00 \end{aligned}$ | 0.13 | $6.0 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $\gamma$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { NACA } \\ \text { 64,3-013 approx. } \end{gathered}$ | Internally balanced | $\begin{gathered} \text { Approach- } \\ \text { ing } 1.00 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0.13 | $6.0 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $\bigcirc$ | NACA 64,3-1(15.5) approx. | Internally balanced | Approaching 1.00 | 0.13 | $6.0 \times 10^{6}$ |

(c) Supplementary information.

Fic. 06. (Concluded)
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teristics may be calculated from the theory of thin wing sections (Chap. 4) if the flow does not separate from the surface. For most commonly used wing sections, this condition is satisfied reasonably well for flap deflections of not over 10 to 15 degrees. The theory permits calculation of the angle of zero lift, the pitching-moment coefficient, and the chordwise load distribution with reasonable accuracy. The flap loads and hinge moments may also be obtained, but the accuracy of these quantities is relatively poor because the effects of viscosity are particularly pronounced over the aft portion of the section. The effectiveness of the flap in increasing the


Fig. 97. Theoretical hinge moment and pitching moment characteristics of plain trailing-odge flaps. maximum lift coefficient cannot be calculated.

Glauert ${ }^{35}$ calculated the effect of plain flaps in changing the angle of zero lift, the pitching moment, and the flap hinge moments. The calculated effect of flap deflection on the angle of zero lift is shown in Fig. 96, where the flap effectiveness ${ }^{3}$ $\Delta \alpha_{0} / \Delta \delta$ is plotted against the ratio of the flap chord to the section chord $c_{f} / c$. Numerous experimental points are also shown for flap deflections of 0 to 10 degrees and 0 to 20 degrees. In general, the flap effectiveness is less than that indicated by the theory, and the discrepancy increases with increased flap deflection for small chord flaps. The calculated effectiveness of a plain flap in changing the section pitching-moment coeffcient about the quarter-chord point $d c_{m} / d \delta$ is shown in Fig. 97. The calculated values of the rate of change of hinge moment with lift coefficient $d c_{h} / d c_{l}$ and the rate of change of hinge moment with flap deflection $d c_{h} / d \delta$ are also shown in Fig. 97. For symmetrical wing sections, the theoretical pitching-moment and hinge-moment coefficients are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{m}=\delta\left(\frac{d c_{m}}{d \delta}\right) \\
& c_{h}=c_{l}\left(\frac{d c_{h}}{d c_{l}}\right)+\delta\left(\frac{d c_{l}}{d \delta}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The linearity of the theory of thin wing sections permits the application of the values obtained from Figs. 96 and 97 to cambered as well as symmetrical sections. In the case of cambered sections, these values are applied as increments to the corresponding values for the unflapped section.

Pinkerton ${ }^{81}$ calculated the flap loads that are presented in Fig. 98. The rate of change of the flap lift coefficient with the section lift coefficient $d c_{l_{f}} / d c_{l}$ and with flap deflection $d c_{l_{f}} / d \delta$ are plotted against the ratio of flap chord to section chord.


Fra. 98. Theoretical variation of flap lift coefficient with section lift coefficient and flap deflection.

The pressure distribution over a wing section with a deflected plain flap may be calculated by the wing-section theory of Chap. 3, but such calculations for a number of flap deflections would be unduly laborious. Some knowledge of the chordwise load distribution is given by the theory of thin wing sections. The change of load distribution caused by flap deflection can be resolved inio two components, one of which is simply the additional load distribution associated with angle of attack. The other component is the difference between the load distribution on the original and deflected mean lines at their respective ideal angles of attack. These load distribu-
tions may be calculated by the theory of thin wing sections (Chap. 4) as explained by Allen, ${ }^{6}$ but the results are not very accurate. For example, the simple theory predicts infinite load concentrations at the leading edge and hinge location. The infinite load concentration at the leading edge may be avoided by use of the additional types of load distribution associated with angle of attack as obtained from thick-wing-section theory and presented in Appendix I. Allen ${ }^{6}$ obtained empirical load distributions analogous to those associated with changes of the camber that permit calculation of reasonable approximations to the load distributions of flapped


Fig. 99. Distribution of pressure over a wing section with a plain flap.
wing sections. Allen's method is presented in Sec. 8.8. Once the load distribution is obtained, the pressure distribution may be calculated by the method of Sec. 4.5. Some typical pressure distributions for sections with plain flaps ${ }^{17}$ are presented in Fig. 99.

The lift, drag, and moment characteristics of a typical NACA 6-series wing section with a 0.20 c plain flap ${ }^{3}$ are presented in Fig. 100 for several flap deflections up to 65 degrees. These and other data ${ }^{2}$ show that the angle of maximum lift coefficient with the flap deflected is generally somewhat less than for the plain wing section. Curves of the maximum lift coefficient plotted against flap deflection for two sections ${ }^{3}$ are presented in Fig. 101. Plain flaps of 0.20 c appear to be capable of producing increments of the section maximum lift coefficient ranging up to about 1.0 and are more effective when applied to sections with small amounts of camber. Additional data on plain flaps are presented by Jacobs, ${ }^{47}$ Abbott, ${ }^{2}$ and Wenzinger. ${ }^{188,149,} 156$


Fig. 100. Aerodynamic characteristics of the NACA 66(215)-216 airfoil section with $0.20 c$ scaled plain flap.


Fig. 100. (Concluded)

When the turbulent boundary layer over the aft portion of the wing section is thin and resistant to separation (as when extensive laminar flow is obtained), small deflections of a plain flap do not cause separation but shift the range of lift coefficients for which low drag is obtained. ${ }^{3}$ If the extent of laminar flow is not large or if the flap deflection is sufficiently


Fig. 101. Maximum lift coefficients for the NACA 65,3-61S and NACA 66(215)-216 airfoils fitted with 0.20 -airfoil-chord plain fiaps. $R, 6 \times 16^{6}$.
large to be of interest as a high-lift device, the flow over the flap separates and large drag increments result. A typical variation of drag with lift coefficient for the NACA 23012 wing section with a $0.20 c$ plain flap deflected the optimum amount at each lift coefficient ${ }^{2}$ is shown in Fig. 102.
8.4. Split Flaps. The split flap is one of the simplest of the high-lift devices. The usual split flap is formed by deflecting the aft portion of the lower surface about a hinge point on the surface at the forward edge of the deflected portion (see Fig. 95). One variation of the simple split flap has the hinge point located forward of the deflected portion of the surface in
such a manner as to leave a gap between the deflected flap and the wing surface. In another variation the leading edge of the flap is moved aft as the flap is deflected, either with or without a gap between the flap and the wing surface. Split flaps derive their effectiveness from the large increase of camber produced and, in the case of some of the variations, from the effective increase of wing area.


Fig. 102. Comparison of profile-drag envelope polars for the NACA 23012 airfoil with $0.20 c$ plain and split flaps.

The lift and moment characteristics ${ }^{3}$ for typical NACA 6 -series wing sections with $0.20 c$ split flaps deflected $0,40,50,60$, and 70 degrees are presented in Figs. 103 and 104. Similar data for a flap deflection of 60 degrees are presented for most of the wing sections of Appendix IV. The lift-curve slope with split flaps is higher and the angle of maximum lift is somewhat lower than for the plain section. There appears to be a tendency for the lift-curve slope for large flap deflections to be less than that for moderate deflections. ${ }^{2}{ }^{154}$

Inspection of Figs. 103 and 104 shows that the split flap is more effective in increasing the maximum lift coefficient when applied to the thick than when applied to the thin wing sections. Figure 58 shows that the maximum lift coefficients of NACA 6 -series wing sections with 0.20 c split flaps deflected 60 degrees increase with thickness ratio up to ratios of at least 18 per cent. Figure 58 shows comparatively little variation of maximum lift coefficient for NACA four-digit wing sections with 0.20 c split flaps for thickness ratios greater than 12 per cent, but the maximum lift coefficient


Fig. 103. Lift and moment characteristics of the NACA 66(215)-216 airfoil section with $0.20 c$ split flap. $R, 6 \times 10^{6}$.



Fig. 104. Lift and moment characteristics of the NACA $65_{1}-212$ airfoil section with $0.20 c$ split flap. $R, 6 \times 10^{6}$.
decreases for smaller thickness ratios. These results are in essential agreement with those ${ }^{154}$ of Fig. 105, which shows that, for the NACA 230-series sections, the maximum lift coefficient generally increases with thickness ratio for large-chord split flaps but decreases for small-chord flaps.


Fic. 105. Effect of airfoil thickness on maximum lift coefficient of NACA 230-series airfoils with and without split flaps.

The variation of the increment of maximum lift coefficient resulting from deflection of split flaps of $10,20,30$, and 40 per cent chord ${ }^{154}$ is shown in Fig. 106. For wing sections of normal thickness ratios, substantially full benefit is obtained for flap deflections of 60 or 70 degrees. The effect of the chord of split flaps on the increment of maximum lift coefficient ${ }^{154}$ is shown in Fig. 107. For wings of normal thickness ratio, comparatively little benefit is obtained by increasing the flap chord to more than 20 or 25 per cent of the section chord, although large flap chords are more effective on thick than on thin sections.

Deflection of a split flap produces a bluff body, and large drag incre-
ments are to be expected. Figure 102 shows that the drag of the NACA 23012 section with a 0.20 c split flap deflected the optimum amount at each lift coefficient is about the same or less than that for a plain flap. ${ }^{2}$

The pressure distributions over wing sections with highly deflected split flaps are similar to those for plain flaps (Fig. 99). The pressure distributions with deflected split flaps may be predicted by the semiempirical method of Allen. ${ }^{6}$

(a)-NACA 23012 AIRFOIL (b)-NACA 23021 AIRFOIL (c)-NACA 23030 AIRFOIL

Fig. 106. Effect of split-fiap deflection on increment of maximum lift coefficient for various airfoils and flaps.

Data required for the structural design of split flaps are given by Wenzinger and Rogallo. ${ }^{156}$ The normal force coefficients and centers of pressure for a $0.20 c$ split flap at various deflections are shown in Fig. 108. The data of Wenzinger and Rogallo show that the chordwise position of the forward edge of the flap has only a small effect on the flap loads.

The effect of a gap between the wing surface and a split flap with a nominal chord of 0.20 c hinged at 0.80 c from the leading edge of the section ${ }^{150}$ is shown in Fig. 109. The data show that the loss of maximum lift coefficient associated with the gap is considerably greater than that caused by removing the same area from the trailing edge of the flap.

Figure 110 summarizes the effect ${ }^{144}$ on maximum lift coefficient of moving a 0.20 c split flap toward the trailing edge from its normal position. These data show that the percentage increment of maximum lift coefficient obtained by moving the split flap to the rear is about the same as the percentage increase of wing area measured as projected onto the original
chord line. Similar results have been obtained ${ }^{144}$ for $0.30 c$ and $0.40 c$ split flaps.
8.5. Slotted Flaps. a. Description of Slotted Flaps. Slotted flaps provide one or more slots between the main portion of the wing section and the


Fra. 107. Effect of chord of split flap on increment of maximum lift coefficient for three airfoil thicknesses.
deflected flap; and they derive their effectiveness from increasing the camber and, in some cases, from increasing the effective chord of the section. The slots duct high-energy air from the lower surface to the upper surface and direct this air in such a manner as to delay separation of the flow over the flap by providing boundary-layer control.

The numerous types of slotted flap are classified by their geometry. Several types are shown in Fig. 111. The primary classification is the number of slots. The single-slotted flap is the simplest and most generally
used type. Double-slotted flaps have been used to some extent, and multiple-slotted or venetian-blind flaps have been investigated. An important consideration in the design of slotted flaps, especially of the single-


Fig. 108. Normal force coefficients and centers of pressure of a 0.20 c split flap at 0.80 c on a NACA 2212 wing.
slotted type, is the extent to which the flap moves aft as it is deflected. The movement of the flap may vary from a simple rotation about a fixed point to a combined rotation and translation that moves the leading edge of the flap to the vicinity of the normal trailing-edge position. Rearward movement of the flap requires an extension of the upper surface over some or all of the flap in the retracted position. This extension of the upper surface serves to direct the flow of air through the slot in the proper direc-
tion and is called the "lip." The external-airfoil flap (Fig. 111) may be considered as a special case of the single-slotted flap with the distinguishing feature that it does not retract within the section.

The flow about a wing section with a deflected slotted flap is very complicated, and no adequate theory has been developed to predict the aerodynamic characteristics. Consequently the information required for


Fig. 109. (a) Details of split flaps with gaps tested on Clark $Y$ wing.
design is obtained entirely by empirical methods. Although many experimental data have been accumulated, the large number of configurations possible and the sensitivity of the chacteristics to small changes in the slot configuration make the design problem a difficult one.
b. Single-slotted Flaps. One important parameter in the design of singleslotted flaps is the chordwise position of the lip. Although completely comparable data are not available for configurations with varied positions of the lip, the maximum lift coefficients appear to increase as the lip position approaches the trailing edge for wing sections of moderate thickness. This effect ${ }^{600} 153$ is shown in Fig. 112, where increments of maximum lift coefficient are presented for the NACA 23012 section with singleslott.ed flaps having lips located at $0.827 c, 0.900 c$, and $1.000 c$. The con-
figuration with the lip located at $0.827 c$ is not exactly comparable with the others because the chord of this flap is 0.2566 c as compared with 0.30 c for the others. It is apparent, nevertheless, that the increment of the maximum lift coefficient is considerably higher for the configuration having the lip at the normal trailing-edge position than for those with a more forward lip location. It is uncertain whether any of the difference between the


Fic. 109. (Conduded) (b) Effect on $C_{L_{\max }}$ and on $C_{D}$ at $C_{L_{\max }}$ of reducing the chord of a $0.20 c$ aplit flap. $\delta=\mathbf{6 0}$ degrees.
other two configurations should be attributed to the difference in lip position. Envelope polars for these flaps are presented ${ }^{69}$ in Fig. 113.

When the lip is located at or near the normal trailing-edge position, the thickness of the flap is necessarily less than that for a more forward location of the lip ${ }^{20}$ (Fig. 114a). In the case of thin wing sections, especially of the NACA 6-series type, the flap thickness may become too small with a rearward location of the lip to permit favorable slot configurations. Under such conditions, the favorable effect on the maximum lift coefficient of moving the lip toward the normal trailing-edge position may not be realized. Cahill ${ }^{20}$ shows (Fig. 114b) that the maximum lift coefficients for


Fia. 110. Contours of $C_{L_{\max }}$ for various positions of trailing edge of 20 per cent flap.


Fig. 111. Several types of slotted flaps.


Fro. 112. Comparison of increments of section maximum lift ctefficient for three flaps on a NACA 23012 airfoil.
the NACA 65-210 section are essentially the same for lip positions of $0.84 c$, $0.90 c$, and $0.975 c$. The structural difficulties presented by a long thin lip extension and the mechanism necessary for the corresponding large rearward movement of the flap are such as to discourage the use of rearward locations of the lip unless such configurations result in substantial improvement of the maximum lift coefficient.


Fig. 113. Envelope polar curves for three slotted fiaps on a NACA 23012 wing section.
The effect of flap chord on the increment of maximum lift ${ }^{29,}{ }^{253}$ is indicated by Fig. 115. The data presented for the $0.2566 c$ and $0.40 c$ flaps are reasonably comparable in that the shapes of the slots are generally similar. Figure 115 shows that larger increments of maximum lift coefficient are obtained with the larger chord flap, but the increased effectiveness is small compared with the increase of flap chord. The slightly higher maximum lift coefficients obtainable with large chord flaps do not appear to justify the structural difficulties encountered with such flaps, and flap chords in excess of $0.25 c$ to $0.30 c$ are seldom used.

The maximum lift coefficients obtained ${ }^{39,96,153}$ with various arrangements of slotted flap on NACA 23012, 23021, and 23030 wing sections are plotted in Fig. 116. The flap chord was 25.66 per cent of the section chord in all cases. These data indicate little variation of the maximum lift coefficient with thickness ratio from 12 to 30 per cent for this type of wing section. A few data ${ }^{3,20}$ are also shown in Fig. 116 for comparable slotted flaps on NACA 6-series wing sections. In this case, the flap chords are 25 or 30 per cent of the section chord. These limited data indicate that, for the NACA 6-series sections, the maximum lift coefficients obtainable with


Fra. 114. Variation of maximum seotion lift coefficient with Reynolds number for several slotted flaps on the NACA 65-210 wing sortion.


Fig. 115. Effect of flap chord on increments of section maximum lift coefficient for the NACA 23012 wing section.


Fig. 116. Maximum lift coefficients for various arrangements of slotted flaps.
slotted flaps on 10 per cent thick sections are appreciably less than those obtainable with thicker sections.

The effects on the maximum lift coefficient of some variations of shape of the slot are illustrated ${ }^{153}$ in Fig. 117. In configurations $1-a$ and $1-e$, the slot is only slowly converging, if at all, at the end of the lip, and these con-


Fig. 117. Maximum lift coefficients attainable with various arrangements of slotted flaps on the NACA 23012 wing section.
figurations have the lowest maximum lift coefficients of the 1 -series configurations. A short extension of the lip as in configuration 1-b, which makes the slot definitely convergent and directs the air downward toward the flap surface, is effective in increasing the maximum lift coefficient. It may be concluded from these and other data that the slot should be definitely convergent in the vicinity of the lip and shaped to direct the air downward toward the flap. The effects of changing the radius of curvature at the entry to the slot from the lower surface are shown by configurations $1-b$ and $1-c$ of Fig. 117 for a flap having a comparatively small rearivard displacement when deflected. Decreasing the radius of curvature from about $0.08 c$ to $0.04 c$ did not produce a significant difference in the maximum
lift coefficient. Other data indicate that this radius of curvature is of little importance when the flap is displaced rearward enough to produce a large area for the entry of air into the slot.

It is difficult to draw general conclusions about the proper shape of a slotted flap. Figure 117 shows that the highest maximum lift coefficients were obtained with flap 2, which is shaped more like a good wing section than flaps 1 or 3 . The difference between the maximum lift coefficients produced by flaps 1 and 2 is small and may be caused by the difference in slot shape and lip extension rather than by the difference in flap shape.


Frg. 118. Contours of flap location for $c_{\max }$. Slotted flap 2-h, $\delta_{f}=\mathbf{5 0}$ degrees on NACA 23012 wing section.

Flap 3, however, appears to be too blunt with a too small radius of curvature on the upper surface aft of the lip in the deflected position.

Typical contours ${ }^{153}$ of the maximum lift obtainable with various flap positions at one flap deflection are shown in Fig. 118. In general, the optimum flap position for good flaps at large deflections appears to be that which produces a slot opening of the order of $0.01 c$ or slightly more and which locates the foremost point of the flap about $0.01 c$ forward of the lip. The maximum lift coefficient, however, is frequently sensitive to the flap position, and the optimum position is best determined by test.

A complete set of section characteristics ${ }^{153}$ for a typical single-slotted flap configuration is shown in Fig. 119. This figure illustrates the characteristic ability of slotted flaps to produce high lift coefficients with comparatively small profile drag coefficients.

The increment of moment coefficient associated with the use of singleslotted flaps ${ }^{23}$ is illustrated by Fig. 120. This figure shows the ratio of the increment of the section pitching-moment coefficient to the increment of the section lift coefficient at an angle of attack of 0 degree for three wing sections and several flaps. The moment coefficients used in this case are


Path of flap nose for various flap deflections. Distances measured from lower edge of lip in per cent airfoil chord $c$.

| $\delta_{f}$, <br> degrees | $x$ | $y$ | $\delta_{f}$, <br> degrees | $x$ | $y$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 8.36 | 3.91 | 40 | 1.35 | 2.43 |
| 10 | 5.41 | 3.63 | 50 | 0.50 | 1.63 |
| 20 | 3.83 | 3.45 | 60 | 0.12 | 1.48 |
| 30 | 2.63 | 3.37 |  |  |  |

Fra. 119. Section aerodynamic characteristics of NACA 23012 airfoil with slotted flap 2-h.
based on the total chord with the flap deflected. The ratio plotted appears to be fairly constant at yalues between about -0.17 and -0.21 , instead of varying with flap-chord ratio as in the case of plain flaps at small deflections.

The normal-force coefficient, pitching-moment coefficient, and center of pressure for the flap of the configuration of Fig. 119 (configuration 2-h of reference 153) are shown ${ }^{152}$ in Fig. 121. All the coefficients are based on the flap chord. The pitching moments are taken about the quarter-chord point of the flap, and the center of pressure is given in per cent of the flap


Fic. 120. Variation of ratio of increment of section pitching-moment coefficient to increment of section lift coefficient with flap-chord ratio for several sections with slotted flaps. $\infty$ $=0$ degrees.
chord from the leading edge of the flap. These data are useful in determining the loads on the flap and the flap linkages, and they show that the normal force coefficients on the flap are less than those for the wing section.
c. External-airfoil Flaps. The external-airfoil flap investigated by Wragg, ${ }^{156 a}$ Platt ${ }^{838485}$ and Wenzinger ${ }^{151}$ may be considered as a special case of the single-slotted flap in which the flap does not retract within the wing section. The maximum lift coefficients ${ }^{84}$ obtained at an effective Reynolds number of 8 million for a NACA 23012 wing section with a 0.20 c externalairfoil flap of the same section are shown plotted against flap deflection in Fig. 122. These maximum lift coefficients are based on the combined areas of the wing and flap. The values presented were obtained from tests of a

finite span model and were only partly corrected to section data. The corresponding section maximum lift coefficients are judged to be about 4 per cent higher than those presented. If these maximum lift coefficients were based on the chord of the wing section, as is customary for other types of flap, the resulting values would be about the same as those for singleslotted flaps. Although the external-airfoil flap appeared ${ }^{83,} 85$ to offer some


Fig. 122. Variation of maximum section lift coefficient, based on chord of wing section plus flap, with flap deflection for the NACA 23012 wing section with $0.20 c_{w}$ external-airfoil flap.
advantages as a full-span flap, it has not been used extensively because of its failure to show definite advantages over retractable flaps and because its use would probably aggravate the icing hazard.
d. Double-slotted flaps. Double-slotted flaps ${ }^{3}$ (Fig. 123) produce substantial increments of maximum lift coefficient over that obtainable with single-slotted flaps. The fore flap or vane of the double-slotted flap assists in turning the air downward over the main flap, thus delaying the stall of the flap to higher deflections. It has frequently been found possible to develop flap-vane combinations that can be retracted into the wing section without relative motion between the vane and the flap. Such an arrangement is desirable because the linkage system is much less complicated than when relative motion is required between the flap and vane.

Investigations by Harris, ${ }^{40}$ Purser, ${ }^{91}$ and Fischel ${ }^{31}$ of approximately 0.30 C and $0.40 c$ double-slotted flaps on the NACA 23021 and 23012 wing sections indicated maximum lift coefficients of the order of 3.3 and 3.5 for the two sizes of flap. Typical results for the NACA 23012 section are shown in Figs. 124 and 125. The fore flap and the main flap did not deflect together as a unit for these configurations. Aerodynamic characteristics ${ }^{2}$ are presented in Fig. 126 for the NACA 65s-118 section with the 0.309 c doubleslotted flap shown in Fig. 123. For this configuration, the vane and flap


Fig. 123. NACA 65s-118 airfoil section with 0.309 c double-slotied fiap.
moved together as a unit up to deflections of 45 degrees. At higher angles, the flap rotated about a pivot and the vane remained fixed.

The variation of maximum lift obtained for thin NACA 64 -series sections ${ }^{21}$ with approximately $0.30 c$ double-slotted flaps is shown in Fig. 127. The type of flap used for these tests is illustrated in Fig. 128. The flap and vane deflected as a unit. These data (Fig. 127) show that the maximum lift coefficient decreases rapidly as the thickness ratio of the wing section is decreased to values below 10 or 12 per cent. The maximum lift coefficient obtained for the NACA 1410 wing section ${ }^{21}$ is also plotted to indicate the effect of type of section. The maximum lift coefficient obtained for the NACA 65-118 wing section with a flap deflection of 45 degrees (Fig. 126) is also plotted to indicate the effect of larger thickness ratios. The NACA $65-118$ data are not exactly comparable, but the indicated gradual rise of the maximum lift coefficient as the thickness ratio is increased to 18 per cent is believed to be representative.

The effect of the design position of minimum pressure on the maximum lift coefficients obtained with double-slotted flaps on 10 per cent thick NACA 6-series wing sections ${ }^{21}$ is shown in Fig. 129. The type of flap used


Fra. 124. Aerodynamic section characteristics of the NACA 23012 airfoil with a $0.30 c$ double-lotted flap. $\delta f_{1}=25$ degrees; $x_{1}=0.41 ; y_{1}=1.72$. (Values of $x_{1}, y_{1}, x_{2}$, and $y_{2}$ are given in per cent of airfoil chord.)


Fig. 125. Aerodynamic characteristics of the NACA 23012 airfoil section with 40 -per centchord double-siotted flap. $\delta f_{1}=30$ degrees; $x_{1}=1.50 ; y_{1}=3.50$. (Values of $x_{1}, y_{1}, x_{2}$ and $y_{2}$ are given in per cent of airfoil chord.)
was similar to that shown in Fig. 128. These data show that the highest maximum lift coefficient was obtained for the NACA 64-series sections and that the maximum lift coefficient decreases rapidly as the minimum-pressure


Fig. 126. NACA 653-118 airfoil section with $0.309 c$ double-slotted flap.
position moves farther aft. It is thought that the rather large variations shown in Fig. 129 are associated with the fact that the thickness ratio of the sections was in a critical range, as indicated by Fig. 127.

Load data for typical double-slotted flaps are given by Cahill. ${ }^{22}$ These data show that a disproportionately large part of the load is carried by the vane. Normal-force coefficients for the vane based on the vane chord and the dynamic pressure of the free stream reached a value in excess of 5.0 .



Frg. 127. Effect of thickness ratio and type of wing section on maximum lift with double slotted flaps.

(a)ARROR WITH FLAP


Fig. 128. Typical airfoil and flap configuration.


Fra. 129. Variation of maximum section lift coefficient with position of minimum pressure for some NACA 6-series wing sections of 10 per cent thicknees and a design lift coefficient of 0.2 . $R, 6 \times 10^{6}$.


Fig. 130. Examples of fixed and retractable slats.
8.6. Leading-edge High-lift Devices. a. Slats. Leading-edge slats are airfoils mounted ahead of the leading edge of the wing in such an attitude as to assist in turning the air around the leading edge at high angles of attack and thus delay leading-edge stalling. They may be either fixed in


Frg. 131. Effect of flaps and leading-edge slat on increment of maximum section lift coefficient.
position or retractable (Fig. 130). The fixed leading-edge slat consisting of an auxiliary airfoil mounted ahead of the wing leading edge has been investigated in detail by Weick and Sanders. ${ }^{146}$ This investigation showed that leading-edge slats of this type with chords varying from 7.5 to 25 per cent of the wing chord and with various sections all produced substantially the same maximum lift coefficient when located in the optimum position for the ratio

$$
\frac{C_{L_{\max }}}{C_{\Sigma_{\min }}}
$$

The value of this maximum lift coefficient was about 1.64 for the rather low Reynolds numbers of the tests. It is doubtful whether such configurations would experience much beneficial scale effect.

The effectiveness of the retractable leading-edge slat ${ }^{107}$ shown in Fig. 130 in increasing the maximum lift coefficient and the angle of attack for


Fig. 132. Effect of flaps and leading-edge slat on angle of attack for maximum lift.
maximum lift is shown in Figs. 131 and 132. These data, which were obtained on a NACA 23012 wing section, indicate an increment of about 0.5 for the maximum lift coefficient and about 8 degrees for the angle of attack for maximum lift. The increment of maximum lift decreased to about one-half of its value for the unflapped section when either a split or slotted flap was deflected to optimum deflections despite readjustment of the slat to optimum positions with flap deflected. Weick and Platt ${ }^{145}$ obtained considerably larger increments of maximum lift coefficient with a special retractable slat (Fig. 133) having a shape providing a rounded entrance into the slot. The increments of maximum lift coefficient with
this configuration were 0.81 for the unflapped section and 0.45 with a deflected slotted flap.
b. Slots. Slots to permit the passage of high-energy air from the lower surface to control the boundary layer on the upper surface are common features of many high-lift devices. The most common application is the slotted flap. When the slot is located near the leading edge, the configuration differs only in detail from the leading-edge slat. Additional slots may be introduced at various chordwise stations. Weick and Shortal ${ }^{147}$ made a systematic study of slots on a Clark Y airfoil. The results of this investigation are summarized in Fig. 134. For the unflapped section, the most effective position for a single slot is near the leading edge, and the effectiveness decreases as the slot is moved aft. Multiple slots are relatively ineffective on the plain airfoil unless they include a slot near the


Fig. 133. Special retractable slat on Clark $Y$ wing section.
leading edge, in which case a total of three slots, all located well forward, is optimum. For the flapped section, the slot located near the leading edge was effective. A single or double slot at the flap changed the type of flap from plain to slotted with a corresponding increase of the maximum lift coefficient. Load data for the leading-edge slot are given by Harris and Lowry. ${ }^{41}$ These data show resultant-force coefficients as large as about 7.5 for that portion of the wing section ahead of a slot near the leading edge.

If slots are considered as a fixed high-lift device, the profile drag in the high-speed flight attitude is an important characteristic. Figure 134 shows that any of the slots investigated cause large increments in the minimum profile drag. This increment increases with the number of slots and decreases with rearward movement of the slots. Attempts have been made to maintain low drags with slots open by locating the slots so that there would be no flow through them in the high-speed condition. ${ }^{108}$ Such configurations have failed to improve the ratio of maximum lift to minimum drag over that for the plain wing section.
c. Leading-edge Flaps. A leading-edge flap may be formed by bending down the forward portion of the wing section in a manner similar to that in which the trailing edge is deflected in the case of plain flaps. Other types of leading-edge flap are formed by extending a surface downward and forward from the vicinity of the leading edge. As shown in Fig. 135, such flaps may extend smoothly from the upper surface near the leading edge, may be hinged at the center of the leading-edge radius, or may be hinged on the lower surface somewhat aft of the leading edge. Although

(a) Multiple fixed slots.

Fig. 134. Aerodynamic characteristics of a Clark $Y$ wing with slots and flaps.


* $C_{D_{\text {min }}}$ with flap neatral.
(b) Multiple fixed slots and a slotted flap deflected 45 degrees.

Fig. 134. (Concluded)
none of these devices is as powerful as trailing-edge flaps, they may be used full span without mechanical interference with lateral-control devices and they are effective when combined with trailing-edge high-lift devices. Leading-edge flaps reduce the severity of the pressure peak ordinarily associated with high angles of attack and thereby delay separa-

(o) DROOPED LEADING EDGE

(b) UPPER SURFACE LEADING EDGE FLAP

(d) FLAP HINGED ABOUT LEADNG EDGE RADIUS

Fig. 135. Various types of leading-edge flaps.
tion. Leading-edge flaps received little consideration until German investigators became interested in them during the Second World War.

Krueger, ${ }^{50.60}$ Lemme, ${ }^{63,64.65}$ and Koster ${ }^{58}$ showed increments of the maximum lift coefficient of as much as 0.7. These increments were, however, applied to maximum lift coefficients for the plain wings of the order of 0.72 . These low maximum lift coefficients resulted from the small leading-edge radii of the wing sections usually used for these investigations and the very low Reynolds numbers of the tests. These investigations indicated that the effectiveness of leading-edge flaps increased with decreasing leading-edge radius. Typical results ${ }^{59}$ are shown in Fig. 136. The incre-
ment of maximum lift coefficient, $\Delta C_{L_{\max }}$, is plotted against the leading-edge-radius parameter $(r / c) /(t / c)^{2}$ where $r$ is the leading-edge radius. The value of this parameter for NACA four- and five-digit wing sections is 1.1.

Fullmer ${ }^{32}$ investigated two types of leading-edge flap on the NACA $64_{1}-012$ section at a Reynolds number of 6 million. The chord of the flap was 10 per cent of the section chord, and the configurations corresponded to


Fig. 136. Effectiveness of leading-edge flaps for various wing sections. Increment of lift resulting from leading-edge flap, $c_{l_{\text {max }}}$ as a function of the leading-edge radius coefficient, $(r / c) /(t / c)^{2}$.
$b$ and $c$ of Fig. 135. The increments of maximum lift coefficient and of the angle of attack for maximum lift are shown in Fig. 137. The leading-edge radius parameter for the NACA $64_{1}-012$ section is 0.72 . The maximum increments of Fig. 137 are shown plotted on Fig. 136 for comparison with the German results. The maximum lift coefficient of the plain wing section for these tests was 1.42 .
8.7. Boundary-layer Cpntrol. The idea of removing the low-energy air of the boundary layer, or of adding kinetic energy to the boundary layer, as a means for increasing the maximum lift has been obvious since the basic mechanism for separation was first understood. ${ }^{87}$ The kinetic energy of the layers of air close to the surface may be increased by removing lowenergy air through suction slots or a porous surface. Another common method is to blow high-energy air through backward-directed slots. The
air handled through either the suction or blowing slots may be carried through the interior of the wing and the necessary energy supplied by a blower. Alternately, the pressure difference required may be obtained from the variation of pressure about the wing section itself. This method


Fig. 137. Variation of the increment of maximum section lift coefficient and the increment of section angle of attack for maximum section lift coefficient with leading-edge flap deflection. NACA 64 $\mathbf{6}$-012 airfoil section with leading- and trailing-edge split flaps. $R, 6.0 \times 10^{6}$.
has been used successfully only in the case of blowing slots on the upper surface using air taken from the lower surface. Examples of such arrangements are the previously discussed slotted flaps, slots, and leading-edge slats.

It is obvious that, if boundary-layer control is applied at sufficiently close intervals along the upper surface of a wing section, separation can be avoided up to very high values of the lift coefficient. The increments of maximum lift coefficient are obtained as an extension of the lift curve to
higher angles of attack as contrasted with the displacement of the lift curve resulting from deflection of trailing-edge flaps. The problem confronting the designer is to apply sufficient boundary-layer control to obtain the desired values of the maximum lift coefficient without increasing the weight and complexity of the airplane to such an extent as to nullify the gains in performance expected from the higher lift coefficients. These considerations of weight and complexity have prevented extensive use of


Fig. 138. Variation of lift coefficient with angle of attack for a thick wing section with boundary-layer control.
any except the simple types of boundary-layer control such as slotted flaps and leading-edge slots and slats.

Successful application of boundary-layer control must delay both turbulent separation over the aft portion of the wing and permanent laminar separation over the forward portion (see Sec. 5.3). The prevention of permanent laminar separation for thin or even moderately thick sections by means of suction slots is a difficult problem involving critically located slots in a region of greatly reduced pressure that requires high-pressure blowers. Nearly all the early investigations avoided this problem by using very thick sections with large leading-edge radii. ${ }^{102,} 103,104$ Using such sections, Schrenk ${ }^{103}$ obtained lift coefficients of over 5.0 using a single suction slot (Fig. 138) with a volume-flow coefficient $C_{Q}$ of 0.038 .

The high drag coefficients of such thick wing sections in the high-speed flight condition led to investigations of boundary-layer control on thinner
sections. ${ }^{13,57,97}$ Knight and Bamber ${ }^{57}$ obtained maximum lift coefficients of about 3.0 (Fig. 139) for the NACA 84-M wing section with a single backward-opening blowing slot.


NACA 84-M wing section. Slot width $=0.667 \% \mathrm{c}$ Slot of 53.9 \%c from leading edge. Internol pressure 12 q higher than free-stream static pressure


Fig. 139. Effect of boundary-layer control by means of a backward-opening blowing slot.
Much of the more recent work ${ }^{94}$, 95 on boundary-layer control has combined suction slots with flaps and leading-edge slots. Quinn ${ }^{9}$ obtained maximum lift coefficients exceeding 4.0 for the NACA $65-418$ section with a double-slotted flap and a single suction slot (Fig. 140). The maximum lift coefficient for the unflapped section increased rapidly with small



Fig. 140. Configuration and maximum lift charactaristics of the NACA $65,-418$ wing section with double-slotted flap and boundary-layer control by suction. $R, 6.0 \times 10^{6}$.
amounts of flow through the slot, but the variation of maximum lift coefficient for the flapped section was nearly linear with the flow coefficient up to a value of 0.040 . Quinn ${ }^{93}$ has concluded that the maximum effectiveness of suction slots is nearly reached when the quantity of air removed is equal to that which would pass through the displacement thickness of the boundary layer at the slot location with the local velocity outside the boundary layer. Quinn found that the maximum lift was limited by leading-edge stalling for the 18 per cent thick sections. He used a leading-


Fig. 141. Configuration and maximum lift characteristics for the NACA 64, A212 wing section with leading-edge slat, double-slotted flap and boundary-layer control.
edge slat ${ }^{95}$ to control the leading-edge stalling of the NACA 64, A212 section with a suction slot and a double-slotted flap. This combination of high-lift devices gave a maximum lift coefficient of nearly 4.0 for this thin section ${ }^{35}$ (Fig. 141).
8.8. The Chcrdwise Load Distribution over Flapped Wing Sections. Allen ${ }^{6}$ obtained empirical load distributions that permit calculation of reasonable approximations to the load distributions of wing sections with deflected plain or split flaps. These load distributions are analogous to those associated with changes of camber in that the ratio $P_{b_{b}} / c_{n_{b s}}$ is independent of the angle of attack where $P_{b \delta}$ is the incremental basic load distribution associated with flap deflection and $c_{n_{b \delta}}$ is the basic normalforce coefficient associated with flap deflection. The total load distribution is the sum of the incremental basic distribution $P_{b \delta}$, the incremental additional load distribution $P_{a \delta}$, and the load distribution of the unflapped section at the same angle of attack. The incremental additional load
distribution $P_{a_{\delta}}$ is taken to be the same as the additional load distribution associated with angle of attack. That is, for a normal additional force coefficient of unity,

$$
P_{a \delta}=4 \frac{\Delta v_{a}}{V} \frac{v}{V}
$$

where the values of $\Delta v_{a} / V$ and $v / V$ are tabulated in Appendix I for various wing sections.

Allen also found empirically that the values of $P_{b \delta} / c_{n_{b g}}$ at any point $(x / c) /(1-E)$ (points ahead of the flap hinge) and $[1-(x / c)] / E$ (points aft of the hinge) were independent of the flap-chord ratio $E$ for any given angular deflection of the flap. Values of $P_{b_{\delta}} / c_{n_{b \delta}}$ are given in Table 6 for both plain and split flaps. The incremental load distribution associated with flap deflection is thus defined in terms of two known types of distributions. The remaining problem is to determine the magnitudes of these two types of distributions associated with a given flap deflection.

The magnitudes of the load distributions are determined from force test data obtained at the desired angle of attack with the flap neutral and deflected. The additional load distribution contributes no moment about the quarter-chord point. The incremental basic distribution is therefore selected to produce the measured increment of moment coefficient between the flap-neutral and flap-deflected conditions. The remainder of the difference between the normal-force coefficients with the flap neutral and deflected is equal to the incremental additional load distribution.

The normal-force distribution is assumed to act as shown in Fig. 142. From force tests of the wing section with flap neutral, $c_{m_{1}}$ (quarter-chord pitching-moment coefficient) and $c_{n_{1}}$ (normal-force coefficient) corresponding to the normal-force distribution shown in Fig. $142 a$ are obtained. From force tests of the wing section at the same angle of attack with the flap deflected, $c_{m_{2}}$ and $c_{m_{2}}$ corresponding to the normal-force distribution shown in Fig. $142 b$ are obtained. Let

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\Delta c_{m}=c_{m_{2}}-c_{m_{1}}  \tag{8.1}\\
\Delta c_{n}=c_{n z}-c_{n_{1}^{\prime}}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $c_{m_{1}}$ and $c_{n_{1}}$, are the pitching-moment and normal-force coefficients corresponding to the normal-force distribution for the section with flap neutral when plotted normal to the chord of the section with flap deflected as shown in Fig. 142c. Then $\Delta c_{m}$ and $\Delta c_{n}$ are the pitching-moment and normal-force coefficients of the incremental normal-force distribution when the incremental distribution is plotted normal to the chord of the section with the flap deflected as shown in Fig. 142d.

In many cases, the approximation

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
c_{n_{1}}=c_{n_{1}^{\prime}}  \tag{8.2}\\
c_{m_{1}}=c_{m_{1}^{\prime}}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

is sufficiently exact except when the flap-chord ratio $E$ and the flap deflection $\delta$ are simultaneously large or when the shape of the mean line is such that the load is large near the trailing edge. In such cases, values of $c_{m_{1}^{\prime}}$ and $c_{m^{\prime}}$ may be obtained graphically from the theoretical load distribution for the unflapped section adjusted to agree with the experimental data.

Let $\Delta c_{m^{\prime}}$ and $\Delta c_{n^{\prime}}$ be the pitching-moment and the normal-force coefficients of the incremental normal-force distribution plotted normal to the flap-neutral chord as shown in Fig. 142e. Because the incremental basic
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Fig. 142. Normal-force distribution and incremental normal-force distribution for flapa neutral and deflected.
normal-force distribution is responsible for the increment of the quarterchord pitching moment, then, if $G$ is the moment arm in terms of the chord of the basic normal force about the quarter-chord point,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta c_{m^{\prime}}=G c_{n_{\Delta 8}} \\
& \Delta c_{n^{\prime}}=c_{n_{06}}+c_{m_{\Delta s}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{n_{\text {ng }}}=$ additional normal-force coefficient associated with flap deflection

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
c_{n, \delta}=\frac{\Delta c_{m^{\prime}}}{G}  \tag{8.3}\\
c_{n, \delta} \leqslant \Delta c_{n^{\prime}}-\frac{\Delta c_{m^{\prime}}}{G}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The value of $G$ is a function of $E$ and $\delta$. Values of $G$ are given in Table 7.
The correlation between the fictitious values of $\Delta c_{m^{\prime}}$ and $\Delta c_{n^{\prime}}$ and the measured values of $\Delta c_{m}$ and $\Delta c_{n}$ must be established in order to determine $c_{\text {mb }}$ and $c_{n_{\text {eg }}}$ from force tests. The incremental flap normal-force coefficient is

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n / \delta}=\frac{n_{f_{\delta}}}{q E c} \tag{8.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{f_{\delta}}$ is the incremental flap normal force per unit span.
Then
and

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\Delta c_{n^{\prime}}=\Delta c_{n}+E c_{n_{/ \delta}}(1-\cos \delta)  \tag{8.5}\\
\Delta c_{m^{\prime}}=\Delta c_{m}-E c_{n_{\delta \delta}}(1-\cos \delta)(3 / 4-E)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The incremental flap normal force may be considered as a combination of two components due to the incremental additional and the incremental basic normal-force distributions. Let $\gamma_{a_{j}}$ and $\gamma_{b_{j}}$ be the ratios of the flap normal force to the section normal force for the incremental additional and the incremental basic normal forces, respectively. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n_{/ \delta}}=\gamma_{a_{\delta}} c_{n_{d \delta}}+\gamma_{b_{\delta}} c_{n_{b \delta}} \tag{8.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n_{j_{\delta}}}=\gamma_{a_{\delta}}\left(\Delta c_{n^{\prime}}-\frac{\Delta c_{m^{\prime}}}{G}\right)+\gamma_{b_{\delta}} \frac{\Delta c_{m^{\prime}}}{G} \tag{8.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The contribution to the flap load of the additional normal-force distribution is small compared with the basic contribution; and, for the purpose of determining $\Delta c_{m^{\prime}}$ and $\Delta c_{n^{\prime}}$, the following approximation may therefore be used:

$$
c_{n_{\gamma_{g}}}=\gamma_{b_{g}} \frac{\Delta c_{m^{\prime}}}{G}
$$

and Eqs. (8.5) become

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta c_{n^{\prime}}=\Delta c_{n}+E \gamma_{b_{s}} \frac{\Delta c_{m^{\prime}}}{G}(1-\cos \delta) \\
& \Delta c_{m^{\prime}}=\Delta c_{m}-E \gamma_{b_{\delta}} \frac{\Delta c_{m^{\prime}}}{G}(1-\cos \delta)\left(\frac{3}{4}-E\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\Delta c_{n^{\prime}} & =\Delta c_{n}+\tau_{n} \Delta c_{m}  \tag{8.8}\\
\Delta c_{m^{\prime}} & =\tau_{m} \Delta c_{m}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{n} & =\frac{E(1-\cos \delta)\left(\gamma_{v_{\delta}} / G\right)}{1+E(1-\cos \delta)(3 / 4-E)\left(\gamma_{b_{\delta}} / G\right)} \\
\tau_{m} & =\frac{1}{1+E(1-\cos \delta)(3 / 4-E)\left(\gamma_{b_{\delta}} / G\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

The values of $\tau_{n}$ and $\tau_{m}$ as determined by Allen are given in Tables 8 and 9 .
In using this method, the values of $\Delta c_{m}$ and $\Delta c_{n}$ are obtained from force tests of the flapped and unflapped section at the same angle of attack. From Eq. (8.8), values of $\Delta c_{n^{\prime}}$ and $\Delta c_{m^{\prime}}$ are obtained using Tables 8 and 9.

Table 6. $-P_{b_{8}} / c_{n_{b_{g}}}$ Distribution
a. Plain flap at $\delta=5,10$, and 15 degrees
b. Plain flap at $\boldsymbol{\delta} \boldsymbol{=} \mathbf{2 0}$ degrees

| E |  | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.50 | 0.55 | 0.60 | 0.65 | 0.70 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.16 | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.36 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.50 | 0.55 | 0.60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | 0.05 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.23 |
|  | 0.10 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.34 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.34 |
|  | 0.20 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.35 | 0.36 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 0.46 | 0.49 | 0.52 | 0.56 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.35 | 0.36 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 0.46 | 0.49 |
|  | 0.30 | 0.43 | 0.44 | 0.45 | 0.46 | 0.47 | 0.49 | 0.50 | 0.52 | 0.54 | 0.57 | 0.60 | 0.63 | 0.67 | 0.72 | 0.43 | 0.44 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0.47 | 0.49 | 0.80 | 0.52 | 0.54 | 0.57 | 0.60 | 0.63 |
|  | 0.40 | 0.54 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.57 | 0.68 | 0.60 | 0.62 | 0.64 | 0.67 | 0.70 | 0.73 | 0.77 | 0.82 | 0.88 | 0.54 | 0.55 | 0.56 | 0.57 | 0.58 | 0.60 | 0.62 | 0.64 | 0.67 | 0.70 | 0.73 | 0.77 |
|  | 0.50 | 0.6 | 0.68 | 0.67 | 0.69 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.75 | 0.77 | 0.80 | 0.84 | 0.88 | 0.92 | 0.98 | 1.05 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.67 | 0.60 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.75 | 0.77 | 0.80 | 0.81 | 0.88 | 0.92 |
|  | 0.60 | . 79 | 0.80 | 0.82 | 0.83 | 0.85 | 0.88 | 0.90 | 0.93 | 0.96 | 1.00 | 1.05 | 1.10 | 1.17 | 1.25 | 0.79 | 0.80 | 0.82 | 0.83 | 0.85 | 0.88 | 0.90 | 0.93 | 0.96 | 1.00 | 1.05 | 1.10 |
|  | 0.7 | 0.97 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 1.01 | 1.03 | 1.05 | 1.08 | 1.11 | 1.15 | 1.19 | 1.24 | 1.30 | 1.30 | 1.48 | 0.97 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 1.01 | 1.03 | 1.05 | 1.08 | 1.11 | 1.15 | 1.19 | 1.24 | 1.30 |
|  | 0.80 | 1.23 | 1.24 | 1.26 | 1.27 | 1.29 | 1.31 | 1.34 | 1.38 | 1.42 | 1.46 | 1.52 | 1.59 | 1.67 | 1.78 | 1.23 | 1.24 | 1.26 | 1.27 | 1.29 | 1.31 | 1.34 | 1.38 | 1.42 | 1.46 | 1.52 | 1.59 |
|  | 0.90 | 1.73 | 1.74 | 1.75 | 1.73 | 1.77 | 1.79 | 1.81 | 1.84 | 1.88 | 1.92 | 1.98 | 2.08 | 2.16 | 2.29 | 1.73 | 1.74 | 1.75 | 1.76 | 1.77 | 1.78 | 1.81 | 1.84 | 1.88 | 1.92 | 1.98 | 2.06 |
|  | 1.00 | 8.7 | 6.0 | 4.83 | 4.40 | 4.01 | 3.71 | 3. | 3.35 | 3.23 | 3.15 | 3.11 | 3.06 | 3.04 | 3.02 | 5.83 | 4.05 | 3.38 | 3.02 | 2.83 | 2.70 | 2.63 | 2.58 | 2.56 | 2.56 | 2.58 | 2.62 |
| $\frac{1-x / c}{E}$ | 0.90 | 6.45 | 4.43 | 3.78 | 3.32 | 2.98 | 2.77 | 2.60 | 2.48 | 2.39 | 2.32 | 2.26 | 2.22 | 2.10 | 2.16 | 5.08 | 3.53 | 2.98 | 2.61 | 2.36 | 2.18 | 2.05 | 1.96 | 1.8 | 1.83 | 1.78 | 1.78 |
|  | 0.80 | 4.96 | 3.81 | 2.92 | 2.57 | 2.31 | 2.12 | 2.00 | 1.90 | 1.81 | 1.74 | 1.69 | 1.64 | 1.60 | 1.67 | 4.23 | 2.90 | 2.50 | 2.19 | 1.97 | 1.81 | 1.70 | 1.62 | 1.6 | 1.49 | 1.4 | 1.40 |
|  | 0.70 | 3.85 | 2.72 | 2.23 | 1.97 | 1.77 | 1.64 | 1.53 | 1.44 | 1.37 | 1.32 | 1.27 | 1.22 | 1.19 | 1.16 | 3.71 | 2.63 | 2.16 | 1.90 | 1.71 | 1.68 | 1.48 | 1.39 | 1.3 | 1.27 | 1.2 | 1.18 |
|  | 0.60 | 3.09 | 2.18 | 1.78 | 1.57 | 1.41 | 1.30 | 1.21 | 1.14 | 1.08 | 1.04 | 1.00 | 0.96 | 0.93 | 0.91 | 3.33 | 2.35 | 1.92 | 1.69 | 1.52 | 1.40 | 1.30 | 1.22 | 1.17 | 1.12 | 1.08 | 1.04 |
|  | 0.50 | 2.46 | 1.72 | 1.42 | 1.24 | 1.11 | 1.02 | 0.95 | 0.89 | 0.85 | 0.81 | 0.77 | 0.75 | 0.72 | 0.70 | 2.98 | 2.09 | 1.73 | 1.50 | 1.35 | 1.24 | 1.15 | 1.08 | 1.03 | 0.98 | 0.9 | 0.91 |
|  | 0.40 | 1.00 | 1.34 | 1.10 | 0.96 | 0.86 | 0.78 | 0.73 | 0.69 | 0.65 | 0.62 | 0.59 | 0.57 | 0.55 | 0.63 | 2.65 | 1.87 | 1.54 | 1.31 | 1.19 | 1.09 | 1.02 | 0.96 | 0.91 | 0.86 | 0.83 | 0.80 |
|  | 0.30 | 1.40 | 0.99 | 0.81 | 0.70 | 0.63 | 0.57 | 0.53 | 0.50 | 0.47 | 0.45 | 0.43 | 0.42 | 0.40 | 0.39 | 2.32 | 1.63 | 1.34 | 1.16 | 1.04 | 0.95 | 0.88 | 0.82 | 0.78 | 0.75 | 0.72 | 0.69 |
|  | 0.20 | 0.92 | 0.65 | 0.63 | 0.46 | 0.41 | 0.38 | 0.35 | 0.33 | 0.31 | 0.29 | 0.28 | 0.27 | 0.26 | 0.25 | 1.93 | 1.35 | 1.11 | 0.98 | 0.86 | 0.79 | 0.73 | 0.68 | 0.65 | 0.62 | 0.59 | 0.56 |
|  | 0.10 | 0.48 | 0.34 | 0.27 | 0.24 | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 1.39 | 0.98 | 0.79 | 0.69 | 0.62 | 0.57 | 0.53 | 0.50 | 0.47 | 0.45 | 0.43 | 0.41 |
|  | 0.05 | 0.32 | 0.19 | 0.16 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 1.16 | 0.70 | 0.68 | 0.50 | 0.45 | 0.40 | 0.38 | 0.35 | 0.33 | 0.31 | 0.30 | 0.28 |
|  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| c. Plain flap at $\boldsymbol{\delta} \mathbf{- 3 0}$ degreee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | d. Plain or aplit flap at $\mathbf{\delta} \mathbf{= 4 0}$ degrees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E |  | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.60 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.16 | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.40 |
| $\underset{\begin{array}{c} \text { (ahead of } \\ \text { hinge) } \end{array}}{\frac{x / c}{1-E}}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0.05 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.19 |
|  | 0.10 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.27 |
|  | 0.20 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.35 | 0.36 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 0.35 | 0.36 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 |
|  | 0.30 | 0.43 | 0.44 | 0.48 | 0.46 | 0.47 | 0.49 | 0.50 | 0.52 | 0.54 | 0.57 | 0.43 | 0.44 | 0.45 | 0.46 | 0.47 | 0.49 | 0.51 | 0.62 |
|  | 0.40 | 0.54 | 0.55 | 0.56 | 0.57 | 0.68 | 0.60 | 0.62 | 0.64 | 0.67 | 0.70 | 0.54 | 0.65 | 0.56 | 0.57 | 0.58 | 0.60 | 0.62 | 0.64 |
|  | 0.50 | 0.68 | 0.66 | 0.67 | 0.69 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.75 | 0.77 | 0.80 | 0.84 | 0.68 | 0.66 | 0.68 | 0.69 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.75 | 0.77 |
|  | 0.60 | 0.71 | 0.80 | 0.82 | 0.83 | 0.85 | 0.88 | 0.90 | 0.93 | 0.96 | 1.00 | 0.79 | 0.80 | 0.82 | 0.83 | 0.85 | 0.88 | 0.90 | 0.93 |
|  | 0.70 | 0.97 | 0.08 | 1.0) | 1.01 | 1.03 | 1.05 | 1.08 | 1.11 | 1.15 | 1.19 | 0.97 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 1.01 | 1.03 | 1.05 | 1.08 | 1.11 |
|  | 0.80 | 1.23 | 1.24 | 1.20 | 1.27 | 1.29 | 1.31 | 1.34 | 1.38 | 1.42 | 1.46 | 1.22 | 1.23 | 1.25 | 1.26 | 1.28 | 1.30 | 1.33 | 1.36 |
|  | 0.90 | 1.67 | 1.68 | 1.69 | 1.70 | 1.71 | 1.72 | 1.73 | 1.74 | 1.77 | 1.80 | 1.58 | 1.59 | 1.60 | 1.61 | 1.61 | 1.62 | 1.62 | 1.63 |
| 1.00 |  | 1.50 | 3.12 | 2.66 | 2.36 | 2.22 | 2.13 | 2.08 | 2.05 | 2.01 | 1.99 | 4.10 | 2.90 | 2.42 | 2.12 | 1.90 | 1.88 | 1.81 | 1.76 |
| $\frac{1-x / c}{E}$ <br> (back of hinge) | 0.00 | 4.61 | 3.20 | 2.70 | 2.37 | 2.15 | 2.01 | 1.90 | 1.83 | 1.77 | 1.73 | 4.24 | 3.01 | 2.52 | 2.23 | 2.02 | 1.88 | 1.78 | 1.72 |
|  | 0.80 | 4.34 | 3.07 | 2.56 | 2.24 | 2.02 | 1.88 | 1.75 | 1.68 | 1.50 | 1.52 | 4.29 | 3.04 | 2.53 | 2.22 | 2.00 | 1.84 | 1.73 | 1.68 |
|  | 0.70 | 4.08 | 2.89 | 2.37 | 2.09 | 1.88 | 1.73 | 1.62 | 1.52 | 1.45 | 1.40 | 4.27 | 3.02 | 2.48 | 2.18 | 1.96 | 1.81 | 1.70 | 1.59 |
|  | 0.60 | 3.82 | 2.60 | 2.20 | 1.94 | 1.74 | 1.60 | 1.49 | 1.40 | 1.34 | 1.28 | 4.14 | 2.91 | 2.39 | 2.10 | 1.89 | 1.74 | 1.62 | 1.52 |
|  | 0.50 | 3.55 | 2.49 | 2.05 | 1.78 | 1.61 | 1.47 | 1.37 | 1.29 | 1.22 | 1.17 | 3.92 | 2.75 | 2.27 | 1.98 | 1.77 | 1.62 | 1.51 | 1.42 |
|  | 0.40 | 3.25 | 2.29 | 1.88 | 1.64 | 1.46 | 1.34 | 1.25 | 1.17 | 1.11 | 1.06 | 3.64 | 2.68 | 2.11 | 1.83 | 1.64 | 1.50 | 1.40 | 1.31 |
|  | 0.30 | 2.89 | 2.01 | 1.68 | 1.45 | 1.29 | 1.18 | 1.10 | 1.03 | 0.98 | 0.93 | 3.28 | 2.31 | 1.90 | 1.64 | 1.47 | 1.34 | 1.24 | 1.17 |
|  | 0.20 | 2.44 | 1.72 | 1.41 | 1.22 | 1.09 | 1.00 | 0.93 | 0.87 | 0.82 | 0.78 | 2.82 | 1.98 | 1.62 | 1.40 | 1.26 | 1.15 | 1.07 | 1.00 |
|  | 0.10 | 1.81 | 1.27 | 1.03 | 0.90 | 0.81 | 0.74 | 0.69 | 0.65 | 0.61 | 0.58 | 2.11 | 1.48 | 1.20 | 1.08 | 0.95 | 0.87 | 0.81 | 0.76 |
|  | 0.05 | 1.53 | 0.92 | 0.76 | 0.66 | 0.55 | 0.53 | 0.40 | 0.48 | 0.44 | 0.41 | 1.79 | 1.08 | 0.89 | 0.77 | 0.69 | 0.63 | 0.58 | 0.54 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

[^3]Table 6. $-P_{b_{b}} / C_{m_{b}}$ Distribution.-(Continued)

| C. Plain or aplit flap at $\delta=80$ degreen |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | f. Plain or split fap at $\mathbf{8} \mathbf{= 0 0}$ degrees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| . |  | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.40 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.40 |
| $\frac{x / c}{1-E}$ <br> (abead of hinge) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.19 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.19 |
|  | 0.10 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.27 |
|  | 0.20 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.36 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.36 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.40 |
|  | 0.80 | 0.43 | 0.44 | 0.45 | 0.46 | 0.47 | 0.49 | 0.51 | 0.52 | 0.43 | 0.44 | 0.45 | 0.46 | 0.47 | 0.49 | 0.61 | 0.52 |
|  | 0.40 | 0.54 | 0.55 | 0.50 | 0.57 | 0.58 | 0.60 | 0.62 | 0.64 | 0.54 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 0.57 | 0.58 | 0.60 | 0.62 | 0.64 |
|  | 0.50 | 0.66 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.69 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.75 | 0.77 | 0.66 | 0.67 | 0.68 | 0.69 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.75 | 0.77 |
|  | 0.00 | 0.79 | 0.80 | 0.82 | 0.83 | 0.86 | 0.88 | 0.90 | 0.93 | 0.79 | 0.80 | 0.82 | 0.83 | 0.85 | 0.88 | 0.90 | 0.93 |
|  | 0.70 | 0.97 | 0.98 | 1:00 | 1.03 | 1.05 | 1.08 | 1.11 | 1.14 | 0.97 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 1.01 | 1.02 | 1.05 | 1.07 | 1.10 |
|  | 0.80 | 1.21 | 1.22 | 1.23 | 1.25 | 1.26 | 1.29 | 1.32 | 1.35 | 1.20 | 1.21 | 1.22 | 1.24 | 1.25 | 1.28 | 1.29 | 1.32 |
|  | 0.90 | 1.64 | 1.56 | 1.68 | 1.57 | 1.57 | 1.57 | 1.57 | 1.57 | 1.52 | 1.58 | 1.54 | 1.56 | 1.54 | 1.53 | 1.51 | 1.50 |
|  | 1.00 | 8.81 | 2.68 | 2.27 | 2.00 | 1.88 | 1.77 | 1.71 | 1.67 | 3.81 | 2.69 | 2.22 | 1.97 | 1.81 | 1.71 | 1.63 | 1.59 |
| $\frac{1-x / c}{E}$ | 0.90 | 4.18 | 2.90 | 2.45 | 2.15 | 1.95 | 1.81 | 1.72 | 1.68 | 4.04 | 2.80 | 2.36 | 2.08 | 1.88 | 1.78 | 1.68 | 1.62 |
|  | 0.80 | 4.25 | 8.00 | 2.50 | 2.20 | 1.98 | 1.82 | 1.72 | 1.64 | 4.16 | 2.94 | 2.45 | 2.15 | 1.94 | 1.78 | 1.68 | 1.59 |
|  | 0.70 | 4.27 | 3.02 | 2.48 | 2.18 | 1.96 | 1.81 | 1.70 | 1.59 | 4.27 | 3.02 | 2.48 | 2.18 | 1.96 | 1.81 | 1.70 | 1.59 |
|  | 0.00 | 4.21 | 2.97 | 2.43 | 2.14 | 1.92 | 1.77 | 1.65 | 1.55 | 4.27 | 3.01 | 2.46 | 2.17 | 1.95 | 1.79 | 1.67 | 1.57 |
|  | 0.50 | 4.07 | 2.88 | 2.36 | 2.06 | 1.84 | 1.69 | 1.57 | 1.48 | 4.18 | 2.93 | 2.42 | 2.11 | 1.89 | 1.73 | 1.62 | 1.52 |
|  | 0.40 | 3.88 | 2.71 | 2.24 | 1.95 | 1.73 | 1.59 | 1.48 | 1.39 | 4.01 | 2.82 | 2.33 | 2.02 | 1.80 | 1.66 | 1.54 | 1.45 |
|  | 0.30 | 3.52 | 2.49 | 2.04 | 1.76 | 1.58 | 1.44 | 1.34 | 1.25 | 3.71 | 2.62 | 2.15 | 1.86 | 1.66 | 1.52 | 1.41 | 1.32 |
|  | 0.20 | 3.06 | 2.15 | 1.76 | 1.53 | 1.37 | 1.25 | 1.16 | 1.09 | 3.27 | 2.29 | 1.88 | 1.63 | 1.46 | 1.33 | 1.24 | 1.16 |
|  | 0.10 | 2.34 | 1.64 | 1.33 | 1.16 | 1.05 | 0.96 | 0.90 | 0.84 | 2.51 | 1.76 | 1.43 | 1.25 | 1.13 | 1.03 | 0.96 | 0.90 |
|  | 0.05 | 2.02 | 1.22 | 1.00 | 0.87 | 0.78 | 0.70 | 0.65 | 0.61 | 2.18 | 1.31 | 1.08 | 0.93 | 0.84 | 0.76 | 0.71 | 0.68 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| g. Split fap at $\delta=20$ degroen |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | h. Split flap at $\delta=30$ degrees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E$ |  | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.16 | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.50 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.16 | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.36 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.50 |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { (ahead of } \\ \text { hinge) }}}{\frac{x / c}{1-E}}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0.05 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.21 |
|  | 0.10 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.28 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.30 |
|  | 0.20 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 0.36 | 0.35 | 0.36 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.36 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.42 | 0.44 |
|  | 0.30 | 0.43 | 0.44 | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.47 | 0.49 | 0.51 | 0.52 | 0.55 | 0.57 | 0.43 | 0.44 | 0.45 | 0.46 | 0.47 | 0.49 | 0.51 | 0.52 | 0.55 | 0.57 |
|  | 0.40 | 0.54 | 0.55 | 0.56 | 0.57 | 0.88 | 0.60 | 0.62 | 0.64 | 0.67 | 0.70 | 0.64' | 0.55 | 0.56 | 0.57 | 0.68 | 0.60 | 0.62 | 0.64 | 0.67 | 0.70 |
|  | 0.50 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.68 | 0.69 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.75 | 0.77 | 0.80 | 0.84 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.68 | 0.69 | 0.71 | 0.73 | 0.75 | 0.77 | 0.80 | 0.84 |
|  | 0.60 | 0.79 | 0.80 | 0.82 | 0.83 | 0.85 | 0.88 | 0.90 | 0.93 | 0.96 | 1.00 | 0.79 | 0.80 | 0.82 | 0.83 | 0.85 | 0.88 | 0.90 | 0.93 | 0.98 | 1.00 |
|  | 0.70 | 0.97 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 1.01 | 1.03 | 1.05 | 1.08 | 1.11 | 1.15 | 1.10 | 0.97 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 1.01 | 1.03 | 1.05 | 1.08 | 1.11 | 1.16 | 1.19 |
|  | 0.80 | 1.23 | 1.24 | 1.26 | 1.27 | 1.29 | 1.31 | 1.34 | 1.38 | 1.42 | 1.46 | 1.23 | 1.24 | 1.26 | 1.27 | 1.29 | 1.31 | 1.34 | 1.38 | 1.42 | 1.46 |
|  | 0.90 | 1.69 | 1.70 | 1.71 | 1.72 | 1.73 | 1.73 | 1.73 | 1.73 | 1.74 | 1.74 | 1.64 | 1.65 | 1.68 | 1.67 | 1.68 | 1.68 | 1.68 | 1.69 | 1.70 | 1.70 |
|  | 1.00 | 4.79 | 3.33 | 2.79 | 2.44 | 2.22 | 2.08 | 1.97 | 1.90 | 1.88 | 1.84 | 4.55 | 3.15 | 2.63 | 2.32 | 2.14 | 1.98 | 1.89 | 1.83 | 1.78 | 1.73 |
| $\frac{1-x / c}{E}$ <br> (back of hinge) | 0.90 | 4.84 | 3.36 | 2.83 | 2.49 | 2.26 | 2.09 | 1.98 | 1.00 | 1.83 | 1.79 | 4.00 | 3.19 | 2.69 | 2.36 | 2.14 | 1.99 | 1.88 | 1.80 | 1.73 | 1.68 |
|  | 0.80 | 4.65 | 3.29 | 2.74 | 2.40 | 2.16 | 1.90 | 1.87 | 1.78 | 1.70 | 1.63 | 4.49 | 3.18 | 2.65 | 2.32 | 2.09 | 1.92 | 1.81 | 1.72 | 1.04 | 1.58 |
|  | 0.70 | 4.32 | 3.08 | 2.51 | 2.21 | 1.99 | 1.84 | 1.72 | 1.61 | 1.54 | 1.48 | 4.27 | 3.02 | 2.48 | 2.19 | 1.97 | 1.82 | 1.70 | 1.59 | 1.52 | 1.46 |
|  | 0.60 | 3.04 | 2.77 | 2.27 | 2.00 | 1.80 | 1.68 | 1.54 | 1.45 | 1.38 | 1.32 | 4.02 | 2.83 | 2.32 | 2.04 | 1.83 | 1.69 | 1.67 | 1.48 | 1.41 | 1.36 |
|  | 0.50 | 3.51 | 2.46 | 2.03 | 1.77 | 1.59 | 1.46 | 1.36 | 1.28 | 1.21 | 1.16 | 3.71 | 2.60 | 2.14 | 1.87 | 1.68 | 1.54 | 1.43 | 1.35 | 1.28 | 1.22 |
|  | 0.40 | 3.05 | 2.15 | 1.77 | 1.54 | 1.37 | 1.28 | 1.17 | 1.10 | 1.04 | 0.99 | 3.35 | 2.36 | 1.94 | 1.89 | 1.81 | 1.38 | 1.29 | 1.21 | 1.15 | 1.09 |
|  | 0.30 | 2.60 | 1.83 | 1.81 | 1.30 | 1.16 | 1.08 | 0.98 | 0.92 | 0.88 | 0.84 | 2.95 | 2.08 | 1.71 | 1.48 | 1.32 | 1.21 | 1.12 | 1.05 | 1.00 | 0.95 |
|  | 0.20 | 2.13 | 1.50 | 1.23 | 1.08 | 0.95 | 0.87 | 0.81 | 0.76 | 0.72 | 0.68 | 2.88 | 1.88 | 1.54 | 1.38 | 1.19 | 1.09 | 1.01 | 0.95 | 0.90 | 0.85 |
|  | 0.10 | 1.54 | 1.08 | 0.88 | 0.77 | 0.69 | 0.63 | 0.69 | 0.55 | 0.52 | 0.40 | 1.91 | 1.34 | 1.08 | 0.98 | 0.86 | 0.79 | 0.73 | 0.68 | 0.04 | 0.61 |
|  | 0.05 | 1.29 | 0.78 | 0.64 | 0.65 | 0.50 | 0.46 | 0.42 | 0.39 | 0.37 | 0.35 | 1.63 | 0.98 | 0.81 | 0.70 | 0.63 | 0.57 | 0.63 | 0.49 | 0.47 | 0.44 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

The incremental basic $c_{n_{b \delta}}$ and additional $c_{n_{0 \delta}}$ normal-force coefficients are obtained from Eqs. (8.3) and Table 7. The appropriate values of the

Table 7.-Values of $G$

| $\delta, \operatorname{deg}$ | $5,10,15$ | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

a. Plain flaps

| 0.05 | -0.474 | -0.476 | -0.477 | -0.478 | -0.479 | -0.479 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.10 | -0.448 | -0.451 | -0.453 | -0.455 | -0.456 | -0.456 |
| 0.15 | -0.423 | -0.428 | -0.431 | -0.434 | -0.435 | -0.435 |
| 0.20 | -0.397 | -0.404 | -0.408 | -0.411 | -0.414 | -0.415 |
| 0.25 | -0.372 | -0.380 | -0.387 | -0.392 | -0.395 | -0.396 |
| 0.30 | -0.347 | -0.357 | -0.366 | -0.372 | -0.375 | -0.377 |
| 0.35 | -0.320 | -0.334 | -0.346 | -0.352 | -0.357 | -0.360 |
| 0.40 | -0.294 | -0.311 | -0.325 | -0.332 | -0.339 | -0.342 |
| 0.45 | -0.268 | -0.288 | -0.304 |  |  |  |
| 0.50 | -0.242 | -0.265 | -0.283 |  |  |  |
| 0.55 | -0.215 | -0.242 |  |  |  |  |
| 0.60 | -0.189 | -0.220 |  |  |  |  |
| 0.65 | -0.163 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.70 | -0.136 |  |  |  |  |  |

b. Split flaps

| 0.05 | $\cdots \cdots$ | -0.476 | -0.477 | -0.478 | -0.479 | -0.479 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.10 | $\cdots \cdots$ | -0.452 | -0.454 | -0.455 | -0.456 | -0.456 |
| 0.15 | $\cdots \cdots$ | -0.430 | -0.432 | -0.434 | -0.435 | -0.436 |
| 0.20 | $\cdots \cdots$ | -0.407 | -0.409 | -0.411 | -0.414 | -0.415 |
| 0.25 | $\cdots \cdots$ | -0.385 | -0.388 | -0.392 | -0.395 | -0.396 |
| 0.30 | $\cdots \cdots$ | -0.363 | -0.367 | -0.372 | -0.375 | -0.377 |
| 0.35 | $\cdots \cdots$ | -0.342 | -0.347 | -0.352 | -0.357 | -0.360 |
| 0.40 | $\cdots \cdots$ | -0.320 | -0.327 | -0.333 | -0.339 | -0.342 |
| 0.45 | $\cdots \cdots$ | -0.299 | -0.307 |  |  |  |
| 0.50 | $\cdots \cdots$ | -0.278 | -0.287 |  |  |  |

incremental basic $P_{\text {b } \delta}$ normal-force distribution are obtained by use of Table 6 as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{b \delta}=\frac{P_{b \delta}}{c_{n_{b \delta}}} c_{n_{\Delta \delta}} \tag{8.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The appropriate value of the incremental additional $P_{a_{\delta}}$ normal-force distribution is obtained from the data of Appendix I as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{a b}=4 \frac{\Delta v_{a}}{V} \frac{v}{V} c_{n a b} \tag{8.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 8.-Values of $\tau_{n}$

| $\delta, \operatorname{deg}$ | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

a. Plain flaps

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.05 | -0.00 | -0.02 | -0.05 | -0.09 | -0.14 | -0.21 |
| 0.10 | -0.01 | -0.03 | -0.07 | -0.14 | -0.23 | -0.34 |
| 0.15 | -0.01 | -0.04 | -0.09 | -0.18 | -0.30 | -0.47 |
| 0.20 | -0.01 | -0.05 | -0.11 | -0.23 | -0.38 | -0.59 |
| 0.25 | -0.01 | -0.06 | -0.14 | -0.27 | -0.46 | -0.72 |
| 0.30 | -0.02 | -0.07 | -0.16 | -0.32 | -0.54 | -0.85 |
| 0.35 | -0.02 | -0.08 | -0.19 | -0.37 | -0.63 | -0.98 |
| 0.40 | -0.02 | -0.09 | -0.21 | -0.42 | -0.71 | -1.11 |
| 0.45 | -0.03 | -0.11 | -0.25 |  |  |  |
| 0.50 | -0.03 | -0.12 | -0.2S |  |  |  |
| 0.55 | -0.04 | -0.14 |  |  |  |  |
| 0.60 | -0.05 | -0.17 |  |  |  |  |
| 0.65 | -0.06 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.70 | -0.07 |  |  |  |  |  |
| b. Split flaps |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 |  | 0 | $0$ |  |  |  |
| 0.05 |  | -0.02 | -0.05 | -0.09 | -0.14 | -0.21 |
| 0.10 |  | -0.03 | -0.07 | -0.14 | -0.23 | -0.34 |
| 0.15 |  | -0.04 | -0.10 | -0.18 | -0.30 | -0.47 |
| 0.20 |  | -0.05 | -0.12 | -0.23 | -0.38 | -0.59 |
| 0.25 |  | -0.06 | -0.15 | -0.27 | -0.46 | -0.72 |
| 0.30 |  | -0.07 | -0.17 | -0.32 | -0.34 | -0.85 |
| 0.35 | ..... | -0.08 | -0.20 | -0.37 | -0.63 | -0.98 |
| 0.40 |  | -0.10 | -0.23 | -0.42 | -0.71 | -1.11 |
| 0.45 |  | -0.11 | -0.26 |  |  |  |
| 0.50 |  | -0.13 | $-0.29$ |  |  |  |

The entire incremental normal-force distribution is obtained by adding the incremental basic and additional distributions

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\delta}=P_{a_{\delta}}+P_{b_{\delta}} \tag{8.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The final load distribution is obtained by adding this incremental distribution to the load distribution for the unflapped wing section at the same angle of attack (see Sec. 4.5).

Approximate hinge-moment coefficients may be obtained by an extension of this analysis. ${ }^{6}$ Such hinge-moment coefficients are nol counsidered to be reliable because the discrepancies between the predicted and actual

load distributions tend to be appreciable at the trailing edge where the contribution to the hinge-moment coefficient is the largest.

In applying this method, it should be remembered that, although the tabulated characteristics are differentiated by flap deflection, the important variable is the degree to which the flap is stalled. The tabulated characteristics for plain flap deflections up to 15 degrees are for unstalled conditions, whereas those for larger flap deflections represent progressively increased separation. Consideration should be given to the selection of the characteristics to be used in order to represent the actual flow conditions properly.

## CHAPTER 9

## EFFECTS OF COMPRESSIBILITY AT SUBSONIC SPEEDS

### 9.1. Symbols.

$C_{p}$ pressure coefficient, $\left(p-p_{\infty}\right) / 1 / 2 \rho V^{2}$
$\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{D}_{c}}$ critical pressure coefficient corresponding to a local Mach number of unity
$E$ total energy per unit mass
$K$ constant
$M$ Mach number, $V / a$
$\boldsymbol{R}$ universal gas constant, $\boldsymbol{c}_{\boldsymbol{p}}-\boldsymbol{c}_{\boldsymbol{r}}$
$S$ cross-sectional area of a stream tube
T absolute temperature
$V$ velocity of the free stream
$V$. component of velocity along the span
$V_{n}$ component of velocity normal to span
speed of sound
lift-curve slope
b span
$c_{1}$ section lift coefficient
$c_{p}$ specific heat at constant pressure
$c_{r}$ specific heat at constant volume
e base of Naperian logarithms, 2.71828
In logarithm to the base e
$m$ mass flow per unit area
p local static pressure
$p_{0}$ total pressure
$p_{\infty}$ static pressure of the free stream
$t$ time
$t$ thickness ratio
$u$ component of velocity parallel to the $x$ axis
$v$ volume
$v$ component of velocity parallel to the $y$ axis
wo component of velocity parallel to the $z$ axis
$x, y, z$ Cartesian coordinates
$\alpha$ angle of attack
$\beta$ angle of sweep
$\gamma$ ratio of the specific heats, $c_{p} / c_{\boldsymbol{r}}$
$\mu 1 / \sqrt{1-M^{2}}$
r ratio of the circumference of a circle to its diameter
p mass density of the fluid
9.2. Introduction. The wing-section theory and experimental data presented in the preceding chapters are applicable to conditions where the variation of pressure is small compared with the absolute pressure. This
condition is well satisfied when the speed is low compared with the speed of sound. At a flight speed of 100 knots at sea level, the impact pressure is only about 34 pounds per square foot as compared with an ambient static pressure of 2,116 pounds per square foot. At 300 knots, the impact pressure increases to approximately 321 pounds per square foot; and, at 600 knots, the impact pressure is 1,494 pounds per square foot. At the higher speeds, the pressure and corresponding volume changes are obviously not negligible. It is to be expected, therefore, that wing-section characteristics at high speeds will not agree with those predicted by incompressible flow theory or with experimental data


Fig. 143. Significance of Mach angle. obtained at low speeds.

Examination of the complete equations of motion ${ }^{89}$ shows that the parameter determining the effect of speed is the ratio of the speed to the speed of sound. This ratio is called the "Mach number." The physical significance of the speed of sound becomes evident in considering the difference between subsonic and supersonic flows. The speed of sound is the speed at which pressure impulses are transmitted through the air. At slow flight speeds, the pressure impulses caused by motion cf the wing are transmitted at relatively high speed in all directions and cause the air approaching the wing to change its pressure and velocity gradually. Slow speed flows are accordingly free of discontinuities of pressure and velocity. At supersonic speeds, no pressure impulses can be transmitted ahead of the wing, and the pressure and velocity of the air remain unaltered until it reaches the immediate vicinity of the wing. Supersonic flow is thus characterized by discontinuities of pressure and velocity. As shown in Fig. 143, the pressure impulses are propagated in all directions at the speed of sound $a$ while the wing moves through the air at the velocity $V$. The envelope of the pressure impulses is a straight line (for small impulses) which makes a slope $\sin ^{-1} a / V$ or $\sin ^{-1} \mathrm{M}$ with the direction of motion, where $M$ is the Mach number. This line is called the "Mach line." The air ahead of the Mach line is unaffected by the approaching wing.

The present discussion of the characteristics of wing sections in compressible flow is limited to subsonic speeds. The pressure-velocity relations for a stream tube will be developed, and a brief summary will be presented of compressible flow theory as applied to wing sections. The theory of wing sections in subsonic flow generally consists of the determination of
the first-order compressibility effects on the incompressible flow solutions previously developed. The theory is generally limited to speeds at which the velocity of sound is not exceeded in the field of flow. A brief discussion of experimental data will, however, include data in the lower transonic region where the speed of sound is exceeded locally.
9.3. Steady Flow through a Stream Tube. a. Adiabatic Law. The relation among pressure, density, and temperature for a perfect gas is

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=\rho R T \tag{9.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where (in consistent units)
p = pressure
$\rho=$ density, moles per unit volume
$T=$ absolute temperature
$R=$ universal gas constant $=c_{p}-c_{v}$
$c_{p}=$ specific heat per mole at constant pressure
$c_{v}=$ specific heat per mole at constant volume
This equation may be written

$$
\frac{p_{1}}{\rho_{1} T_{1}}=\frac{p_{2}}{\rho_{2} T_{2}}
$$

or

$$
\frac{p_{1} r_{1}}{T_{1}}=\frac{p_{2} r_{2}}{T_{2}}
$$

where the subscripts 1 and 2 refer to any two conditions of the gas. When the temperature is constant (isothermal changes), the relation between the pressure and the density is obviously

$$
\frac{p_{1}}{p_{2}}=\frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho_{2}}
$$

Except for this special case, pressure and volume changes are accompanied by temperature changes. In the high-speed flows of primary interest, these changes occur so quickly that individual elements of the gas generally do not lose or gain any appreciable heat. Changes in state of the gas are thus assumed to be adiabatic. In this case,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p_{1}}{p_{2}}=\left(\frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho_{2}}\right)^{\gamma} \tag{9.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma=$ ratio of specific heats, $c_{p}{ }^{\prime} c_{v}$
From Eqs. (9.1) and (9.2), the corresponding temperature relation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p_{1}}{p_{2}}=\left(\frac{T_{1}}{T_{2}}\right)^{\gamma /(\gamma-1)} \tag{9.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

b. Velocity of Sound. Expressions for the velocity of sound may be derived from consideration of the equations of motion and of continuity. The equation of continuity for a compressible fluid may be developed in a manner parallel to that for Eq. (2.1) and is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial(\rho u)}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial(\rho v)}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial(\rho w)}{\partial z}=-\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \tag{9.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For motion in one dimension, this equation reduces to

$$
\frac{\partial(\rho u)}{\partial x}=-\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}
$$

or

$$
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}+\rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+u \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x}=0
$$

dividing by $\rho$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+\frac{u}{\rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x}=0 \tag{9.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the concept of a velocity of sound, the disturbances are considered to be small so that the changes in density are small compared with the density and the corresponding velocities are small. Retaining only the first powers of small quantities, Eq. (9.5) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=0=\frac{\partial \ln \rho}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \tag{9.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For one-dimensional flow, Eq. (2.3) becomes

$$
-\frac{\partial p}{\partial x}=\rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+\rho u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}
$$

Again retaining only the first power of small quantities and dividing by $\rho$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=-\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \tag{9.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known from the equation of state that $p$ is a function of $\rho$. Equation (9.7) can then be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=-\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{d \rho}{d \rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x}=-\frac{d p}{d \rho} \frac{\partial \ln \rho}{\partial x} \tag{9.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating Eq. (9.6) with respect to $x$ and Eq. (9.8) with respect to $t$, and combining, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}=\frac{d p}{d \rho} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}} \tag{9.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of this equation is

$$
u=f_{1}\left(x-\sqrt{\frac{d p}{d \rho}} t\right)+f_{2}\left(x+\sqrt{\frac{d p}{d \rho}} t\right)
$$

These functions represent disturbances traveling in opposite directions with the velocity $\sqrt{d p / d \rho}$. This velocity is termed the velocity of sound $a$.

As stated previously, the changes in pressure occur so rapidly that no heat is considered to be conducted to or away from the elements of gas.

The relation between the pressure and density is therefore considered to be adiabatic [Eq. (9.2)]. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\sqrt{\frac{d p}{d \rho}}=\sqrt{\frac{\gamma p}{\rho}} \tag{9.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a perfect gas,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=K \sqrt{T} \tag{9.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T$ is the absolute temperature, and the value of $K$ is given in the following table for various English units, and for dry air, $\gamma=1.4$.

| Units of velocity | Units of temperature |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. absolute | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. absolute |
| Feet per second. | 49.02 | 65.76 |
| Miles per hour. | 33.42 | 44.84 |
| Knots... | 29.02 | 38.94 |

In applying the concept of the velocity of sound to various problems, it should be kept in mind that the concept as derived is valid only for small disturbances. Very strong shock waves and blasts should not be expected to propagate at speeds corresponding to the local velocity of sound.
c. Bernoulli's Equation for Compressible Flow. In deriving Bernoulli's equation for incompressible flow [Eq. (2.5)], the following expression was obtained:

$$
-d p=\frac{\rho}{2} d(V)^{2}
$$

Writing this equation in integral form, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} V^{2}+\int \frac{d p}{\rho}=E \tag{9.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Relating the pressure and density by the adiabatic law of Eq. (9.2) and performing the indicated integration,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} V^{2}+\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1} \frac{p}{\rho}=E=\frac{1}{2} V^{2}+c_{p} T \tag{9.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation can also be derived from thermodynamic reasoning. The thermodynamic reasoning indicates that the quantity $E$ in Eq. (9.13) is a constant along any stream tube for adiabatic changes whether reversible or not. For example, $E$ is constant throughout a stream tube containing a normal shock. For reversible changes, Eqs. (9.2) and (9.13) together with a knowledge of the initial conditions permit the calculation of the variation of pressure and density with velocity.
d. Cross-sectional Areas and Pressures in a Stream Tube. Along a stream tube, the equation of continuity for steady flow is

$$
\rho S V=\text { constant }
$$

where $S=$ cross-sectional area of tube
It is interesting to contrast the manner in which the cross-sectional area varies with velocity for compressible and incompressible flow. For the latter case, the area is seen to vary inversely with the velocity. The determination of the variation of area with velocity for the compressible case requires more extensive analysis. Differentiating the equation of continuity, and dividing by $\rho S V$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{S} \frac{d S}{d V}+\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{d \rho}{d \bar{V}}+\frac{1}{V}=0 \tag{9.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating Bernoulli's equation with respect to the velocity,

$$
V+\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}\left(\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{d p}{d \rho}-\frac{p}{\rho^{2}}\right) \frac{d \rho}{d V}=0
$$

or
or

$$
V+\frac{1}{\gamma-1}\left(\frac{\gamma}{\rho} a^{2}-\frac{a^{2}}{\rho}\right) \frac{d \rho}{d V}=0
$$

r

$$
\begin{equation*}
V+\frac{a^{2}}{\rho} \frac{d \rho}{d V}=0 \tag{9.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eq. (9.14),

$$
\frac{d S}{d V}=-\frac{S}{V}\left(1+\frac{V}{\rho} \frac{d \rho}{d V}\right)
$$

Substituting the value of $d \rho / d V$ from Eq. (9.15), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d S}{d V}=-\frac{S}{V}\left(1-\frac{V^{2}}{a^{2}}\right)=-\frac{S}{V}\left(1-M^{2}\right) \tag{9.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

This relation shows that the stream tube contracts as the velocity increases for Mach numbers less than unity. The area of the stream tube is a minimum for a Mach number of unity and increases with Mach number for supersonic flow.

From consideration of Eqs. (9.2), (9.3), and (9.11), it may be seen that the pressures, densities, temperatures, and velocities of sound are connected by the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{p}{p_{m}}\right)^{(\gamma-1) / \gamma}=\left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_{m}}\right)^{\gamma-1}=\left(\frac{T}{T_{m}}\right)=\left(\frac{a}{a_{m}}\right)^{2} \tag{9.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the subscript $m$ may denote the condition at any point but, in the following development, the subscript will denote the condition at the minimum cross-sectional area of the stream tube where the local Mach number equals unity.

In order to obtain an expression for the cross-sectional area of the stream tube in terms of the local Mach number M, it is convenient to express Bernoulli's equation (9.13) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} M^{2}+\frac{1}{\gamma-1}=\frac{\gamma+1}{2(\gamma-1)} \frac{a_{m}^{2}}{a^{2}} \tag{9.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ and $a_{m}$ represent, respectively, the velocities of sound at any point along the stream tube and at the point of minimum cross-sectional area. From continuity, we can write


Fig. 144. Variation of atream-tube area with local Mach number.
where $S$ and $S_{m}$ are, respectively, the cross-sectional areas of the stream tube at any point and at the point of minimum area. Substituting the value of $\rho / \rho_{m}$ from Eq. (9.17),

$$
\frac{S_{m}}{S}=\left(\frac{a}{a_{m}}\right)^{2 /(\gamma-1)}\left(\frac{V}{a_{m}}\right)=\mathrm{M}\left(\frac{a}{a_{m}}\right)^{(\gamma+1) /(\gamma-1)}
$$

and, from Eq. (9.18),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{S_{m}}{S}=M\left(\frac{\gamma+1}{(\gamma-1) M^{2}+2}\right)^{(\gamma+1) / 2(\gamma-1)} \tag{9.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

A plot of $S_{m} / S$ against $M$ is given in Fig. 144.
The pressure relations along the stream tube may be obtained by substituting the value of $a_{m} / a$ from Eq. (9.18) into Eq. (9.17)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p}{p_{m}}=\left(\frac{\gamma+1}{(\gamma-1) \mathrm{M}^{2}+2}\right)^{\gamma /(\gamma-1)} \tag{9.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p=$ pressure at any point along stream tube.
It is often more convenient to express the local pressure in terms of the pressure at the stagnation condition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p}{p_{0}}=\left(\frac{2}{(\gamma-1) \mathrm{M}^{2}+2}\right)^{\gamma /(\gamma-1)} \tag{9.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{0}$ is the pressure of the fluid at rest.
The temperature relations may be obtained from Eqs. (9.17) and (9.18) as

$$
\frac{T}{T_{m}}=\frac{\gamma+1}{(\gamma-1) M^{2}+2}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{T_{0}}{T}=1+\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \mathbf{M}^{2} \tag{9.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is interesting to note that there is a limiting velocity which is reached when the gas is expanded indefinitely into a vacuum. Bernoulli's equation (9.13) may be written

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{v^{2}}{a_{m}^{2}}+\frac{1}{\gamma-1}\left(\frac{a}{a_{m}}\right)^{2}=\frac{\gamma+1}{2(\gamma-1)}
$$

With infinite expansion, the temperature approaches zero and the local velocity of sound $a$ approaches zero. Accordingly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{V_{\max }}{a_{m}}=\sqrt{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma-1}} \tag{9.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The local Mach number $M$, of course, continues to increase indefinitely as the expansion is increased.
e. Relations for a Normal Shock. In deriving the relations for the crosssectional areas, pressures, and temperatures existing in a stream tube, the entire process was considered to be adiabatic and reversible. Experience has shown that this condition is satisfied whenever the velocity is increasing in the direction of flow. However, when an attempt is made to decelerate supersonic flows, discontinuities in velocity, pressure, and temperature generally occur. These discontinuities occur in a very short distance along the direction of motion and are termed "shock waves." The velocity and total pressure of the air decrease and the temperature and static pressure increase in going through the shock. The process, although adiabatic, is irreversible and characterized by an increase of entropy. The shock is stationary for a tube of fixed configuration with constant pressures at the inlet and exit. Since the velocity on the upstream face is supersonic, the shock can hardly be considered to propagate at the velocity of sound. Similar discontinuities occur forward of the nose of a blunt body traveling at supersonic speeds. In the case of a simple tube or of the shock im-
mediately forward of the nose of a blunt body, the plane of the shock is normal to the direction of flow. This type of shock is a fundamental one and is termed a "normal shock," as distinguished from the oblique shocks shown in Fig. 143.

Relations for determining the conditions across a normal shock wave from the conditions on one side are derived ${ }^{29}$ from considerations of mass, momentum, and energy. If the subscripts 1 and 2 denote, respectively, the conditions on opposite sides of the shock and if $m$ is the mass flow per unit area and $p$ is the static pressure, the condition for continuity for a shock stationary with respect to the observer is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{1} u_{1}=\rho_{2} u_{2}=m \tag{9.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation of conservation of momentum is

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{2}-p_{1}=m\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right) \tag{9.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation for conservation of energy is

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1} u_{1}-p_{2} u_{2}-\frac{1}{2} m\left(u_{2}^{2}-u_{1}^{2}\right)=\frac{m}{\gamma-1}\left(\frac{p_{2}}{\rho_{2}}-\frac{p_{1}}{\rho_{1}}\right) \tag{9.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution ${ }^{29}$ for these conditions is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\rho_{2}}{\rho_{1}}=\frac{\left(p_{2} / p_{1}\right)(\gamma+1)^{\prime}(\gamma-1)+1}{\left(p_{2} / p_{1}\right)+(\gamma+1)_{i}^{\prime}(\gamma-1)} \tag{9.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation relates the density and pressure ratios across the shock when $p_{1}$ and $\rho_{1}$ are the upstream conditions. This equation corresponds to a change in entropy except in the case where $p_{1}$ equals $p_{2}$. It can be shown ${ }^{29}$ that $p_{2}$ must be greater than $p_{1}$ for the change in entropy to be positive. It would be convenient to relate the pressure and density ratios across the normal shock to the upstream Mach number. Such an expression may be obtained by expressing Eqs. (9.24) and (9.25) in terms of the local Mach number and combining to give

$$
\frac{p_{2}}{p_{1}}-1=\mathrm{M}_{1}^{2} \gamma\left(1-\frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho_{2}}\right)
$$

Substituting the value of $\rho_{1} / \rho_{2}$ from Eq. (9.27) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M}_{1}{ }^{2}=\frac{\left(p_{2} / p_{1}\right)-1}{\gamma\left[1-\frac{\left(p_{2} p_{1}\right)+(\gamma+1() \gamma-1)}{\left(p_{2} p_{1}\right)(\gamma+1)(\gamma-1)+1}\right]} \tag{9.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eq. (9.24), we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{M}_{2}}{\mathrm{M}_{1}}=\sqrt{\frac{p_{1}}{p_{2}} \frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho_{2}}} \tag{9.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Values of the ratio $p_{0_{2}} / p_{0_{1}}$ may be calculated from Eqs. (9.23), (9.27), (9.28), and (9.29) where $p_{0}$ is the total pressure. This ratio is an index of
the useful energy remaining in the air downstream of the shock. Values of $\mathrm{M}_{2} / \mathrm{M}_{1}, p_{2} / p_{1}, \rho_{2} / \rho_{1}$, and $p_{0_{2}} / p_{0_{1}}$ are plotted against M in Fig. 145.
9.4. First-order Compressibility Effects. a. Glauert-Prandll rule. The Glauert-Prandtl rule ${ }^{36}$ relates the lift coefficient or slope of the lift curve of a wing section in compressible flow with that for incompressible flow. This relation was derived for the case of small disturbance velocities and


Fig. 145. Pressure, density, and Mach-number relations for a normal shock.
low Mach numbers. These conditions are approximated for thin wing sections with small amounts of camber at low lift coefficients at speeds well below the speed of sound. The Glauert-Prandtl relation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{c_{l_{c}}}{c_{c_{0}}}=\frac{a_{c}}{a_{i}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\mathrm{M}^{2}}} \tag{9.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the subscripts $c$ and $i$ denote, respectively, the compressible and incompressible cases. In deriving this formula, Glauert considered all the velocities over the wing section to be increased by the same factor. Equation (9.30) may therefore be applied equally well to the moment coefficient.

The Glauert-Prandtl rule agrees remarkably well with experimental data, considering the assumptions made in its derivation. A comparison of this rule as applied to the slope of the lift curve for three wing sections of 6, 9, and 12 per cent thickness is given ${ }^{44}$ in Fig. 146.

Kaplan ${ }^{54}$ obtained a first-step improvement of the Glauert-Prandtl rule
for the lift of an elliptical cylinder and extended it to arbitrary symmetrical profiles. The Kaplan rule is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{c_{l_{e}}}{c_{l_{i}}}=\mu+\frac{t}{1+t}\left[\mu(\mu-1)+\frac{1}{4}(\gamma+1)\left(\mu^{2}-1\right)^{2}\right] \tag{9.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\mathrm{M}^{2}}}$
$t=$ thickness ratio


Fig. 146. Lift-curve slope variation with Mach number for the NACA 0012-63, 0009-63, and 0006-63 airfoils.

Equation (9.31) approaches the Glauert-Prandtl rule as the thickness ratio approaches zero. It will also be noted that the Kaplan rule shows a weak dependence on the ratio of the specific heats $\gamma$. The Kaplan rule for wing sections 5, 10, 15, and 20 per cent thick in air together with the GlauertPrandtl rule are plotted in Fig. 147.
b. Effect of Mach number on the Pressure Coefficient. The GlauertPrandtl rule supplies a first approximation to the variation of pressure coefficient with Mach number.

$$
\frac{C_{\mathrm{PM}_{\mathrm{M}}}}{C_{\mathrm{P}}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\mathrm{M}^{2}}}
$$

Numerous attempts have been made to obtain more accurate expressions for the variation of pressure with Mach number, notably by Chaplygin, ${ }^{25}$ Temple and Yarwood, ${ }^{119}$ and von Kármán and Tsien. ${ }^{129,140}$ Garrick and Kaplan ${ }^{34}$ succeeded in unifying these results as approximate solutions of


Fig. 147. Ratio of lifts for compressible and incompressible fluids as function of stream Mach number.
the general problem and presented two other approximations. No simple general solution of the problem is known.

The Kármán-Tsien relation is widely used in the United States. Experimental evidence appears to indicate that this relation is as applicable as any of the solutions. This relation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{C_{p_{\mathrm{M}}}}{C_{p_{i}}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\mathrm{M}^{2}}+\left[\mathrm{M}^{2} /\left(1+\sqrt{1-\mathrm{M}^{2}}\right)\right]\left(c_{p_{i}} / 2\right)} \tag{9.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

A plot of the pressure coefficient as a function of the free stream Mach number $\mathbf{M}$ is given in Fig. 148 for a number of values of the incompressible


Fig. 148. Variation of local pressure coefficient and local Mach number with free stream Mach number according to Kármán-Tsien.
pressure coefficient. Also shown in Fig. 148 are curves of the local Mach number $\mathrm{M}_{L}$.

A special use for relations such as Eq. (9.32) is the prediction of the stream Mach number at which the velocity of sound is reached locally
over the wing section. Jacobs ${ }^{44}$ applied the Glauert-Prandtl rule to this problem. It is now customary in the United States to use the Kármán-


Fig. 148. (Conduded)
Tsien relation [Eq. (9.32)]. This problem may be solved from Fig. 148 by following the curve for the highest incompressible pressure coefficient on the wing section to the line $M_{L}=1$ and reading the corresponding stream

Mach number. Figure 148 may be used conveniently when the maximum local pressure coefficient is known experimentally at some subcritical Mach number. If the incompressible pressure coefficient is known theoretically, it is more convenient to use Fig. 149, which gives the corresponding critical Mach number directly.


Fic. 149. Critical Mach number chart from Kírmán-Tsien relation.
9.5. Flow about Wing Sections at High Speed. Consideration of the effects of compressibility on the flow over wing sections may be conveniently divided into two parts. The first part deals with the characteristics in the range of subcritical Mach numbers. The second part deals with the characteristics at supercritical Mach numbers. The critical Mach number dividing these two ranges is defined as the stream Mach number at which the local velocity of sound is just attained at any point in the field of flow.
a. Flow at Subcritical Mach Numbers. The first-order effects of compressibility on the potential flow at subcritical Mach numbers are well described by the theoretical relations of Sec. 9.4. An indication of the accuracy of the Kármán-Tsien relation is given ${ }^{115}$ in Fig. 150b. This figure shows the experimental pressure distribution for the NACA 4412 section at a Mach number of 0.512 and a pressure distribution predicted from the experimental data obtained at a Mach number of 0.191 (Fig.


Fig. 150. Pressure distribution for the NACA 4412 airfoil. $\alpha=1^{\circ} 52.5^{\prime}$.

150a). The accuracy appears to be satisfactory for such applications. The larger area of the pressure diagram at the higher Mach number corresponds to an increased lift coefficient at the same angle of attack. The resulting change of lift-curve slope has been shown (Fig. 146) to agree fairly well with the Glauert-Prandtl rule.

The applicability of these theoretical relations is limited to cases where the effects of separation are not marked. If separation is present, the increased pressure gradients associated with higher Mach numbers tend to increase the separation and thus change the whole field of flow. Moreover, the absence of appreciable separation at low speeds is not necessarily a sufficient criterion, because the increased pressure gradients at the higher Mach numbers may cause separation in marginal cases. The theoretical relations may therefore be applied with confidence only to wing sections of normal shape at low lift coefficients.
b. Flow at Supercritical Mach Numbers. The flow at supercritical Mach numbers is partly subsonic and partly supersonic and is characterized by the presence of shocks. No theory dealing with these mixed subsonic and supersonic flows has been developed to the extent of being useful in the prediction of wing characteristics. The almost complete lack of theoretical treatment requires reliance on experimental data at supercritical Mach numbers.

For the typical case of a wing section operating at a small positive lift coefficient, the velocity of sound is reached first on the upper surface, as is shown in Fig. 150 by the pressure coefficient increasing negatively beyond the critical value $C_{p_{c}}$. Figure $150 c$ shows no drastic change in the pressure distribution when the local velocity of sound is exceeded by a small amount. Many experimental data indicate that drastic changes in the forces on wing sections do not occur until the critical Mach number is exceeded by a small but appreciable margin. There is some doubt as to whether a shock necessarily occurs when the velocity of sound is locally exceeded by a small margin. ${ }^{124}$ In any case, the losses associated with a shock from very low supersonic velocities are very small, as shown by Fig. 145. Such small losses would not be expected to produce drastic changes in the field of flow.

A shock occurs when the critical Mach number is exceeded appreciably, as shown by Fig. 150d to $g$. The shocks act, at least qualitatively, like a normal shock to reduce the velocity to subsonic values. The resulting rather sudden changes in the pressure distribution are shown dotted in Fig. 150. Schlieren pictures of the shocks corresponding to the diagrams of Figs. $150 f$ and $g$ are shown ${ }^{115}$ in Fig. 151. The positions of the shocks as shown by the photographs correspond closely with the dotted regions of the pressure diagrams. Figure 152 presents another scrics of schlieren photographs showing the shocks on a NACA 23015 wing section at an
angle of attack of 3 degrees. These pictures, ${ }^{144}$ which were taken in the NACA rectangular high-speed wind tunnel, show the progressive intensi-


Fig. 151. Schlieren photograph of flow for the NACA 4412 airfoil. $\alpha=1^{\circ} 52.5^{\prime}$.
fication and rearward movement of the shock with increasing Mach number.

The character of the flow with shock is greatly affected by the interaction of the shock and the boundary layer. It is apparent that the shock cannot extend to the surface through the region of low velocity in the
boundary layer. If the plane of the shock is perpendicular to the flow, the increase of static pressure through the shock will correspond to that shown in Fig. 145. The relatively high pressure downstream of the shock will tend to propagate upstream through the boundary layer. The pres-


Fig. 152. Schlieren photographs of flow. NACA 23015 airfoil. NACA rectangular highspeed wind tunnel. Airfoil chord, 2 inches. Angle of attack, 3 degrees.
sure distribution measured at the surface cannot, therefore, be expected to show a discontinuity. Figure 150 shows that the sharp pressure rise is, in fact, shown as a steep gradient at the surface. Such propagation of the pressure along the boundary layer necessarily leads to pressure gradients across the boundary layer in the vicinity of the shock. This situation is quite different from the usual boundary-layer conditions at low Mach numbers.

The large adverse pressure gradients associated with the shock always produce a large increase of boundary-layer thickness and frequently cause
separation, as shown ${ }^{14}$ in Figs. 152 and 153. This separation is an important or even predominant factor in causing the large force changes occurring at supercritical speeds. The relative importance of the shock losses and the effects of separation on the drag of a typical wing section

are shown ${ }^{114}$ by Fig. 154. This figure shows the total pressure loss across the wake of the section. These losses are intimately associated with the drag, and, to the first order, the drag coefficient is proportional to the area under the curve. Intense shock has not occurred at the lower Mach number, and the diagram has the typical shape obtained at low speeds. Intense shock has occurred at the higher Mach number, and the drag coefficient has obviously increased. This increase may be considered to be of two parts. The very large losses of pressure in the center of the diagram are similar in shape to the lower speed diagram and are attributed to the usual type of loss associated with skin friction and separation. The smaller
pressure losses extending far out into the stream are attributed to the losses across the shock. It is apparent that the drag rise associated with the usual type of loss is as important as that directly associated with the losses across the shocks.

The pressure rise measured ${ }^{114}$ on the surface of the wing section in the vicinity of the shock is smaller than that corresponding to a normal shock with the losses of total pressure indicated by the wake surveys. The pressure rise corresponding to a normal shock may also be obtained by means of Fig. 145 from the local Mach number ahead of the shock as indicated by pressure measurements on the surface. In this case, also, the computed pressure rise is greater than that shown by the surface pressures. The complete explanation of this discrepancy is not known, but two factors could tend to reduce the pressure rise on the surface below that corresponding to a normal shock.

The first factor is the pressure gradient through the boundary layer previously discussed. The importance of this factor may be


Fig. 153. Schlieren photograph of separated flow for rear portion of NACA 23015 airfoil. NACA rectangular high-speed wind tunnel. Airfoil chord, 5 inches. Angle of attark, 6 degrecs. inferred from Figs. 152 and 153. The lines in the schlieren photographs correspond to density gradients. Dark lines are shown in the vicinity of


Fig. 154. Wake shape and total pressure defect as influenced by Mach number. NACA 0012 airfoil, $\alpha=0$ degrees; NACA rectangular high-speed wind tunnel.
the shock making a small angle with the surface. Although these density gradients may be partly associated with temperature gradients, the sharpness and intensity of these lines are thought to indicate the presence of appreciable pressure gradients.

The second factor is the possible reaction of the boundary layer on the shock. The thickening or separation of the boundary layer tends to produce oblique shocl:s. Such shocks would produce some pressure rise ahead
of the normal shock and would accordingly reduce the intensity of the normal shock, especially close to the surface. The extent to which the normal shock is locally softened in this manner is uncertain, but Figs. 152 and 153 suggest that this mechanism may be of considerable importance.

Investigations made by the NACA, by Liepman, ${ }^{66}$ and by Ackeret ${ }^{4}$ and his associates emphasize the complexity of the interaction of the shock and the boundary layer. These investigations indicate important differences between the shock phenomena for laminar and for turbulent boundary layers. Although exceptions have been noted, laminar boundary layers


Fig. 155. Force and moment coefficient variation with Mach number. NACA 23015 airfoil, $\alpha=0$ degrees; NACA rectangular high-speed wind tunnel.
tend to produce multiple shocks of lower intensity, or "lambda-type" shocks, while those associated with turbulent boundary layers tend to resemble intense normal shocks more closely. The understanding of these phenomena awaits further theoretical and experimental investigations.

The typical changes of forces experienced at supercritical speeds by a wing section of moderate thickness are shown ${ }^{14}$ in Fig. 155. The Mach number for force break is seen to be appreciably higher than the critical Mach number. At Mach numbers higher than that for force break, the lift coefficient decreases, the drag coefficient increases, and the moment coefficient usually increases negatively.
9.6. Experimental Wing Characteristics at High Speeds. The existing three-dimensional wing theory, which is the basis for the concept of section characteristics, is not valid for supercritical speeds where part of the flow is supersonic. Consequently section data cannot be applied quantitatively to the prediction of the characteristics of wings at such speeds. Moreover, present trends for wings designed for efficient operation at supercritical speeds are toward low aspect ratios and large amounts of sweep. As
pointed out previously, section data are of doubtful quantitative significance for such plan forms at any speed. Under these circumstances, the detailed consideration of section characteristics as design data is not warranted.

Nevertheless, the characteristics of wings at supercritical speeds depend to some extent on the wing sections employed. The variation of the wing characteristics with wing section appears to be qualitatively similar to the variation of the wing-section characteristics if the wing plan form is such as to permit any semblance to two-dimensional flow. Consequently, the purpose of this section is to present typical data showing qualitatively the major effects of variation of the wing profile at high subsonic Mach numbers.
a. Lift Characteristics. One of the first and most severe effects of compressibility encountered in flight was the tendency of many airplanes to "tuck under" in high-speed dives. This "tucking under" tendency consisted of a large negative shift in the angle of trim tbgether with a large increase of stability that resisted the efforts of the pilot to trim at the desired positive lift coefficients required for recovery from the dive. The resulting large elevator motions required to recover from the dive, or even to prevent the dive from becoming steeper, corresponded to excessive control forces, thus leading to the impression that the "stick became frozen." These changes in longitudinal stability limited the maximum safe flying speed for many airplanes.

These effects are intimately associated with the changes in lift characteristics of wing sections at Mach numbers above that for force break. The relatively thick cambered wing sections commonly used during the Second World War experienced a positive shift of the angle of zero lift and a reduction of lift-curve slope. Although many effects associated with other characteristics of the airplane are present, the change in angle of zero lift directly affects the angle of trim and the reduction of lift-curve slope directly increases the longitudinal stability.

The shift in the angle of zero lift is associated with the camber of the wing section. A symmetrical section shows, of course, no change in the angle of zero lift ${ }^{116}$ (Fig. 156). The rather large positive shift in the angle of zero lift shown ${ }^{116}$ in Fig. 157 for the NACA 2409-34 section is typical for cambered sections without reflex. In this case, the change amounts to about 2 degrees for a change of Mach number from 0.80 to only 0.83 . The effect of increasing the camber is shown ${ }^{16}$ by Fig. 158. Doubling the amount of camber causes a similar shift to occur at a lower Mach number.

The effects of thickness on the lift characteristics of symmetrical sections are shown ${ }^{30}$ in Figs. 159 to 161 for the NACA 0006-34, 0008-34, and 0012-34 sections. The 6 per cent thick section shows a large increase of lift coefficient at a given angle of attack with increasing Mach number at
values below about 0.85 . At Mach numbers above the force break, the lift coefficient decreases rapidly, but it never goes below the low-speed values, at least at Mach numbers up to 0.95 . The 12 per cent thick section, however, fails to show much increase of lift coefficient at subcritical Mach numbers, but it shows a large decrease of lift coefficient at Mach numbers just above the force break. At a Mach number of 0.85 , the lift coefficient,


Fig. 156. Aerodynamic characteristics of the NACA 0009-34 airfoil.
and accordingly the lift-curve slope, are only about two-thirds of the lowspeed value. At higher Mach numbers, the lift coefficients again increase. The effects of Mach number on the lift characteristics of the 8 per cent thick section are intermediate. These data show that the thin sections experience force breaks at higher Mach numbers than the thicker sections and that the lift force breaks are much less severe for the thin than for the thicker sections.

The effects of thickness for a series of cambered sections are shown ${ }^{36}$ in Figs. 162 to 165 . These data indicate to an even greater degree the adverse effect of thickness on the character of the lift force break. Comparison of these data with those for the symmetrical sections (Figs. 159 to 161) shows the adverse effects of camber on the Mach number for lift force break and on the shift in the angle of zero lift.


Fra. 157. Aerodynamic characteristics of the NACA 2409-34 airfoil.

Comparatively few data are available to show the effect of thickness distribution on the characteristics above the force break. The trends indicated by Stack and von Doenhoff ${ }^{116}$ cannot be considered representative for properly designed families of wing sections because of the arbitrary


Fig. 158. Aerodynamic characteristics of the NACA 4409-34 airfoil.
geometrical manner in which the sections were varied. If the thickness distributions are varied in such a manner as to avoid peaks in the lowspeed pressure distributions, as in the case of the NACA 6 -series sections, the indications are that the effect of thickness distribution is minor compared with the effects of thickness ratio and camber. There is some indication ${ }^{116}$ that the trailing-edge angle should be kept small to avoid adverse effects on the lift characteristics similar to those for thick sections.

Figures 166 to 168 show the ${ }^{876}$ lift and drag characteristics of the NACA $66-210$ wing section. The most outstanding feature of these data as com-


Fig. 159. Effect of compressibility on the lift of the NACA 0006-34 airfoil.


Fig. 160. Effect of compressibility on the lift of the NACA 0008-34 airfoil.



pared with those for the NACA four-digit series sections is the ability of the 6-series section to carry large lifts at moderately high angles of attack and high Mach numbers. The lift-curve slope for the NACA 66-210 section at a Mach number of 0.75 is high and substantially constant up to a lift coefficient of about 0.8 (Fig. 168). At the same Mach number, the NACA 2309 section (Fig. 163) shows a reduced lift-curve slope at a lift


Fig. 165. Effect of compressibility on the lift of the NACA 2315 airfoil.
coefficient of only about 0.55 and almost no increase of lift for a change of angle of attack from 4 to 5 degrees.

Figure 169 shows ${ }^{376}$ the predicted critical Mach numbers and the Mach numbers for force divergence as functions of the lift coefficient for the NACA 66-210 section. The outstanding characteristic of these data is the wide range of lift coefficients over which high Mach numbers for force divergence are realized as compared with the range for high critical Mach numbers. The predicted critical Mach numbers are lower than those for force divergence but approximate the latter values over the range of lift coefficients where the speed of sound is first reached near the location of minimum pressure at the design lift coefficient. It is apparent that critical Mach numbers predicted on the basis of the attainment of the velocity of
sound near the leading edge are useless as an indication of the force characteristics of NACA 6-series sections.

The general effects of Mach number on the maximum lift coefficients of wing sections may be inferred from the data ${ }^{79}$ of Fig. 170. These data were


Fig. 166. The variation of section lift coefficient with Mach number at various angles of attack for the NACA 66-210 airfoil.
obtained from tests in the NACA Langley 16 -foot high-speed wind tunnel of a wing having an aspect ratio of 6 and having NACA 23016 sections at the root and NACA 23009 sections at the tip. At Mach numbers above 0.30 , the maximum lift coefficient decreases rapidly with increasing Mach number up to values of about 0.55 where the maximum lift coefficient is approximately 1.0. At higher Mach numbers, the actual maximum lift coefficient decreases more slowly; but the angle of attack, or lift coefficient, at which the lift curve departs radically from its normal slope continues to
decrease to the limits of the tests. The resulting variation of maximum lift coefficient with Mach number is shown ${ }^{79}$ in Fig. 171.

The increase of maximum lift coefficient with Mach number at values below 0.30 is associated with the variation of Reynolds number for these


Fic. 167. The variation of section drag coefficient with Mach number at various angles of attack for the NACA 66-210 airfoil.
tests. This effect was studied more extensively in the NACA 19-footpressure wind tunnel where the effects of Mach and Reynolds numbers could be separated ${ }^{33}$ for low Mach numbers. These data indicated, at least qualitatively, that the peak maximum lift coefficient is determined by the critical Mach number at maximum lift which, of course, is attained at relatively low free-stream Mach numbers. At subcritical Mach numbers, the maximum lift coefficient is primarily a function of the Reynolds number as at very low Mach numbers, although the effect of Mach number is


Fig. 168. The variation of section lift coefficient with angle of attack at various Mach numbers for the NACA 66-210 airfoil.


Fig. 169. Critical Mach numbers for the NACA 66-210 airfoil.
not negligible. At supercritical Mach numbers, the value of the maximum lift coefficient decreases rapidly with increasing speed, and the effect of Reynolds number is secondary. For the wing tested, the critical Mach


Fig. 170. Variation of wing lift coefficient with angle of attack for several Mach numbers.
number was of the order of 0.25 to 0.30 for the flaps-retracted condition and of 0.17 to 0.20 with $0.20 c$ split flaps deflected 60 degrees.

It should be noted that the maximum usable lift coefficient for an airplane at high Mach numbers may not be the same as the actual maximum lift coefficient. Referring to Fig. 170, the airplane may be unable to fly on the relatively flat portions of the lift curves above the force breaks, because of the serious buffeting associated with the partly separated flow and, perhaps also, because of stability and control difficulties.

At supercritical speeds, changing the camber near the trailing edge, as by small deflections of a flap or aileron, cannot affect the flow over the front part of the section because pressures cannot propagate upstream through the supersonic region. Consequently, the flap effectiveness must be greatly reduced at supercritical Mach numbers. This effect is clearly shown ${ }^{114}$ by Fig. 172. This loss of flap effectiveness is related to the positive


Fig. 171. Variation of wing maximum lift coefficient with Mach number.
shift of the angle of zero lift for cambered sections. The rearward portion of a cambered section may be considered to be a special case of a deflected flap. The loss of flap effectiveness at the force break leaves the forward portion of the section at an effectively lower angle of attack, and the resulting change in lift coefficient corresponds to a positive shift of the angle of zero lift. Conversely, a strongly reflexed section would show the opposite effect. It follows that the change in lift characteristics of cambered sections may be reduced by incorporation of reflex or of an upwardly deflected flap.
b. Drag Characteristics. The effect of thickness ratio on the drag characteristics of symmetrical wing sections is illustrated ${ }^{30}$ by Figs. 173 to 175. These data show the typical rapid increase of drag coefficient at high Mach numbers. This rapid rise (force break) occurs at smaller Mach numbers as the angle of attack and thickness are increased. Similar data


Fig. 172. Variation of aileron action with Mach number. Two-dimensional pressure distribution results. Symmetrical airfoil, 19 per cent thick, $\alpha=0$ degrees; NACA rectangular high-speed wind tunnel.


Fig. 173. Effect of compressibility on the drag of the NACA 0006-34 airfoil.


Fig. 174. Effect of emmpressibility on the drag of the NACA 0008-34 airfoil.


Fig. 175. Effect of compressibility on the drag of the NACA 0012-34 airfoil.


for the cambered sections, NACA 2306, 2309, 2312, and 2315, are shown ${ }^{20}$ in Figs. 176 to 179. The effect of camber is to reduce the Mach number at which the drag force break occurs as compared with the data of Figs. 173 to 175 . Although the thickness distribution is not the same for these


Fig. 178. Effect of compressibility on the drag of the NACA 2312 airfoil.
symmetrical and cambered sections, the differences attributable to this fact are very small, at least for the lower thickness ratios.

Comparisons of the predicted critical Mach numbers and the Mach numbers for drag force break are presented ${ }^{376}$ in Fig. 169 for the NACA $66-210$ wing section. For the range of lift coefficients corresponding to high predicted critical speeds, the Mach number for drag force break is about 0.01 to 0.03 greater than the critical. The Mach number for drag force break is intermediate between that for lift force break and the critical
value. The slope of the boundary for drag force break is similar to that for the critical Mach number, but high Mach numbers without force break


Fig. 179. Effect of compressibility on the drag of the NACA 2315 airfoil.
may be realized over a much wider range of lift coefficient than is indicated by the theoretically predicted critical speed.
c. Moment Characteristics. Figures 157 and 158 illustrate ${ }^{116}$ the negative shift of the moment coefficient with increasing Mach number that is
typical for cambered wing sections. In addition to this shift, there is a tendency at the highest Mach numbers shown for the moment coefficient to increase negatively with increasing lift coefficient as is shown ${ }^{376}$ more


Fig. 180. The variation of section moment coefficient with Mach number at various angles of attack for the NACA 66-210 airfoil.
clearly for the NACA 66-210 section in Fig. 180. This rearward shift of the aerodynamic center at high Mach numbers is stabilizing, and the more negative values of the moment coefficient tend to make the airplane trim at lower angles of attack. These moment changes thus add to the previously discussed effects of the lift coefficient in producing the "tucking under" tendency of airplanes with thick cambered wing sections.

The moment characteristics of the NACA 0006-34, 0008-34, 0012-34, 2306, 2309, 2312, and 2315 wing sections are shown ${ }^{30}$ in Figs. 181 to 187. These data indicate that the changes in moment characteristics with Mach


Fig. 181. Effect of compressibility on the pitching moment of the NACA 0006-34 airfoil.
number are minimized for symmetrical sections, although a rearward shift of the aerodynamic center at high Mach numbers persists.
9.7. Wings for High-speed Applications. As discussed in the previous section, all wing sections experience undesirable variations in their characteristics at high subsonic Mach numbers. Although these undesirable variations can be minimized by the use of thin symmetrical sections, it does not appear to be possible to eliminate them in two-dimensional flow.

In three-dimensional flow, however, it is obvious that the shocks cannot extend to the wing tips without modification. The presence of the shock at


Fig. 182. Effect of compresibility on the pitching moment of the NACA 0008-34 airfoil.


Fig. 183. Effect of compressibility on the pitching moment of the NACA 0012-34 airfoil



Fig. 184. Effect of compressibility on the pitching moment of the NACA 2306 airfoil.


Fig. 185. Effect of compressibility on the pitching moment of the NACA 2309 airfoil.


Fig. 186. Effect of compressibility on the pitching moment of the NACA 2312 airfoil.


Fro. 187. Effect of compressibility on the pitching moment of the NACA 2315 airfoil.
the wing tip with the accompanying sudden pressure rise would induce a three-dimensional flow that would tend to reduce the severity of the shock. The existence of such " tip relief" became apparent in early tests of propellers at high tip speeds. The data showed that losses of efficiency did not occur until tip Mach numbers were reached that were well in excess of those at which the sections used at the tips suffered large increases of drag in twodimensional flow. Corresponding advantages for wings may be expected if the aspect ratio is sufficiently low. Experimental data have confirmed the advantages of low aspect ratio at high Mach numbers.

The theoretical approach for wings of very low aspect ratio is entirely different from that for normal wings of high aspect ratio, and the concept of wing-section characteristics is not applicable. Jones ${ }^{51}$ showed that, for wings with aspect ratios approaching zero, the lift depends on the angle of attack and on the positive rate of increase of span in the direction of the air flow, or

$$
c_{l}=\pi \alpha \frac{d b}{d x}
$$

where $c_{l}=$ local lift coefficient of section perpendicular to direction of air flow

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha=\text { angle of attack } \\
& b=\text { span } \\
& x=\text { distance in the direction of air flow } \\
& d b / d x \text { is positive }
\end{aligned}
$$

This simplified theory indicates that $c_{l}=0$ for those portions of the wing where $d b / d x$ is zero or negative. This theory shows that the center of lift for a triangular wing with the apex forward is at the center of area. The theory indicates that, for the particular case of a slender triangular plan form, the lift coefficient and center of pressure are independent of Mach number at both subsonic and supersonic speeds so long as the wing lies well within the Mach cone. The applicability of the theory at a Mach number of 1.75 is shown by Fig. 188. Low-aspect-ratio wings of triangular plan form thus appear to provide one solution to the stability difficulties in the transonic speed range.

Another solution to the problems of the transonic speed range is the use of large amounts of sweep. The manner in which sweep is effective is clearly seen from consideration of a wing of infinite span with sidesiip (Fig. 189). It is obvious that the spanwise component of velocity $V_{\text {a }}$ will produce no forces on the wing, neglecting viscosity, and that the force on the wing will be determined by the normal component of velocity $V_{n}$. The resulting velocity $V$ may be transonic or even supersonic, while the nermal component $V_{n}$ is less than the critical speed if the angle of sideslip, or sweep, $\beta$ is sufficiently large. This effect of sweep in avoiding compres-


Fig. 188. Teat of triangular sirfoil in Langley model supersonic tunuel. Mach number, 1.75; Reynolds number, 1,600,000.


Fig. 189. Velocity components on a swept wing.


## Profile R-4009



Fig. 190. Wing placed obliquely across a two-dimensional wind tunnel.


Fic. 191. Comparison of pressure distribution over normal and oblique wing.
sibility troubles was independently discovered by German investigators and by Jones ${ }^{52}$ during the Second World War.

Application of this principle results in wings with large amounts of sweepback or sweepforward. Such plan forms obviously violate the simple two-dimensional considerations at the root and tip even if the wing has no taper. These violations, however, do not appear to be sufficient to destroy the value of sweep if the wing is of reasonably high aspect ratio, as indicated by tests ${ }^{67}$ of a wing placed obliquely across a two-dimensional wing tunnel (Fig. 190). Figure 191 shows that the pressure distribution over the center section of the oblique wing is reasonably close to that expected from the simple theory, despite the fact that the tunnel walls violate the assumed end conditions. Experimental studies have shown that swept wings of all useful aspect ratios are effective in postponing and alleviating compressibility troubles. Consequently, the theory of swept wings of arbitrary plan forms is being intensively investigated. ${ }^{18,50, ~} 00,17$

It thus appears that the wings of the high-speed airplanes of the future may be quite different from those of comparatively slow subsonic airplanes. These highly swept low-aspect-ratio wings, however, have serious disadvantages for the low-speed flight necessary for landing and take-off. Some of these disadvantages are low lift-curve slopes, high angles of stall, and poor stability characteristics. These disadvantages seriously compromise the design of airplanes using such plan forms and may force the use of more conventional plan forms with very thin supersonic sections for some applications. In any case, these disadvantages at slow speeds are expected to dictate the use of conventional plan forms for airplanes designed for such speeds that it is possible to avoid serious compressibility difficulties by the use of thin wing sections.
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## APPENDIXES

## APPENDIX I <br> BASIC THICKNESS FORMS


nACA Designation Page
64-008 ..... 347
64-009 ..... 348
64-010 ..... 349
$64_{1}-012$. ..... 350
$64-015$ ..... 351
$644-018$. ..... 352
64 -021 ..... 353
64A006 ..... 354
64A008 ..... 355
64A010 ..... 356
64, A012 ..... 357
64,A015 ..... 358
65-006 ..... 359
65-008 ..... 360
65-009 ..... 361
65-010 ..... 362
$655_{1}-012$ ..... 363
$65_{2}-015$ ..... 364
65-018 ..... 365
65-021 ..... 366
65A006 ..... 367
65A008 ..... 368
65A010 ..... 369
65, A012 ..... 370
$65_{3} \mathrm{~A} 015$ ..... 371
66-006 ..... 372
66-008 ..... 373
66-009 ..... 374
66-010 ..... 375
$66_{1}-012$. ..... 376
$66_{2}-015$ ..... 377
$666_{0}-018$ ..... 378
66-021. ..... 379
67,1-015 ..... 380
747A015 ..... 381


| $x$ <br> (per cent $c$ ) | $y$ <br> $($ per cent $c)$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $V^{2} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.992 |
| 0.5 | $\ldots$. | 0.880 | 0.938 | 2.015 |
| 1.25 | 0.947 | 1.117 | 1.057 | 1.364 |
| 2.5 | 1.307 | 1.186 | 1.089 | 0.984 |
| 5.0 | 1.777 | 1.217 | 1.103 | 0.696 |
| 7.5 | 2.100 | 1.225 | 1.107 | 0.562 |
| 10 | 2.341 | 1.212 | 1.101 | 0.478 |
| 15 | 2.673 | 1.206 | 1.098 | 0.378 |
| 20 | 2.869 | 1.190 | 1.091 | 0.316 |
| 25 | 2.971 | 1.179 | 1.086 | 0.272 |
| 30 | 3.001 | 1.162 | 1.078 | 0.239 |
| 40 | 2.902 | 1.136 | 1.066 | 0.189 |
| 50 | 2.647 | 1.109 | 1.053 | 0.152 |
| 60 | 2.282 | 1.086 | 1.042 | 0.123 |
| 70 | 1.832 | 1.057 | 1.028 | 0.097 |
| 80 | 1.312 | 1.026 | 1.013 | 0.073 |
| 90 | 0.724 | 0.980 | 0.990 | 0.047 |
| 95 | 0.403 | 0.949 | 0.974 | 0.032 |
| 100 | 0.063 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

NACA 0006 Basic Thickness Form


| $\underset{\text { (per cent } c \text { ) }}{x}$ | $\begin{gathered} \boldsymbol{y} \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{0} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.900 |
| 0.5 |  | 0.792 | 0.890 | 1.795 |
| 1.25 | 1.263 | 1.103 | 1.050 | 1.310 |
| 2.5 | 1.743 | 1.221 | 1.105 | 0.971 |
| 5.0 | 2.369 | 1.272 | 1.128 | 0.694 |
| 7.5 | 2.800 | 1.284 | 1.133 | 0.561 |
| 10 | 3.121 | 1.277 | 1.130 | 0.479 |
| 15 | 3.564 | 1.272 | 1.128 | 0.379 |
| 20 | 3.825 | 1.259 | 1.122 | 0.318 |
| 25 | 3.961 | 1.241 | 1.114 | 0.273 |
| 30 | 4.001 | 1.223 | 1.106 | 0.239 |
| 40 | 3.869 | 1.186 | 1.089 | 0.188 |
| 50 | 3.529 | 1.149 | 1.072 | 0.152 |
| 60 | 3.043 | 1.111 | 1.054 | 0.121 |
| 70 | 2.443 | 1.080 | 1.039 | 0.096 |
| 80 | 1.749 | 1.034 | 1.017 | 0.071 |
| 90 | 0.965 | 0.968 | 0.984 | 0.047 |
| 95 | 0.537 | 0.939 | 0.969 | 0.031 |
| 100 | 0.084 | ..... | ..... | 0 |

L.E. radius: $\mathbf{0 . 7 0}$ per cent $\boldsymbol{c}$

NACA 0008 Basic Thickness Form


| $x$ <br> (per cent $c$ ) | $y$ <br> (per cent $c$ ) | $(y / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.839 |
|  | 0.25 | 0.756 | 0.917 | 0.958 |
| 2.5 | 1.120 | 1.023 | 1.011 | 1.338 |
| 5.0 | 1.662 | 1.092 | 1.045 | 0.696 |
| 7.5 | 2.089 | 1.137 | 1.066 | 0.564 |
| 10 | 2.436 | 1.162 | 1.078 | 0.485 |
| 15 | 2.996 | 1.188 | 1.090 | 0.387 |
| 20 | 3.396 | 1.206 | 1.098 | 0.326 |
| 30 | 3.867 | 1.217 | 1.103 | 0.248 |
| 40 | 4.000 | 1.202 | 1.096 | 0.197 |
| 50 | 3.884 | 1.185 | 1.089 | 0.157 |
| 60 | 3.547 | 1.163 | 1.079 | 0.128 |
| 70 | 2.987 | 1.127 | 1.062 | 0.100 |
| 80 | 2.213 | 1.067 | 1.033 | 0.074 |
| 90 | 1.244 | 0.993 | 0.996 | 0.047 |
| 95 | 0.684 | 0.932 | 0.965 | 0.031 |
| 100 | 0.080 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 0.174 per cent $c$

NACA 0008-34 Basic Thickness Form


| $x$ <br> (per cent $c)$ | $y$ <br> $($ per cent $c)$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.595 |
|  | $\ldots .5$ | 0.750 | 0.866 | 1.700 |
| 1.25 | 1.420 | 1.083 | 1.041 | 1.283 |
| 2.5 | 1.961 | 1.229 | 1.109 | 0.963 |
| 5.0 | 2.666 | 1.299 | 1.140 | 0.692 |
| 7.5 | 3.150 | 1.310 | 1.145 | 0.560 |
| 10 | 3.512 | 1.309 | 1.144 | 0.479 |
| 15 | 4.009 | 1.304 | 1.142 | 0.380 |
| 20 | 4.303 | 1.203 | 1.137 | 0.318 |
| 25 | 4.456 | 1.275 | 1.129 | 0.273 |
| 30 | 4.501 | 1.252 | 1.119 | 0.239 |
| 40 | 4.352 | 1.209 | 1.100 | 0.188 |
| 50 | 3.971 | 1.170 | 1.082 | 0.151 |
| 60 | 3.423 | 1.126 | 1.061 | 0.120 |
| 70 | 2.748 | 1.087 | 1.043 | 0.095 |
| 80 | 1.967 | 1.037 | 1.018 | 0.070 |
| 90 | 1.086 | 0.984 | 0.982 | 0.046 |
| 95 | 0.605 | 0.933 | 0.966 | 0.030 |
| 100 | 0.095 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 0.89 per cent $e$

NACA 0009 Basic Thickness Form


| $\begin{gathered} x \\ (\text { per cent } c) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y \\ (\text { per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.372 |
| 0.5 | ..... | 0.712 | 0.844 | 1.618 |
| 1.25 | 1.578 | 1.061 | 1.030 | 1.255 |
| 2.5 | 2.178 | 1.237 | 1.112 | 0.955 |
| 5.0 | 2.962 | 1.325 | 1.151 | 0.690 |
| 7.5 | 3.500 | 1.341 | 1.158 | 0.559 |
| 10 | 3.902 | 1.341 | 1.158 | 0.479 |
| 15 | 4.455 | 1.341 | 1.158 | 10.380 |
| 20 | 4.782 | 1.329 | 1.153 | 0.318 |
| 25 | 4.952 | 1.309 | 1.144 | 0.273 |
| 30 | 5.002 | 1.284 | 1.133 | 0.239 |
| 40 | 4.837 | 1.237 | 1.112 | 0.188 |
| 50 | 4.412 | 1.190 | 1.091 | 0.150 |
| 60 | 3.803 | 1.138 | 1.067 | 0.119 |
| 70 | 3.053 | 1.094 | 1.046 | 0.094 |
| 80 | 2.187 | 1.040 | 1.020 | 0.069 |
| 90 | 1.207 | 0.960 | 0.980 | 0.045 |
| 95 | 0.672 | 0.925 | 0.962 | 0.030 |
| 100 | 0.105 |  |  | 0 |

NACA 0010 Basic Thickness Form

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.857 |
| 1.25 | 0.944 | 0.892 | 0.944 | 1.282 |
| 2.5 | 1.400 | 1.011 | 1.005 | 0.950 |
| 5.0 | 2.078 | 1.113 | 1.055 | 0.688 |
| 7.5 | 2.611 | 1.167 | 1.080 | 0.564 |
| 10 | 3.044 | 1.200 | 1.095 | 0.486 |
| 15 | 3.744 | 1.238 | 1.113 | 0.389 |
| 20 | 4.244 | 1.256 | 1.121 | 0.327 |
| 30 | 4.833 | 1.265 | 1.124 | 0.249 |
| 40 | 5.000 | . 1.253 | 1.119 | 0.197 |
| 50 | 4.856 | 1.235 | 1.111 | 0.159 |
| 60 | 4.433 | 1.205 | 1.098 | 0.127 |
| 70 | 3.733 | 1.157 | 1.076 | 0.100 |
| 80 | 2.767 | 1.089 | 1.044 | 0.073 |
| 90 | 1.556 | 0.990 | 0.995 | 0.045 |
| 95 | 0.856 | 0.910 | 0.954 | 0.030 |
| 100 | 0.100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 0.272 per cent $c$ |  |  |  |  |

NACA 0010-34 Basic Thickness Form


| $x$ <br> (per cent c) | $y$ <br> (per cent $r$ ) | $(\nu / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.068 |
| 1.25 | 0.878 | 0.954 | 0.977 | 1.309 |
| 2.5 | 1.267 | 1.032 | 1.016 | 0.952 |
| 5.0 | 1.844 | 1.087 | 1.043 | 0.679 |
| 7.5 | 2.289 | 1.122 | 1.059 | 0.555 |
| 10 | 2.667 | 1.141 | 1.068 | 0.476 |
| 15 | 3.289 | 1.172 | 1.083 | 0.382 |
| 20 | 3.789 | 1.194 | 1.093 | 0.323 |
| 30 | 4.478 | 1.214 | 1.102 | 0.247 |
| 40 | 4.878 | 1.229 | 1.109 | 0.198 |
| 50 | 5.000 | 1.235 | 1.111 | 0.162 |
| 60 | 4.867 | 1.240 | 1.114 | 0.131 |
| 70 | 4.389 | 1.227 | 1.108 | 0.104 |
| 80 | 3.500 | 1.176 | 1.084 | 0.076 |
| 90 | 2.100 | 1.046 | 1.023 | 0.048 |
| 95 | 1.178 | 0.920 | 0.959 | 0.030 |
| 100 | 0.100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

NACA 0010-35 Basic Thickness Form


| $x$ <br> (per cent $c$ ) | $y$ <br> $($ per cent $c)$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.324 |
| 1.25 | 1.511 | 1.108 | 1.053 | 1.286 |
| 2.5 | 2.044 | 1.245 | 1.116 | 0.966 |
| 5.0 | 2.722 | 1.286 | 1.134 | 0.690 |
| 7.5 | 3.178 | 1.277 | 1.130 | 0.556 |
| 10 | 3.533 | 1.269 | 1.127 | 0.475 |
| 15 | 4.056 | 1.261 | 1.123 | 0.377 |
| 20 | 4.411 | 1.248 | 1.117 | 0.316 |
| 30 | 4.856 | 1.244 | 1.116 | 0.241 |
| 40 | 5.000 | 1.242 | 1.115 | 0.193 |
| 50 | 4.856 | 1.231 | 1.110 | 0.155 |
| 60 | 4.433 | 1.211 | 1.101 | 0.126 |
| 70 | 3.733 | 1.155 | 1.074 | 0.098 |
| 80 | 2.767 | 1.089 | 1.043 | 0.072 |
| 90 | 1.556 | 0.980 | 0.990 | 0.045 |
| 95 | 0.856 | 0.912 | 0.955 | 0.030 |
| 100 | 0.100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 1.10 per rent $e$

NACA 0010-64 Basic Thickness Form


| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{y}{(\text { per cent } c)} \end{gathered}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.584 |
| 1.25 | 1.467 | 1.140 | 1.068 | 1.295 |
| 2.5 | 1.967 | 1.273 | 1.128 | 0.970 |
| 5.0 | 2.589 | 1.271 | 1.127 | 0.684 |
| 7.5 | 2.989 | 1.252 | 1.119 | 0.551 |
| 10 | 3.300 | 1.236 | 1.112 | 0.470 |
| 15 | 3.756 | 1.213 | 1.101 | 0.372 |
| 20 | 4.089 | 1.200 | 1.095 | 0.312 |
| 30 | 4.578 | 1.196 | 1.094 | 0.239 |
| 40 | 4.889 | 1.212 | 1.101 | 0.193 |
| 50 | 5.000 | 1.229 | 1.109 | 0.158 |
| 60 | 4.867 | 1.234 | 1.111 | 0.128 |
| 70 | 4.389 | 1.226 | 1.107 | 0.103 |
| 80 | 3.500 | 1.173 | 1.083 | 0.076 |
| 90 | 2.100 | 1.049 | 1.024 | 0.046 |
| 95 | 1.178 | 0.915 | 0.957 | 0.029 |
| 100 | 0.100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

NACA 0010-65 Basic Thickness Form


| $x$ <br> (per cent $c)$ | $y$ <br> (per cent $c$ ) | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.434 |
| 1.25 | 1.489 | 1.130 | 1.063 | 1.289 |
| 2.5 | 2.011 | 1.246 | 1.116 | 0.959 |
| 5.0 | 2.656 | 1.286 | 1.134 | 0.687 |
| 7.5 | 3.089 | 1.282 | 1.132 | 0.554 |
| 10 | 3.400 | 1.258 | 1.122 | 0.471 |
| 15 | 3.856 | 1.225 | 1.107 | 0.372 |
| 20 | 4.178 | 1.209 | 1.100 | 0.310 |
| 30 | 4.578 | 1.189 | 1.090 | 0.236 |
| 40 | 4.822 | 1.178 | 1.085 | 0.190 |
| 50 | 4.956 | 1.184 | 1.088 | 0.153 |
| 60 | 5.000 | 1.214 | 1.102 | 0.129 |
| 70 | 4.889 | 1.265 | 1.125 | 0.104 |
| 80 | 4.300 | 1.278 | 1.130 | 0.080 |
| 90 | 2.833 | 1.135 | 1.065 | 0.049 |
| 95 | 1.656 | 0.960 | 0.980 | 0.030 |
| 100 | 0.100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

NACA 0010-66 Basic Thickness Form


| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(\text { per cent } c)}{y}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $\boldsymbol{v} / \mathrm{V}$ | $\Delta v_{0} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.988 |
| 0.5 |  | 0.640 | 0.800 | 1.475 |
| 1.25 | 1.894 | 1.010 | 1.005 | 1.199 |
| 2.5 | 2.615 | 1.241 | 1.114 | 0.934 |
| 5.0 | 3.555 | 1.378 | 1.174 | 0.685 |
| 7.5 | 4.200 | 1.402 | 1.184 | 0.558 |
| 10 | 4.683 | 1.411 | 1.188 | 0.479 |
| 15 | 5.345 | 1.411 | 1.188 | 0.381 |
| 20 | 5.737 | 1.399 | 1.183 | 0.319 |
| 25 | 5.941 | 1.378 | 1.174 | 0.273 |
| 30 | 6.002 | 1.350 | 1.162 | 0.239 |
| 40 | 5.803 | 1.288 | 1.135 | 0.187 |
| 50 | 5.294 | 1.228 | 1.108 | 0.149 |
| 60 | 4.563 | 1.166 | 1.080 | 0.118 |
| 70 | 3.664 | 1.109 | 1.053 | 0.092 |
| 80 | 2.623 | 1.044 | 1.022 | 0.068 |
| 90 | 1.448 | 0.956 | 0.978 | 0.044 |
| 95 | 0.807 | 0.906 | 0.952 | 0.029 |
| 100 | 0.126 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 1.58 per cent $c$

NACA 0012 Basic Thickness Form


| $\underset{(\text { per cent } c)}{x}$ | $\begin{gathered} \boldsymbol{y} \\ \text { (per cent } \boldsymbol{c} \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $\boldsymbol{v} / \mathrm{V}$ | $\Delta v_{0} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.154 |
| 1.25 | 1.133 | 0.865 | 0.930 | 1.251 |
| 2.5 | 1.680 | 0.997 | 0.999 | 0.933 |
| 5.0 | 2.493 | 1.122 | 1.059 | 0.683 |
| 7.5 | 3.133 | 1.186 | 1.089 | 0.560 |
| 10 | 3.653 | 1.229 | 1.109 | 0.484 |
| 15 | 4.493 | 1.282 | 1.132 | 0.389 |
| 20 | 5.093 | 1.310 | 1.145 | 0.329 |
| 30 | 5.800 | 1.329 | 1.153 | 0.250 |
| 40 | 6.000 | 1.311 | 1.145 | 0.198 |
| 50 | 5.827 | 1.284 | 1.133 | 0.158 |
| 60 | 5.320 | 1.249 | 1.118 | 0.128 |
| 70 | 4.480 | 1.192 | 1.092 | 0.098 |
| 80 | 3.320 | 1.112 | 1.055 | 0.071 |
| 90 | 1.867 | 0.985 | 0.992 | 0.045 |
| 95 100 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.027 \\ & 0.120 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.894 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.946 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.029 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| L.E. radius: 0.391 per cent c |  |  |  |  |

NACA 0012-34 Basic Thickness Form


| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(\text { per cent } c)}{y}$ | $(\nu / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.019 |
| 1.25 | 1.813 | 1.072 | 1.035 | 1.236 |
| 2.5 | 2.453 | 1.270 | 1.127 | 0.952 |
| 5.0 | 3.267 | 1.330 | 1.153 | 0.685 |
| 7.5 | 3.813 | 1.325 | 1.151 | 0.554 |
| 10 | 4.240 | 1.322 | 1.150 | 0.474 |
| 15 | 4.867 | 1.313 | 1.146 | 0.372 |
| 20 | 5.293 | 1.303 | 1.141 | 0.315 |
| 30 | 5.827 | 1.297 | 1.139 | 0.241 |
| 40 | 6.000 | 1.300 | 1.140 | 0.199 |
| 50 | 5.827 | 1.280 | 1.131 | 0.154 |
| 60 | 5.320 | 1.244 | 1.115 | 0.126 |
| 70 | 4.480 | 1.189 | 1.090 | 0.096 |
| 80 | 3.320 | 1.102 | 1.050 | 0.070 |
| 80 | 1.867 | 0.993 | 0.996 | 0.044 |
| 95 | 1.027 | 0.889 | 0.943 | 0.028 |
| 100 | 0.120 |  | 0 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 1.582 per cent $c$

NACA 0012-64 Basic Thickness Form


| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | opV | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.600 |
| 0.5 |  | 0.546 | 0.739 | 1.312 |
| 1.25 | 2.367 | 0.933 | 0.966 | 1.112 |
| 2.5 | 3.268 | 1.237 | 1.112 | 0.900 |
| 5.0 | 4.443 | 1.450 | 1.204 | 0.675 |
| 7.5 | 5.250 | 1.498 | 1.224 | 0.557 |
| 10 | 5.853 | 1.520 | 1.233 | 0.479 |
| 15 | 6.682 | 1.520 | 1.233 | 0.381 |
| 20 | 7.172 | 1.510 | 1.229 | 0.320 |
| 25 | 7.427 | 1.484 | 1.218 | 0.274 |
| 30 | 7.502 | 1.450 | 1.204 | 0.239 |
| 40 | 7.254 | 1.369 | 1.170 | 0.185 |
| 50 | 6.617 | 1.279 | 1.131 | 0.146 |
| 60 | 5.704 | 1.206 | 1.098 | 0.115 |
| 70 | 4.580 | 1.132 | 1.064 | 0.090 |
| 80 | 3.279 | 1.049 | 1.024 | 0.065 |
| 90 | 1.810 | 0.945 | 0.972 | 0.041 |
| 95 | 1.008 | 0.872 | 0.934 | 0.027 |
| 100 | 0.158 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

L.E. radius: $\mathbf{2 . 4 8}$ per cent $c$

NACA 0015 Basic Thickness Form


| $x$ <br> (per cent $c$ ) | $y$ <br> (per cent $c$ ) | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.342 |
| 0.5 | $\ldots \ldots$ | 0.465 | 0.682 | 1.178 |
| 1.25 | 2.841 | 0.857 | 0.926 | 1.028 |
| 2.5 | 3.922 | 1.217 | 1.103 | 0.861 |
| 5.0 | 5.332 | 1.507 | 1.228 | 0.662 |
| 7.5 | 6.300 | 1.598 | 1.264 | 0.555 |
| 10 | 7.024 | 1.628 | 1.276 | 0.479 |
| 15 | 8.018 | 1.633 | 1.278 | 0.381 |
| 20 | 8.606 | 1.625 | 1.275 | 0.320 |
| 25 | 8.912 | 1.592 | 1.262 | 0.274 |
| 30 | 9.003 | 1.556 | 1.247 | 0.238 |
| 40 | 8.705 | 1.453 | 1.205 | 0.184 |
| 50 | 7.941 | 1.331 | 1.154 | 0.144 |
| 60 | 6.845 | 1.246 | 1.116 | 0.113 |
| 70 | 5.496 | 1.153 | 1.074 | 0.087 |
| 80 | 3.935 | 1.051 | 1.025 | 0.063 |
| 90 | 2.172 | 0.933 | 0.966 | 0.039 |
| 95 | 1.210 | 0.836 | 0.914 | 0.025 |
| 100 | 0.189 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 3.56 per cent $c$

NACA 0018 Basic Thickness Form


| $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} \bar{y} \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.167 |
| 0.5 |  | 0.397 | 0.630 | 1.065 |
| 1.25 | 3.315 | 0.787 | 0.887 | 0.946 |
| 2.5 | 4.576 | 1.182 | 1.087 | 0.818 |
| 5.0 | 6.221 | 1.543 | 1.242 | 0.648 |
| 7.5 | 7.350 | 1.682 | 1.297 | 0.550 |
| 10 | 8.195 | 1.734 | 1.317 | 0.478 |
| 15 | 9.354 | 1.756 | 1.325 | 0.381 |
| 20 | 10.040 | 1.742 | 1.320 | 0.320 |
| 25 | 10.397 | 1.706 | 1.306 | 0.274 |
| 30 | 10.504 | 1.664 | 1.290 | 0.238 |
| 40 | 10.156 | 1.538 | 1.240 | 0.183 |
| 50 | 9.265 | 1.388 | 1.178 | 0.142 |
| 60 | 7.986 | 1.284 | 1.133 | 0.111 |
| 70 | 6.412 | 1.177 | 1.085 | 0.084 |
| 80 | 4.591 | 1.055 | 1.027 | 0.061 |
| 90 | 2.534 | 0.916 | 0.957 | 0.037 |
| 95 | 1.412 | 0.801 | 0.895 | 0.023 |
| 100 | 0.221 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 4.85 per cent $c$

NACA 0021 Basic Thickness Form


| $x$ <br> (per cent $c$ ) | $y$ <br> $($ per cent $c)$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{0} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.050 |
| 0.5 | $\ldots$. | 0.335 | 0.579 | 0.964 |
| 1.25 | 3.788 | 0.719 | 0.848 | 0.870 |
| 2.5 | 5.229 | 1.130 | 1.063 | 0.771 |
| 5.0 | 7.109 | 1.548 | 1.244 | 0.632 |
| 7.5 | 8.400 | 1.748 | 1.322 | 0.542 |
| 10 | 9.365 | 1.833 | 1.354 | 0.476 |
| 15 | 10.691 | 1.888 | 1.374 | 0.383 |
| 20 | 11.475 | 1.871 | 1.368 | 0.321 |
| 25 | 11.883 | 1.822 | 1.350 | 0.274 |
| 30 | 12.004 | 1.777 | 1.333 | 0.238 |
| 40 | 11.607 | 1.631 | 1.277 | 0.181 |
| 50 | 10.588 | 1.450 | 1.204 | 0.140 |
| 60 | 9.127 | 1.325 | 1.151 | 0.109 |
| 70 | 7.328 | 1.203 | 1.097 | 0.082 |
| 80 | 5.247 | 1.065 | 1.032 | 0.059 |
| 90 | 2.896 | 0.891 | 0.944 | 0.035 |
| 95 | 1.613 | 0.773 | 0.879 | 0.022 |
| 100 | 0.252 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 6.33 per cent $c$

NACA 0024 Basic Thickness Form


| $x$ <br> (per cent $c)$ | $y$ <br> $($ per cent $c)$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | 0 | 0.25 | 0.646 | 1.059 |
| 2.5 | 0.903 | 1.085 | 1.029 | 1.376 |
| 5.0 | 1.255 | 1.097 | 1.047 | 0.980 |
| 7.5 | 1.516 | 1.105 | 1.051 | 0.689 |
| 10 | 1.729 | 1.108 | 1.053 | 0.557 |
| 15 | 2.067 | 1.112 | 1.055 | 0.376 |
| 20 | 2.332 | 1.116 | 1.057 | 0.319 |
| 30 | 2.709 | 1.123 | 1.060 | 0.244 |
| 40 | 2.927 | 1.132 | 1.064 | 0.196 |
| 50 | 3.000 | 1.137 | 1.066 | 0.160 |
| 60 | 2.917 | 1.141 | 1.068 | 0.130 |
| 70 | 2.635 | 1.132 | 1.064 | 0.104 |
| 80 | 2.099 | 1.104 | 1.051 | 0.077 |
| 90 | 1.259 | 1.035 | 1.017 | 0.049 |
| 95 | 0.707 | 0.962 | 0.981 | 0.032 |
| 100 | 0.060 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 0.176 per cent $c$

NACA 16-006 Basic Thickness Form


| $x$ <br> (per cent c) | $y$ <br> (per cent $c)$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.644 |
| 1.25 | 0.969 | 1.042 | 1.021 | 1.330 |
| 2.5 | 1.354 | 1.109 | 1.053 | 0.964 |
| 5.0 | 1.882 | 1.139 | 1.067 | 0.684 |
| 7.5 | 2.274 | 1.152 | 1.073 | 0.554 |
| 10 | 2.593 | 1.158 | 1.076 | 0.475 |
| 15 | 3.101 | 1.168 | 1.081 | 0.378 |
| 20 | 3.498 | 1.177 | 1.085 | 0.319 |
| 30 | 4.063 | 1.190 | 1.091 | 0.245 |
| 40 | 4.391 | 1.202 | 1.096 | 0.197 |
| 50 | 4.500 | 1.211 | 1.100 | 0.160 |
| 60 | 4.376 | 1.214 | 1.106 | 0.131 |
| 70 | 3.952 | 1.206 | 1.099 | 0.103 |
| 80 | 3.149 | 1.156 | 1.075 | 0.076 |
| 90 | 1.888 | 1.043 | 1.022 | 0.047 |
| 95 | 1.061 | 0.939 | 0.969 | 0.030 |
| 100 | 0.090 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

NACA 16-009 Basic Thickness Form


| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.624 |
| 1.25 | 1.292 | 1.002 | 1.001 | 1.268 |
| 2.5 | 1.805 | 1.109 | 1.053 | 0.942 |
| 5.0 | 2.509 | 1.173 | 1.083 | 0.677 |
| 7.5 | 3.032 | 1.197 | 1.094 | 0.551 |
| 10 | 3.457 | 1.208 | 1.099 | 0.473 |
| 15 | 4.135 | 1.223 | 1.106 | 0.378 |
| 20 | 4.664 | 1.237 | 1.112 | 0.319 |
| 30 | 5.417 | 1.257 | 1.121 | 0.245 |
| 40 | 5.855 | 1.271 | 1.128 | 0.197 |
| 50 | 6.000 | 1.286 | 1.134 | 0.161 |
| 60 | 5.835 | 1.293 | 1.137 | 0.131 |
| 70 | 5.269 | 1.275 | 1.129 | 0.102 |
| 80 | 4.199 | 1.203 | 1.097 | 0.075 |
| 90 | 2.517 | 1.051 | 1.025 | 0.045 |
| 95 | 1.415 | 0.908 | 0.953 | 0.027 |
| 100 | 0.120 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 0.703 per cent $c$ |  |  |  |  |

NACA 16-012 Basic Thickness Form


| $\underset{\text { (per cent } c \text { ) }}{x}$ | $\begin{gathered} y \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $(2 / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.041 |
| 1.25 | 1.615 | 0.956 | 0.978 | 1.209 |
| 2.5 | 2.257 | 1.105 | 1.051 | 0.916 |
| 5.0 | 3.137 | 1.200 | 1.095 | 0.668 |
| 7.5 | 3.790 | 1.239 | 1.113 | 0.547 |
| 10 | 4.322 | 1.256 | 1.121 | 0.471 |
| 15 | 5.168 | 1.278 | 1.130 | 0.377 |
| 20 | 5.830 | 1.297 | 1.139 | 0.318 |
| 30 | 6.772 | 1.327 | 1.152 | 0.245 |
| 40 | 7.318 | 1.349 | 1.161 | 0.197 |
| 50 | 7.500 | 1.364 | 1.168 | 0.161 |
| 60 | 7.293 | 1.374 | 1.172 | 0.131 |
| 70 | 6.587 | 1.348 | 1.161 | 0.102 |
| 80 | 5.248 | 1.254 | 1.120 | 0.074 |
| 90 | 3.147 | 1.053 | 1.026 | 0.043 |
| 95 | 1.768 | 0.875 | 0.935 | 0.025 |
| 100 | 0.150 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 1.100 per cent $c$

NACA 16-015 Basic Thickness Form


| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.744 |
| 1.25 | 1.938 | 0.903 | 0.950 | 1.140 |
| 2.5 | 2.708 | 1.092 | 1.045 | 0.883 |
| 5.0 | 3.764 | 1.217 | 1.103 | 0.657 |
| 7.5 | 4.548 | 1.271 | 1.128 | 0.541 |
| 10 | 5.186 | 1.302 | 1.141 | 0.468 |
| 15 | 6.202 | 1.332 | 1.154 | 0.376 |
| 20 | 6.996 | 1.357 | 1.165 | 0.318 |
| 30 | 8.126 | 1.399 | 1.183 | 0.245 |
| 40 | 8.782 | 1.426 | 1.194 | 0.198 |
| 50 | 9.000 | 1.447 | 1.203 | 0.162 |
| 60 | 8.752 | 1.452 | 1.205 | 0.131 |
| 70 | 7.904 | 1.421 | 1.192 | 0.102 |
| 80 | 6.298 | 1.306 | 1.143 | 0.073 |
| 90 | 3.776 | 1.051 | 1.025 | 0.042 |
| 95 | 2.122 | 0.837 | 0.915 | 0.024 |
| 100 | 0.180 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 1.584 per cent $c$ |  |  |  |  |

NACA 16-018 Basic Thickness Form


| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \boldsymbol{y} \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{V})^{\text {: }}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.574 |
| 1.25 | 2.261 | 0.826 | 0.909 | 1.069 |
| 2.5 | 3.159 | 1.062 | 1.031 | 0.828 |
| 5.0 | 4.391 | 1.221 | 1.105 | 0.640 |
| 7.5 | 5.306 | 1.295 | 1.138 | 0.534 |
| 10 | 6.050 | 1.342 | 1.159 | 0.463 |
| 15 | 7.236 | 1.391 | 1.179 | 0.374 |
| 20 | 8.162 | 1.419 | 1.191 | 0.317 |
| 30 | 9.480 | 1.474 | 1.214 | 0.245 |
| 40 | 10.246 | 1.506 | 1.227 | 0.198 |
| 50 | 10.500 | 1.535 | 1.239 | 0.162 |
| 60 | 10.211 | 1.536 | 1.239 | 0.131 |
| 70 | 9.221 | 1.495 | 1.223 | 0.102 |
| 80 | 7.348 | 1.361 | 1.166 | 0.072 |
| 90 | 4.405 | 1.039 | 1.019 | 0.041 |
| 95 | 2.476 | 0.801 | 0.895 | 0.023 |
| 100 | 0.210 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 2.156 per cent $c$ |  |  |  |  |

NACA 16-021 Basic Thickness Form


| $\begin{gathered} x \\ (\text { per cent } c) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y \\ (\text { per cent } c) \end{gathered}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.483 |
| 0.5 | 0.503 | 0.973 | 0.986 | 2.110 |
| 0.75 | 0.609 | 1.050 | 1.025 | 1.778 |
| 1.25 | 0.771 | 1.080 | 1.039 | 1.399 |
| 2.5 | 1.057 | 1.110 | 1.054 | 0.981 |
| 5.0 | 1.462 | 1.130 | 1.063 | 0.692 |
| 7.5 | 1.766 | 1.142 | 1.069 | 0.562 |
| 10 | 2.010 | 1.149 | 1.072 | 0.484 |
| 15 | 2.386 | 1.159 | 1.077 | 0.384 |
| 20 | 2.656 | 1.165 | 1.079 | 0.321 |
| 25 | 2.841 | 1.170 | 1.082 | 0.279 |
| 30 | 2.954 | 1.174 | 1.084 | 0.245 |
| 35 | 3.000 | 1.170 | 1.082 | 0.218 |
| 40 | 2.971 | 1.164 | 1.079 | 0.196 |
| 45 | 2.877 | 1.151 | 1.073 | 0.176 |
| 50 | 2.723 | 1.137 | 1.066 | 0.158 |
| 55 | 2.517 | 1.118 | 1.057 | 0.141 |
| 60 | 2.267 | 1.096 | 1.047 | 0.125 |
| 65 | 1.982 | 1.074 | 1.036 | 0.111 |
| 70 | 1.670 | 1.046 | 1.023 | 0.098 |
| 75 | 1.342 | 1.020 | 1.010 | 0.085 |
| 80 | 1.008 | 0.994 | 0.997 | 0.073 |
| 85 | 0.683 | 0.965 | 0.982 | 0.060 |
| 90 | 0.383 | 0.936 | 0.967 | 0.047 |
| 95 | 0.138 | 0.910 | 0.954 | 0.032 |
| 100 | 0 | 0.886 | 0.941 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 0.297 per cent $c$ |  |  |  |  |

NACA 63-006 Basic Thickness Form


| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.058 |
| 0.5 | 0.749 | 0.885 | 0.941 | 1.889 |
| 0.75 | 0.906 | 1.002 | 1.001 | 1.647 |
| 1.25 | 1.151 | 1.051 | 1.025 | 1.339 |
| 2.5 | 1.582 | 1.130 | 1.063 | 0.961 |
| 5.0 | 2.196 | 1.180 | 1.086 | 0.689 |
| 7.5 | 2.655 | 1.205 | 1.098 | 0.560 |
| 10 | 3.024 | 1.221 | 1.105 | 0.484 |
| 15 | 3.591 | 1.241 | 1.114 | 0.386 |
| 20 | 3.997 | 1.255 | 1.120 | 0.324 |
| 25 | 4.275 | 1.264 | 1.124 | 0.281 |
| 30 | 4.442 | 1.269 | 1.126 | 0.248 |
| 35 | 4.500 | 1.265 | 1.125 | 0.220 |
| 40 | 4.447 | 1.255 | 1.120 | 0.196 |
| 45 | 4.296 | 1.235 | 1.111 | 0.175 |
| 50 | 4.056 | 1.208 | 1.099 | 0.156 |
| 55 | 3.739 | 1.175 | 1.084 | 0.140 |
| 60 | 3.358 | 1.141 | 1.068 | 0.124 |
| 65 | 2.928 | 1.104 | 1.051 | 0.109 |
| 70 | 2.458 | 1.065 | 1.032 | 0.095 |
| 75 | 1.966 | 1.025 | 1.012 | 0.082 |
| 80 | 1.471 | 0.984 | 0.992 | 0.069 |
| 85 | 0.990 | 0.942 | 0.971 | 0.057 |
| 90 | 0.550 | 0.903 | 0.950 | 0.044 |
| 95 | 0.196 | 0.868 | 0.932 | 0.030 |
| 100 | 0 | 0.838 | 0.915 | 0 |

L.E. radius: $\mathbf{0 . 6 3 1}$ per cent $\boldsymbol{c}$

NACA 63-000 Basic Thickness Form


| $\begin{gathered} x \\ (\text { per cent } c) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y \\ (\text { per cent } c) \end{gathered}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.775 |
| 0.5 | 0.829 | 0.841 | 0.917 | 1.825 |
| 0.75 | 1.004 | 0.978 | 0.989 | 1.603 |
| 1.25 | 1.275 | 1.037 | 1.018 | 1.316 |
| 2.5 | 1.756 | 1.131 | 1.063 | 0.952 |
| 5.0 | 2.440 | 1.193 | 1.092 | 0.687 |
| 7.5 | 2.950 | 1.223 | 1.106 | 0.560 |
| 10 | 3.362 | 1.245 | 1.116 | 0.484 |
| 15 | 3.994 | 1.270 | 1.127 | 0.386 |
| 20 | 4.445 | 1.285 | 1.134 | 0.325 |
| 25 | 4.753 | 1.296 | 1.138 | 0.282 |
| 30 | 4.938 | 1.302 | 1.141 | 0.248 |
| 35 | 5.000 | 1.299 | 1.140 | 0.220 |
| 40 | 4.938 | 1.286 | 1.134 | 0.196 |
| 45 | 4.766 | 1.262 | 1.123 | 0.175 |
| 50 | 4.496 | 1.231 | 1.110 | 0.156 |
| 55 | 4.140 | 1.193 | 1.092 | 0.139 |
| 60 | 3.715 | 1.154 | 1.074 | 0.123 |
| 65 | 3.234 | 1.113 | 1.055 | 0.108 |
| 70 | 2.712 | 1.069 | 1.034 | 0.094 |
| 75 | 2.166 | 1.025 | 1.012 | 0.081 |
| 80 | 1.618 | 0.979 | 0.989 | 0.069 |
| 85 | 1.088 | 0.935 | 0.967 | 0.056 |
| 90 | 0.604 | 0.893 | 0.945 | 0.043 |
| 95 | 0.214 | 0.853 | 0.924 | 0.030 |
| 100 | 0 | 0.822 | 0.907 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 0.770 per cent $c$ |  |  |  |  |

NACA 63-010 Basic Thickness Form


| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.336 |
| 0.5 | 0.985 | 0.750 | 0.866 | 1.695 |
| 0.75 | 1.194 | 0.925 | 0.962 | 1.513 |
| 1.25 | 1.519 | 1.005 | 1.003 | 1.266 |
| 2.5 | 2.102 | 1.129 | 1.063 | 0.933 |
| 5.0 | 2.925 | 1.217 | 1.103 | 0.682 |
| 7.5 | 3.542 | 1.261 | 1.123 | 0.559 |
| 10 | 4.039 | 1.294 | 1.138 | 0.484 |
| 15 | 4.799 | 1.330 | 1.153 | 0.387 |
| 20 | 5.342 | 1.349 | 1.161 | 0.326 |
| 25 | 5.712 | 1.362 | 1.167 | 0.283 |
| 30 | 5.930 | 1.370 | 1.170 | 0.249 |
| 35 | 6.000 | 1.366 | 1.169 | 0.221 |
| 40 | 5.920 | 1.348 | 1.161 | 0.196 |
| 45 | 5.704 | 1.317 | 1.148 | 0.174 |
| 50 | 5.370 | 1.276 | 1.130) | 0.155 |
| 55 | 4.935 | 1.229 | 1.109 | 0.137 |
| 60 | 4.420 | 1.181 | 1.087 | 0.121 |
| 65 | 3.840 | 1.131 | 1.063 | 0.106 |
| 70 | 3.210 | 1.076 | 1.037 | 0.091 |
| 75 | 2.556 | 1.023 | 1.011 | 0.079 |
| 80 | 1.902 | 0.969 | 0.984 | 0.067 |
| 85 | 1.274 | 0.920 | 0.959 | 0.055 |
| 90 | 0.707 | 0.871 | 0.933 | 0.042 |
| 95 | 0.250 | 0.826 | 0.909 | 0.029 |
| 100 | 0 | 0.791 | 0.889 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 1.087 per cent $c$ |  |  |  |  |

NACA $\mathbf{6 3}_{1}-012$ Basic Thickness Form


| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.918 |
| 0.5 | 1.204 | 0.600 | 0.775 | 1.513 |
| 0.75 | 1.462 | 0.822 | 0.907 | 1.379 |
| 1.25 | 1.878 | 0.938 | 0.969 | 1.182 |
| 2.5 | 2.610 | 1.105 | 1.051 | 0.903 |
| 5.0 | 3.648 | 1.244 | 1.115 | 0.674 |
| 7.5 | 4.427 | 1.315 | 1.147 | 0.557 |
| 10 | 5.055 | 1.360 | 1.166 | 0.484 |
| 15 | 6.011 | 1.415 | 1.190 | 0.388 |
| 20 | 6.693 | 1.446 | 1.202 | 0.330 |
| 25 | 7.155 | 1.467 | 1.211 | 0.286 |
| 30 | 7.421 | 1.481 | 1.217 | 0.251 |
| 35 | 7.500 | 1.475 | 1.214 | 0.222 |
| 40 | 7.386 | 1.446 | 1.202 | 0.196 |
| 45 | 7.099 | 1.401 | 1.184 | 0.174 |
| 50 | 6.665 | 1.345 | 1.160 | 0.153 |
| 55 | 6.108 | 1.281 | 1.132 | 0.135 |
| 60 | 5.453 | 1.220 | 1.105 | 0.118 |
| 65 | 4.721 | 1.155 | 1.075 | 0.102 |
| 70 | 3.934 | 1.085 | 1.042 | 0.088 |
| 75 | 3.119 | 1.019 | 1.009 | 0.076 |
| 80 | 2.310 | 0.953 | 0.976 | 0.063 |
| 85 | 1.541 | 0.894 | 0.946 | 0.051 |
| 90 | 0.852 | 0.839 | 0.916 | 0.039 |
| 95 | 0.300 | 0.789 | 0.888 | 0.026 |
| 100 | 0 | 0.750 | 0.866 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 1.594 per cent $c$ |  |  |  |  |

NACA 63-015 Basic Thickness Form


| $x$ <br> (per cent $c$ ) | $y$ <br> $($ per cent $c)$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.639 |
| 0.5 | 1.404 | 0.441 | 0.664 | 1.361 |
| 0.75 | 1.713 | 0.700 | 0.837 | 1.258 |
| 1.25 | 2.217 | 0.848 | 0.921 | 1.105 |
| 2.5 | 3.104 | 1.065 | 1.032 | 0.871 |
| 5.0 | 4.362 | 1.260 | 1.122 | 0.663 |
| 7.5 | 5.308 | 1.360 | 1.166 | 0.553 |
| 10 | 6.068 | 1.424 | 1.193 | 0.484 |
| 15 | 7.225 | 1.500 | 1.225 | 0.390 |
| 20 | 8.048 | 1.547 | 1.244 | 0.333 |
| 25 | 8.600 | 1.579 | 1.257 | 0.289 |
| 30 | 8.913 | 1.598 | 1.264 | 0.253 |
| 35 | 9.000 | 1.585 | 1.259 | 0.223 |
| 40 | 8.845 | 1.550 | 1.245 | 0.197 |
| 45 | 8.482 | 1.490 | 1.221 | 0.173 |
| 50 | 7.942 | 1.411 | 1.188 | 0.152 |
| 55 | 7.256 | 1.330 | 1.153 | 0.133 |
| 60 | 6.455 | 1.252 | 1.119 | 0.115 |
| 65 | 5.567 | 1.170 | 1.082 | 0.099 |
| 70 | 4.622 | 1.087 | 1.043 | 0.084 |
| 75 | 3.650 | 1.009 | 1.004 | 0.072 |
| 80 | 2.691 | 0.933 | 0.966 | 0.059 |
| 85 | 1.787 | 0.868 | 0.932 | 0.048 |
| 90 | 0.985 | 0.807 | 0.898 | 0.036 |
| 95 | 0.348 | 0.753 | 0.868 | 0.024 |
| 100 | 0 | 0.712 | 0.844 | 0 |
|  |  | L.E. radius 2.120 per cent $c$ |  |  |

NACA 63-018 Basic Thickness Form


| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{y}{\text { (per cent } c \text { ) }}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.439 |
| 0.5 | 1.583 | 0.275 | 0.524 | 1.236 |
| 0.75 | 1.937 | 0.564 | 0.751 | 1.156 |
| 1.25 | 2.527 | 0.725 | 0.851 | 1.034 |
| 2.5 | 3.577 | 1.010 | 1.005 | 0.842 |
| 5.0 | 5.065 | 1.260 | 1.122 | 0.653 |
| 7.5 | 6.182 | 1.394 | 1.181 | 0.550 |
| 10 | 7.080 | 1.487 | 1.219 | 0.484 |
| 15 | 8.441 | 1.592 | 1.262 | 0.392 |
| 20 | 9.410 | 1.655 | 1.286 | 0.335 |
| 25 | 10.053 | 1.698 | 1.303 | 0.291 |
| 30 | 10.412 | 1.721 | 1.312 | 0.255 |
| 35 | 10.500 | 1.709 | 1.307 | 0.225 |
| 40 | 10.298 | 1.654 | 1.286 | 0.198 |
| 45 | 9.854 | 1.578 | 1.256 | 0.173 |
| 50 | 9.206 | 1.479 | 1.216 | 0.150 |
| 55 | 8.390 | 1.380 | 1.175 | 0.130 |
| 60 | 7.441 | 1.281 | 1.132 | 0.112 |
| 65 | 6.396 | 1.180 | 1.086 | 0.096 |
| 70 | 5.290 | 1.084 | 1.041 | 0.081 |
| 75 | 4.160 | 0.994 | 0.997 | 0.068 |
| 80 | 3.054 | 0.911 | 0.954 | 0.057 |
| 85 | 2.021 | 0.839 | 0.916 | 0.046 |
| 90 | 1.113 | 0.774 | 0.880 | 0.035 |
| 95 | 0.392 | 0.721 | 0.849 | 0.023 |
| 100 | 0 | 0.676 | 0.822 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 2.650 per cent $c$ |  |  |  |  |

NACA 63-021 Basic Thickness Form


| $\begin{gathered} x \\ (\text { per cent } c) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V^{+}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.560 |
| 0.5 | 0.495 | 0.900 | 0.949 | 2.079 |
| 0.75 | 0.595 | 1.063 | 1.031 | 1.794 |
| 1.25 | 0.754 | 1.086 | 1.042 | 1.370 |
| 2.5 | 1.045 | 1.112 | 1.055 | 0.976 |
| 5.0 | 1.447 | 1.134 | 1.065 | 0.693 |
| 7.5 | 1.747 | 1.142 | 1.069 | 0.563 |
| 10 | 1.989 | 1.150 | 1.072 | 0.485 |
| 15 | 2.362 | 1.159 | 1.077 | 0.383 |
| 20 | 2.631 | 1.165 | 1.079 | 0.321 |
| 25 | 2.820 | 1.168 | 1.081 | 0.278 |
| 30 | 2.942 | 1.170 | 1.082 | 0.244 |
| 35 | 2.996 | 1.169 | 1.081 | 0.217 |
| 40 | 2.985 | 1.162 | 1.078 | 0.195 |
| 45 | 2.914 | 1.151 | 1.073 | 0.175 |
| 50 | 2.788 | 1.138 | 1.067 | 0.158 |
| 55 | 2.613 | 1.120 | 1.058 | 0.140 |
| 60 | 2.396 | 1.100 | 1.049 | 0.126 |
| 65 | 2.143 | 1.079 | 1.039 | 0.112 |
| 70 | 1.859 | 1.057 | 1.028 | 0.098 |
| 75 | 1.556 | 1.035 | 1.017 | 0.085 |
| 80 | 1.248 | 1.010 | 1.005 | 0.072 |
| 85 | 0.939 | 0.986 | 0.993 | 0.060 |
| 90 | 0.630 | 0.964 | 0.982 | 0.047 |
| 95 | 0.322 | 0.939 | 0.969 | 0.033 |
| 100 | 0.013 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 0.265 per cent $c$ T.E. radius: 0.014 per cent $c$ |  |  |  |  |

NACA 63A006 Basic Thickness Form


| $\underset{(\text { per cent } c)}{x}$ | $\underset{\text { (per cent } c \text { ) }}{y}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.465 |
| 0.5 | 0.658 | 0.850 | 0.922 | 1.961 |
| 0.75 | 0.791 | 1.034 | 1.017 | 1.674 |
| 1.25 | 1.003 | 1.080 | 1.039 | 1.344 |
| 2.5 | 1.391 | 1.132 | 1.064 | 0.967 |
| 5.0 | 1.930 | 1.168 | 1.081 | 0.689 |
| 7.5 | 2.332 | 1.185 | 1.089 | 0.562 |
| 10 | 2.656 | 1.198 | 1.095 | 0.484 |
| 15 | 3.155 | 1.212 | 1.101 | 0.383 |
| 20 | 3.515 | 1.221 | 1.105 | 0.322 |
| 25 | 3.766 | 1.227 | 1.108 | 0.279 |
| 30 | 3.926 | 1.230 | 1.109 | 0.246 |
| 35 | 3.995 | 1.228 | 1.108 | 0.218 |
| 40 | 3.978 | 1.219 | 1.104 | 0.195 |
| 45 | 3.878 | 1.204 | 1.097 | 0.174 |
| 50 | 3.705 | 1.183 | 1.088 | 0.156 |
| 55 | 3.468 | 1.159 | 1.077 | 0.138 |
| 60 | 3.176 | 1.132 | 1.064 | 0.123 |
| 65 | 2.837 | 1.104 | 1.051 | 0.109 |
| 70 | 2.457 | 1.073 | 1.036 | 0.096 |
| 75 | 2.055 | 1.042 | 1.021 | 0.083 |
| 80 | 1.647 | 1.010 | 1.005 | 0.070 |
| 85 | 1.240 | 0.980 | 0.990 | 0.058 |
| 90 | 0.833 | 0.951 | 0.975 | 0.045 |
| 95 | 0.425 | 0.919 | 0.959 | 0.030 |
| 100 | 0.018 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 0.473 per cent $c$
T.E. radius: 0.020 per cent $c$

NACA 63A008 Basic Thickness Form


| $\begin{gathered} x \\ (\text { per cent } c) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / F$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 - | 0 | 0 | 2.805 |
| 0.5 | 0.816 | 0.774 | 0.880 | 1.833 |
| 0.75 | 0.983 | 0.985 | 0.992 | 1.594 |
| 1.25 | 1.250 | 1.043 | 1.021 | 1.307 |
| 2.5 | 1.737 | 1.140 | 1.068 | 0.957 |
| 5.0 | 2.412 | 1.200 | 1.095 | 0.684 |
| 7.5 | 2.917 | 1.225 | 1.107 | 0.560 |
| 10 | 3.324 | 1.245 | 1.116 | 0.483 |
| 15 | 3.950 | 1.268 | 1.126 | 0.383 |
| 20 | 4.400 | 1.282 | 1.132 | 0.324 |
| 25 | 4.714 | 1.290 | 1.136 | 0.280 |
| 30 | 4.913 | 1.294 | 1.138 | 0.247 |
| 35 | 4.995 | 1.291 | 1.136 | 0.218 |
| 40 | 4.968 | 1.279 | 1.131 | 0.195 |
| 45 | 4.837 | 1.258 | 1.122 | 0.174 |
| 50 | 4.613 | 1.230 | 1.109 | 0.155 |
| 55 | 4.311 | 1.196 | 1.094 | 0.137 |
| 60 | 3.943 | 1.162 | 1.078 | 0.122 |
| 65 | 3.517 | 1.125 | 1.061 | 0.108 |
| 70 | 3.044 | 1.086 | 1.042 | 0.094 |
| 75 | 2.545 | 1.048 | 1.024 | 0.081 |
| 80 | 2.040 | 1.009 | 1.004 | 0.068 |
| 85 | 1.535 | 0.972 | 0.986 | 0.057 |
| 90 | 1.030 | 0.938 | 0.969 | 0.044 |
| 95 | 0.525 | 0.960 | 0.949 | 0.030 |
| 100 | 0.021 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

L.E. radius: $\mathbf{0 . 7 4 2}$ per cent $c$ T.E. radius: 0.023 per cent $c$

NACA 63A010 Basic Thickness Form


| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | v/V | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.361 |
| 0.5 | 0.973 | 0.686 | 0.828 | 1.701 |
| 0.75 | 1.173 | 0.924 | 0.961 | 1.515 |
| 1.25 | 1.492 | 0.985 | 0.992 | 1.258 |
| 2.5 | 2.078 | 1.136 | 1.066 | 0.935 |
| 5.0 | 2.895 | 1.229 | 1.109 | 0.679 |
| 7.5 | 3.504 | 1.265 | 1.125 | 0.559 |
| 10 | 3.994 | 1.291 | 1.136 | 0.482 |
| 15 | 4.747 | 1.324 | 1.151 | 0.384 |
| 20 | 5.287 | 1.344 | 1.159 | 0.325 |
| 25 | 5.664 | 1.355 | 1.164 | 0.281 |
| 30 | 5.901 | 1.360 | 1.166 | 0.248 |
| 35 | 5.995 | 1.357 | 1.165 | 0.219 |
| 40 | 5.957 | 1.340 | 1.158 | 0.196 |
| 45 | 5.792 | 1.312 | 1.145 | 0.174 |
| 50 | 5.517 | 1.275 | 1.129 | 0.154 |
| 55 | 5.148 | 1.234 | 1.111 | 0.136 |
| 60 | 4.700 | 1.191 | 1.091 | 0.120 |
| 65 | 4.186 | 1.145 | 1.070 | 0.106 |
| 70 | 3.621 | 1.098 | 1.048 | 0.092 |
| 75 | 3.026 | 1.051 | 1.025 | 0.079 |
| 80 | 2.426 | 1.007 | 1.003 | 0.066 |
| 85 | 1.826 | 0.964 | 0.982 | 0.055 |
| 90 | 1.225 | 0.925 | 0.962 | 0.042 |
| 95 | 0.625 | 0.880 | 0.938 | 0.029 |
| 100 | 0.025 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 1.071 per cent $c$ T.E. radius: 0.028 per cent $c$ |  |  |  |  |

NACA 63, A012 Basic Thickness Form


| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $\nu / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.930 |
| 0.5 | 1.203 | 0.550 | 0.742 | 1.504 |
| 0.75 | 1.448 | 0.825 | 0.908 | 1.370 |
| 1.25 | 1.844 | 0.882 | 0.939 | 1.176 |
| 2.5 | 2.579 | 1.120 | 1.058 | 0.905 |
| 5.0 | 3.618 | 1.257 | 1.121 | 0.669 |
| 7.5 | 4.382 | 1.323 | 1.150 | 0.555 |
| 10 | 4.997 | 1.361 | 1.167 | 0.482 |
| 15 | 5.942 | 1.408 | 1.187 | 0.384 |
| 20 | 6.619 | 1.437 | 1.199 | 0.326 |
| 25 | 7.091 | 1.455 | 1.206 | 0.282 |
| 30 | 7.384 | 1.464 | 1.210 | 0.250 |
| 35 | 7.486 | 1.458 | 1.207 | 0.220 |
| 40 | 7.435 | 1.435 | 1.198 | 0.196 |
| 45 | 7.215 | 1.396 | 1.182 | 0.174 |
| 50 | 6.858 | 1.349 | 1.161 | 0.152 |
| 55 | 6.387 | 1.296 | 1.138 | 0.134 |
| 60 | 5.820 | 1.237 | 1.112 | 0.118 |
| 65 | 5.173 | 1.175 | 1.084 | 0.104 |
| 70 | 4.468 | 1.115 | 1.056 | 0.090 |
| 75 | 3.731 | 1.055 | 1.027 | 0.077 |
| 80 | 2.991 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.064 |
| 85 | 2.252 | 0.950 | 0.975 | 0.052 |
| 90 | 1.512 | 0.900 | 0.949 | 0.040 |
| 95 | 0.772 | 0.850 | 0.922 | 0.028 |
| 100 | 0.032 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: $\mathbf{1 . 6 3 0}$ per cent $c$ T.E. radius: 0.037 per cent $c$ |  |  |  |  |

NACA 632A015 Basic Thickness Form


| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(\text { per cent } c \text { ) }}{y}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.623 |
| 0.5 | 0.494 | 0.995 | 0.997 | 2.175 |
| 0.75 | 0.596 | 1.058 | 1.029 | 1.780 |
| 1.25 | 0.754 | 1.085 | 1.042 | 1.418 |
| 2.5 | 1.024 | 1.108 | 1.053 | 0.982 |
| 5.0 | 1.405 | 1.119 | 1.058 | 0.692 |
| 7.5 | 1.692 | 1.128 | 1.062 | 0.560 |
| 10 | 1.928 | 1.134 | 1.065 | 0.483 |
| 15 | 2.298 | 1.146 | 1.071 | 0.385 |
| 20 | 2.572 | 1.154 | 1.074 | 0.321 |
| 25 | 2.772 | 1.160 | 1.077 | 0.279 |
| 30 | 2.907 | 1.164 | 1.079 | 0.246 |
| 35 | 2.981 | 1.168 | 1.081 | 0.220 |
| 40 | 2.995 | 1.171 | 1.082 | 0.198 |
| 45 | 2.919 | 1.160 | 1.077 | 0.178 |
| 50 | 2.775 | 1.143 | 1.069 | 0.158 |
| 55 | 2.575 | 1.124 | 1.060 | 0.142 |
| 60 | 2.331 | 1.102 | 1.050 | 0.126 |
| 65 | 2.050 | 1.079 | 1.039 | 0.112 |
| 70 | 1.740 | 1.054 | 1.027 | 0.098 |
| 75 | 1.412 | 1.028 | 1.014 | 0.085 |
| 80 | 1.072 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.072 |
| 85 | 0.737 | 0.970 | 0.985 | 0.060 |
| 90 | 0.423 | 0.939 | 0.969 | 0.047 |
| 95 | 0.157 | 0.908 | 0.953 | 0.031 |
| 100 | 0 | 0.876 | 0.936 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: $\mathbf{0 . 2 5 6}$ per cent $\boldsymbol{c}$ |  |  |  |  |

NACA 64-006 Basic Thickness Form


| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.544 |
| 0.5 | 0.658 | 0.912 | 0.955 | 1.994 |
| 0.75 | 0.794 | 1.016 | 1.008 | 1.686 |
| 1.25 | 1.005 | 1.084 | 1.041 | 1.367 |
| 2.5 | 1.365 | 1.127 | 1.062 | 0.969 |
| 5.0 | 1.875 | 1.152 | 1.073 | 0.688 |
| 7.5 | 2.259 | 1.167 | 1.080 | 0.560 |
| 10 | 2.574 | 1.179 | 1.086 | 0.480 |
| 15 | 3.069 | 1.195 | 1.093 | 0.385 |
| 20 | 3.437 | 1.208 | 1.099 | 0.323 |
| 25 | 3.704 | 1.217 | 1.103 | 0.279 |
| 30 | 3.884 | 1.225 | 1.107 | 0.246 |
| 35 | 3.979 | 1.230 | 1.109 | 0.220 |
| 40 | 3.992 | 1.235 | 1.111 | 0.198 |
| 45 | 3.883 | 1.220 | 1.105 | 0.176 |
| 50 | 3.684 | 1.191 | 1.091 | 0.158 |
| 55 | 3.411 | 1.163 | 1.078 | 0.141 |
| 60 | 3.081 | 1.133 | 1.064 | 0.125 |
| 65 | 2.704 | 1.102 | 1.050 | 0.110 |
| 70 | 2.291 | 1.069 | 1.034 | 0.096 |
| 75 | 1.854 | 1.033 | 1.016 | 0.083 |
| 80 | 1.404 | 0.995 | 0.997 | 0.071 |
| 85 | 0.961 | 0.957 | 0.978 | 0.059 |
| 90 | 0.550 | 0.918 | 0.958 | 0.046 |
| 95 | 0.206 | 0.878 | 0.937 | 0.031 |
| 100 | 0 | 0.839 | 0.916 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 0.455 per cent $c$ |  |  |  |  |

NACA 64-008 Basic Thickness Form


| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y \\ (\text { per cent } c) \end{gathered}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.130 |
| 0.5 | 0.739 | 0.872 | 0.934 | 1.905 |
| 0.75 | 0.892 | 0.990 | 0.995 | 1.637 |
| 1.25 | 1.128 | 1.075, | 1.037 | 1.340 |
| 2.5 | 1.533 | 1.131 | 1.063 | 0.963 |
| 5.0 | 2.109 | 1.166 | 1.080 | 0.686 |
| 7.5 | 2.543 | 1.186 | 1.089 | 0.560 |
| 10 | 2.898 | 1.200 | 1.095 | 0.479 |
| 15 | 3.455 | 1.221 | 1.105 | 0.383 |
| 20 | 3.868 | 1.236 | 1.112 | 0.323 |
| 25 | 4.170 | 1.246 | 1.116 | 0.281 |
| 30 | 4.373 | 1.255 | 1.120 | 0.248 |
| 35 | 4.479 | 1.262 | 1.123 | 0.221 |
| 40 | 4.490 | 1.267 | 1.126 | 0.198 |
| 45 | 4.364 | 1.246 | 1.116 | 0.176 |
| 50 | 4.136 | 1.217 | 1.103 | 0.158 |
| 55 | 3.826 | 1.183 | 1.088 | 0.140 |
| 60 | 3.452 | 1.149 | 1.072 | 0.125 |
| 65 | 3.026 | 1.112 | 1.055 | 0.109 |
| 70 | 2.561 | 1.073 | 1.036 | 0.095 |
| 75 | 2.069 | 1.033 | 1.016 | 0.082 |
| 80 | 1.564 | 0.992 | 0.996 | 0.070 |
| 85 | 1.069 | 0.950 | 0.975 | 0.057 |
| 90 | 0.611 | 0.907 | 0.952 | 0.044 |
| 95 | 0.227 | 0.865 | 0.930 | 0.030 |
| 100 | 0 | 0.822 | 0.907 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: $\mathbf{0 . 5 7 9}$ per cent $c$ |  |  |  |  |

NACA 64-009 Basic Thickness Form


| $\begin{gathered} x \\ (\text { per cent } c) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \boldsymbol{y} \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.815 |
| 0.5 | 0.820 | 0.834 | 0.913 | 1.817 |
| 0.75 | 0.989 | 0.962 | 0.981 | 1.586 |
| 1.25 | 1.250 | 1.061 | 1.030 | 1.313 |
| 2.5 | 1.701 | 1.130 | 1.063 | 0.957 |
| 5.0 | 2.343 | 1.181 | 1.087 | 0.684 |
| 7.5 | 2.826 | 1.206 | 1.098 | 0.559 |
| 10 | 3.221 | 1.221 | 1.105 | 0.480 |
| 15 | 3.842 | 1.245 | 1.116 | 0.386 |
| 20 | 4.302 | 1.262 | 1.123 | 0.325 |
| 25 | 4.639 | 1.275 | 1.129 | 0.280 |
| 30 | 4.864 | 1.286 | 1.134 | 0.246 |
| 35 | 4.980 | 1.295 | 1.138 | 0.220 |
| 40 | 4.988 | 1.300 | 1.140 | 0.199 |
| 45 | 4.843 | 1.279 | 1.131 | 0.176 |
| 50 | 4.586 | 1.241 | 1.114 | 0.158 |
| 55 | 4.238 | 1.201 | 1.096 | 0.159 |
| 60 | 3.820 | 1.161 | 1.077 | 0.124 |
| 65 | 3.345 | 1.120 | 1.058 | 0.109 |
| 70 | 2.827 | 1.080 | 1.039 | 0.095 |
| 75 | 2.281 | 1.036 | 1.018 | 0.081 |
| 80 | 1.722 | 0.990 | 0.995 | 0.069 |
| 85 | 1.176 | 0.944 | 0.972 | 0.057 |
| 90 | 0.671 | 0.900 | 0.949 | 0.044 |
| 95 | 0.248 | 0.850 | 0.922 | 0.030 |
| 100 | 0 | 0.805 | 0.897 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: $\mathbf{0 . 7 2 0}$ per cent $c$ |  |  |  |  |

NACA 64-010 Basic Thickness Form


| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y \\ (\text { per cent } c) \end{gathered}$ | $(\nu / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.379 |
| 0.5 | 0.978 | 0.750 | 0.866 | 1.663 |
| 0.75 | 1.179 | 0.885 | 0.941 | 1.508 |
| 1.25 | 1.490 | 1.020 | 1.010 | 1.271 |
| 2.5 | 2:035 | 1.129 | 1.063 | 0.943 |
| 5.0 | 2.810 | 1.204 | 1.097 | 0.685 |
| 7.5 | 3.394 | 1.240 | 1.114 | 0.559 |
| 10 | 3.871 | 1.264 | 1.124 | 0.482 |
| 15 | 4.620 | 1.296 | 1.139 | 0.388 |
| 20 | 5.173 | 1.320 | 1.149 | 0.328 |
| 25 | 5.576 | 1.338 | 1.156 | 0.281 |
| 30 | 5.844 | 1.351 | 1.162 | 0.247 |
| 35 | 5.978 | 1.362 | 1.167 | 0.221 |
| 40 | 5.981 | 1.372 | 1.171 | 0.199 |
| 45 | 5.798 | 1.335 | 1.156 | 0.177 |
| 50 | 5.480 | 1.289 | 1.136 | 0.158 |
| 55 | 5.056 | 1.243 | 1.115 | 0.138 |
| 60 | 4.548 | 1.195 | 1.093 | 0.122 |
| 65 | 3.974 | 1.144 | 1.070 | 0.103 |
| 70 | 3.350 | 1.091 | 1.044 | 0.088 |
| 75 | 2.695 | 1.037 | 1.018 | 0.074 |
| 80 | 2.029 | 0.981 | 0.990 | 0.063 |
| 85 | 1.382 | 0.928 | 0.963 | 0.052 |
| 90 | 0.786 | 0.874 | 0.935 | 0.045 |
| 95 | 0.288 | 0.825 | 0.908 | 0.028 |
| 100 | 0 | 0.775 | 0.880 | 0. |

L.E. radius: 1.040 per cent $c$

NACA $34_{1}-0 \mathbf{i} 2$ Basic Thickness Form


| $\begin{gathered} x \\ (\text { per cent } c) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y \\ (\text { per cent } c) \end{gathered}$ | $(v / V)^{*}$ | $2 / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.939 |
| 0.5 | 1.208 | 0.670 | 0.819 | 1.476 |
| 0.75 | 1.456 | 0.762 | 0.873 | 1.354 |
| 1.25 | 1.842 | 0.896 | 0.947 | 1.188 |
| 2.5 | 2.528 | 1.113 | 1.055 | 0.916 |
| 5.0 | 3.504 | 1.231 | 1.109 | 0.670 |
| 7.5 | 4.240 | 1.284 | 1.133 | 0.559 |
| 10 | 4.842 | 1.323 | 1.150 | 0.482 |
| 15 | 5.785 | 1.375 | 1.172 | 0.389 |
| 20 | 6.480 | 1.410 | 1.187 | 0.326 |
| 25 | 6.985 | 1.434 | 1.198 | 0.285 |
| 30 | 7.319 | 1.454 | 1.206 | 0.250 |
| 35 | 7.482 | 1.470 | 1.213 | 0.225 |
| 40 | 7.473 | 1.485 | 1.218 | 0.202 |
| 45 | 7.224 | 1.426 | 1.195 | 0.179 |
| 50 | 6.810 | 1.365 | 1.168 | 0.158 |
| 55 | 6.266 | 1.300 | 1.140 | 0.135 |
| 60 | 5.620 | 1.233 | 1.110 | 0.121 |
| 65 | 4.895 | 1.167 | 1.080 | 0.105 |
| 70 | 4.113 | 1.101 | 1.049 | 0.090 |
| 75 | 3.296 | 1.033 | 1.016 | 0.078 |
| 80 | 2.472 | 0.967 | 0.983 | 0.065 |
| 85 | 1.677 | 0.902 | 0.950 | 0.054 |
| 90 | 0.950 | 0.841 | 0.917 | 0.041 |
| 95 | 0.346 | 0.785 | 0.886 | 0.031 |
| 100 | 0 | 0.730 | 0.855 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 1.590 per cent $c$ |  |  |  |  |

NACA $64_{2}-015$ Basic Thickness Form


| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \boldsymbol{y} \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.646 |
| 0.5 | 1.428 | 0.546 | 0.739 | 1.360 |
| 0.75 | 1.720 | 0.705 | 0.840 | 1.269 |
| 1.25 | 2.177 | 0.862 | 0.920 | 1.128 |
| 2.5 | 3.005 | 1.079 | 1.039 | 0.904 |
| 5.0 | 4.186 | 1.244 | 1.115 | 0.669 |
| 7.5 | 5.076 | 1.327 | 1.152 | 0.558 |
| 10 | 5.803 | 1.380 | 1.175 | 0.486 |
| 15 | 6.942 | 1.450 | 1.204 | 0.391 |
| 20 | 7.782 | 1.497 | 1.224 | 0.331 |
| 25 | 8.391 | 1.535 | 1.239 | 0.288 |
| 30 | 8.789 | 1.562 | 1.250 | 0.255 |
| 35 | 8.979 | 1.585 | 1.259 | 0.228 |
| 40 | 8.952 | 1.600 | 1.265 | 0.200 |
| 45 | 8.630 | 1.518 | 1.232 | 0.177 |
| 50 | 8.114 | 1.436 | 1.198 | 0.154 |
| 55 | 7.445 | 1.354 | 1.164 | 0.134 |
| 60 | 6.658 | 1.272 | 1.128 | 0.117 |
| 65 | 5.782 | 1.190 | 1.091 | 0.102 |
| 70 | 4.842 | 1.109 | 1.053 | 0.088 |
| 75 | 3.866 | 1.028 | 1.014 | 0.074 |
| 80 | 2.888 | 0.952 | 0.976 | 0.063 |
| 85 | 1.951 | 0.879 | 0.937 | 0.051 |
| 90 | 1.101 | 0.812 | 0.901 | 0.039 |
| 95 | 0.400 | 0.747 | 0.864 | 0.027 |
| 100 | 0 | 0.695 | 0.834 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 2.208 per cent c |  |  |  |  |

NACA 64-018 Basic Thickness Form

| $\begin{gathered} x \\ (\text { per cent } c) \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{y}{\text { (per cent } c \text { ) }}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta r_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.458 |
| 0.5 | 1.646 | 0.462 | 0.680 | 1.274 |
| 0.75 | 1.985 | c. 603 | 0.776 | 1.203 |
| 1.25 | 2.517 | 0.759 | 0.871 | 1.084 |
| 2.5 | 3.485 | 1.010 | 1.005 | 0.878 |
| 5.0 | 4.871 | 1.248 | 1.117 | 0.665 |
| 7.5 | 5.915 | 1.358 | 1.165 | 0.557 |
| 10 | 6.769 | 1.431 | 1.196 | 0.486 |
| 15 | 8.108 | 1.527 | 1.236 | 0.395 |
| 20 | 9.095 | 1.593 | 1.262 | 0.335 |
| 25 | 9.807 | 1.654 | 1.281 | 0.293 |
| 30 | 10.269 | 1.681 | 1.297 | 0.259 |
| 35 | 10.481 | 1.712 | 1.308 | 0.232 |
| 40 | 10.431 | 1.709 | 1.307 | 0.202 |
| 45 | 10.030 | 1.607 | 1.268 | 0.178 |
| 50 | 9.404 | 1.507 | 1.228 | 0.155 |
| 55 | 8.607 | 1.406 | 1.186 | 0.134 |
| 60 | 7.678 | 1.307 | 1.143 | 0.116 |
| 65 | 6.649 | 1.209 | 1.099 | 0.099 |
| 70 | 5.549 | 1.112 | 1.055 | 0.084 |
| 75 | 4.416 | 1.020 | 1.010 | 0.071 |
| 80 | 3.287 | 0.932 | 0.965 | 0.059 |
| 85 | 2.213 | 0.851 | 0.923 | 0.047 |
| 90 | 1.245 | 0.778 | 0.882 | 0.036 |
| 95 | 0.449 | 0.711 | 0.844 | 0.022 |
| 100 | 0 | 0.653 | 0.808 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 2.884 per cent $c$ |  |  |  |  |

NACA 64, 021 Basic Thickness Form


| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y \\ (\text { per cent } c) \end{gathered}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.688 |
| 0.5 | 0.485 | 1.019 | 1.009 | 2.101 |
| 0.75 | 0.585 | 1.046 | 1.023 | 1.798 |
| 1.25 | 0.739 | 1.076 | 1.037 | 1.422 |
| 2.5 | 1.016 | 1.106 | 1.052 | 0.980 |
| 5.0 | 1.399 | 1.118 | 1.057 | 0.694 |
| 7.5 | 1.684 | 1.126 | 1.061 | 0.564 |
| 10 | 1.919 | 1.132 | 1.064 | 0.482 |
| 15 | 2.283 | 1.141 | 1.068 | 0.382 |
| 20 | 2.557 | 1.149 | 1.072 | 0.321 |
| 25 | 2.757 | 1.154 | 1.074 | 0.278 |
| 30 | 2.896 | 1.158 | 1.076 | 0.246 |
| 35 | 2.977 | 1.162 | 1.078 | 0.219 |
| 40 | 2.999 | 1.165 | 1.079 | 0.197 |
| 45 | 2.945 | 1.156 | 1.075 | 0.177 |
| 50 | 2.825 | 1.142 | 1.069 | 0.159 |
| 55 | 2.653 | 1.125 | 1.061 | 0.143 |
| 60 | 2.438 | 1.107 | 1.052 | 0.126 |
| 65 | 2.188 | 1.087 | 1.043 | 0.112 |
| 70 | 1.907 | 1.066 | 1.032 | 0.099 |
| 75 | 1.602 | 1.043 | 1.021 | 0.087 |
| 80 | 1.285 | 1.018 | 1.009 | 0.074 |
| 85 | 0.967 | 0.992 | 0.996 | 0.061 |
| 90 | 0.649 | 0.964 | 0.982 | 0.047 |
| 95 | 0.331 | 0.935 | 0.967 | 0.033 |
| 100 | 0.013 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 0.246 per cent $c$
T.E. radius: 0.014 per cent $c$

NACA 64A006 Basic Thickness Form


| $\begin{gathered} x \\ (\text { per cent } c) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y \\ (\text { per cent } c) \end{gathered}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.546 |
| 0.5 | 0.646 | 0.947 | 0.973 | 1.972 |
| 0.75 | 0.778 | 1.005 | 1.002 | 1.697 |
| 1.25 | 0.983 | 1.068 | 1.033 | 1.352 |
| 2.5 | 1.353 | 1.122 | 1.059 | 0.971 |
| 5.0 | 1.863 | 1.151 | 1.073 | 0.692 |
| 7.5 | 2.245 | 1.165 | 1.079 | 0.564 |
| 10 | 2.559 | 1.176 | 1.084 | 0.481 |
| 15 | 3.047 | 1.191 | 1.091 | 0.382 |
| 20 | 3.414 | 1.201 | 1.096 | 0.323 |
| 25 | 3.681 | 1.209 | 1.100 | 0.279 |
| 30 | 3.866 | 1.217 | 1.103 | 0.247 |
| 35 | 3.972 | 1.221 | 1.105 | 0.221 |
| 40 | 3.998 | 1.225 | 1.107 | 0.198 |
| 45 | 3.921 | 1.211 | 1.100 | 0.177 |
| 50 | 3.757 | 1.191 | 1.091 | 0.158 |
| 55 | 3.524 | 1.167 | 1.080 | 0.141 |
| 60 | 3.234 | 1.141 | 1.068 | 0.125 |
| 65 | 2.897 | 1.113 | 1.055 | 0.111 |
| 70 | 2.521 | 1.084 | 1.041 | 0.098 |
| 75 | 2.117 | 1.053 | 1.026 | 0.084 |
| 80 | 1.698 | 1.020 | 1.010 | 0.072 |
| 85 | 1.278 | 0.987 | 0.993 | 0.059 |
| 90 | 0.858 | 0.951 | 0.975 | 0.045 |
| 95 | 0.438 | 0.914 | 0.956 | 0.032 |
| 100 | 0.018 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 0.439 per cent $c$
T.E. radius: 0.020 per cent $c$

NACA 64A008 Basic Thickness Form


| $x$ <br> (per cent $c$ ) | $y$ <br> (per cent c) | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.868 |
| 0.5 | 0.804 | 0.868 | 0.932 | 1.845 |
| 0.75 | 0.969 | 0.952 | 0.976 | 1.603 |
| 1.25 | 1.225 | 1.042 | 1.021 | 1.300 |
| 2.5 | 1.688 | 1.130 | 1.063 | 0.957 |
| 5.0 | 2.327 | 1.178 | 1.085 | 0.688 |
| 7.5 | 2.905 | 1.201 | 1.096 | 0.562 |
| 10 | 3.199 | 1.217 | 1.103 | 0.480 |
| 15 | 3.813 | 1.238 | 1.113 | 0.382 |
| 20 | 4.272 | 1.254 | 1.120 | 0.324 |
| 25 | 4.606 | 1.266 | 1.125 | 0.280 |
| 30 | 4.837 | 1.275 | 1.129 | 0.248 |
| 25 | 4.968 | 1.282 | 1.132 | 0.221 |
| 40 | 4.995 | 1.288 | 1.135 | 0.199 |
| 45 | 4.894 | 1.268 | 1.126 | 0.177 |
| 50 | 4.684 | 1.240 | 1.114 | 0.158 |
| 55 | 4.388 | 1.208 | 1.099 | 0.140 |
| 60 | 4.021 | 1.174 | 1.084 | 0.124 |
| 65 | 3.597 | 1.139 | 1.067 | 0.109 |
| 70 | 3.127 | 1.102 | 1.050 | 0.096 |
| 75 | 2.623 | 1.063 | 1.031 | 0.083 |
| 80 | 2.103 | 1.023 | 1.011 | 0.070 |
| 85 | 1.582 | 0.981 | 0.990 | 0.058 |
| 90 | 1.062 | 0.938 | 0.969 | 0.044 |
| 95 | 0.541 | 0.893 | 0.945 | 0.031 |
| 100 | 0.021 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

L.E. radius: 0.687 per cent $c$
T.E. radius: 0.023 per cent $c$

NACĀ 64-018 Basic Thickness Form


| $x$ <br> (per cent c) | $y$ <br> (per cent c) | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.408 |
| 0.5 | 0.961 | 0.792 | 0.890 | 1.720 |
| 0.75 | 1.158 | 0.893 | 0.945 | 1.515 |
| 1.25 | 1.464 | 1.006 | 1.003 | 1.254 |
| 2.5 | 2.018 | 1.127 | 1.062 | 0.941 |
| 5.0 | 2.788 | 1.201 | 1.093 | 0.681 |
| 7.5 | 3.364 | 1.235 | 1.111 | 0.560 |
| 10 | 3.839 | 1.257 | 1.121 | 0.478 |
| 15 | 4.580 | 1.288 | 1.135 | 0.383 |
| 20 | 5.132 | 1.308 | 1.144 | 0.325 |
| 25 | 5.534 | 1.324 | 1.151 | 0.281 |
| 30 | 5.809 | 1.336 | 1.156 | 0.249 |
| 35 | 5.965 | 1.346 | 1.160 | 0.221 |
| 40 | 5.993 | 1.354 | 1.164 | 0.199 |
| 45 | 5.863 | 1.326 | 1.152 | 0.177 |
| 50 | 5.605 | 1.289 | 1.135 | 0.157 |
| 55 | 5.244 | 1.250 | 1.118 | 0.139 |
| 60 | 4.801 | 1.207 | 1.099 | 0.123 |
| 65 | 4.289 | 1.164 | 1.079 | 0.108 |
| 70 | 3.721 | 1.118 | 1.057 | 0.094 |
| 75 | 3.118 | 1.071 | 1.035 | 0.080 |
| 80 | 2.500 | 1.023 | 1.011 | 0.068 |
| 85 | 1.882 | 0.974 | 0.987 | 0.056 |
| 90 | 1.263 | 0.925 | 0.962 | 0.042 |
| 95 | 0.644 | 0.873 | 0.934 | 0.029 |
| 100 | 0.025 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

L.E. radius: 0.994 per cent $c$
T.E. radius: 0.028 per cent $c$


| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \boldsymbol{y} \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.956 |
| 0.5 | 1.193 | 0.678 | 0.823 | 1.552 |
| 0.75 | 1.436 | 0.789 | 0.888 | 1.404 |
| 1.25 | 1.815 | 0.936 | 0.967 | 1.189 |
| 2.5 | 2.508 | 1.110 | 1.054 | 0.912 |
| 5.0 | 3.477 | 1.226 | 1.107 | 0.671 |
| 7.5 | 4.202 | 1.280 | 1.131 | 0.552 |
| 10 | 4.799 | 1.314 | 1.146 | 0.478 |
| 15 | 5.732 | 1.360 | 1.166 | 0.384 |
| 20 | 6.423 | 1.390 | 1.179 | 0.326 |
| 25 | 6.926 | 1.413 | 1.189 | 0.283 |
| 30 | 7.270 | 1.430 | 1.196 | 0.249 |
| 35 | 7.463 | 1.445 | 1.202 | 0.222 |
| 40 | 7.487 | 1.458 | 1.207 | 0.201 |
| 45 | 7.313 | 1.414 | 1.189 | 0.177 |
| 50 | 6.978 | 1.364 | 1.168 | 0.156 |
| 55 | 6.517 | 1.311 | 1.145 | 0.137 |
| 60 | 5.956 | 1.255 | 1.120 | 0.121 |
| 65 | 5.311 | 1.198 | 1.095 | 0.106 |
| 70 | 4.600 | 1.139 | 1.067 | 0.091 |
| 75 | 3.847 | 1.079 | 1.039 | 0.078 |
| 80 | 3.084 | 1.020 | 1.010 | 0.065 |
| 85 | 2.321 | 0.961 | 0.980 | 0.053 |
| 90 | 1.558 | 0.901 | 0.949 | 0.041 |
| 95 | 0.795 | 0.843 | 0.918 | 0.027 |
| 100 | 0.032 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 1.561 per cent $c$ T.E. radius: 0.037 per cent $c$ |  |  |  |  |

NACA 64,A015 Basic Thickness Form


| $\begin{gathered} x \\ (\text { per cent } c) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.815 |
| 0.5 | 0.476 | 1.044 | 1.022 | 2.110 |
| 0.75 | 0.574 | 1.055 | 1.027 | 1.780 |
| 1.25 | 0.717 | 1.063 | 1.031 | 1.390 |
| 2.5 | 0.956 | 1.081 | 1.040 | 0.965 |
| 5.0 | 1.310 | 1.100 | 1.049 | 0.695 |
| 7.5 | 1.589 | 1.112 | 1.055 | 0.560 |
| 10 | 1.824 | 1.120 | 1.058 | 0.474 |
| 15 | 2.197 | 1.134 | 1.065 | 0.381 |
| 20 | 2.482 | 1.143 | 1.069 | 0.322 |
| 25 | 2.697 | 1.149 | 1.072 | 0.281 |
| 30 | 2.852 | 1.155 | 1.075 | 0.247 |
| 35 | 2.952 | 1.159 | 1.077 | 0.220 |
| 40 | 2.998 | 1.163 | 1.078 | 0.198 |
| 45 | 2.983 | 1.166 | 1.080 | 0.178 |
| 50 | 2.900 | 1.165 | 1.079 | 0.160 |
| 55 | 2.741 | 1.145 | 1.070 | 0.144 |
| 60 | 2.518 | 1.124 | 1.060 | 0.128 |
| 65 | 2.246 | 1.100 | 1.049 | 0.114 |
| 70 | 1.935 | 1.073 | 1.036 | 0.100 |
| 75 | 1.594 | 1.044 | 1.022 | 0.086 |
| 80 | 1.233 | 1.013 | 1.006 | 0.074 |
| 85 | 0.865 | 0.981 | 0.990 | 0.060 |
| 90 | 0.510 | 0.944 | 0.972 | 0.046 |
| 95 | 0.195 | 0.902 | 0.950 | 0.031 |
| 100 | 0 | 0.858 | 0.926 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 0.240 per cent $c$ |  |  |  |  |

NACA 65-006 Basic Thickness Form


| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \boldsymbol{y} \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.695 |
| 0.5 | 0.627 | 0.978 | 0.989 | 2.010 |
| 0.75 | 0.756 | 1.010 | 1.005 | 1.696 |
| 1.25 | 0.945 | 1.043 | 1.021 | 1.340 |
| 2.5 | 1.267 | 1.086 | 1.042 | 0.956 |
| 5.0 | 1.745 | 1.125 | 1.061 | 0.689 |
| 7.5 | 2.118 | 1.145 | 1.070 | 0.560 |
| 10 | 2.432 | 1.158 | 1.076 | 0.477 |
| 15 | 2.931 | 1.178 | 1.085 | 0.382 |
| 20 | 3.312 | 1.192 | 1.092 | 0.323 |
| 25 | 3.599 | 1.203 | 1.097 | 0.281 |
| 30 | 3.805 | 1.210 | 1.100 | 0.248 |
| 35 | 3.938 | 1.217 | 1.103 | 0.221 |
| 40 | 3.998 | 1.222 | 1.105 | 0.199 |
| 45 | 3.974 | 1.226 | 1.107 | 0.178 |
| 50 | 3.857 | 1.222 | 1.105 | 0.160 |
| 55 | 3.638 | 1.193 | 1.092 | 0.145 |
| 60 | 3.337 | 1.163 | 1.078 | 0.128 |
| 65 | 2.971 | 1.130 | 1.063 | 0.113 |
| 70 | 2.553 | 1.094 | 1.046 | 0.098 |
| 75 | 2.096 | 1.055 | 1.027 | 0.084 |
| 80 | 1.617 | 1.014 | 1.007 | 0.072 |
| 85 | 1.131 | 0.971 | 0.985 | 0.659 |
| 90 | 0.664 | 0.923 | 0.961 | 0.044 |
| 95 | 0.252 | 0.873 | 0.934 | 0.031 |
| 100 | 0 | 0.817 | 0.904 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 0.434 per cent $\boldsymbol{c}$ |  |  |  |  |

NACA 65-008 Basic Thickness Form


| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y \\ (\text { per cent } c) \end{gathered}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.270 |
| 0.5 | 0.700 | 0.945 | 0.972 | 1.962 |
| 0.75 | 0.845 | 0.985 | 0.992 | 1.655 |
| 1.25 | 1.058 | 1.037 | 1.018 | 1.315 |
| 2.5 | 1.421 | 1.089 | 1.044 | 0.950 |
| 5.0 | 1.961 | 1.134 | 1.065 | 0.687 |
| 7.5 | 2.383 | 1.159 | 1.077 | 0.560 |
| 10 | 2.736 | 1.177 | 1.085 | 0.477 |
| 15 | 3.299 | 1.200 | 1.095 | 0.382 |
| 20 | 3.727 | 1.216 | 1.103 | 0.323 |
| 25 | 4.050 | 1.229 | 1.109 | 0.280 |
| 30 | 4.282 | 1.238 | 1.113 | 0.248 |
| 35 | 4.431 | 1.246 | 1.116 | 0.220 |
| 40 | 4.496 | 1.252 | 1.119 | 0.198 |
| 45 | 4.469 | 1.258 | 1.122 | 0.178 |
| 50 | 4.336 | 1.250 | 1.118 | 0.160 |
| 55 | 4.086 | 1.220 | 1.105 | 0.144 |
| 60 | 3.743 | 1.185 | 1.089 | 0.128 |
| 65 | 3.328 | 1.145 | 1.070 | 0.111 |
| 70 | 2.856 | 1.103 | 1.050 | 0.097 |
| 75 | 2.342 | 1.059 | 1.029 | 0.084 |
| 80 | 1.805 | 1.013 | 1.006 | 0.071 |
| 85 | 1.260 | 0.963 | 0.981 | 0.059 |
| 90 | 0.738 | 0.912 | 0.955 | 0.044 |
| 95 | 0.280 | 0.856 | 0.925 | 0.030 |
| 100 | 0 | 0.797 | 0.893 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 0.552 per cent $c$ |  |  |  |  |

NACA 65-009 Basic Thickness Form


| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y \\ (\text { per cent } c) \end{gathered}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.967 |
| 0.5 | 0.772 | 0.911 | 0.954 | 1.911 |
| 0.75 | 0.932 | 0.960 | 0.980 | 1.614 |
| 1.25 | 1.169 | 1.025 | 1.012 | 1.292 |
| 2.5 | 1.574 | 1.085 | 1.042 | 0.932 |
| 5.0 | 2.177 | 1.143 | 1.069 | 0.679 |
| 7.5 | 2.647 | 1.177 | 1.085 | 0.558 |
| 10 | 3.040 | 1.197 | 1.094 | 0.480 |
| 15 | 3.666 | 1.224 | 1.106 | 0.383 |
| 20 | 4.143 | 1.242 | 1.114 | 0.321 |
| 25 | 4.503 | 1.257 | 1.121 | 0.280 |
| 30 | 4.760 | 1.268 | 1.126 | 0.248 |
| 35 | 4.924 | 1.277 | 1.130 | 0.222 |
| 40 | 4.996 | 1.284 | 1.133 | 0.189 |
| 45 | 4.963 | 1.290 | 1.136 | 0.179 |
| 50 | 4.812 | 1.284 | 1.133 | 0.160 |
| 55 | 4.530 | 1.244 | 1.115 | 0.141 |
| 60 | 4.146 | 1.202 | 1.096 | 0.126 |
| 65 | 3.682 | 1.158 | 1.076 | 0.110 |
| 70 | 3.156 | 1.112 | 1.055 | 0.097 |
| 75 | 2.584 | 1.062 | 1.031 | 0.082 |
| 80 | 1.987 | 1.011 | 1.005 | 0.070 |
| 85 | 1.385 | 0.958 | 0.979 | 0.058 |
| 90 | 0.810 | 0.903 | 0.950 | 0.045 |
| 95 | 0.306 | 0.844 | 0.919 | 0.030 |
| 100 | 0 | 0.781 | 0.884 | 0 |

NACA 65-010 Basic Thickness Form


| $x$ <br> (per cent $c$ ) | $y$ <br> (per cent $c$ ) | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.444 |
| 0.5 | 0.923 | 0.848 | 0.921 | 1.776 |
| 0.75 | 1.109 | 0.935 | 0.967 | 1.465 |
| 1.25 | 1.387 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.200 |
| 2.5 | 1.875 | 1.082 | 1.040 | 0.931 |
| 5.0 | 2.606 | 1.162 | 1.078 | 0.702 |
| 7.5 | 3.172 | 1.201 | 1.096 | 0.568 |
| 10 | 3.647 | 1.232 | 1.110 | 0.480 |
| 15 | 4.402 | 1.268 | 1.126 | 0.389 |
| 20 | 4.975 | 1.295 | 1.138 | 0.326 |
| 25 | 5.406 | 1.316 | 1.147 | 0.282 |
| 30 | 5.716 | 1.332 | 1.154 | 0.251 |
| 35 | 5.912 | 1.343 | 1.159 | 0.223 |
| 40 | 5.997 | 1.350 | 1.162 | 0.204 |
| 45 | 5.949 | 1.357 | 1.165 | 0.188 |
| 50 | 5.757 | 1.343 | 1.159 | 0.169 |
| 55 | 5.412 | 1.295 | 1.138 | 0.145 |
| 60 | 4.943 | 1.243 | 1.115 | 0.127 |
| 65 | 4.381 | 1.188 | 1.090 | 0.111 |
| 70 | 3.743 | 1.134 | 1.065 | 0.094 |
| 75 | 3.059 | 1.073 | 1.036 | 0.074 |
| 80 | 2.345 | 1.010 | 1.005 | 0.062 |
| 85 | 1.630 | 0.949 | 0.974 | 0.049 |
| 90 | 0.947 | 0.884 | 0.940 | 0.038 |
| 95 | 0.356 | 0.819 | 0.905 | 0.025 |
| 100 | 0 | 0.748 | 0.865 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | 0 |

L.E. radius: 1.000 per cent $c$

NACA 651-012 Basic Thickness Form

| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.038 |
| 0.5 | 1.124 | 0.654 | 0.809 | 1.729 |
| 0.75 | 1.356 | 0.817 | 0.904 | 1.390 |
| 1.25 | 1.702 | 0.939 | 0.969 | 1.156 |
| 2.5 | 2.324 | 1.063 | 1.031 | 0.920 |
| 5.0 | 3.245 | 1.184 | 1.088 | 0.682 |
| 7.5 | 3.959 | 1.241 | 1.114 | 0.563 |
| 10 | 4.555 | 1.281 | 1.132 | 0.487 |
| 15 | 5.504 | 1.336 | 1.156 | 0.393 |
| 20 | 6.223 | 1.374 | 1.172 | 0.334 |
| 25 | 6.764 | 1.397 | 1.182 | 0.290 |
| 30 | 7.152 | 1.418 | 1.191 | 0.255 |
| 35 | 7.396 | 1.438 | 1.199 | 0.227 |
| 40 | 7.498 | 1.452 | 1.205 | 0.203 |
| 45 | 7.427 | 1.464 | 1.210 | 0.184 |
| 50 | 7.168 | 1.433 | 1.197 | 0.160 |
| 55 | 6.720 | 1.369 | 1.170 | 0.143 |
| 60 | 6.118 | 1.297 | 1.139 | 0.127 |
| 65 | 5.403 | 1.228 | 1.108 | 0.109 |
| 70 | 4.600 | 1.151 | 1.073 | 0.096 |
| 75 | 3.744 | 1.077 | 1.038 | 0.078 |
| 80 | 2.858 | 1.002 | 1.001 | 0.068 |
| 85 | 1.977 | 0.924 | 0.961 | 0.052 |
| 90 | 1.144 | 0.846 | 0.920 | 0.038 |
| 95 | 0.428 | 0.773 | 0.879 | 0.026 |
| 100 | 0 | 0.697 | 0.835 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 1.505 per cent $c$ |  |  |  |  |

NACA 65-015 Basic Thickness Form

| $\begin{gathered} x \\ (\text { per cent } c) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y \\ (\text { per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta r_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.746 |
| 0.5 | 1.337 | 0.625 | 0.791 | 1.437 |
| 0.75 | 1.608 | 0.702 | 0.838 | 1.302 |
| 1.25 | 2.014 | 0.817 | 0.904 | 1.123 |
| 2.5 | 2.751 | 1.020 | 1.010 | 0.858 |
| 5.0 | 3.866 | 1.192 | 1.092 | 0.650 |
| 7.5 | 4.733 | 1.275 | 1.129 | 0.542 |
| 10 | 5.457 | 1.329 | 1.153 | 0.474 |
| 15 | 6.606 | 1.402 | 1.184 | 0.385 |
| 20 | 7.476 | 1.452 | 1.205 | 0.327 |
| 25 | 8.129 | 1.488 | 1.220 | 0.285 |
| 30 | 8.595 | 1.515 | 1.231 | 0.251 |
| 35 | 8.886 | 1.539 | 1.241 | 0.225 |
| 40 | 8.999 | 1.561 | 1.249 | 0.203 |
| 45 | 8.901 | 1.578 | 1.256 | 0.182 |
| 50 | 8.568 | 1.526 | 1.235 | 0.157 |
| 55 | 8.008 | 1.440 | 1.200 | 0.137 |
| 60 | 7.267 | 1.353 | 1.163 | 0.118 |
| 65 | 6.395 | 1.262 | 1.123 | 0.104 |
| 70 | 5.426 | 1.170 | 1.082 | 0.087 |
| 75 | 4.396 | 1.076 | 1.037 | 0.074 |
| 80 | 3.338 | 0.985 | 0.992 | 0.062 |
| 85 | 2.295 | 0.896 | 0.947 | 0.050 |
| 90 | 1.319 | 0.813 | 0.902 | 0.039 |
| 95 | 0.490 | 0.730 | 0.854 | 0.026 |
| 100 | 0 | 0.657 | 0.811 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 1.96 per cent $c$ |  |  |  |  |

NACA 65:-018 Basic Thickness Form


| $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} \boldsymbol{y} \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | r/V | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.531 |
| 0.5 | 1.522 | 0.514 | 0.717 | 1.333 |
| 0.75 | 1.838 | 0.607 | 0.779 | 1.215 |
| 1.25 | 2.301 | 0.740 | 0.860 | 1.062 |
| 2.5 | 3.154 | 0.960 | 0.980 | 0.838 |
| 5.0 | 4.472 | 1.186 | 1.089 | 0.649 |
| 7.5 | 5.498 | 1.293 | 1.137 | 0.544 |
| 10 | 6.352 | 1.371 | 1.171 | 0.478 |
| 15 | 7.700 | 1.469 | 1.212 | 0.388 |
| 20 | 8.720 | 1.533 | 1.238 | 0.330 |
| 25 | 9.487 | 1.580 | 1.257 | 0.289 |
| 30 | 10.036 | 1.621 | 1.273 | 0.255 |
| 35 | 10.375 | 1.654 | 1.286 | 0.229 |
| 40 | 10.499 | 1.680 | 1.296 | 0.206 |
| 45 | 10.366 | 1.700 | 1.304 | 0.184 |
| 50. | 9.952 | 1.633 | 1.278 | 0.158 |
| 55 | 9.277 | 1.508 | 1.228 | 0.139 |
| 60 | 8.390 | 1.397 | 1.182 | 0.120 |
| 65 | 7.360 | 1.286 | 1.134 | 0.101 |
| 70 | 6.224 | 1.177 | 1.085 | 0.087 |
| 75 | 5.024 | 1.073 | 1.036 | 0.073 |
| 80 | 3.800 | 0.970 | 0.985 | 0.058 |
| 85 | 2.598 | 0.872 | 0.934 | 0.047 |
| 90 | 1.484 | 0.778 | 0.882 | 0.035 |
| 95 | 0.546 | 0.694 | 0.833 | 0.020 |
| 100 | 0 | 0.616 | 0.785 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: $\mathbf{2 . 5 0}$ per cent $c$ |  |  |  |  |

NACA 65-021 Basic-Thickness Form


| $\begin{gathered} x \\ (\text { per cent } c) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y \\ (\text { per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.879 |
| 0.5 | 0.464 | 1.034 | 1.017 | 2.145 |
| 0.75 | 0.563 | 1.043 | 1.021 | 1.763 |
| 1.25 | 0.718 | 1.058 | 1.029 | 1.365 |
| 2.5 | 0.981 | 1.080 | 1.039 | 0.966 |
| 5.0 | 1.313 | 1.101 | 1.049 | 0.688 |
| 7.5 | 1.591 | 1.112 | 1.055 | 0.562 |
| 10 | 1.824 | 1.120 | 1.058 | 0.480 |
| 15 | 2.194 | 1.131 | 1.063 | 0.382 |
| 20 | 2.474 | 1.139 | 1.067 | 0.323 |
| 25 | 2.687 | 1.145 | 1.070 | 0.278 |
| 30 | 2.842 | 1.149 | 1.072 | 0.246 |
| 35 | 2.945 | 1.153 | 1.074 | 0.219 |
| 40 | 2.996 | 1.157 | 1.076 | 0.198 |
| 45 | 2.992 | 1.159 | 1.077 | 0.178 |
| 50 | 2.925 | 1.157 | 1.076 | 0.1611 |
| 55 | 2.793 | 1.141 | 1.068 | 0.143 |
| 60 | 2.602 | 1.124 | 1.060 | 0.127 |
| 65 | 2.364 | 1.106 | 1.052 | 0.112 |
| 70 | 2.087 | 1.083 | 1.041 | 0.099 |
| 75 | 1.775 | 1.059 | 1.029 | 0.087 |
| 80 | 1.437 | 1.032 | 1.016 | 0.076 |
| 85 | 1.083 | 1.003 | 1.001 | 0.061 |
| 90 | 0.727 | 0.973 | 0.986 | 0.047 |
| 95 | 0.370 | 0.936 | 0.967 | 0.033 |
| 100 | 0.013 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 0.229 per cent $c$ T.E. radius: 0.014 per cent $c$ |  |  |  |  |

NACA 65A006 Basic Thickness Form


| $x$ <br> $($ per cent $c)$ | $y$ <br> $($ per cent $c)$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.698 |
| 0.5 | 0.615 | 0.973 | 0.986 | 2.010 |
| 0.75 | 0.746 | 1.001 | 1.000 | 1.693 |
| 1.25 | 0.951 | 1.038 | 1.019 | 1.333 |
| 2.5 | 1.303 | 1.088 | 1.043 | 0.954 |
| 5.0 | 1.749 | 1.127 | 1.062 | 0.685 |
| 7.5 | 2.120 | 1.145 | 1.070 | 0.561 |
| 10 | 2.432 | 1.157 | 1.076 | 0.479 |
| 15 | 2.926 | 1.175 | 1.084 | 0.382 |
| 20 | 3.301 | 1.186 | 1.089 | 0.322 |
| 25 | 3.585 | 1.195 | 1.093 | 0.279 |
| 30 | 3.791 | 1.202 | 1.096 | 0.247 |
| 35 | 3.928 | 1.207 | 1.099 | 0.219 |
| 40 | 3.995 | 1.213 | 1.101 | 0.198 |
| 45 | 3.988 | 1.217 | 1.103 | 0.178 |
| 50 | 3.895 | 1.214 | 1.102 | 0.161 |
| 55 | 3.714 | 1.191 | 1.091 | 0.144 |
| 60 | 3.456 | 1.167 | 1.080 | 0.128 |
| 65 | 3.135 | 1.139 | 1.067 | 0.112 |
| 70 | 2.763 | 1.108 | 1.053 | 0.098 |
| 75 | 2.348 | 1.076 | 1.037 | 0.086 |
| 80 | 1.898 | 1.041 | 1.020 | 0.073 |
| 85 | 1.430 | 1.002 | 1.001 | 0.060 |
| 90 | 0.960 | 0.961 | 0.980 | 0.046 |
| 95 | 0.489 | 0.916 | 0.957 | 0.031 |
| 100 | 0.018 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

L.E. radius: 0.408 per cent $c$
T.E. radius: 0.020 per cent $c$

NACA 65A008 Basic Thickness Form


| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \boldsymbol{y} \\ \text { (per cent } c) \end{gathered}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.987 |
| 0.5 | 0.765 | 0.897 | 0.947 | 1.878 |
| 0.75 | 0.928 | 0.948 | 0.974 | 1.619 |
| 1.25 | 1.183 | 1.010 | 1.005 | 1.303 |
| 2.5 | 1.623 | 1.089 | 1.044 | 0.936 |
| 5.0 | 2.182 | 1.148 | 1.071 | 0.679 |
| 7.5 | 2.650 | 1.176 | 1.084 | 0.559 |
| 10 | 3.040 | 1.194 | 1.093 | 0.478 |
| 15 | 3.658 | 1.218 | 1.104 | 0.382 |
| 20 | 4.127 | 1.234 | 1.111 | 0.323 |
| 25 | 4.483 | 1.247 | 1.117 | 0.281 |
| 30 | 4.742 | 1.257 | 1.121 | 0.249 |
| 35 | 4.912 | 1.265 | 1.125 | 0.222 |
| 40 | 4.995 | 1.272 | 1.128 | 0.198 |
| 45 | 4.983 | 1.277 | 1.130 | 0.178 |
| 50 | 4.863 | 1.271 | 1.127 | 0.161 |
| 55 | 4.632 | 1.241 | 1.114 | 0.144 |
| 60 | 4.304 | 1.208 | 1.099 | 0.127 |
| 65 | 3.899 | 1.172 | 1.083 | 0.111 |
| 70 | 3.432 | 1.133 | 1.064 | 0.097 |
| 75 | 2.912 | 1.091 | 1.045 | 0.084 |
| 80 | 2.352 | 1.047 | 1.023 | 0.071 |
| 85 | 1.771 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.058 |
| 90 | 1.188 | 0.949 | 0.974 | 0.045 |
| 95 | 0.604 | 0.893 | 0.945 | 0.029 |
| 100 | 0.021 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 0.639 per cent $c$ T.E. radius: 0.023 per cent $c$ |  |  |  |  |

NACA 65A010 Basic Thickness Form
$\left(\frac{\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{V}}\right)^{2}$

| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } r \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.520 |
| 0.5 | 0.913 | 0.824 | 0.908 | 1.757 |
| 0.75 | 1.106 | 0.883 | 0.940 | 1.543 |
| 1.25 | 1.414 | 0.969 | 0.984 | 1.263 |
| 2.5 | 1.942 | 1.081 | 1.040 | 0.914 |
| 5.0 | 2.614 | 1.166 | 1.080 | 0.672 |
| 7.5 | 3.176 | 1.204 | 1.097 | 0.557 |
| 10 | 3.647 | 1.228 | 1.108 | 0.477 |
| 15 | 4.392 | 1.263 | 1.124 | 0.382 |
| 20 | 4.956 | 1.285 | 1.134 | 0.324 |
| 25 | 5.383 | 1.301 | 1.141 | 0.281 |
| 30 | 5.693 | 1.313 | 1.146 | 0.250 |
| 35 | 5.897 | 1.324 | 1.151 | 0.224 |
| 40 | 5.995 | 1.332 | 1.154 | 0.198 |
| 45 | 5.977 | 1.338 | 1.157 | 0.178 |
| 50 | 5.828 | 1.329 | 1.153 | 0.161 |
| 55 | 5.544 | 1.292 | 1.137 | 0.143 |
| 60 | 5.143 | 1.251 | 1.118 | 0.126 |
| 65 | 4.654 | 1.204 | 1.097 | 0.111 |
| 70 | 4.091 | 1.156 | 1.075 | 0.096 |
| 75 | 3.467 | 1.104 | 1.051 | 0.082 |
| 80 | 2.798 | 1.051 | 1.025 | 0.069 |
| 85 | 2.106 | 0.994 | 0.997 | 0.057 |
| 90 | 1.413 | 0.936 | 0.967 | 0.043 |
| 95 | 0.719 | 0.871 | 0.933 | 0.027 |
| 100 | 0.025 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 0.922 per cent $c$ T.E. radius: 0.029 per cent $c$ |  |  |  |  |

NACA 651 A012 Basic Thickness Form


| $x$ <br> (per cent $c$ ) | $y$ <br> (per cent $c$ ) | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.048 |
| 0.5 | 1.131 | 0.714 | 0.845 | 1.586 |
| 0.75 | 1.371 | 0.781 | 0.884 | 1.417 |
| 1.25 | 1.750 | 0.891 | 0.944 | 1.195 |
| 2.5 | 2.412 | 1.059 | 1.029 | 0.880 |
| 5.0 | 3.255 | 1.187 | 1.089 | 0.660 |
| 7.5 | 3.962 | 1.243 | 1.115 | 0.553 |
| 10 | 4.553 | 1.280 | 1.131 | 0.476 |
| 15 | 5.488 | 1.328 | 1.152 | 0.382 |
| 20 | 6.198 | 1.359 | 1.166 | 0.326 |
| 25 | 6.734 | 1.383 | 1.176 | 0.282 |
| 30 | 7.122 | 1.401 | 1.184 | 0.252 |
| 35 | 7.376 | 1.416 | 1.190 | 0.227 |
| 40 | 7.496 | 1.427 | 1.195 | 0.204 |
| 45 | 7.467 | 1.437 | 1.199 | 0.181 |
| 50 | 7.269 | 1.419 | 1.191 | 0.161 |
| 55 | 6.903 | 1.368 | 1.170 | 0.142 |
| 60 | 6.393 | 1.311 | 1.145 | 0.124 |
| 65 | 5.772 | 1.249 | 1.118 | 0.109 |
| 70 | 5.063 | 1.186 | 1.089 | 0.094 |
| 75 | 4.282 | 1.123 | 1.060 | 0.080 |
| 80 | 3.451 | 1.056 | 1.028 | 0.067 |
| 85 | 2.598 | 0.986 | 0.993 | 0.055 |
| 90 | 1.743 | 0.913 | 0.956 | 0.041 |
| 95 | 0.887 | 0.841 | 0.917 | 0.026 |
| 100 | 0.032 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

L.E. radius: 1.446 per cent $c$
T.E. radius: 0.038 per cent $c$


| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{y}{\text { (per cent } c \text { ) }}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.941 |
| 0.5 | 0.461 | 1.052 | 1.026 | 2.500 |
| 0.75 | 0.554 | 1.057 | 1.028 | 2.020 |
| 1.25 | 0.693 | 1.062 | 1.031 | 1.500 |
| 2.5 | 0.918 | 1.071 | 1.035 | 0.967 |
| 5.0 | 1.257 | 1.086 | 1.042 | 0.695 |
| 7.5 | 1.524 | 1.098 | 1.048 | 0.554 |
| 10 | 1.752 | 1.107 | 1.052 | 0.474 |
| 15 | 2.119 | 1.119 | 1.058 | 0.379 |
| 20 | 2.401 | 1.128 | 1.062 | 0.320 |
| 25 | 2.618 | 1.133 | 1.064 | 0.278 |
| 30 | 2.782 | 1.138 | 1.067 | 0.245 |
| 35 | 2.899 | 1.142 | 1.069 | 0.219 |
| 40 | 2.971 | 1.145 | 1.070 | 0.197 |
| 45 | 3.000 | 1.148 | 1.071 | 0.178 |
| 50 | 2.985 | 1.151 | 1.073 | 0.161 |
| 55 | 2.925 | 1.153 | 1.074 | 0.145 |
| 60 | 2.815 | 1.155 | 1.075 | 0.130 |
| 65 | 2.611 | 1.154 | 1.074 | 0.116 |
| 70 | 2.316 | 1.118 | 1.057 | 0.102 |
| 75 | 1.953 | 1.081 | 1.040 | 0.089 |
| 80 | 1.543 | 1.040 | 1.020 | 0.075 |
| 85 | 1.107 | 0.996 | 0.998 | 0.061 |
| 90 | 0.665 | 0.948 | 0.974 | 0.047 |
| 95 | 0.262 | 0.890 | 0.943 | 0.030 |
| 100 | 0 | 0.822 | 0.907 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 0.223 per cent $c$ |  |  |  |  |

NACA 66-006 Basic Thickness Form


| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.794 |
| 0.5 | 0.610 | 0.968 | 0.984 | 2.220 |
| 0.75 | 0.735 | 1.023 | 1.011 | 1.825 |
| 1.25 | 0.919 | 1.046 | 1.023 | 1.388 |
| 2.5 | 1.219 | 1.078 | 1.038 | 0.949 |
| 5.0 | 1.673 | 1.107 | 1.052 | 0.689 |
| 7.5 | 2.031 | 1.128 | 1.062 | 0.552 |
| 10 | 2.335 | 1.141 | 1.068 | 0.474 |
| 15 | 2.826 | 1.158 | 1.076 | 0.379 |
| 20 | 3.201 | 1.171 | 1.082 | 0.321 |
| 25 | 3.490 | 1.178 | 1.085 | 0.278 |
| 30 | 3.709 | 1.186 | 1.089 | 0.246 |
| 35 | 3.865 | 1.191 | 1.091 | 0.220 |
| 40 | 3.962 | 1.196 | 1.094 | 0.198 |
| 45 | 4.000 | 1.201 | 1.096 | 0.178 |
| 50 | 3.978 | 1.205 | 1.098 | 0.161 |
| 55 | 3.896 | 1.208 | 1.099 | 0.145 |
| 60 | 3.740 | 1.213 | 1.101 | 0.130 |
| 65 | 3.459 | 1.202 | 1.096 | 0.115 |
| 70 | 3.062 | 1.156 | 1.075 | 0.101 |
| 75 | 2.574 | 1.103 | 1.050 | 0.087 |
| 80 | 2.027 | 1.048 | 1.024 | 0.073 |
| 85 | 1.447 | 0.989 | 0.994 | 0.058 |
| 90 | 0.864 | 0.926 | 0.962 | 0.045 |
| 95 | 0.338 | 0.855 | 0.925 | 0.029 |
| 100 | 0 | 0.768 | 0.876 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 0.411 per cent $c$ |  |  |  |  |

NACA 66-008 Basic Thickness Form


| $\begin{gathered} x \\ (\text { per cent } c) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.352 |
| 0.5 | 0.687 | 0.930 | 0.964 | 2.100 |
| 0.75 | 0.824 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 1.750 |
| 1.25 | 1.030 | 1.036 | 1.018 | 1.340 |
| 2.5 | 1.368 | 1.079 | 1.039 | 0.940 |
| 5.0 | 1.880 | 1.119 | 1.058 | 0.686 |
| 7.5 | 2.283 | 1.142 | 1.069 | 0.552 |
| 10 | 2.626 | 1.159 | 1.077 | 0.473 |
| 15 | 3.178 | 1.178 | 1.085 | 0.379 |
| 20 | 3.601 | 1.190 | 1.091 | 0.323 |
| 25 | 3.927 | 1.201 | 1.096 | 0.280 |
| 30 | 4.173 | 1.210 | 1.100 | 0.246 |
| 35 | 4.348 | 1.217 | 1.103 | 0.220 |
| 40 | 4.457 | 1.221 | 1.105 | 0.197 |
| 45 | 4.499 | 1.228 | 1.108 | 0.178 |
| 50 | 4.475 | 1.232 | 1.110 | 0.161 |
| 55 | 4.381 | 1.237 | 1.112 | 0.145 |
| 60 | 4.204 | 1.240 | 1.114 | 0.130 |
| 65 | 3.882 | 1.230 | 1.109 | 0.116 |
| 70 | 3.428 | 1.172 | 1.083 | 0.100 |
| 75 | 2.877 | 1.113 | 1.055 | 0.085 |
| 80 | 2.263 | 1.050 | 1.025 | 0.071 |
| 85 | 1.611 | 0.985 | 0.992 | 0.057 |
| 90 | 0.961 | 0.915 | 0.957 | 0.043 |
| 95 | 0.374 | 0.839 | 0.916 | 0.028 |
| 100 | 0 | 0.747 | 0.864 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 0.530 per cent $c$ |  |  |  |  |

NACA 66-009 Basic Thickness Form


| $\begin{gathered} x \\ (\text { per cent } c) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(\text { per cent } c)}{y}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | v/V | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.002 |
| 0.5 | 0.759 | 0.896 | 0.947 | 2.012 |
| 0.75 | 0.913 | 0.972 | 0.986 | 1.686 |
| 1.25 | 1.141 | 1.023 | 1.011 | 1.296 |
| 2.5 | 1.516 | 1.078 | 1.038 | 0.931 |
| 5.0 | 2.087 | 1.125 | 1.061 | 0.682 |
| 7.5 | 2.536 | 1.154 | 1.074 | 0.551 |
| 10 | 2.917 | 1.174 | 1.084 | 0.473 |
| 15 | 3.530 | 1.198 | 1.095 | 0.379 |
| 20 | 4.001 | 1.215 | 1.102 | 0.322 |
| 25 | 4.363 | 1.226 | 1.107 | 0.279 |
| 30 | 4.636 | 1.236 | 1.112 | 0.246 |
| 35 | 4.832 | 1.243 | 1.115 | 0.220 |
| 40 | 4.953 | 1.249 | 1.118 | 0.198 |
| 45 | 5.000 | 1.255 | 1.120 | 0.178 |
| 50 | 4.971 | 1.261 | 1.123 | 0.161 |
| 55 | 4.865 | 1.265 | 1.125 | 0.146 |
| 60 | 4.665 | 1.270 | 1.127 | 0.130 |
| 65 | 4.302 | 1.250 | 1.118 | 0.114 |
| 70 | 3.787 | 1.190 | 1.091 | 0.099 |
| 75 | 3.176 | 1.121 | 1.059 | 0.085 |
| 80 | 2.494 | 1.052 | 1.026 | 0.070 |
| 85 | 1.773 | 0.979 | 0.989 | 0.056 |
| 90 | 1.054 | 0.904 | 0.951 | 0.043 |
| 95 | 0.408 | 0.821 | 0.906 | 0.027 |
| 100 | 0 | 0.729 | 0.854 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 0.662 per cent $c$ |  |  |  |  |

NACA 66-010 Basic Thickness Form


| $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\stackrel{y}{\text { (per cent } c \text { ) }}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.569 |
| 0.5 | 0.906 | 0.800 | 0.894 | 1.847 |
| 0.75 | 1.087 | 0.915 | 0.957 | 1.575 |
| 1.25 | 1.358 | 0.980 | 0.990 | 1.237 |
| 2.5 | 1.808 | 1.073 | 1.036 | 0.913 |
| 5.0 | 2.496 | 1.138 | 1.067 | 0.674 |
| 7.5 | 3.037 | 1.177 | 1.085 | 0.549 |
| 10 | 3.496 | 1.204 | 1.097 | 0.473 |
| 15 | 4.234 | 1.237 | 1.112 | 0.380 |
| 20 | 4.801 | 1.259 | 1.122 | 0.323 |
| 25 | 5.238 | 1.275 | 1.129 | 0.280 |
| 30 | 5.568 | 1.287 | 1.134 | 0.246 |
| 35 | 5.803 | 1.297 | 1.139 | 0.221 |
| 40 | 5.947 | 1.303 | 1.142 | 0.197 |
| 45 | 6.000 | 1.311 | 1.145 | 0.176 |
| 50 | 5.965 | 1.318 | 1.148 | 0.162 |
| 55 | 5.836 | 1.323 | 1.150 | 0.147 |
| 60 | 5.588 | 1.331 | 1.154 | 0.132 |
| 65 | 5.139 | 1.302 | 1.141 | 0.113 |
| 70 | 4.515 | 1.221 | 1.105 | 0.098 |
| 75 | 3.767 | 1.139 | 1.067 | 0.084 |
| 80 | 2.944 | 1.053 | 1.026 | 0.069 |
| 85 | 2.083 | 0.968 | 0.984 | 0.053 |
| 90 | 1.234 | 0.879 | 0.938 | 0.040 |
| 95 | 0.474 | 0.788 | 0.888 | 0.031 |
| 100 | 0 | 0.687 | 0.829 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 0.952 per cent $c$ |  |  |  |  |

NACA 66 ${ }_{1}$-012 Basic Thickness Form


| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \boldsymbol{y} \\ (\text { per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $(v / V):$ | $\boldsymbol{v} / \mathrm{V}$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.139 |
| 0.5 | 1.122 | 0.760 | 0.872 | 1.652 |
| 0.75 | 1.343 | 0.840 | 0.916 | 1.431 |
| 1.25 | 1.675 | 0.929 | 0.964 | 1.172 |
| 2.5 | 2.235 | 1.055 | 1.027 | 0.895 |
| 5.0 | 3.100 | 1.163 | 1.078 | 0.663 |
| 7.5 | 3.781 | 1.208 | 1.099 | 0.547 |
| 10 | 4.358 | 1.242 | 1.114 | 0.473 |
| 15 | 5.286 | 1.288 | 1.134 | 0.381 |
| 20 | 5.995 | 1.317 | 1.148 | 0.322 |
| 25 | 6.543 | 1.340 | 1.158 | 0.280 |
| 30 | 6.956 | 1.356 | 1.164 | 0.248 |
| 35 | 7.250 | 1.370 | 1.170 | 0.222 |
| 40 | 7.430 | 1.380 | 1.175 | 0.200 |
| 45 | 7.495 | 1.391 | 1.179 | 0.180 |
| 50 | 7.450 | 1.401 | 1.184 | 0.163 |
| 55 | 7.283 | 1.411 | 1.188 | 0.146 |
| 60 | 6.959 | 1.420 | 1.192 | 0.131 |
| 65 | 6.372 | 1.367 | 1.169 | 0.113 |
| 70 | 5.576 | 1.260 | 1.122 | 0.096 |
| 75 | 4.632 | 1.156 | 1.075 | 0.080 |
| 80 | 3.598 | 1.053 | 1.026 | 0.065 |
| 85 | 2.530 | 0.949 | 0.974 | 0.051 |
| 90 | 1.489 | 0.847 | 0.920 | 0.039 |
| 95 | 0.566 | 0.744 | 0.863 | 0.025 |
| 100 | 0 | 0.639 | 0.799 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 1.435 per cent $c$ |  |  |  |  |

NACA 66-015 Basic Thickness Form

| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y \\ \text { (per cent c) } \end{gathered}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | v/V | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.773 |
| 0.5 | 1.323 | 0.650 | 0.806 | 1.456 |
| 0.75 | 1.571 | 0.735 | 0.857 | 1.312 |
| 1.25 | 1.952 | 0.850 | 0.897 | 1.121 |
| 2.5 | 2.646 | 1.005 | 1.002 | 0.858 |
| 5.0 | 3.690 | 1.154 | 1.074 | 0.649 |
| 7.5 | 4.513 | 1.234 | 1.111 | 0.545 |
| 10 | 5.210 | 1.285 | 1.134 | 0.472 |
| 15 | 6.333 | 1.350 | 1.162 | 0.381 |
| 20 | 7.188 | 1.393 | 1.180 | 0.323 |
| 25 | 7.848 | 1.423 | 1.193 | 0.282 |
| 30 | 8.346 | 1.445 | 1.202 | 0.250 |
| 35 | 8.701 | 1.464 | 1.210 | 0.223 |
| 40 | 8.918 | 1.481 | 1.217 | 0.201 |
| 45 | 8.998 | 1.496 | 1.223 | 0.181 |
| 50 | 8.942 | 1.509 | 1.228 | 0.163 |
| 55 | 8.733 | 1.522 | 1.234 | 0.147 |
| 60 | 8.323 | 1.534 | 1.238 | 0.131 |
| 65 | 7.580 | 1.438 | 1.199 | 0.114 |
| 70 | 6.597 | 1.302 | 1.141 | 0.095 |
| 75 | 5.451 | 1.172 | 1.083 | 0.077 |
| 80 | 4.206 | 1.045 | 1.022 | 0.061 |
| 85 | 2.934 | 0.922 | 0.950 | 0.048 |
| 90 | 1.714 | 0.803 | 0.896 | 0.037 |
| 95 | 0.646 | 0.692 | 0.832 | 0.022 |
| 100 | 0 | 0.587 | 0.766 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 1.955 per cent $c$ |  |  |  |  |

NACA 66-018 Basic Thickness Form


| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | v/V | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.547 |
| 0.5 | 1.525 | 0.580 | 0.761 | 1.314 |
| 0.75 | 1.804 | 0.635 | 0.797 | 1.218 |
| 1.25 | 2.240 | 0.755 | 0.869 | 1.054 |
| 2.5 | 3.045 | 0.952 | 0.976 | 0.828 |
| 5.0 | 4.269 | 1.143 | 1.069 | 0.635 |
| 7.5 | 5.233 | 1.246 | 1.116 | 0.542 |
| 10 | 6.052 | 1.318 | 1.148 | 0.472 |
| 15 | 7.369 | 1.405 | 1.185 | 0.381 |
| 20 | 8.376 | 1.459 | 1.208 | 0.324 |
| 25 | 9.153 | 1.499 | 1.224 | 0.283 |
| 30 | 9.738 | 1.528 | 1.236 | 0.251 |
| 35 | 10.154 | 1.551 | 1.245 | 0.224 |
| 40 | 10.407 | 1.574 | 1.255 | 0.202 |
| 45 | 10.500 | 1.594 | 1.263 | 0.183 |
| 50 | 10.434 | 1.611 | 1.269 | 0.165 |
| 55 | 10.186 | 1.629 | 1.276 | 0.148 |
| 60 | 9.692 | 1.648 | 1.284 | 0.132 |
| 65 | 8.793 | 1.508 | 1.228 | 0.114 |
| 70 | 7.810 | 1.335 | 1.155 | 0.093 |
| 75 | 6.251 | 1.176 | 1.084 | 0.073 |
| 80 | 4.796 | 1.031 | 1.015 | 0.058 |
| 85 | 3.324 | 0.891 | 0.944 | 0.046 |
| 90 | 1.924 | 0.763 | 0.873 | 0.034 |
| 95 | 0.717 | 0.648 | 0.805 | 0.020 |
| 100 | 0 | 0.539 | 0.734 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: $\mathbf{2 . 5 5 0}$ per cent $c$ |  |  |  |  |

NACA 66-021 Basic Thickness Form


| $x$ <br> (per cent c) | $y$ <br> $($ per cent $c)$ | $(v / V)^{2}$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.042 |
| 0.5 | 1.167 | 0.650 | 0.806 | 1.560 |
| 0.75 | 1.394 | 0.970 | 0.985 | 1.370 |
| 1.25 | 1.764 | 1.059 | 1.029 | 1.152 |
| 2.5 | 2.395 | 1.140 | 1.068 | 0.906 |
| 5.0 | 3.245 | 1.209 | 1.100 | 0.667 |
| 7.5 | 3.900 | 1.239 | 1.113 | 0.548 |
| 10 | 4.433 | 1.259 | 1.122 | 0.470 |
| 15 | 5.283 | 1.285 | 1.134 | 0.370 |
| 20 | 5.940 | 1.304 | 1.142 | 0.312 |
| 25 | 6.454 | 1.318 | 1.148 | 0.276 |
| 30 | 6.854 | 1.330 | 1.153 | 0.248 |
| 35 | 7.155 | 1.341 | 1.158 | 0.221 |
| 40 | 7.359 | 1.351 | 1.162 | 0.201 |
| 45 | 7.475 | 1.360 | 1.166 | 0.180 |
| 50 | 7.497 | 1.368 | 1.170 | 0.160 |
| 55 | 7.421 | 1.375 | 1.173 | 0.142 |
| 60 | 7.231 | 1.381 | 1.175 | 0.124 |
| 65 | 6.905 | 1.388 | 1.178 | 0.111 |
| 70 | 6.402 | 1.390 | 1.179 | 0.108 |
| 75 | 5.621 | 1.321 | 1.149 | 0.094 |
| 80 | 4.540 | 1.176 | 1.084 | 0.071 |
| 85 | 3.327 | 1.018 | 1.009 | 0.060 |
| 90 | 2.021 | 0.864 | 0.930 | 0.045 |
| 95 | 0.788 | 0.712 | 0.844 | 0.025 |
| 100 | 0 | 0.570 | 0.755 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

NACA 67,1-015 Basic Thickness Form


| $x$ <br> (per cent $c$ ) | $y$ <br> (per cent c) | $(r / V):$ | $v / V$ | $\Delta v_{a} / V$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.028 |
| 0.5 | 1.199 | 0.660 | 0.812 | 1.680 |
| 0.75 | 1.435 | 0.799 | 0.894 | 1.560 |
| 1.25 | 1.801 | 0.942 | 0.971 | 1.325 |
| 2.5 | 2.462 | 1.100 | 1.049 | 0.890 |
| 5.0 | 3.419 | 1.201 | 1.096 | 0.695 |
| 7.5 | 4.143 | 1.259 | 1.122 | 0.551 |
| 10 | 4.743 | 1.295 | 1.138 | 0.465 |
| 15 | 5.684 | 1.339 | 1.156 | 0.383 |
| 20 | 6.384 | 1.369 | 1.170 | 0.324 |
| 25 | 6.898 | 1.390 | 1.179 | 0.283 |
| 30 | 7.253 | 1.409 | 1.187 | 0.252 |
| 35 | 7.454 | 1.423 | 1.193 | 0.224 |
| 40 | 7.494 | 1.435 | 1.198 | 0.199 |
| 45 | 7.316 | 1.391 | 1.179 | 0.176 |
| 50 | 7.003 | 1.348 | 1.161 | 0.156 |
| 55 | 6.584 | 1.306 | 1.143 | 0.138 |
| 60 | 6.064 | 1.265 | 1.125 | 0.122 |
| 65 | 5.449 | 1.221 | 1.105 | 0.108 |
| 70 | 4.738 | 1.178 | 1.085 | 0.093 |
| 75 | 3.921 | 1.115 | 1.056 | 0.079 |
| 80 | 3.020 | 1.027 | 1.013 | 0.065 |
| 85 | 2.086 | 0.938 | 0.969 | 0.052 |
| 90 | 1.193 | 0.852 | 0.923 | 0.040 |
| 95 | 0.443 | 0.774 | 0.880 | 0.028 |
| 100 | 0 | 0.703 | 0.838 | 0.018 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

NACA 747A015 Basic Thickness Form

## APPENDIX II <br> MEAN LINES

## Contents




| $c_{l_{i}}=0.90$ |  | $=2.81^{\circ} \quad c_{m_{c} / 4}=-0.113$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y_{c} \\ (\text { per cent } c) \end{gathered}$ | $d y_{c} / d x$ | $P_{R}$ | $\Delta v / V=P_{R} / 4$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0.60000 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.25 | 0.726 | 0.56250 | 0.682 | 0.171 |
| 2.5 | 1.406 | 0.52500 | 1.031 | 0.258 |
| 5.0 | 2.625 | 0.45000 | 1.314 | 0.328 |
| 7.5 | 3.656 | 0.37500 | 1.503 | 0.376 |
| 10 | 4.500 | 0.30000 | 1.651 | 0.413 |
| 15 | 5.625 | 0.15000 | 1.802 | 0.451 |
| 20 | 6.000 | 0 | 1.530 | 0.383 |
| 25 | 5.977 | -0.00938 | 1.273 | 0.318 |
| 30 | 5.906 | -0.01875 | 1.113 | 0.279 |
| 40 | 5.625 | -0.03750 | 0.951 | 0.238 |
| 50 | 5.156 | - 0.05625 | 0.843 | 0.211 |
| 60 | 4.500 | -0.07500 | 0.741 | 0.185 |
| 70 | 3.656 | -0.09375 | 0.635 | 0.159 |
| 80 | 2.625 | -0.11250 | 0.525 | 0.131 |
| 90 | 1.406 | -0.13125 | 0.377 | 0.094 |
| 95 | 0.727 | -0.14062 | 0.261 | 0.065 |
| 100 | 0 | -0.15000 | 0 | 0 |

Data for NACA Mean Line 62


|  | $c_{l_{i}=0}=0.80$ | $\alpha_{i}=1.60^{\circ}$ |  | $c_{m_{c} / 4}=-0.134$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x$ <br> (per cent $c)$ | $y_{c}$ <br> $($ per cent $c)$ | $d y_{c} / d x$ | $P_{R}$ | $\Delta v / V=P_{R} / 4$ |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0.40000 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 1.25 | 0.489 | 0.38333 | 0.389 | 0.097 |  |
| 2.5 | 0.958 | 0.36667 | 0.553 | 0.138 |  |
| 5.0 | 1.833 | 0.33333 | 0.788 | 0.197 |  |
| 7.5 | 2.625 | 0.30000 | 0.940 | 0.235 |  |
| 10 | 3.333 | 0.26667 | 1.066 | 0.267 |  |
| 15 | 4.500 | 0.20000 | 1.220 | 0.305 |  |
| 20 | 5.333 | 0.13333 | 1.259 | 0.315 |  |
| 25 | 5.833 | 0.06667 | 1.233 | 0.308 |  |
| 30 | 6.000 | 0 | 1.160 | 0.290 |  |
| 40 | 5.878 | -0.02449 | 0.949 | 0.237 |  |
| 50 | 5.510 | -0.04898 | 0.850 | 0.213 |  |
| 60 | 4.898 | -0.07347 | 0.762 | 0.191 |  |
| 70 | 4.041 | -0.09796 | 0.673 | 0.168 |  |
| 80 | 2.939 | -0.12245 | 0.560 | 0.140 |  |
| 90 | 1.592 | -0.14694 | 0.406 | 0.102 |  |
| 95 | 0.827 | -0.15918 | 0.291 | 0.073 |  |
| 100 | 0 | -0.17143 | 0 | 0 |  |

Data for NACA Mean Line 63


|  | $c_{l_{i}}=0.76$ | $\alpha_{i}=0.74^{\circ}$ | $c_{m_{c} / 4}=-0.157$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x$ <br> (per cent $c$ ) | $y_{c}$ <br> $($ per cent $c)$ | $d y y_{c} / d r$ | $P_{R}$ | $\Delta v / V=P_{R} / 4$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0.30000 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.25 | 0.369 | 0.29062 | 0.257 | 0.064 |
| 2.5 | 0.726 | 0.28125 | 0.391 | 0.098 |
| 5.0 | 1.406 | 0.26250 | 0.546 | 0.137 |
| 7.5 | 2.039 | 0.24375 | 0.668 | 0.167 |
| 10 | 2.625 | 0.22500 | 0.748 | 0.187 |
| 15 | 3.656 | 0.18750 | 0.871 | 0.218 |
| 20 | 4.500 | 0.15000 | 0.966 | 0.242 |
| 25 | 5.156 | 0.11250 | 1.030 | 0.258 |
| 30 | 5.625 | 0.07500 | 1.040 | 0.260 |
| 40 | 6.000 | 0 | 0.999 | 0.250 |
| 50 | 5.833 | -0.03333 | 0.910 | 0.228 |
| 60 | 5.333 | -0.06667 | 0.827 | 0.207 |
| 70 | 4.500 | -0.10000 | 0.750 | 0.188 |
| 80 | 3.333 | -0.13333 | 0.635 | 0.159 |
| 90 | 1.833 | -0.16667 | 0.466 | 0.117 |
| 95 | 0.958 | -0.18333 | 0.334 | 0.084 |
| 100 | 0 | -0.20000 | 0 | 0 |

Data for NACA Mean Line 64


| $c_{i}=0.75$ |  | $\alpha_{i}=0^{\circ}$ | $c_{m / 4}=-0.187$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent c) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y_{c} \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $d y_{0} / d x$ | $P_{\text {R }}$ | $\Delta v / V=P_{R} / 4$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0.24000 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.25 | 0.298 | 0.23400 | 0.205 | 0.051 |
| 2.5 | 0.585 | 0.22800 | 0.294 | 0.074 |
| 5.0 | 1.140 | 0.21600 | 0.413 | 0.103 |
| 7.5 | 1.665 | 0.20400 | 0.502 | 0.126 |
| 10 | 2.160 | 0.19200 | 0.571 | 0.143 |
| 15 | 3.060 | 0.16800 | 0.679 | 0.170 |
| 20 | 3.840 | 0.14400 | 0.760 | 0.190 |
| 25 | 4.500 | 0.12000 | 0.824 | 0.206 |
| 30 | 5.040 | 0.09600 | 0.872 | 0.218 |
| 40 | 5.760 | 0.04800 | 0.932 | 0.233 |
| 50 | 6.000 | 0 | 0.951 | 0.238 |
| 60 | 5.760 | - 0.04800 | 0.932 | 0.233 |
| 70 | 5.040 | -0.09600 | 0.872 | 0.218 |
| 80 | 3.840 | - 0.14400 | 0.760 | 0.190 |
| 90 | 2.160 | - 0.19200 | 0.571 | 0.143 |
| 95 | 1.140 | - 0.21600 | 0.413 | 0.103 |
| 100 | 0 | -0.24000 | 0 | 0 |

Data for NACA Mean Line 65


| $c_{L_{i}}=0.76$ |  | $\alpha_{i}=-0.74^{\circ}$ | $c_{m_{k} / 4}=-0.222$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} x \\ (\text { per cent } c) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y_{c} \\ (\text { per cent } c) \end{gathered}$ | $d y_{c} / d x$ | $P_{\boldsymbol{R}}$ | $\Delta v / V=P_{R} / 4$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0.20000 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.25 | 0.247 | 0.19583 | 0.135 | 0.034 |
| 2.5 | 0.490 | 0.19167 | 0.244 | 0.061 |
| 5.0 | 0.958 | 0.18333 | 0.334 | 0.084 |
| 7.5 | 1.406 | 0.17500 | 0.408 | 0.102 |
| 10 | 1.833 | 0.16667 | 0.466 | 0.117 |
| 15 | 2.625 | 0.15000 | 0.557 | 0.139 |
| 20 | 3.333 | 0.13333 | 0.635 | 0.159 |
| 25 | 3.958 | 0.11667 | 0.700 | 0.175 |
| 30 | 4.500 | 0.10000 | 0.750 | 0.188 |
| 40 | 5.333 | 0.06667 | 0.827 | 0.207 |
| 50 | 5.833 | 0.03333 | 0.910 | 0.228 |
| 60 | 6.000 | 0 | 0.999 | 0.250 |
| 70 | 5.625 | -0.07500 | 1.040 | 0.260 |
| 80 | 4.500 | -0.15000 | 0.966 | 0.242 |
| 90 | 2.625 | -0.22500 | 0.748 | 0.187 |
| 95 | 1.406 | -0.26250 | 0.546 | 0.137 |
| 100 | 0 | - 0.30000 | 0 | 0 |

Data for NACA Mean Line 66


| $c_{L_{i}}=0.80$ |  | $\alpha_{i}=-1.60^{\circ}$ | $c_{\text {m/4 }}=-0.266$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y_{c} \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $d y_{c} / d x$ | $P_{\text {r }}$ | $\Delta v / V=P_{z} / 4$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0.17143 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.25 | 0.212 | 0.16837 | 0.137 | 0.034 |
| 2.5 | 0.421 | 0.16531 | 0.195 | 0.049 |
| 5.0 | 0.827 | 0.15918 | 0.291 | 0.073 |
| 7.5 | 1.217 | 0.15306 | 0.356 | 0.089 |
| 10 | 1.592 | 0.14694 | 0.406 | 0.102 |
| 15 | 2.296 | 0.13469 | 0.483 | 0.121 |
| 20 | 2.939 | 0.12245 | 0.560 | 0.140 |
| 25 | 3.520 | 0.11020 | 0.616 | 0.154 |
| 30 | 4.041 | 0.09796 | 0.673 | 0.168 |
| 40 | 4.898 | 0.07347 | 0.762 | 0.191 |
| 50 | 5.510 | 0.04898 | 0.850 | 0.213 |
| 60 | 5.878 | 0.02449 | 0.949 | 0.237 |
| 70 | 6.000 | 0 | 1.160 | 0.290 |
| 80 | 5.333 | -0.13333 | 1.259 | 0.315 |
| 90 | 3.333 | -0.26667 | 1.066 | 0.267 |
| 95 | 1.833 | -0.33333 | 0.788 | 0.197 |
| 100 | 0 | -0.40000 | 0 | 0 |

Data for NACA Mean Line 67


| $c_{l_{i}}=0.30$ |  | $=2.09^{\circ} \quad c_{m_{f / 4}}=-0.006$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{(\text { per rent } c)}{x}$ | $\begin{gathered} y_{c} \\ (\text { per cent } c) \end{gathered}$ | $d y_{e} / d x$ | $P_{\text {H }}$ | $\Delta v / V=P_{R} / 4$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0.59613 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.25 | 0.596 | 0.36236 | 1.381 | 0.345 |
| 2.5 | 0.928 | 0.18504 | 1.565 | 0.391 |
| 5.0 | 1.114 | -0.00018 | 1.221 | 0.305 |
| 7.5 | 1.087 |  | 0.781 | 0.195 |
| 10 | 1.058 |  | 0.626 | 0.156 |
| 15 | 0.999 |  | 0.489 | 0.122 |
| 20 | 0.940 |  | 0.408 | 0.102 |
| 25 | 0.881 |  | 0.348 | 0.087 |
| 30 | 0.823 |  | 0.302 | 0.075 |
| 40 | 0.705 | -0.01175 | 0.242 | 0.061 |
| 50 | 0.588 | -0.01175 | 0.198 | 0.049 |
| 60 | 0.470 |  | 0.160 | 0.040 |
| 70 | 0.353 |  | 0.128 | 0.032 |
| 80 | 0.235 |  | 0.098 | 0.025 |
| 90 | 0.118 |  | 0.065 | 0.016 |
| 95 | 0.059 |  | 0.044 | 0.011 |
| 100 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |

Data for NACA Mean Line 210


| $c_{l_{i}}=0.30$ |  | $\alpha_{i}=1.86^{\circ}$ | $c_{m_{c} / 4}=-0.010$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y_{c} \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $d y_{c} / d x$ | $\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$ | $\Delta v / V=P_{R} / 4$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0.39270 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.25 | 0.442 | 0.31541 | 0.822 | 0.206 |
| 2.5 | 0.793 | 0.24618 | 1.003 | 0.251 |
| 5.0 | 1.257 | 0.13192 | 0.988 | 0.247 |
| 7.5 | 1.479 | 0.04994 | 0.900 | 0.225 |
| 10 | 1.535 | 0.00024 | 0.801 | 0.200 |
| 15 | 1.463 |  | 0.615 | 0.154 |
| 20 | 1.377 |  | 0.465 | 0.116 |
| 25 | 1.291 |  | 0.378 | 0.095 |
| 30 | 1.205 |  | 0.326 | 0.082 |
| 40 | 1.033 |  | 0.253 | 0.063 |
| 50 | 0.861 | - 0.01722 | 0.205 | 0.051 |
| 60 | 0.689 | - 0.01722 | 0.169 | 0.042 |
| 70 | 0.516 |  | 0.135 | 0.034 |
| 80 | 0.344 |  | 0.100 | 0.025 |
| 90 | 0.172 |  | 0.064 | 0.016 |
| 95 | 0.086 |  | 0.040 | 0.010 |
| 100 |  |  | 0 | 0 |

Data for NACA Mean Line 220


| $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}}=0.30$ |  | $\alpha_{i}=1.65^{\circ}$ | $c_{m / 4}=-0.014$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y_{c} \\ (\text { per cent } c) \end{gathered}$ | $d y_{c} / d x$ | $P_{R}$ | $\Delta v / V=P_{R} / 4$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0.30508 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.25 | 0.357 | 0.26594 | 0.528 | 0.132 |
| 2.5 | 0.666 | 0.22929 | 0.673 | 0.168 |
| 5.0 | 1.155 | 0.16347 | 0.791 | 0.198 |
| 7.5 | 1.492 | 0.10762 | 0.853 | 0.213 |
| 10 | 1.701 | 0.06174 | 0.859 | 0.215 |
| 15 | 1.838 | -0.00009 | 0.678 | 0.170 |
| 20 | 1.767 | -0.02203 | 0.519 | 0.130 |
| 25 | 1.656 |  | 0.419 | 0.105 |
| 30 | 1.546 |  | 0.361 | 0.090 |
| 40 | 1.325 |  | 0.274 | 0.069 |
| 50 | 1.104 |  | 0.217 | 0.054 |
| 60 | 0.883 | - 0.02208 | 0.177 | 0.044 |
| 70 | 0.662 | -0.02208 | 0.144 | 0.036 |
| 80 | 0.442 |  | 0.105 | 0.026 |
| 90 | 0.221 |  | 0.069 | 0.017 |
| 95 | 0.110 |  | 0.042 | 0.011 |
| 100 |  |  | 0 | 0 |

Data for NACA Mean Line 230


| $c_{l_{i}}=0.30$ |  | $\alpha_{i}=1.45^{\circ}$ | $c_{\text {mo/ }}=-0.019$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y_{c} \\ \text { (per cent } c) \end{gathered}$ | $d y_{c} / d x$ | $P_{R}$ | $\Delta v / V=P_{R} / 4$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0.25233 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.25 | 0.301 | 0.22877 | 0.377 | 0.094 |
| 2.5 | 0.572 | 0.20625 | 0.491 | 0.123 |
| 5.0 | 1.035 | 0.16432 | 0.625 | 0.156 |
| 7.5 | 1.397 | 0.12653 | 0.718 | 0.180 |
| 10 | 1.671 | 0.09290 | 0.750 | 0.188 |
| 15 | 1.991 | 0.03810 | 0.677 | 0.169 |
| 20 | 2.079 | - 0.00010 | 0.566 | 0.142 |
| 25 | 2.018 | -0.02169 | 0.477 | 0.119 |
| 30 | 1.890 |  | 0.410 | 0.103 |
| 40 | 1.620 |  | 0.304 | 0.076 |
| 50 | 1.350 |  | 0.234 | 0.059 |
| 60 | 1.080 |  | 0.186 | 0.047 |
| 70 | 0.810 | -0.02700 | 0.150 | 0.038 |
| 80 | 0.540 |  | 0.110 | 0.028 |
| 90 | 0.270 |  | 0.071 | 0.018 |
| 95 | 0.135 |  | 0.047 | 0.012 |
| 100 | 0 |  | - | 0 |

Data for NACA Mean Line 240


| $c_{L_{i}}=0.30$ |  | $\alpha_{i}=1.26^{\circ}$ | $c_{m_{c_{i} / 4}}=-0.026$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y_{c} \\ (\text { per cent } c) \end{gathered}$ | $d y_{c} / d x$ | $P_{H}$ | دt:V $=P_{k} / 4$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0.21472 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.25 | 0.258 | 0.19920 | 0.281 | 0.070 |
| 2.5 | 0.498 | 0.18416 | . 0.369 | 0.092 |
| 5.0 | 0.922 | 0.15562 | 0.477 | 0.119 |
| 7.5 | 1.277 | 0.12909 | 0.552 | 0.138 |
| 10 | 1.570 | 0.10458 | 0.592 | 0.148 |
| 15 | 1.982 | 0.06162 | 0.624 | 0.156 |
| 20 | 2.199 | 0.02674 | 0.610 | 0.153 |
| 25 | 2.263 | $-0.00007$ | 0.547 | 0.137 |
| 30 | 2.212 | -0.01880 | 0.470 | 0.117 |
| 40 | 1.931 | ) | 0.346 | 0.087 |
| 50 | 1.609 |  | 0.255 | 0.064 |
| 60 | 1.287 |  | 0.197 | 0.049 |
| 70 | 0.965 |  | - 0.154 | 0.038 |
| 80 | 0.644 | $\}-0.03218$ | 0.119 | 0.030 |
| 90 | 0.322 |  | 0.076 | 0.019 |
| 95 | 0.161 |  | 0.051 | 0.013 |
| 100 | 0 | ) | 0 | 0 |

Data for NACA Mean Line 250


| $c_{i}=1.0$ |  | $\alpha_{i}=4.56^{\circ}$ | $c_{m_{c} / 4}=-0.083$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y_{c} \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $d y_{c} / d x$ | $\boldsymbol{P}_{R}$ | $\Delta v / V=P_{R} / 4$ |
| 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 0.5 | 0.460 | 0.75867 | 1.990 | 0.498 |
| 0.75 | 0.641 | 0.69212 | 1.985 | 0.496 |
| 1.25 | 0.964 | 0.60715 | 1.975 | 0.494 |
| 2.5 | 1.641 | 0.48892 | 1.950 | 0.488 |
| 5.0 | 2.693 | 0.36561 | 1.900 | 0.475 |
| 7.5 | 3.507 | 0.29028 | 1.850 | 0.463 |
| 10 | 4.161 | 0.23515 | 1.800 | 0.450 |
| 15 | 5.124 | 0.15508 | 1.700 | 0.425 |
| 20 | 5.747 | 0.09693 | 1.600 | 0.400 |
| 25 | 6.114 | 0.05156 | 1.500 | 0.375 |
| 30 | 6.277 | 0.01482 | 1.400 | 0.350 |
| 35 | 6.273 | -0.01554 | 1.300 | 0.325 |
| 40 | 6.130 | - 0.04086 | 1.200 | 0.300 |
| 45 | 5.871 | - 0.06201 | 1.100 | 0.275 |
| 50 | 5.516 | - 0.07958 | 1.000 | 0.250 |
| 55 | 5.081 | -0.09395 | 0.800 | 0.225 |
| 60 | 4.581 | -0.10539 | 0.500 | 0.200 |
| 65 | 4.032 | -0.11406 | 0.700 | 0.175 |
| 70 | 3.445 | -0.12003 | 0.600 | 0.150 |
| 75 | 2.836 | -0.12329 | 0.500 | 0.125 |
| 80 | 2.217 | -0.12371 | 0.400 | 0.100 |
| 85 | 1.604 | -0.12099 | 0.300 | 0.075 |
| 90 | 1.013 | -0.11455 | 0.200 | 0.050 |
| 95 | 0.467 | -0.10301 | 0.100 | 0.025 |
| 100 | 0 | - 0.07958 | 0 | 0 |

Data for NACA Mean Line $a=0$


| $c_{\text {l }}=1.0$ |  | 4.43 ${ }^{\circ} \quad c_{\text {c }} / 4 \times-0.086$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y_{c} \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $d y_{c} / d x$ | $P_{\text {R }}$ | $\Delta v / V=P_{R} / 4$ |
| 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 0.5 | 0.440 | 0.73441 |  |  |
| 0.75 | 0.616 | 0.67479 |  |  |
| 1.25 | 0.933 | 0.59896 |  |  |
| 2.5 | 1.608 | 0.49366 | 1.818 | 0.455 |
| 5.0 | 2.689 | 0.38235 |  |  |
| 7.5 | 3.551 | 0.31067 |  |  |
| 10 | 4.253 | 0.25057 |  |  |
| 15 | 5.261 | 0.16087 | 1.717 | 0.429 |
| 20 | 5.905 | 0.09981 | 1.616 | 0.404 |
| 25 | 6.282 | 0.05281 | 1.515 | 0.379 |
| 30 | 6.449 | 0.01498 | 1.414 | 0.354 |
| 35 | 6.443 | -0.01617 | 1.313 | 0.328 |
| 40 | 6.296 | - 0.04210 | 1.212 | 0.303 |
| 45 | 6.029 | $-0.06373$ | 1.111 | 0.278 |
| 50 | 5.664 | -0.08168 | 1.010 | 0.253 |
| 55 | 5.218 | $-0.09637$ | 0.909 | 0.227 |
| 60 | 4.706 | -0.10806 | 0.808 | 0.202 |
| 65 | 4.142 | -0.11694 | 0.707 | 0.177 |
| 70 | 3.541 | $-0.12307$ | 0.606 | 0.152 |
| 75 | 2.916 | -0.12644 | 0.505 | 0.126 |
| 80 | 2.281 | -0.12693 | 0.404 | 0.101 |
| 85 | 1.652 | -0.12425 | 0.303 | 0.076 |
| 90 | 1.045 | -0.11781 | 0.202 | 0.050 |
| 95 | 0.482 | $-0.10620$ | 0.101 | 0.025 |
| 100 | 0 | -0.08258 | 0 | 0 |

Data for NACA Mean Line $a=0.1$


| $c_{l_{i}}=1.0$ |  | 4.17 ${ }^{\circ} \quad c_{m / 4}=-0.094$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{\text { (per cent } c \text { ) }}{x}$ | $\begin{gathered} \boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{c}} \\ \text { (per cent } \boldsymbol{c} \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $d y_{c} / d x$ | $P_{\text {R }}$ | $\Delta v / V=P_{R} / 4$ |
| 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 0.5 | 0.414 | 0.69492 |  |  |
| 0.75 | 0.581 | 0.64047 |  |  |
| 1.25 | 0.882 | 0.57135 |  |  |
| 2.5 | 1.530 | 0.47592 |  |  |
| 5.0 | 2.583 | 0.37661 | 1.667 | 0.417 |
| 7.5 | 3.443 | 0.31487 |  |  |
| 10 | 4.169 | 0.26803 |  |  |
| 15 | 5.317 | 0.19373 |  |  |
| 20 | 6.117 | 0.12405 |  |  |
| 25 | 6.572 | 0.06345 | 1.563 | 0.391 |
| 30 | 6.777 | 0.02030 | 1.459 | 0.365 |
| 35 | 6.789 | -0.01418 | 1.355 | 0.339 |
| 40 | 6.646 | - 0.04246 | 1.250 | 0.313 |
| 45 | 6.373 | - 0.06588 | 1.146 | 0.287 |
| 50 | 5.994 | - 0.08522 | 1.042 | 0.260 |
| 55 | 5.527 | -0.10101 | 0.938 | 0.234 |
| 60 | 4.989 | - 0.11359 | 0.834 | 0.208 |
| 65 | 4.396 | - 0.12317 | 0.729 | 0.182 |
| 70 | 3.762 | -0.12985 | 0.625 | 0.156 |
| 75 | 3.102 | -0.13363 | 0.521 | 0.130 |
| 80 | 2.431 | -0.13440 | 0.417 | Q. 104 |
| 85 | 1.764 | -0.13186 | 0.313 | 0.078 |
| 90 | 1.119 | -0.12541 | 0.208 | 0.052 |
| 95 | 0.518 | -0.11361 | 0.104 | 0.026 |
| 100 | 0 | - 0.08941 | 0 | 0 |

Data for NACA Mean Line $a=0.2$


| $c_{i_{i}}=1.0$ |  | $3.84^{\circ} \quad c_{m / 4}=-0.106$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y_{c} \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $d y_{c} / d x$ | $P_{R}$ | $\Delta v / V=J_{R} / 4$ |
| 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 0.5 | 0.389 | 0.65536 |  |  |
| 0.75 | 0.516 | 0.60524 |  |  |
| 1.25 | 0.832 | 0.54158 |  |  |
| 2.5 | 1.448 | 0.45399 |  |  |
| 5.0 | 2.458 | 0.36344 |  |  |
| 7.5 | 3.293 | 0.30780 | 1.538 | 0.385 |
| 10 | 4.008 | 0.26621 |  |  |
| 15 | 5.172 | 0.20246 |  |  |
| 20 | 6.052 | 0.15068 |  |  |
| 25 | 6.685 | 0.10278 |  |  |
| 30 | 7.072 | 0.14833 |  |  |
| 35 | 7.175 | -0.00205 | 1.429 | 0.357 |
| 40 | 7.074 | -0.03710 | 1.319 | 0.330 |
| 45 | 6.816 | -0.06492 | 1.209 | 0.302 |
| 50 | 6.433 | -0.08746 | 1.099 | 0.275 |
| 55 | 5.949 | -0.10567 | 0.989 | 0.247 |
| 60 | 5.383 | -0.12014 | 0.879 | 0.220 |
| 65 | 4.753 | -0.13119 | 0.769 | 0.192 |
| 70 | 4.076 | -0.13901 | 0.659 | 0.165 |
| 75 | 3.368 | -0.14365 | 0.549 | 0.137 |
| 80 | 2.645 | -0.14500 | 0.440 | 0.110 |
| 85 | 1.924 | -0.14279 | 0.330 | 0.082 |
| 90 | 1.224 | -0.13638 | 0.220 | 0.055 |
| 95 | 0.570 | -0.12430 | 0.110 | 0.028 |
| 100 | 0 | -0.09907 | 0 | 0 |

Data for NACA Mean Line $a=0.3$


| $c_{i}=1.0$ |  | 3.46 ${ }^{\circ} \quad c_{m / 4}=-0.121$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y_{c} \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $d y_{c} / d x$ | $P_{\text {R }}$ | $\Delta v / V=P_{R} / 4$ |
| 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 0.5 | 0.366 | 0.61759 |  |  |
| 0.75 | 0.514 | 0.57105 |  |  |
| 1.25 | 0.784 | 0.51210 |  |  |
| 2.5 | 1.367 | 0.43106 |  |  |
| 5.0 | 2.330 | 0.34764 |  |  |
| 7.5 | 3.131 | 0.29671 |  |  |
| 10 | 3.824 | 0.25892 | 1.429 | 0.357 |
| 15 | 4.968 | 0.20185 |  |  |
| 20 | 5.862 | 0.15682 |  |  |
| 25 | 6.546 | 0.11733 |  |  |
| 30 | 7.039 | 0.07988 |  |  |
| 35 | 7.343 | 0.04136 |  |  |
| 40 | 7.439 | -0.00721 |  |  |
| 45 | 7.275 | -0.05321 | 1.310 | 0.327 |
| 50 | 6.929 | - 0.08380 | 1.190 | 0.298 |
| 55 | 6.449 | -0.10734 | 1.071 | 0.268 |
| 60 | 5.864 | -0.12567 | 0.952 | 0.238 |
| 65 | 5.199 | -0.13962 | 0.833 | 0.208 |
| 70 | 4.475 | -0.14963 | 0.714 | 0.179 |
| 75 | 3.709 | -0.15589 | 0.595 | 0.149 |
| 80 | 2.922 | $-0.15837$ | 0.476 | 0.119 |
| 85 | 2.132 | -0.15683 | 0.357 | 0.089 |
| 90 | 1.361 | -0.15062 | 0.238 | 0.060 |
| 95 | 0.636 | -0.13816 | 0.119 | 0.030 |
| 100 | 0 | -0.11138 | 0 | 0 |

Data for NACA Mean Line $a=0.4$


| $c_{4}=1.0$ |  | $c_{m a / 4}=-0.139$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y_{c} \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $d y_{c} / d x$ | $\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$ | $\Delta v / V=P_{R} / 4$ |
| 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 0.5 | 0.345 | 0.58195 |  |  |
| 0.75 | 0.485 | 0.53855 |  |  |
| 1.25 | 0.735 | 0.48360 |  |  |
| 2.5 | 1.295 | 0.40815 |  |  |
| 5.0 | 2.205 | 0.33070 |  |  |
| 7.5 | 2.970 | 0.28365 |  |  |
| 10 | 3.630 | 0.24890 |  |  |
| 15 | 4.740 | 0.19690 | 1.333 | 0.333 |
| 20 | 5.620 | 0.15650 |  |  |
| 25 | 6.310 | 0.12180 |  |  |
| 30 | 6.840 | 0.09000 |  |  |
| 35 | 7.215 | 0.05930 |  |  |
| 40 | 7.430 | 0.02800 |  |  |
| 45 | 7.490 | $-0.00630$ |  |  |
| 50 | 7.350 | - 0.05305 |  |  |
| 55 | 6.965 | -0.09765 | 1.200 | 0.300 |
| 60 | 6.405 | -0.12550 | 1.067 | 0.267 |
| 65 | 5.725 | -0.14570 | 0.933 | 0.233 |
| 70 | 4.955 | $-0.16015$ | 0.800 | 0.200 |
| 75 | 4.130 | -0.16960 | 0.667 | 0.167 |
| 80 | 3.265 | -0.17435 | 0.533 | 0.133 |
| 85 | 2.395 | -0.17415 | 0.400 | 0.100 |
| 90 | 1.535 | -0.16850 | 0.267 | 0.067 |
| 95 | 0.720 | $-0.15565$ | 0.133 | 0.033 |
| 100 | 0 | -0.12660 | 0 | 0 |

Data for NACA Mean Line $a=0.5$


| $c_{L_{i}}=1.0$ |  | $2.58^{\circ} \quad c_{m c / 4}=-0.158$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y_{\boldsymbol{c}} \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $d y_{c} / d x$ | $P_{R}$ | $\Delta v / V=P_{k} / 4$ |
| 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 0.5 | 0.325 | 0.54825 |  |  |
| 0.75 | 0.455 | 0.50760 |  |  |
| 1.25 | 0.695 | 0.45615 |  |  |
| 2.5 | 1.220 | 0.38555 |  |  |
| 5.0 | 2.080 | 0.31325 |  |  |
| 7.5 | 2.805 | 0.26950 |  |  |
| 10 | 3.435 | 0.23730 |  |  |
| 15 | 4.495 | 0.18935 |  |  |
| 20 | 5.345 | 0.15250 | 1.250 | 0.312 |
| 25 | 6.035 | 0.12125 |  |  |
| 30 | 6.570 | 0.09310 |  |  |
| 35 | 6.965 | 0.06660 |  |  |
| 40 | 7.235 | 0.04060 |  |  |
| 45 | 7.370 | 0.01405 |  |  |
| 50 | 7.370 | -0.01435 |  |  |
| 55 | 7.220 | - 0.04700 |  |  |
| 60 | 6.880 | - 0.09470 |  |  |
| 65 | 6.275 | - 0.14015 | 1.094 | 0.273 |
| 70 | 5.505 | -0.16595 | 0.938 | 0.234 |
| 75 | 4.630 | -0.18270 | 0.781 | 0.195 |
| 80 | 3.695 | -0.19225 | 0.625 | 0.156 |
| 85 | 2.720 | -0.19515 | 0.469 | 0.117 |
| 90 | 1.755 | -0.19095 | 0.312 | 0.078 |
| 95 | 0.825 | -0.17790 | 0.156 | 0.039 |
| 100 | 0 | -0.14550 | 0 | 0 |

Data for NACA Mean Line $a=0.6$


Data for NACA Miean Line $a-0.7$


| $c_{i}=1.0$ |  | $1.54{ }^{\circ} \quad c_{m / 4}=-0.202$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} x \\ (\text { per cent } c) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y_{c} \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $d y_{c} / d x$ | $P_{R}$ | $\Delta v / V=P_{R} / 4$ |
| 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 0.5 | 0.287 | 0.48535 |  |  |
| 0.75 | 0.404 | 0.44925 |  |  |
| 1.25 | 0.616 | 0.40359 |  |  |
| 2.5 | 1.077 | 0.34104 |  |  |
| 5.0 | 1.841 | 0.27718 |  |  |
| 7.5 | 2.483 | 0.23868 |  |  |
| 10 | 3.043 | 0.21050 |  |  |
| 15 | 3.985 | 0.16892 |  |  |
| 20 | 4.748 | 0.13734 |  |  |
| 25 | 5.367 | 0.11101 |  |  |
| 30 | 5.863 | 0.08775 | 1.111 | 0.278 |
| 35 | 6.248 | 0.06634 |  |  |
| 40 | 6.528 | 0.04601 |  |  |
| 45 | 6.709 | 0.02613 |  |  |
| 50 | 6.790 | 0.00620 |  |  |
| 55 | 6.770 | -0.01433 |  |  |
| 60 | 6.644 | -0.03611 |  |  |
| 65 | 6.405 | -0.06010 |  |  |
| 70 | 6.037 | - 0.08790 |  |  |
| 75 | 5.514 | -0.12311 |  |  |
| 80 | 4.771 | -0.18412 |  |  |
| 85 | 3.683 | -0.23921 | 0.833 | 0.208 |
| 90 | 2.435 | -0.25583 | 0.556 | 0.139 |
| 95 | 1.163 | -0.24904 | 0.278 | 0.069 |
| 100 | 0 | - 0.20385 | 0 | 0 |

Data for NACA Mean Line $a=0.8$


| $c_{L_{i}}=1.0$ |  | $\alpha_{i}=1.40^{\circ}$ | $c_{m / 4}=0.219$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y_{c} \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $d y_{c} / d x$ | $P_{\text {R }}$ | $\Delta v / V=P_{R} / 4$ |
| 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 0.5 | 0.281 | 0.47539 | ) |  |
| 0.75 | 0.396 | 0.44004 |  |  |
| 1.25 | 0.603 | 0.39531 |  |  |
| 2.5 | 1.055 | 0.33404 | 1.092 | 0.273 |
| 5.0 | 1.803 | 0.27149 |  |  |
| 7.5 | 2.432 | 0.23378 |  |  |
| 10 | 2.981 | 0.20618 |  |  |
| 15 | 3.903 | 0.16546 |  |  |
| 20 | 4.651 | 0.13452 |  |  |
| 25 | 5.257 | 0.10873 | 1.096 | 0.274 |
| 30 | 5.742 | 0.08595 |  |  |
| 35 | 6.120 | 0.06498 |  |  |
| 40 | 6.394 | 0.04507 | 1.100 | 0.275 |
| 45 | 6.571 | 0.02559 |  |  |
| 50 | 6.651 | 0.00607 |  |  |
| 55 | 6.631 | -0.01404 | 1.104 | 0.276 |
| 60 | 6.508 | - 0.03537 |  |  |
| 65 | 6.274 | -0.05887 | 1.108 | 0.277 |
| 70 | 5.913 | -0.08610 | 1.108 | 0.277 |
| 75 | 5.401 | -0.12058 | 1.112 | 0.278 |
| 80 | 4.673 | -0.18034 | 1.112 | 0.278 |
| 85 | 3.607 | - 0.23430 | 0.840 | 0.210 |
| 90 | 2.452 | -0.24521 | 0.588 | 0.147 |
| 95 | 1.226 | -0.24521 | 0.368 | 0.092 |
| 100 | 0 | -0.24521 | 0 | 0 |

Data for NACA Mean Line $a=0.8$ (modified)


| $c_{l_{i}}=1.0$ |  | =0.90 ${ }^{\circ} \quad c_{m_{c / 4} / 4}=-0.225$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{c}} \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $d y_{c} / d x$ | $P_{R}$ | $\Delta x / V=P_{R} / 4$ |
| 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 0.5 | 0.269 | 0.45482 |  |  |
| 0.75 | 0.379 | 0.42064 |  |  |
| 1.25 | 0.577 | 0.37740 |  |  |
| 2.5 | 1.008 | 0.31821 |  |  |
| 5.0 | 1.720 | 0.25786 |  |  |
| 7.5 | 2.316 | 0.22153 |  |  |
| 10 | 2.835 | 0.19500 |  |  |
| 15 | 3.707 | 0.15595 |  |  |
| 20 | 4.410 | 0.12644 |  |  |
| 25 | 4.980 | 0.10196 |  |  |
| 30 | 5.435 | 0.08047 |  |  |
| 35 | 5.787 | 0.06084 | 1.053 | 0.263 |
| 40 | 6.045 | 0.04234 |  |  |
| 45 | 6.212 | 0.02447 |  |  |
| 50 | 6.290 | 0.00678 |  |  |
| 55 | 6.279 | -0.01111 |  |  |
| 60 | 6.178 | -0.02965 |  |  |
| 65 | 5.981 | -0.04938 |  |  |
| 70 | 5.681 | -0.07103 |  |  |
| 75 | 5.265 | - 0.09583 |  |  |
| 80 | 4.714 | -0.12605 |  |  |
| 85 | 3.987 | -0.16727 |  |  |
| 90 | 2.984 | -0.25204 |  |  |
| 95 | 1.503 | -0.31463 | 0.526 | 0.132 |
| 100 | 0 | -0.26086 | 0 | 0 |

Data for NACA Mean Line $a=0.9$


| $c_{l}=1.0$ |  | $=0^{\circ} \quad c_{m / 4}=-0.250$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} x \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} y_{c} \\ \text { (per cent } c \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $d y_{c} / d x$ | $P_{R}$ | $\Delta v / V=P_{R} / 4$ |
| 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| 0.5 | 0.250 | 0.42120 |  |  |
| 0.75 | 0.350 | 0.38875 |  |  |
| 1.25 | 0.535 | 0.34770 |  |  |
| 2.5 | 0.930 | 0.29155 |  |  |
| 5.0 | 1.580 | 0.23430 |  |  |
| 7.5 | 2.120 | 0.19995 |  |  |
| 10 | 2.585 | 0.17485 |  |  |
| 15 | 3.365 | 0.13805 |  |  |
| 20 | 3.980 | 0.11030 |  |  |
| 25 | 4.475 | 0.08745 |  |  |
| 30 | 4.860 | 0.06745 |  |  |
| 35 | 5.150 | 0.04925 |  |  |
| 40 | 5.355 | 0.03225 | 1.000 | 0.250 |
| 45 | 5.475 | 0.01595 |  |  |
| 50 | 5.515 | 0 |  |  |
| 55 | 5.475 | -0.01595 |  |  |
| 60 | 5.355 | -0.03225 |  |  |
| 65 | 5.150 | -0.04925 |  |  |
| 70 | 4.860 | -0.06745 |  |  |
| 75 | 4.475 | -0.08745 |  |  |
| 80 | 3.980 | -0.11030 |  |  |
| 85 | 3.365 | -0.13805 |  |  |
| 90 | 2.585 | -0.17485 |  |  |
| 95 | 1.580 | $-0.23430$ |  |  |
| 100 | 0 |  |  |  |

Data ior NACA Mean Lint $u=1.0$
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| 4412 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 412 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4415 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 412 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4418 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 412 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4421 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 413 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| 631-412. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 417 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 632-215. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 418 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 632-415. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 418 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 632-615. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 419 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 63-218. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 419 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 63-418. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 420 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 63-618. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 420 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 63^221 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 421 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 63-421 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 421 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 63A210 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 422 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 64-108 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 422 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 64-110 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 423 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 64-206 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 423 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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NACA 0010-34
$a=0.8$ (modified) $\quad c_{i}=0.2$
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.687 | 0.790 | 0.813 | $-0.632$ |
| 1.176 | 1.062 | 1.324 | $-0.820$ |
| 2.407 | 1.608 | 2.593 | - 1.186 |
| 4.887 | 2.436 | 5.113 | - 1.714 |
| 7.378 | 3.094 | 7.622 | - 2.122 |
| 9.875 | 3.637 | 10.125 | - 2.445 |
| 14.876 | 4.523 | 15.124 | - 2.961 |
| 19.886 | 5.172 | 20.114 | - 3.312 |
| 20.917 | 5.080 | 30.083 | - 3.684 |
| 39.955 | 6.279 | 40.045 | $-3.721$ |
| 49.994 | 6.186 | 50.006 | - 3.526 |
| 60.031 | 5.735 | 59.969 | $-3.131$ |
| 70.00 .4 | 4.915 | 69.936 | - 2.549 |
| 80.100 | 3.700 | 79.900 | $-1.830$ |
| 90.076 | 2.044 | 89.92- | - 1.064 |
| 95.042 | 1.100 | 94.958 | -0.610 |
| 100.000 | 0.100 | 100.000 | $-0.100$ |
| L.E. radius: 0.272 |  |  |  |
| Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.095 |  |  |  |

NACA 0012-64
$a=0.8$ (modified) $\quad c_{l_{i}}=0.2$
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.107 | 1.928 | 1.393 | - 1.686 |
| 2.336 | 2.659 | 2.664 | - 2.237 |
| 4.823 | 3.623 | 5.177 | $-2.901$ |
| 7.322 | 4.295 | 7.678 | $-3.323$ |
| 9.825 | 4.832 | 10.175 | $-3.640$ |
| 14.839 | 5.645 | 15.161 | $-4.083$ |
| 19.858 | 6.221 | 20.142 | -4.361 |
| 29.900 | 6.974 | 30.100 | -4.678 |
| 30.946 | 7.279 | 40.054 | $-4.721$ |
| 49.993 | 7.157 | 50.007 | -4.497 |
| 60.038 | 6.622 | 59.962 | $-4.018$ |
| 70.077 | 5.662 | 69.923 | -3.296 |
| 80.120 | 4.253 | 79.880 | $-2.383$ |
| 90.091 | 2.355 | 89.909 | $-1.375$ |
| 95.050 | 1.271 | 94.950 | $-0.781$ |
| 100.000 | 0.120 | 100.000 | $-0.120$ |
| L.E. radius: 1.582 <br> Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.095 |  |  |  |

NACA 1408
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.189 | 1.324 | 1.311 | $-1.200$ |
| 2.418 | 1.862 | 2.582 | - 1.620 |
| 4.896 | 2.602 | 5.104 | - 2.134 |
| 7.386 | 3.138 | 7.614 | $-2.458$ |
| 9.883 | 3.558 | 10.117 | - 2.682 |
| 14.889 | 4.171 | 15.111 | $-2.953$ |
| 19.904 | 4.574 | 20.096 | - 3.074 |
| 24.926 | 4.819 | 25.074 | - 3.101 |
| 29.950 | 4.939 | 30.050 | - 3.063 |
| 40.000 | 4.869 | 40.000 | - 2.869 |
| 50.020 | 4.502 | 49.980 | - 2.556 |
| 60.034 | 3.931 | 59.966 | - 2.153 |
| 70.041 | 3.193 | 69.959 | - 1.693 |
| 80.039 | 2.305 | 79.961 | - 1.193 |
| 90.027 | 1.271 | 89.973 | -0.659 |
| 95.016 | 0.698 | 94.984 | - 0.378 |
| 100.000 | 0.084 | 100.000 | -0.084 |
| L.E. radius: 0.70 <br> Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.05 |  |  |  |

NACA 1410
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.174 | 1.030 | 1.326 | $-1.515$ |
| 2.398 | 2.297 | 2.602 | - 2.055 |
| 4.870 | 3.194 | 5.130 | - 2.726 |
| 7.358 | 3.837 | 7.642 | - 3.157 |
| 9.854 | 4.338 | 10.146 | - 3.462 |
| 14.861 | 5.062 | 15.139 | - 3.844 |
| 19.880 | 5.531 | 20.120 | - 4.031 |
| 24.907 | 5.809 | 25.093 | -4.091 |
| 29.937 | 5.940 | 30.063 | -4.064 |
| 40.000 | 5.836 | 40.000 | - 3.836 |
| 50.025 | 5.385 | 49.975 | - 3.439 |
| 60.042 | 4.692 | 59.958 | - 2.914 |
| 70.051 | 3.804 | 69.949 | - 2.304 |
| 80.049 | 2.741 | 79.951 | - 1.629 |
| 90.034 | 1.513 | 88.966 | -0.001 |
| 95.021 | 0.832 | 94.979 | -0.512 |
| 100.000 | 0.105 | 100.000 | -0.105 |
| I.E. radius: 1.10 |  |  |  |
| Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.05 |  |  |  |

NACA 1412
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.168 | 1.054 | 1.342 | -1.830 |
| 2.378 | 2.733 | 2.022 | -2.491 |
| 4.845 | 3.786 | 5.155 | -3.318 |
| 7.330 | 4.537 | 7.670 | -3.857 |
| 9.824 | 5.118 | 10.176 | -4.242 |
| 14.833 | 5.951 | 15.167 | -4.733 |
| 19.857 | 6.486 | 20.143 | -4.986 |
| 24.889 | 6.799 | 25.111 | -5.081 |
| 29.925 | 6.940 | 30.075 | -5.064 |
| 40.000 | 6.803 | 40.000 | -4.803 |
| 50.029 | 6.267 | 49.971 | -4.321 |
| 60.051 | 5.453 | 59.949 | -3.675 |
| 70.061 | 4.413 | 69.930 | -2.913 |
| 80.058 | 3.178 | 79.942 | -2.066 |
| 90.040 | 1.753 | 89.960 | -1.141 |
| 95.025 | 0.966 | 94.975 | -0.646 |
| 100.000 | 0.126 | 100.000 | -0.126 |
| L.E. radius: 1.58 |  |  |  |
| Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.05 |  |  |  |

NACA 2408
Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1,128 | 1.380 | 1.372 | - 1.134 |
| 2.337 | 1.977 | 2.663 | - 1.493 |
| 4.794 | 2.829 | 5.206 | - 1.891 |
| 7.273 | 3.471 | 7.727 | - 2.111 |
| 9.768 | 3.987 | 10.232 | - 2.237 |
| 14.778 | 4.776 | 15.222 | - 2.338 |
| 19.809 | 5.320 | 20.191 | - 2.320 |
| 24.852 | 5.677 | 25.148 | - 2.239 |
| 29.900 | 5.875 | 30.100 | - 2.125 |
| 40.000 | 5.860 | 40.000 | - 1.869 |
| 50.039 | 5.473 | 49.961 | - 1.585 |
| 60.068 | 4.820 | 59.932 | - 1.264 |
| 70.081 | 3.942 | 69.919 | - 0.942 |
| 80.078 | 2.858 | 79.922 | $-0.636$ |
| 90.054 | 1.575 | 89.946 | - 0.353 |
| 95.033 | 0.855 | 94.967 | $-0.217$ |
| 100.000 | 0.084 | 100.000 | $-0.084$ |

L.E. radius: 0.70

Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.1

NACA 2410
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.098 | 1.694 | 1.402 | - 1.448 |
| 2.297 | 2.411 | 2.703 | - 1.927 |
| 4.742 | 3.420 | 5.258 | - 2.482 |
| 7.217 | 4.169 | 7.783 | - 2.809 |
| 9.710 | 4.766 | 10.290 | - 3.016 |
| 14.722 | 5.665 | 15.278 | - 3.227 |
| 19.761 | 6.276 | 20.239 | - 3.276 |
| 24.814 | 6.668 | 25.186 | - 3.230 |
| 29.875 | 6.875 | 30.125 | -3.125 |
| 40.000 | 6.837 | 40.000 | - 2.837 |
| 50.049 | 6.356 | 49.951 | - 2.468 |
| 60.085 | 5.580 | 59.915 | - 2.024 |
| 70.102 | 4.551 | 69.898 | -1.551 |
| 80.097 | 3.296 | 79.903 | - 1.074 |
| 90.067 | 1.816 | 89.933 | -0.594 |
| 95.041 | 0.980 | 94.959 | -0.352 |
| 100.000 | 0.105 | 100.000 | -0.105 |
| L.E. radius: 1.10 <br> Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.1 |  |  |  |

NACA 2412
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 1.25 | 2.15 | 1.25 | - 1.65 |
| 2.5 | 2.99 | 2.5 | - 2.27 |
| 5.0 | 4.13 | 5.0 | - 3.01 |
| 7.5 | 4.96 | 7.5 | - 3.46 |
| 10 | 5.63 | 10 | - 3.75 |
| 15 | 6.61 | 15 | - 4.10 |
| 20 | 7.26 | 20 | - 4.23 |
| 25 | 7.67 | 25 | - 4.22 |
| 30 | 7.88 | 30 | - 4.12 |
| 40 | 7.80 | 40 | - 3.80 |
| 50 | 7.24 | 50 | - 3.34 |
| 60 | 6.36 | 60 | - 2.76 |
| 70 | 5.18 | 70 | - 2.14 |
| 80 | 3.75 | 80 | - 1.50 |
| 90 | 2.08 | 90 | - 0.82 |
| 95 | 1.14 | 95 | -0.48 |
| 100 | (0.13) | 100 | (-0.13) |
| 100 | ..... | 100 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 1.58 <br> Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.10 - |  |  |  |

NACA 2415
$\stackrel{H}{0}$
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 1.25 | 2.71 | 1.25 | - 2.06 |
| 2.5 | 3.71 | 2.5 | - 2.86 |
| 5.0 | 5.07 | 5.0 | - 3.84 |
| 7.5 | 6.06 | 7.5 | - 4.47 |
| 10 | 6.83 | 10 | - 4.90 |
| 15 | 7.97 | 15 | - 5.42 |
| 20 | 8.70 | 20 | - 5.66 |
| 25 | 9.17 | 25 | - 5.70 |
| 30 | 9.38 | 30 | - 5.62 |
| 40 | 9.25 | 40 | - 5.25 |
| 50 | 8.57 | 50 | - 4.67 |
| 60 | 7.50 | 60 | - 3.90 |
| 70 | 6.10 | 70 | - 3.05 |
| 80 | 4.41 | 80 | - 2.15 |
| 90 | 2.45 | 90 | - 1.17 |
| 95 | 1.34 | 95 | -0.68 |
| 100 | (0.16) | 100 | (-0.16) |
| 100 | .... | 100 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 2.48 <br> Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.10 |  |  |  |

theory of wing sections

NACA 2418
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | $\ldots \ldots$. | 0 | 0 |
| 1.25 | 3.28 | 1.25 | -2.45 |
| 2.5 | 4.45 | 2.5 | -3.44 |
| 5.0 | 6.03 | 5.0 | -4.68 |
| 7.5 | 7.17 | 7.5 | -5.48 |
| 10 | 8.05 | 10 | -6.03 |
| 15 | 9.34 | 15 | -6.74 |
| 20 | 10.15 | 20 | -7.09 |
| 25 | 10.65 | 25 | -7.18 |
| 30 | 10.88 | 30 | -7.12 |
| 40 | 10.71 | 40 | -6.71 |
| 50 | 9.89 | 50 | -5.99 |
| 60 | 8.65 | 60 | -5.04 |
| 70 | 7.02 | 70 | -3.97 |
| 80 | 5.08 | 80 | -2.80 |
| 90 | 2.81 | 90 | -1.53 |
| 95 | 1.55 | 95 | -0.87 |
| 100 | $(0.19)$ | 100 | $(-0.19)$ |
| 100 | $\ldots . .$. | 100 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 3.56 |  |  |  |
| Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.10 |  |  |  |

NACA 2421
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 1.25 | 3.87 | 1.25 | - 2.82 |
| 2.5 | 5.21 | 2.5 | - 4.02 |
| 5.0 | 7.00 | 5.0 | $-5.51$ |
| 7.5 | 8.29 | 7.5 | -6.48 |
| 10 | 9.28 | 10 | - 7.18 |
| 15 | 10.70 | 15 | -8.05 |
| 20 | 11.59 | 20 | -8.52 |
| 25 | 12.15 | 25 | -8.67 |
| 30 | 12.38 | 30 | -8.62 |
| 40 | 12.16 | 40 | -8.16 |
| 50 | 11.22 | 50 | - 7.31 |
| 60 | 8.79 | 60 | -6.17 |
| 70 | 7.94 | 70 | - 4.87 |
| 80 | 5.74 | 80 | - 3.44 |
| 90 | 3.18 | 90 | - 1.88 |
| 95 | 1.76 | 95 | - 1.06 |
| 100 | (0.22) | 100 | (-0.22) |
| 100 |  | 100 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 4.85 |  |  |  |
| Slope of radius through I..E.: 0.10 |  |  |  |

NACA 2424
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.885 | 3.892 | 1.615 | - 3.646 |
| 2.012 | 5.449 | 2.988 | - 4.965 |
| 4.380 | 7.552 | 5.620 | - 6.614 |
| 6.820 | 9.052 | 8.180 | - 7.692 |
| 9.300 | 10.215 | 10.700 | -8.465 |
| 14.333 | 11.888 | 15.667 | - 9.450 |
| 19.427 | 12.959 | 20.573 | - 9.959 |
| 24.555 | 13.593 | 25.445 | - 10.155 |
| 29.700 | 13.874 | 30.300 | - 10.124 |
| 40.000 | 13.606 | 40.000 | -9.606 |
| 50.118 | 12.532 | 49.882 | -8.644 |
| 60.203 | 10.903 | 59.797 | - 7.347 |
| 70.244 | 8.824 | 69.756 | - 5.824 |
| 80.233 | 6.352 | 79.767 | - 4.130 |
| 90.161 | 3.502 | 89.839 | - 2.280 |
| 95.098 | 1.930 | 94.902 | $-1.292$ |
| 100.000 |  | 100.000 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 6.33 |  |  |  |
| Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.10 |  |  |  |

NACA 4412
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.25 | 2.44 | 1.25 | $-1.43$ |
| 2.5 | 3.39 | 2.5 | - 1.95 |
| 5.0 | 4.73 | 5.0 | $-2.49$ |
| 7.5 | 5.76 | 7.5 | - 2.74 |
| 10 | 6.59 | 10 | - 2.86 |
| 15 | 7.89 | 15 | - 2.88 |
| 20 | 8.80 | 20 | - 2.74 |
| 25 | 9.41 | 25 | - 2.50 |
| 30 | 9.76 | 30 | - 2.26 |
| 40 | 9.80 | 40 | - 1.80 |
| 50 | 9.19 | 50 | - 1.40 |
| 60 | 8.14 | 60 | - 1.00 |
| 70 | 6.69 | 70 | -0.65 |
| 80 | 4.89 | 80 | -0.39 |
| 90 | 2.71 | 90 | -0.22 |
| 95 | 1.47 | 95 | -0.16 |
| 100 | (0.13) | 100 | (-0.13) |
| 100 |  | 100 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 1.58 |  |  |  |
| Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.20 |  |  |  |

NACA 4415
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | ...... | 0 | 0 |
| 1.25 | 3.07 | 1.25 | $-1.79$ |
| 2.5 | 4.17 | 2.5 | - 2.48 |
| 5.0 | 5.74 | 5.0 | - 3.27 |
| 7.5 | 6.91 | 7.5 | - 3.71 |
| 10 | 7.84 | 10 | - 3.98 |
| 15 | 9.27 | 15 | - 4.18 |
| 20 | 10.25 | 20 | - 4.15 |
| 25 | 10.92 | 25 | - 3.98 |
| 30 | 11.25 | 30 | - 3.75 |
| 40 | 11.25 | 40 | - 3.25 |
| 50 | 10.53 | 50 | - 2.72 |
| 60 | 9.3) | 60 | - 2.14 |
| 70 | 7.63 | 70 | - 1.55 |
| 80 | 5.55 | 80 | - 1.03 |
| 90 | 3.08 | 90 | $-0.57$ |
| 95 | 1.67 | 95 | $-0.36$ |
| 100 | (0.16) | 100 | ( -0.16 ) |
| 100 | . . . . . . | 100 | 0 |
| I. F. radius: 2.48 |  |  |  |
| Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.20 |  |  |  |

NACA 4418
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | ...... | 0 | 0 |
| 1.25 | 3.76 | 1.25 | - 2.11 |
| 2.5 | 5.00 | 2.5 | - 2.99 |
| 5.0 | 6.75 | 5.0 | - 4.06 |
| 7.5 | 8.06 | 7.5 | - 4.67 |
| 10 | 9.11 | 10 | - 5.06 |
| 15 | 10.66 | 15 | - 5.49 |
| 20 | 11.72 | 20 | - 5.56 |
| 25 | 12.40 | 25 | - 5.49 |
| 30 | 12.76 | 30 | - 5.26 |
| 40 | 12.70 | 40 | - 4.70 |
| 50 | 11.85 | 50 | - 4.02 |
| 60 | 10.44 | 60 | - 3.24 |
| 70 | 8.55 | 70 | - 2.45 |
| 80 | 6.22 | 80 | $-1.67$ |
| 90 | 3.46 | 90 | $-0.93$ |
| 95 | 1.89 | 95 | -0.55 |
| 100 | (0.19) | 100 | (-0.19) |
| 100 |  | 100 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 3.56 |  |  |  |
| Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.20 |  |  |  |

NACA 4421
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 0 | 0 |
| 1.25 | 4.45 | 1.25 | -2.42 |
| 2.5 | 5.84 | 2.5 | -3.48 |
| 5.0 | 7.82 | 5.0 | -4.78 |
| 7.5 | 9.24 | 7.5 | -5.62 |
| 10 | 10.35 | 10 | -6.15 |
| 15 | 12.04 | 15 | -6.75 |
| 20 | 13.17 | 20 | -6.98 |
| 25 | 13.88 | 25 | -6.92 |
| 30 | 14.27 | 30 | -6.76 |
| 40 | 14.16 | 40 | -6.16 |
| 50 | 13.18 | 50 | -5.34 |
| 60 | 11.60 | 60 | -4.40 |
| 70 | 9.50 | 70 | -3.35 |
| 80 | 6.91 | 80 | -2.31 |
| 90 | 3.85 | 90 | -1.27 |
| 95 | 2.11 | 95 | -0.74 |
| 100 | $(0.22)$ | 100 | $(-0.22)$ |
| 100 | $\ldots . \ldots$ | 100 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 4.85 |  |  |  |
| Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.20 |  |  |  |

NACA 4424
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.530 | 3.964 | 1.970 | $-3.472$ |
| 1.536 | 5.624 | 3.464 | - 4.656 |
| 3.775 | 7.942 | 6.225 | -6.066 |
| 6.153 | 9.651 | 8.847 | - 6.931 |
| 8.611 | 11.012 | 11.389 | - 7.512 |
| 13.674 | 13.045 | 16.326 | -8.169 |
| 18.858 | 14.416 | 21.142 | -8.416 |
| 24.111 | 15.287 | 25.889 | -8.411 |
| 29.401 | 15.738 | 30.509 | -8.238 |
| 40.000 | 15.606 | 40.000 | $-7.606$ |
| 50.235 | 14.47 .4 | 49.765 | - 6.698 |
| 00.405 | 12.674 | 59.595 | $-5.562$ |
| 70.487 | 10.312 | 69.513 | - 4.312 |
| 80.464 | 7.447 | 70.536 | $-3.003$ |
| 90.320 | 4.099 | 89.680 | $-1.655$ |
| 95.196 | 2.240 | 94.804 | -0.964 |
| 100.000 |  | 100.000 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 6.33 |  |  |  |
| Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.20 |  |  |  |

NACA 23012
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | . . . . | 0 | 0 |
| 1.25 | 2.67 | 1.25 | $-1.23$ |
| 2.5 | 3.61 | 2.5 | - 1.71 |
| 5.0 | 4.91 | 5.0 | - 2.26 |
| 7.5 | 5.80 | 7.5 | - 2.61 |
| 10 | 6.43 | 10 | - 2.92 |
| 15 | 7.19 | 15 | - 3.50 |
| 20 | 7.53 | 20 | - 3.97 |
| 25 | 7.80 | 25 | -4.28 |
| 30 | 7.55 | 30 | - 4.46 |
| 40 | 7.14 | 40 | - 4.48 |
| 50 | 6.41 | 50 | - 4.17 |
| 60 | 5.47 | 60 | - 3.67 |
| 70 | 4.36 | 70 | - 3.00 |
| 80 | 3.08 | 80 | - 2.16 |
| 90 | 1.68 | 90 | - 1.23 |
| 95 | 0.92 | 95 | $-0.70$ |
| 100 | (0.13) | 100 | (-0.13) |
| 100 |  | 100 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 1.58 <br> Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.305 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

NACA 23015
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | .... | 0 | 0 |
| 1.25 | 3.34 | 1.25 | - 1.54 |
| 2.5 | 4.44 | 2.5 | - 2.25 |
| 5.0 | 5.89 | 5.0 | - 3.04 |
| 7.5 | 6.90 | 7.5 | -3.61 |
| 10 | 7.64 | 10 | - 4.09 |
| 15 | 8.52 | 15 | - 4.84 |
| 20 | 8.92 | 20 | - 5.41 |
| 25 | 9.08 | 25 | - 5.78 |
| 30 | 9.05 | 30 | $-5.96$ |
| 40 | 8.59 | 40 | $-5.92$ |
| 50 | 7.74 | 50 | $-5.50$ |
| 60 | 6.61 | 60 | - 4.81 |
| 70 | 5.25 | 70 | - 3.91 |
| 80 | 3.73 | 80 | $-2.83$ |
| 90 | 2.04 | 90 | - 1.59 |
| 95 | 1.12 | 95 | - 0.90 |
| 100 | (0.16) | 100 | $(-0.16)$ |
| 100 |  | 100 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 2.48 <br> Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.305 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

NACA 23018
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 1.25 | 4.09 | 1.25 | $-1.83$ |
| 2.5 | 5.29 | 2.5 | - 2.71 |
| 5.0 | 6.92 | 5.0 | - 3.80 |
| 7.5 | 8.01 | 7.5 | - 4.60 |
| 10 | 8.83 | 10 | - 5.22 |
| 15 | 9.86 | 15 | - 6.18 |
| 20 | 10.36 | 20 | - 6.86 |
| 25 | 10.56 | 25 | - 7.27 |
| 30 | 10.55 | 30 | - 7.47 |
| 40 | 10.04 | 40 | - 7.37 |
| 50 | 9.05 | 50 | - 6.81 |
| 60 | 7.75 | 60 | - 5.94 |
| 70 | 6.18 | 70 | - 4.82 |
| 80 | 4.40 | 80 | - 3.48 |
| 90 | 2.39 | 90 | - 1.94 |
| 95 | 1.32 | 95 | - 1.09 |
| 100 | (0.19) | 100 | (-0.19) |
| 100 | . . . . . . | 100 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 3.56 <br> Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.305 |  |  |  |

NACA 23021
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | . . . . . | 0 | 0 |
| 1.25 | 4.87 | 1.25 | - 2.08 |
| 2.5 | 6.14 | 2.5 | - 3.14 |
| 5.0 | 7.93 | 5.0 | - 4.52 |
| 7.5 | 9.13 | 7.5 | $-5.55$ |
| 10 | 10.03 | 10 | -6.32 |
| 15 | 11.19 | 15 | - 7.51 |
| 20 | 11.80 | 20 | -8.30 |
| 25 | 12.05 | 25 | -8.76 |
| 30 | 12.06 | 30 | $-8.95$ |
| 40 | 11.49 | 40 | $-8.83$ |
| 50 | 10.40 | 50 | -8.14 |
| 60 | 8.90 | 60 | - 7.07 |
| 70 | 7.09 | 70 | - 5.72 |
| 80 | 5.05 | 80 | - 4.13 |
| 90 | 2.76 | 90 | - 2.30 |
| 95 | 1.53 | 95 | - 1.30 |
| 100 | (0.22) | 100 | (-0.22) |
| 100 | ........ | 100 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 4.85 |  |  |  |
| Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.305 |  |  |  |

$\stackrel{4}{4}$
theory of wing sections

NACA 23024
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.277 | 4.017 | 2.223 | - 3.303 |
| 1.331 | 5.764 | 3.669 | - 4.432 |
| 3.853 | 8.172 | 6.147 | - 5.862 |
| 6.601 | 9.844 | 8.399 | -6.860 |
| 9.423 | 11.049 | 10.577 | - 7.647 |
| 15.001 | 12.528 | 14.999 | -8.852 |
| 20.253 | 13.237 | 19.747 | -9.703 |
| 25.262 | 13.535 | 24.738 | - 10.223 |
| 30.265 | 13.546 | 29.735 | - 10.454 |
| 40.256 | 12.928 | 39.744 | - 10.278 |
| 50.235 | 11.690 | 49.766 | - 9.482 |
| 60.202 | 10.008 | 59.798 | - 8.242 |
| 70.162 | 7.988 | 69.838 | -6.664 |
| 80.116 | 5.687 | 79.884 | -4.803 |
| 90.064 | 3.115 | 89.936 | $-2.673$ |
| 95.036 | 1.724 | 94.964 | - 1.504 |
| 100 |  | 100 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 6.33 |  |  |  |
| Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.305 |  |  |  |

NACA 63-206
(Stations and ordinates given in percent of airfoil chord)

| Upper Surface |  | Lower Surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| . 458 | . 551 | . 542 | -. 451 |
| . 703 | . 677 | . 797 | -. 537 |
| 1.197 | . 876 | 1.303 | - . 662 |
| 2.438 | 1.241 | 2.562 | -. 869 |
| 4.932 | 1.776 | 5.068 | - 1.144 |
| 7.429 | 2.189 | 7.571 | - 1.341 |
| 9.930 | 2.526 | 10.070 | - 1.492 |
| 14.934 | 3.058 | 15.066 | - 1.712 |
| 19.941 | 3.451 | 20.059 | - 1.859 |
| 24.950 | 3.736 | 25.050 | - 1.946 |
| 29.960 | 3.926 | 30.040 | - 1.982 |
| 34.970 | 4.030 | 35.030 | - 1.970 |
| 39.981 | 4.042 | 40.019 | - 1.900 |
| 44.991 | 3.972 | 45.009 | - 1.782 |
| 50.000 | 3.826 | 50.000 | - 1.620 |
| 55.008 | 3.612 | 54.992 | - 1.422 |
| 60.015 | 3.338 | 59.985 | - 1.196 |
| 65.020 | 3.012 | 64.980 | -. 952 |
| 70.023 | 2.642 | 69.977 | - . 698 |
| 75.023 | 2.237 | 74.927 | -. 447 |
| 80.022 | 1.804 | 79.978 | -. 212 |
| 85.019 | 1.356 | 84.981 | - . 010 |
| 90.013 | . 900 | 89.987 | . 134 |
| 95.006 | . 454 | 94.994 | . 178 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 0.297 <br> Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.0842 |  |  |  |


| NACA 63-209 <br> (Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord) |  |  |  | NACA 63-210 <br> (Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upper | surface | Lower | surface | Upper | surface | Lowe | surface |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0.436 | 0.796 | 0.563 | - 0.696 | 0.430 | 0.876 | 0.570 | $-0.776$ |
| 0.680 | 0.973 | 0.820 | - 0.833 | 0.669 | 1.107 | 0.831 | -0.967 |
| 1.170 | 1.255 | 1.330 | - 1.041 | 1.162 | 1.379 | 1.338 | - 1.165 |
| 2.408 | 1.765 | 2.592 | - 1.393 | 2.398 | 1.939 | 2.602 | -1.567 |
| 4.897 | 2.510 | 5.103 | - 1.878 | 4.886 | 2.753 | 5.114 | - 2.121 |
| 7.394 | 3.077 | 7.606 | - 2.229 | 7.382 | 3.372 | 7.618 | - 2.524 |
| 9.894 | 3.539 | 10.106 | -2.505 | 9.882 | 3.877 | 10.118 | - 2.843 |
| 14.901 | 4.263 | 15.099 | - 2.917 | 14.890 | 4.665 | 15.110 | - 3.319 |
| 19.912 | 4.792 | 20.088 | -3.200 | 19.902 | 5.240 | 20.098 | - 3.648 |
| 24.925 | 5.169 | 25.075 | - 3.379 | 24.917 | 5.647 | 25.083 | - 3.857 |
| 29.940 | 5.414 | 30.060 | - 3.470 | 29.933 | 5.910 | 30.067 | -3.966 |
| 34.956 | 5.530 | 35.044 | - 3.470 | 34.951 | 6.030 | 35.049 | - 3.970 |
| 39.971 | 5.518 | 40.029 | - 3.376 | 39.968 | 6.009 | 40.032 | $-3.867$ |
| 44.986 | 5.391 | 45.014 | -3.201 | 44.985 | 5.861 | 45.015 | - 3.671 |
| 50.000 | 5.159 | 50.000 | - 2.953 | 50.000 | 5.599 | 50.000 | - 3.393 |
| 55.012 | 4.834 | 54.988 | -2.644 | 55.013 | 5.23 | 54.987 | -3.045 |
| 60.022 | 4.429 | 59.978 | -2.287 | 60.024 | 4.786 | 59.976 | -2.644 |
| 65.029 | 3.958 | 64.971 | - 1.898 | 65.032 | 4.264 | 64.968 | - 2.204 |
| 70.033 | 3.430 | 69.967 | - 1.486 | 70.036 | 3.684 | 69.964 | 740 |
| 75.034 | 2.861 | 74.966 | - 1.071 | 75.038 | 3.061 | 74.962 | - 1.271 |
| 80.032 | 2.267 | 79.968 | -0.675 | 80.036 | 2.414 | 79.964 | -0.822 |
| 85.027 | 1.663 | 84.973 | -0.317 | 85.030 | 1.761 | 84.970 | - 0.415 |
| 90.019 | 1.067 | 89.981 | -0.033 | 90.021 | 1.121 | 89.979 | -0.087 |
| 95.009 | 0.512 | 94.991 | 0.120 | 010 | 0.530 | 94.990 | 0.102 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 0.631 <br> Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.0842 |  |  |  | L.E. radius: 0.770 <br> Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.0842 |  |  |  |

NACA 631-212
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.417 | 1.032 | 0.583 | -0.932 |
| 0.657 | 1.260 | 0.843 | - 1.120 |
| 1.145 | 1.622 | 1.355 | - 1.408 |
| 2.378 | 2.284 | 2.622 | - 1.912 |
| 4.863 | 3.238 | 5.137 | -2.606 |
| 7.358 | 3.963 | 7.642 | - 3.115 |
| 9.859 | 4.554 | 10.141 | - 3.520 |
| 14.868 | 5.470 | 15.132 | -4.124 |
| 19.882 | 6.137 | 20.118 | -4.545 |
| 24.900 | 6.606 | 25.100 | -4.816 |
| 29.920 | 6.901 | 30.080 | -4.957 |
| 34.941 | 7.030 | 35.059 | -4.970 |
| 39.962 | 6.991 | 40.038 | -4.849 |
| 44.982 | 6.799 | 45.018 | - 4.609 |
| 50.000 | 6.473 | 50.000 | -4.267 |
| 55.016 | 6.030 | 54.984 | -3.840 |
| 60.029 | 5.491 | 59.971 | - 3.349 |
| 65.038 | 4.870 | 64.962 | - 2.810 |
| 70.043 | 4.182 | 69.957 | - 2.238 |
| 75.045 | 3.451 | 74.955 | - 1.661 |
| 80.042 | 2.698 | 79.958 | $-1.106$ |
| 85.035 | 1.947 | 84.965 | -0.601 |
| 90.025 | 1.224 | 89.975 | -0.190 |
| 95.012 | 0.566 | 94.988 | 0.066 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 1.087 |  |  |  |
| Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.0842 |  |  |  |

NACA 63 - 412
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.336 | 1.071 | 0.664 | -0.871 |
| 0.567 | 1.320 | 0.933 | - 1.040 |
| 1.041 | 1.719 | 1.459 | - 1.291 |
| 2.257 | 2.460 | 2.743 | - 1.716 |
| 4.727 | 3.544 | 5.273 | -2.280 |
| 7.218 | 4.379 | 7.782 | - 2.685 |
| 9.718 | 5.063 | 10.282 | -2.995 |
| 14.735 | 6.138 | 15.265 | - 3.446 |
| 19.765 | 6.929 | 20.235 | -3.745 |
| 24.800 | 7.499 | 25.200 | - 3.919 |
| 29.840 | 7.872 | 30.160 | -3.984 |
| 34.882 | 8.059 | 35.118 | -3.939 |
| 39.924 | 8.062 | 40.076 | - 3.778 |
| 44.964 | 7.894 | 45.036 | -3.514 |
| 50.000 | 7.576 | 50.000 | - 3.164 |
| 55.031 | 7.125 | 54.969 | - 2.745 |
| 60.057 | 6.562 | 59.943 | - 2.278 |
| 65.076 | 5.899 | 64.924 | -1.779 |
| 70.087 | 5.153 | 69.913 | $-1.265$ |
| 75.089 | 4.344 | 74.911 | -0.764 |
| 80.084 | 3.492 | 79.916 | $-0.308$ |
| 85.070 | 2.618 | 84.930 | 0.074 |
| 90.049 | 1.739 | 89.951 | 0.329 |
| 95.023 | 0.881 | 94.977 | 0.383 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 1.087

Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.1685

NACA $6_{3}-215$
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.399 | 1.250 | 0.601 | - 1.150 |
| 0.637 | 1.528 | 0.863 | - 1.388 |
| 1.120 | 1.980 | 1.380 | - 1.766 |
| 2.348 | 2.792 | 2.652 | - 2.420 |
| 4.829 | 3.960 | 5.171 | - 3.328 |
| 7. | 4.847 | 7.677 | - 3.999 |
| 9.823 | 5.569 | 10.177 | - 4.535 |
| 14.834 | 6.682 | 15.166 | $-5.336$ |
| 19.852 | 7.487 | 20.148 | - 5.895 |
| 24.875 | 8.049 | 25.125 | - 6.259 |
| 29.900 | 8.392 | 30.100 | - 6.448 |
| 34.926 | 8.530 | 35.074 | - 6.470 |
| 39.952 | 8.457 | 40.048 | -6.315 |
| 44.977 | 8.194 | 45.023 | -6.004 |
| 50.000 | 7.768 | 50.000 | - 5.562 |
| 55.019 | 7.203 | 54.981 | - 5.013 |
| 60.035 | 6.524 | 59.965 | - 4.382 |
| 65.047 | 5.751 | 64.953 | - 3.691 |
| 70.053 | 4.906 | 69.947 | - 2.962 |
| 75.055 | 4.014 | 74.945 | - 2.224 |
| 80.051 | 3.105 | 79.949 | - 1.513 |
| 85.043 | 2.213 | 84.957 | - 0.867 |
| 90.030 | 1.368 | 89.970 | - 0.334 |
| 95.014 | 0.616 | 94.986 | 0.016 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 1.594

Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.0842

NACA 63-415
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.300 | 1.287 | 0.700 | $-1.087$ |
| 0.525 | 1.585 | 0.975 | $-1.305$ |
| 0.991 | 2.074 | 1.509 | $-1.646$ |
| 2.198 | 2.964 | 2.802 | $-2.220$ |
| 4.660 | 4.264 | 5.340 | - 3.000 |
| 7.147 | 5.261 | 7.853 | - 3.565 |
| 9.647 | 6.077 | 10.353 | - 4.009 |
| 14.669 | 7.348 | 15.331 | $-4.656$ |
| 19.705 | 8.279 | 20.295 | $-5.095$ |
| 24.750 | 8.941 | 25.250 | $-5.361$ |
| 29.800 | 9.362 | 30.200 | - 5.474 |
| 34.852 | 9.559 | 35.148 | - 5.439 |
| 39.905 | 9.527 | 40.095 | $-5.243$ |
| 44.955 | 9.289 | 45.045 | $-4.909$ |
| 50.000- | 8.871 | 50.000 | - 4.459 |
| 55.039 | 8.298 | 54.961 | - 3.918 |
| 60.070 | 7.595 | 59.930 | - 3.311 |
| 65.093 | 6.780 | 64.907 | - 2.660 |
| 70.106 | 5.877 | 69.894 | $-1.989$ |
| 75.109 | 4.907 | 74.891 | $-1.327$ |
| 80.102 | 3.900 | 79.898 | $-0.716$ |
| 85.085 | 2.885 | 84.915 | -0.193 |
| 90.059 | 1.884 | 89.941 | 0.184 |
| 95.028 | 0.931 | 94.972 | 0.333 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 1.594

Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.1685

NACA $\mathbf{6 3}_{2}-615$
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.205 | 1.317 | 0.795 | - 1.017 |
| 0.418 | 1.634 | 1.082 | - 1.214 |
| 0.866 | 2.159 | 1.634 | - 1.517 |
| 2.050 | 3.129 | 2.950 | - 2.013 |
| 4.492 | 4.560 | 5.508 | - 2.664 |
| 6.973 | 5.667 | 8.027 | -3.123 |
| 9.473 | 6.578 | 10.527 | - 3.476 |
| 14.504 | 8.010 | 15.496 | - 3.972 |
| 19.558 | 9.066 | 20.442 | - 4.290 |
| 24.625 | 9.830 | 25.375 | - 4.460 |
| 29.700 | 10.331 | 30.300 | - 4.499 |
| 34.778 | 10.587 | 35.222 | - 4.407 |
| 39.857 | 10.598 | 40.143 | - 4.172 |
| 44.932 | 10.384 | 45.068 | - 3.814 |
| 50.000 | 9.974 | 50.000 | $-3.356$ |
| 55.058 | 9.393 | 54.942 | -2.823 |
| 60.105 | 8.665 | 59.895 | - 2.239 |
| 65.139 | 7.809 | 64.861 | - 1.629 |
| 70.159 | 6.847 | 69.841 | - 1.015 |
| 75.163 | 5.800 | 74.837 | $-0.430$ |
| 80.153 | 4.693 | 79.847 | 0.083 |
| 85.127 | 3.555 | 84.873 | 0.483 |
| 90.089 | 2.398 | 89.911 | 0.704 |
| 95.042 | 1.245 | 94.958 | 0.651 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 1.594 |  |  |  |
| Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.2527 |  |  |  |

NACA 63-218
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.382 | 1.449 | 0.618 | - 1.349 |
| 0.617 | 1.778 | 0.883 | - 1.638 |
| 1.096 | 2.319 | 1.404 | - 2.105 |
| 2.319 | 3.285 | 2.681 | - 2.913 |
| 4.796 | 4.673 | 5.204 | - 4.041 |
| 7.288 | 5.728 | 7.712 | - 4.880 |
| 9.788 | 6.581 | 10.212 | - 5.547 |
| 14.801 | 7.895 | 15.199 | - 6.549 |
| 19.822 | 8.842 | 20.178 | - 7.250 |
| 24.850 | 9.494 | 25.150 | - 7.704 |
| 29.880 | 9.884 | 30.120 | - 7.940 |
| 34.911 | 10.030 | 35.089 | - 7.970 |
| 39.943 | 9.916 | 40.057 | - 7.774 |
| 44.973 | 9.577 | 45.027 | - 7.387 |
| 50.000 | 9.045 | 50.000 | -6.839 |
| 55.023 | 8.351 | 54.977 | -6.161 |
| 60.042 | 7.526 | 59.958 | - 5.384 |
| 65.055 | 6.597 | 64.945 | - 4.537 |
| 70.062 | 5.594 | 69.938 | - 3.650 |
| 75.064 | 4.544 | 74.936 | - 2.754 |
| 80.059 | 3.486 | 79.941 | - 1.894 |
| 85.049 | 2.459 | 84.951 | - 1.113 |
| 90.034 | 1.501 | 89.966 | - 0.467 |
| 95.016 | 0.664 | 94.984 | -0.032 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |

L.E. radius: $\mathbf{2 . 1 2 0}$

Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.0842

NACA 63s-418
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.267 | 1.484 | 0.733 | $-1.284$ |
| 0.487 | 1.833 | 1.013 | $-1.553$ |
| 0.945 | 2.410 | 1.555 | $-1.982$ |
| 2.140 | 3.455 | 2.860 | $-2.711$ |
| 4.593 | 4.975 | 5.407 | $-3.711$ |
| 7.077 | 6.139 | 7.923 | $-4.443$ |
| 9.577 | 7.087 | 10.423 | - 5.019 |
| 14.602 | 8.560 | 15.398 | $\pm 5.868$ |
| 19.645 | 9.632 | 20.355 | - 6.448 |
| 24.699 | 10.385 | 25.301 | -6.805 |
| 29.760 | 10.854 | 30.240 | -6.966 |
| 34.823 | 11.058 | 35.177 | -6.938 |
| 39.886 | 10.986 | 40.114 | -6.702 |
| 44.946 | 10.672 | 45.054 | $-6.292$ |
| 50.000 | 10.148 | 50.000 | - 5.736 |
| 55.046 | 9.446 | 54.954 | - 5.066 |
| 60.083 | 8.596 | 59.917 | - 4.312 |
| 65.110 | 7.626 | 64.890 | - 3.506 |
| 70.125 | 6.564 | 69.875 | $-2.676$ |
| 75.128 | 5.438 | 74.872 | - 1.858 |
| 80.119 | 4.280 | 79.881 | - 1.096 |
| 85.099 | 3.130 | 84.901 | - 0.438 |
| 90.069 | 2.017 | 89.931 | 0.051 |
| 95.032 | 0.978 | 94.968 | 0.286 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 109.000 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 2.120

Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.1685

NACA 63-618
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.156 | 1.511 | 0.844 | $-1.211$ |
| 0.361 | 1.878 | 1.139 | - 1.458 |
| 0.797 | 2.491 | 1.703 | $-1.849$ |
| 1.965 | 3.616 | 3.035 | $-2.500$ |
| 4.393 | 5.268 | 5.607 | - 3.372 |
| 6.868 | 6.542 | 8.132 | - 3.998 |
| 9.367 | 7.586 | 10.633 | -4.484 |
| 14.404 | 9.219 | 15.596 | $-5.181$ |
| 19.469 | 10.418 | 20.531 | $-5.642$ |
| 24.549 | 11.273 | 25.451 | - 5.903 |
| 29.640 | 11.822 | 30.360 | - 5.990 |
| 34.734 | 12.086 | 35.266 | $-5.906$ |
| 39.829 | 12.056 | 40.171 | - 5.630 |
| 44.919 | 11.767 | 45.081 | - 5.197 |
| 50.000 | 11.251 | 50.000 | - 4.633 |
| 55.069 | 10.541 | 54.931 | - 3.971 |
| 60.125 | 9.667 | 59.875 | - 3.241 |
| 65.164 | 8.655 | 64.836 | - 2.475 |
| 70.187 | 7.534 | 69.813 | $-1.702$ |
| 75.191 | 6.330 | 74.809 | $-0.960$ |
| 80.178 | 5.073 | 79.822 | - 0.297 |
| 85.147 | 3.800 | 84.853 | 0.238 |
| 90.103 | 2.531 | 89.897 | 0.571 |
| 95.048 | 1.293 | 94.952 | 0.603 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |

L.E. radius: $\mathbf{2 . 1 2 0}$

Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.2527

NACA 63-221
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.367 | 1.627 | 0.633 | - 1.527 |
| 0.600 | 2.001 | 0.900 | - 1.861 |
| 1.075 | 2.628 | 1.425 | - 2.414 |
| 2.292 | 3.757 | 2.708 | -3.385 |
| 4.763 | 5.375 | 5.237 | -4.743 |
| 7.253 | 6.601 | 7.747 | - 5.753 |
| 9.753 | 7.593 | 10.247 | -6.559 |
| 14.767 | 9.111 | 15.233 | - 7.765 |
| 19.792 | 10.204 | 20.208 | $-8.612$ |
| 24.824 | 10.946 | 25.176 | -9.156 |
| 29.860 | 11.383 | 30.140 | -9.439 |
| 34.897 | 11.529 | 35.103 | -9.469 |
| 39.934 | 11.369 | 40.066 | -9.227 |
| 44.969 | 10.949 | 45.031 | -8.759 |
| 50.000 | 10.309 | 50.000 | -8.103 |
| 55.027 | 9.485 | 54.973 | - 7.295 |
| 60.048 | 8.512 | 59.952 | $-6.370$ |
| 65.063 | 7.426 | 64.937 | - 5.366 |
| 70.071 | 6.262 | 69.929 | -4.318 |
| 75.073 | 5.054 | 74.927 | - 3.264 |
| 80.067 | 3.849 | 79.933 | - 2.257 |
| 85.056 | 2.693 | 84.944 | $-1.347$ |
| 90.039 | 1.629 | 89.961 | - 0.595 |
| 95.018 | 0.708 | 94.982 | -0.076 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 2.650 |  |  |  |
| Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.0842 |  |  |  |

NACA 63-421
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.237 | 1.661 | 0.763 | - 1.461 |
| 0.452 | 2.054 | 1.048 | - 1.774 |
| 0.902 | 2.717 | 1.598 | - 2.289 |
| 2.056 | 3.925 | 2.914 | - 3.181 |
| 4.527 | 5.675 | 5.473 | -4.411 |
| 7.007 | 7.010 | 7.993 | - 5.314 |
| 9.506 | 8.097 | 10.494 | - 6.029 |
| 14.535 | 9.774 | 15.465 | - 7.082 |
| 19.585 | 10.993 | 20.415 | - 7.809 |
| 24.649 | 11.837 | 25.351 | - 8.257 |
| 29.719 | 12.352 | 30.281 | - 8.464 |
| 34.793 | 12.558 | 35.207 | -8.438 |
| 39.867 | 12.439 | 40.133 | -8.155 |
| 44.937 | 12.044 | 45.063 | - 7.664 |
| 50.000 | 11.412 | 50.000 | - 7.000 |
| 55.054 | 10.550 | 54.946 | $-6.200$ |
| 60.096 | 9.582 | 59.904 | - 3.298 |
| 65.126 | 8.455 | 64.874 | -4.335 |
| 70.143 | 7.232 | 69.857 | -3.344 |
| 75.145 | 5.947 | 74.855 | -2.367 |
| 80.135 | 4.643 | 79.865 | -1.459 |
| 85.111 | 3.364 | 84.889 | -0.072 |
| 90.078 | 2.144 | 59.922 | -0.076 |
| 95.037 | 1.022 | 94.963 | 0.242 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 2.650

Slupe of radius through L.E.: 0.1685

NACA 63A210
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.423 | 0.868 | 0.577 | -0.756 |
| 0.664 | 1.058 | 0.836 | - 0.900 |
| 1.151 | 1.367 | 1.349 | - 1.125 |
| 2.384 | 1.944 | 2.616 | - 1.522 |
| 4.869 | 2.769 | 5.131 | - 2.047 |
| 7.364 | 3.400 | 7.636 | - 2.428 |
| 9.863 | 3.917 | 10.137 | -2.725 |
| 14.869 | 4.729 | 15.131 | - 3.167 |
| 19.882 | 5.328 | 20.118 | -3.468 |
| 24.898 | 5.764 | 25.102 | - 3.662 |
| 29.916 | 6.060 | 30.084 | -3.764 |
| 34.935 | 6.219 | 35.065 | - 3.771 |
| 39.955 | 6.247 | 40.045 | -3.689 |
| 44.975 | 6.151 | 45.025 | -3.523 |
| 49.994 | 5.943 | 50.006 | - 3.283 |
| 55.012 | 5.637 | 54.988 | - 2.985 |
| 60.028 | 5.245 | 59.972 | - 2.641 |
| 65.041 | 4.772 | 64.959 | - 2.262 |
| 70.052 | 4.227 | 69.948 | - 1.861 |
| 75.061 | 3.624 | 74.939 | - 1.464 |
| 80.074 | 2.974 | 79.926 | - 1.104 |
| 85.072 | 2.254 | 84.928 | -0.812 |
| 90.050 | 1.519 | 89.950 | -0.539 |
| 95.026 | 0.769 | 94.974 | -0.279 |
| 100.000 | 0.021 | 100.000 | -0.021 |

L.E. radius: $\mathbf{0 . 7 4 2}$
T.F. radius: 0.023

Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.095

NACA 64-108
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.472 | 0.682 | 0.528 | - 0.632 |
| 0.719 | 0.828 | 0.781 | $-0.758$ |
| 1.215 | 1.058 | 1.285 | -0.950 |
| 2.460 | 1.457 | 2.540 | $-1.271$ |
| 4.956 | 2.032 | 5.044 | $-1.716$ |
| 7.455 | 2.471 | 7.545 | $-2.047$ |
| 9.955 | 2.832 | 10.045 | - 2.316 |
| 14.958 | 3.405 | 15.042 | -2.733 |
| 19.962 | 3.835 | 20.038 | - 3.039 |
| 24.968 | 4.152 | 25.032 | - 3.256 |
| 29.974 | 4.370 | 30.026 | - 3.398 |
| 34.980 | 4.494 | 35.020 | - 3.464 |
| 39.987 | 4.528 | 40.013 | - 3.456 |
| 44.994 | 4.431 | 45.006 | - 3.335 |
| 50.000 | 4.236 | 50.000 | - 3.132 |
| 55.005 | 3.959 | 54.995 | $-2.863$ |
| 60.010 | 3.617 | 59.990 | - 2.545 |
| 65.013 | 3.219 | 64.987 | - 2.189 |
| 70.015 | 2.777 | 69.985 | - 1.805 |
| 75.016 | 2.302 | 74.984 | $-1.406$ |
| 80.015 | 1.802 | 79.985 | - 1.006 |
| 85.013 | 1.297 | 84.987 | - 0.625 |
| 90.010 | 0.808 | 89.990 | -0.292 |
| 95.005 | 0.364 | 94.995 | $-0.048$ |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |

L.E. radius: $\mathbf{0 . 4 5 5}$

Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.042

NACA 64-110
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate |  | Station | Ordinate |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.465 | 0.844 |  | 0.535 | -0.794 |
| 0.712 | 1.023 |  | 0.788 | -0.953 |
| 1.207 | 1.303 |  | 1.293 | -1.195 |
| 2.450 | 1.793 |  | 2.550 | -1.607 |
| 4.945 | 2.500 |  | 5.055 | -2.184 |
| 7.443 | 3.037 |  | 7.557 | -2.613 |
| 9.944 | 3.479 |  | 10.056 | -2.963 |
| 14.947 | 4.178 |  | 15.053 | -3.506 |
| 19.953 | 4.700 |  | 20.047 | -3.904 |
| 24.959 | 5.087 |  | 25.041 | -4.191 |
| 29.967 | 5.350 | 30.033 | -4.378 |  |
| 34.975 | 5.495 | 35.025 | -4.465 |  |
| 39.984 | 5.524 | 40.016 | -4.452 |  |
| 44.992 | 5.391 | 45.008 | -4.295 |  |
| 50.000 | 5.138 | 50.000 | -4.034 |  |
| 55.007 | 4.786 | 54.993 | -3.690 |  |
| 60.012 | 4.356 | 59.988 | -3.284 |  |
| 65.016 | 3.860 | 64.984 | -2.830 |  |
| 70.019 | 3.313 | 69.981 | -2.341 |  |
| 75.020 | 2.729 | 74.980 | -1.833 |  |
| 80.019 | 2.120 | 79.981 | -1.324 |  |
| 85.016 | 1.512 | 84.984 | -0.840 |  |
| 90.012 | 0.929 | 89.988 | -0.413 |  |
| 95.006 | 0.406 | 94.994 | -0.090 |  |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |  |

L.E. radius: 0.720

Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.042

NACA 64-206
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.459 | 0.542 | 0.541 | -0.442 |
| 0.704 | 0.664 | 0.796 | -0.524 |
| 1.198 | 0.859 | 1.302 | -0.645 |
| 2.440 | 1.208 | 2.560 | -0.836 |
| 4.934 | 1.719 | 5.066 | - 1.087 |
| 7.432 | 2.115 | 7.568 | -1.267 |
| 9.933 | 2.444 | 10.067 | - 1.410 |
| 14.937 | 2.970 | 15.063 | -1.624 |
| 19.943 | 3.367 | 20.057 | - 1.775 |
| 24.952 | 3.667 | 25.048 | $-1.877$ |
| 29.961 | 3.879 | 30.039 | - 1.935 |
| 34.971 | 4.011 | 35.029 | - 1.951 |
| 39.981 | 4.066 | 40.019 | - 1.924 |
| 44.991 | 4.014 | 45.009 | - 1.824 |
| 50.000 | 3.878 | 50.000 | $-1.672$ |
| 55.008 | 3.670 | 54.992 | $-1.480$ |
| 60.015 | 3.402 | 59.985 | $-1.260$ |
| 65.020 | 3.080 | 64.980 | - 1.020 |
| 70.023 | 2.712 | 69.977 | -0.768 |
| 75.025 | 2.307 | 74.975 | -0.517 |
| 80.024 | 1.868 | 79.976 | $-0.276$ |
| 85.020 | 1.410 | 84.980 | -0.064 |
| 90.015 | 0.940 | 89.985 | 0.094 |
| 95.007 | 0.473 | 94.993 | 0.159 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 0.256

Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.084

NACA 64-208
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.445 | 0.706 | 0.555 | -0.606 |
| 0.688 | 0.862 | 0.812 | $-0.722$ |
| 1.180 | 1.110 | 1.320 | -0.896 |
| 2.421 | 1.549 | 2.579 | $-1.177$ |
| 4.912 | 2.189 | 5.088 | $-1.557$ |
| 7.410 | 2.681 | 7.590 | $-1.833$ |
| 9.909 | 3.089 | 10.091 | - 2.055 |
| 14.915 | 3.741 | 15.085 | - 2.395 |
| 19.924 | 4.232 | 20.076 | - 2.640 |
| 24.935 | 4.598 | 25.065 | $-2.808$ |
| 29.948 | 4.856 | 30.052 | - 2.912 |
| 34.961 | 5.009 | 35.039 | - 2.949 |
| 39.974 | 5.063 | 40.026 | - 2.921 |
| 44.988 | 4.978 | 45.012 | $-2.788$ |
| 50.000 | 4.787 | 50.000 | - 2.581 |
| 55.011 | 4.506 | 54.989 | - 2.316 |
| 60.020 | 4.152 | 59.980 | - 2.010 |
| 65.027 | 3.733 | 64.973 | - 1.673 |
| 70.031 | 3.263 | 69.969 | $-1.319$ |
| 75.032 | 2.749 | 74.968 | -0.959 |
| 80.031 | 2.200 | 79.969 | -0.608 |
| 85.027 | 1.634 | 84.973 | -0.288 |
| 90.019 | 1.067 | 89.981 | $-0.033$ |
| 95.010 | 0.522 | 94.990 | 0.110 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 0.455 <br> Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.084 |  |  |  |

NACA 64-209
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.438 | 0.786 | 0.562 | $-0.686$ |
| 0.680 | 0.959 | 0.820 | -0.819 |
| 1.172 | 1.232 | 1.328 | $-1.018$ |
| 2.411 | 1.716 | 2.589 | $-1.344$ |
| 4.901 | 2.423 | 5.099 | $-1.791$ |
| 7.398 | 2.965 | 7.602 | $-2.117$ |
| 9.899 | 3.413 | 10.101 | - 2.379 |
| 14.905 | 4.127 | 15.095 | - 2.781 |
| 19.915 | 4.663 | 20.085 | - 3.071 |
| 24.927 | 5.064 | 25.073 | - 3.274 |
| 29.941 | 5.345 | 30.059 | - 3.401 |
| 34.956 | 5.509 | 35.044 | - 3.449 |
| 39.971 | 5.561 | 40.029 | - 3.419 |
| 44.986 | 5.459 | 45.014 | $-3.269$ |
| 50.000 | 5.239 | 50.000 | - 3.033 |
| 55.012 | 4.921 | 54.988 | - 2.731 |
| 60.022 | 4.523 | 59.978 | $-2.381$ |
| 65.030 | 4.056 | 64.970 | $-1.996$ |
| 70.035 | 3.533 | 69.965 | $-1.589$ |
| 75.036 | 2.964 | 74.964 | $-1.174$ |
| 80.035 | 2.360 | 79.965 | $-0.768$ |
| 85.030 | 1.742 | 84.970 | $-0.396$ |
| 90.021 | 1.128 | 89.979 | -0.094 |
| 95.011 | 0.543 | 94.989 | 0.089 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 0.579

Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.084

NACA 64-210
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.431 | 0.867 | 0.569 | -0.767 |
| 0.673 | 1.056 | 0.827 | -0.916 |
| 1.163 | 1.354 | 1.337 | - 1.140 |
| 2.401 | 1.884 | 2.599 | - 1.512 |
| 4.890 | 2.656 | 5.110 | -2.024 |
| 7.387 | 3.248 | 7.613 | -2.400 |
| 9.887 | 3.736 | 10.113 | $-2.702$ |
| 14.894 | 4.514 | 15.106 | - 3.168 |
| 19.905 | 5.097 | 20.095 | $-3.505$ |
| 24.919 | 5.533 | 25.081 | $-3.743$ |
| 29.934 | 5.836 | 30.066 | -3.892 |
| 34.951 | 6.010 | 35.049 | -3.950 |
| 39.968 | 6.059 | 40.032 | -3.917 |
| 44.985 | 5.938 | 45.015 | $-3.74 \mathrm{~s}$ |
| 50.000 | 5.689 | 50.000 | -3.483 |
| 55.014 | 5.333 | 54.987 | - 3.143 |
| 60.025 | 4.891 | 59.975 | - 2.749 |
| 65.033 | 4.375 | 64.967 | -2.315 |
| 70.038 | 3.799 | 69.962 | $-1.855$ |
| 75.040 | 3.176 | 74.960 | - 1.386 |
| 80.038 | 2.518 | 79.962 | - 0.926 |
| 85.033 | 1.849 | 84.968 | $-0.503$ |
| 90.024 | 1.188 | 89.977 | -0.154 |
| 95.012 | 0.564 | 94.988 | 0.068 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 0.720 <br> Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.084 |  |  |  |

NACA 641-112
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Copper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0.459 | 1.002 | 0.541 | -0.952 |
| 0.704 | 1.213 | 0.796 | $-1.143$ |
| 1.198 | 1.543 | 1.302 | $-1.435$ |
| 2.441 | 2.127 | 2.559 | $-1.941$ |
| 4.934 | 2.967 | 5.066 | - 2.651 |
| 7.432 | 3.605 | 7.568 | $-3.181$ |
| 9.932 | 4.128 | 10.068 | - 3.612 |
| 14.936 | 4.956 | 15.064 | - 4.284 |
| 19.943 | 5.571 | 20.057 | $-4.775$ |
| 24.951 | 6.024 | 25.049 | $-5.128$ |
| 29.961 | 6.330 | 30.039 | $-5.358$ |
| 34.971 | 6.493 | 35.029 | $-5.463$ |
| 39.981 | 6.517 | 40.019 | - 5.445 |
| 44.991 | 6.346 | 45.009 | - 5.250 |
| 50.000 | 6.032 | 50.000 | $-4.928$ |
| 55.008 | 5.604 | 54.992 | $-4.508$ |
| 60.015 | 5.084 | 59.985 | $-4.012$ |
| 65.020 | 4.489 | 64.980) | - 3.459 |
| 70.023 | 3.836 | 69.977 | - 2.864 |
| 75.024 | 3.143 | 74.976 | $-2.247$ |
| 80.022 | 2.427 | 79.978 | $-1.631$ |
| 85.019 | 1.718 | 84.981 | $-1.046$ |
| 90.014 | 1.044 | 89.986 | $-0.528$ |
| 95.007 | 0.446 | 94.993 | $-0.130$ |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 1.040

Slope of radius through J.E.: 0.042

NACA 641-212
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.418 | 1.025 | 0.582 | -0.925 |
| 0.659 | 1.245 | 0.841 | - 1.105 |
| 1.147 | 1.593 | 1.353 | $-1.379$ |
| 2.382 | 2.218 | 2.618 | $-1.846$ |
| 4.868 | 3.123 | 5.132 | - 2.491 |
| 7.364 | 3.815 | 7.636 | - 2.967 |
| 9.865 | 4.386 | 10.135 | - 3.352 |
| 14.872 | 5.291 | 15.128 | - 3.945 |
| 19.886 | 5.968 | 20.114 | - 4.376 |
| 24.903 | 6.470 | 25.097 | - 4.680 |
| 29.921 | 6.815 | 30.079 | - 4.871 |
| 34.941 | 7.008 | 35.059 | - 4.948 |
| 39.961 | 7.052 | 40.039 | - 4.910 |
| 44.982 | 6.893 | 45.018 | $-4.703$ |
| 50.000 | 6.583 | 50.000 | $-4.377$ |
| 55.016 | 6.151 | 54.984 | - 3.961 |
| 60.029 | 5.619 | 59.971 | - 3.477 |
| 65.039 | 5.004 | 64.961 | $-2.944$ |
| 70.045 | 4.322 | 69.955 | $-2.378$ |
| 75.047 | 3.590 | 74.953 | $-1.800$ |
| 80.045 | 2.825 | 79.955 | $-1.233$ |
| 85.038 | 2.054 | 84.962 | - 0.708 |
| 90.027 | 1.303 | 89.973 | $-0.269$ |
| 95.013 | 0.604 | 94.987 | 0.028 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 1.040

Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.084

NACA 64 $1_{1}-412$
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.338 | 1.064 | 0.662 | -0.864 |
| 0.569 | 1.305 | 0.931 | $-1.025$ |
| 1.045 | 1.690 | 1.455 | - 1.262 |
| 2.264 | 2.393 | 2.736 | $-1.649$ |
| 4.738 | 3.430 | 5.262 | $-2.166$ |
| 7.229 | 4.231 | 7.771 | - 2.535 |
| 9.730 | 4.896 | 10.270 | - 2.828 |
| 14.745 | 5.959 | 15.255 | - 3.267 |
| 19.772 | 6.760 | 20.228 | -3.576 |
| 24.805 | 7.363 | 25.195 | -3.783 |
| 29.842 | 7.786 | 30.158 | -3.898 |
| 34.882 | 8.037 | 35.118 | - 3.917 |
| 39.923 | 8.123 | 40.077 | - 3.839 |
| 44.963 | 7.988 | 45.037 | - 3.608 |
| 50.000 | 7.686 | 50.000 | $-3.274$ |
| 55.032 | 7.246 | 54.968 | - 2.866 |
| 60.059 | 6.690 | 59.941 | -2.406 |
| 65.078 | 6.033 | 64.922 | - 1.913 |
| 70.090 | 5.293 | 69.910 | $-1.405$ |
| 75.094 | 4.483 | 74.906 | -0.903 |
| 80.089 | 3.619 | 79.911 | -0.435 |
| 85.076 | 2.722 | 84.924 | $-0.038$ |
| 90.055 | 1.818 | 89.945 | 0.250 |
| 95.027 | 0.919 | 94.973 | 0.345 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 1.040

Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.168

NACA 64-215
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.399 | 1.254 | 0.601 | - 1.154 |
| 0.637 | 1.522 | 0.863 | - 1.382 |
| 1.122 | 1.945 | 1.378 | -1.731 |
| 2.353 | 2.710 | 2.647 | - 2.338 |
| 4.836 | 3.816 | 5.164 | - 3.184 |
| 7.331 | 4.661 | 7.669 | $-3.813$ |
| 9.831 | 5.356 | 10.169 | - 4.322 |
| 14.840 | 6.456 | 15.160 | - 5.110 |
| 19.857 | 7.274 | 20.143 | - 5.682 |
| 24.878 | 7.879 | 25.122 | -6.089 |
| 29.901 | 8.290 | 30.099 | -6.346 |
| 34.926 | 8.512 | 35.074 | -6.452 |
| 39.952 | 8.544 | 40.048 | - 6.402 |
| 44.977 | 8.319 | 45.023 | -6.129 |
| 50.000 | 7.913 | 50.000 | - 5.707 |
| 55.020 | 7.361 | 54.980 | - 5.171 |
| 60.036 | 6.691 | 59.964 | - 4.549 |
| 65.048 | 5.925 | 64.952 | - 3.865 |
| 70.055 | 5.085 | 69.945 | -3.141 |
| 75.058 | 4.191 | 74.942 | - 2.401 |
| 80.055 | 3.267 | 79.945 | - 1.675 |
| 85.046 | 2.349 | 84.954 | - 1.003 |
| 90.033 | 1.466 | 89.967 | - 0.432 |
| 95.016 | 0.662 | 94.984 | -0.030 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 1.590

Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.084

NACA 642-415
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.299 | 1.291 | 0.701 | - 1.091 |
| 0.526 | 1.579 | 0.974 | - 1.299 |
| 0.996 | 2.038 | 1.504 | - 1.610 |
| 2.207 | 2.883 | 2.793 | - 2.139 |
| 4.673 | 4.121 | 5.327 | - 2.857 |
| 7.162 | 5.075 | 7.838 | - 3.379 |
| 9.662 | 5.864 | 10.338 | - 3.796 |
| 14.681 | 7.122 | 15.319 | - 4.430 |
| 19.714 | 8.066 | 20.286 | - 4.882 |
| 24.756 | 8.771 | 25.244 | - 5.191 |
| 29.803 | 9.260 | 30.197 | $-5.372$ |
| 34.853 | 9.541 | 35.147 | - 5.421 |
| 39.904 | 9.614 | 40.096 | $-5.330$ |
| 44.954 | 9.414 | 45.046 | - 5.034 |
| 50.000 | 9.016 | 50.000 | -4.604 |
| 55.040 | 8.456 | 54.960 | -4.076 |
| 60.072 | 7.762 | 59.928 | - 3.478 |
| 65.096 | 6.954 | 64.904 | $-2.834$ |
| 70.111 | 6.055 | 69.889 | - 2.167 |
| 75.115 | 5.084 | 74.885 | - 1.504 |
| 80.109 | 4.062 | 79.891 | -0.878 |
| 85.092 | 3.020 | 84.908 | - 0.328 |
| 90.066 | 1.982 | 89.934 | 0.086 |
| 95.032 | 0.976 | 94.968 | 0.288 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 1.590

Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.168

NACA 64-218
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.380 | 1.473 | 0.620 | $-1.373$ |
| 0.617 | 1.785 | 0.883 | $-1.645$ |
| 1.099 | 2.279 | 1.401 | - 2.065 |
| 2.325 | 3.186 | 2.675 | - 2.814 |
| 4.804 | 4.497 | 5.196 | - 3.865 |
| 7.297 | 5.496 | 7.703 | - 4.648 |
| 9.797 | 6.316 | 10.203 | - 5.282 |
| 14.808 | 7.612 | 15.192 | $-6.266$ |
| 19.828 | 8.576 | 20.172 | -6.984 |
| 24.853 | 9.285 | 25.147 | $-7.495$ |
| 29.881 | 9.760 | 30.119 | - 7.816 |
| 34.912 | 10.009 | 35.088 | - 7.949 |
| 39.942 | 10.023 | 40.058 | - 7.881 |
| 44.972 | 9.725 | 45.028 | $-7.535$ |
| 50.000 | 9.217 | 50.000 | - 7.011 |
| 55.024 | 8.540 | 54.976 | -6.350 |
| 60.043 | 7.729 | 59.957 | $-5.587$ |
| 65.057 | 6.812 | 64.943 | - 4.752 |
| 70.065 | 5.814 | 69.935 | $-3.870$ |
| 75.068 | 4.760 | 74.932 | - 2.970 |
| 80.064 | 3.683 | 79.936 | $-2.091$ |
| 85.054 | 2.623 | 84.946 | $-1.277$ |
| 90.038 | 1.617 | 89.962 | - 0.583 |
| 95.019 | 0.716 | 94.981 | $-0.084$ |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 2.208

Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.084

NACA 64-418
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.263 | 1.508 | 0.737 | $-1.308$ |
| 0.486 | 1.840 | 1.014 | $-1.560$ |
| 0.950 | 2.370 | 1.550 | $-1.942$ |
| 2.152 | 3.357 | 2.848 | - 2.613 |
| 4.609 | 4.800 | 5.391 | - 3.536 |
| 7.095 | 5.908 | 7.905 | - 4.212 |
| 9.595 | 6.823 | 10.405 | $-4.755$ |
| 14.617 | 8.277 | 15.383 | $-5.585$ |
| 19.657 | 9.366 | 20.343 | -6.182 |
| 24.707 | 10.176 | 25.293 | -6.596 |
| 29.763 | 10.730 | 30.237 | - 6.842 |
| 34.823 | 11.037 | 35.177 | - 6.917 |
| 39.885 | 11.093 | 40.115 | $-6.809$ |
| 44.945 | 10.820 | 45.055 | - 6.440 |
| 50.000 | 10.320 | 50.000 | $-5.908$ |
| 55.047 | 9.635 | 54.953 | $-5.255$ |
| 60.086 | 8.799 | 59.914 | - 4.515 |
| 65.114 | 7.841 | 64.886 | - 3.721 |
| 70.131 | 6.784 | 69.869 | $-2.896$ |
| 75.135 | 5.654 | 74.865 | $-2.074$ |
| 80.127 | 4.477 | 79.873 | $-1.293$ |
| 85.108 | 3.294 | 84.892 | - 0.602 |
| 90.077 | 2.132 | 89.923 | $-0.064$ |
| 95.037 | 1.030 | 94.963 | 0.234 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |

L.F. radius: 2.208

Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.168

NACA 64-618
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.150 | 1.534 | 0.850 | - 1.234 |
| 0.359 | 1.885 | 1.141 | - 1.465 |
| 0.805 | 2.452 | 1.695 | - 1.810 |
| 1.982 | 3.518 | 3.018 | - 2.402 |
| 4.417 | 5.093 | 5.583 | - 3.197 |
| 6.895 | 6.312 | 8.105 | - 3.768 |
| 9.395 | 7.322 | 10.605 | - 4.220 |
| 14.427 | 8.937 | 15.573 | -4.899 |
| 19.486 | 10.153 | 20.514 | - 5.377 |
| 24.560 | 11.065 | 25.440 | - 5.695 |
| 29.645 | 11.698 | 30.355 | - 5.866 |
| 34.735 | 12.065 | 35.265 | - 5.885 |
| 39.827 | 12.163 | 40.173 | - 5.737 |
| 44.917 | 11.915 | 45.083 | - 5.345 |
| 50.000 | 11.423 | 50.000 | - 4.805 |
| 55.071 | 10.730 | 54.929 | -4.160 |
| 60.129 | 9.870 | 59.871 | - 3.444 |
| 65.171 | 8.870 | 64.829 | - 2.690 |
| 70.196 | 7.754 | 69.804 | - 1.922 |
| 75.203 | 6.544 | 74.797 | -1.174 |
| 80.191 | 5.270 | 79.809 | -0.494 |
| 85.161 | 3.963 | 84.839 | 0.075 |
| 90.115 | 2.646 | 89.885 | 0.456 |
| 95.056 | 1.344 | 94.944 | 0.552 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 2.208 <br> Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.253 |  |  |  |

NACA 64-221
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.362 | 1.690 | 0.638 | $-1.590$ |
| 0.596 | 2.049 | 0.904 | - 1.909 |
| 1.075 | 2.618 | 1.425 | -2.404 |
| 2.297 | 3.665 | 2.703 | -3.293 |
| 4.772 | 5.182 | 5.228 | -4.550 |
| 7.264 | 6.334 | 7.736 | - 5.486 |
| 9.763 | 7.282 | 10.237 | -6.248 |
| 14.776 | 8.778 | 15.224 | - 7.432 |
| 19.799 | 9.889 | 20.201 | -8.297 |
| 24.829 | 10.701 | 25.171 | -8.911 |
| 29.861 | 11.240 | 30.139 | -9.296 |
| 34.897 | 11.510 | 35.103 | -9.451) |
| 39.933 | 11.502 | 40.067 | $-9.360$ |
| 44.968 | 11.125 | 45.032 | $-8.935$ |
| 50.000 | 10.507 | 50.000 | -8.301 |
| 55.027 | 9.702 | 54.973 | - 7.512 |
| 60.050 | 8.749 | 59.950 | -6.607 |
| 65.065 | 7.679 | 64.935 | $-5.619$ |
| 70.075 | 6.521 | 69.925 | -4.577 |
| 75.077 | 5.310 | 74.923 | - 3.520 |
| 80.073 | 4.082 | 79.927 | - 2.490 |
| 85.061 | 2.885 | 84.939 | - 1.539 |
| 90.044 | 1.761 | 89.956 | -0.727 |
| 95.021 | 0.765 | 94.979 | $-0.133$ |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 2.884

Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.084

NACA 64-421
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.227 | 1.723 | 0.773 | $-1.523$ |
| 0.445 | 2.101 | 1.055 | $-1.821$ |
| 0.903 | 2.707 | 1.597 | - 2.279 |
| 2.096 | 3.834 | 2.904 | $-3.090$ |
| 4.545 | 5.482 | 5.455 | $-4.218$ |
| 7.028 | 6.744 | 7.972 | $-5.048$ |
| 9.528 | 7.786 | 10.472 | $-5.718$ |
| 14.553 | 9.442 | 15.447 | $-6.750$ |
| 19.599 | 10.678 | 20.401 | $-7.494$ |
| 24.657 | 11.591 | 25.343 | -8.011 |
| 29.723 | 12.209 | 30.277 | -8.321 |
| 34.794 | 12.539 | 35.206 | $-8.419$ |
| 39.865 | 12.572 | 40.135 | $-8.288$ |
| 44.936 | 12.220 | 45.064 | $-7.840$ |
| 50.000 | 11.610 | 50.000 | $-7.198$ |
| 55.055 | 10.797 | 54.945 | $-6.417$ |
| 60.099 | 9.819 | 59.901 | $-5.535$ |
| 65.131 | 8.708 | 64.869 | $-4.588$ |
| 70.150 | 7.491 | 69.850 | $-3.603$ |
| 75.154 | 6.203 | 74.846 | $-2.623$ |
| 80.145 | 4.876 | 79.855 | $-1.692$ |
| 85.122 | 3.556 | 84.878 | $-0.864$ |
| 90.087 | 2.276 | 89.913 | $-0.208$ |
| 95.042 | 1.079 | 94.958 | 0.185 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 2.884

Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.168

NACA 64A210
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.424 | 0.856 | 0.576 | $-0.744$ |
| 0.665 | 1.044 | 0.835 | $-0.886$ |
| 1.153 | 1.342 | 1.347 | $-1.100$ |
| 2.387 | 1.895 | 2.613 | $-1.473$ |
| 4.874 | 2.685 | 5.126 | - 1.963 |
| 7.369 | 3.288 | 7.631 | - 2.316 |
| 9.868 | 3.792 | 10.132 | - 2.600 |
| 14.874 | 4.592 | 15.126 | - 3.030 |
| 19.885 | 5.200 | 20.115 | - 3.340 |
| 24.900 | 5.656 | 25.100 | - 3.554 |
| 29.917 | 5.984 | 30.083 | - 3.688 |
| 34.935 | 6.192 | 35.065 | - 3.744 |
| 39.955 | 6.274 | 40.045 | - 3.716 |
| 44.975 | 6.208 | 45.025 | $-3.580$ |
| 49.994 | 6.014 | 50.006 | - 3.354 |
| 55.012 | 5.714 | 54.988 | - 3.062 |
| 60.028 | 5.323 | 59.972 | - 2.719 |
| 65.042 | 4.852 | 64.958 | - 2.342 |
| 70.054 | 4.310 | 69.946 | - 1.944 |
| 75.063 | 3.702 | 74.937 | $-1.542$ |
| 80.076 | 3.037 | 79.924 | $-1.167$ |
| 85.074 | 2.301 | 84.926 | -0.859 |
| 90.052 | 1.551 | 89.948 | -0.571 |
| 95.027 | 0.785 | 94.974 | -0.295 |
| 100.000 | 0.021 | 100.000 | $-0.021$ |

L.E. radius: 0.687
T.E. radius: 0.023

Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.095

NACA 64A410
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate |  | Station | Ordinate |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.350 | 0.902 |  | 0.650 | -0.678 |
| 0.582 | 1.112 |  | 0.918 | -0.796 |
| 1.059 | 1.451 |  | 1.441 | -0.969 |
| 2.276 | 2.095 |  | 2.724 | -1.251 |
| 4.749 | 3.034 |  | 5.251 | -1.592 |
| 7.230 | 3.865 |  | 7.770 | -1.919 |
| 9.737 | 4.380 |  | 10.263 | -1.996 |
| 14.748 | 5.366 |  | 15.252 | -2.244 |
| 19.770 | 6.126 |  | 20.230 | -2.406 |
| 24.800 | 6.705 |  | 25.200 | -2.499 |
| 29.834 | 7.131 |  | 30.166 | -2.537 |
| 34.871 | 7.414 | 35.129 | -2.518 |  |
| 39.910 | 7.552 | 40.090 | -2.436 |  |
| 44.950 | 7.522 | 45.050 | -2.266 |  |
| 49.989 | 7.344 | 50.011 | -2.024 |  |
| 55.025 | 7.040 | 54.975 | -1.736 |  |
| 60.057 | 6.624 | 59.943 | -1.418 |  |
| 65.085 | 6.106 | 64.915 | -1.086 |  |
| 70.108 | 5.490 | 69.892 | -0.760 |  |
| 75.126 | 4.780 | 74.874 | -0.460 |  |
| 80.151 | 3.967 | 79.849 | -0.229 |  |
| 85.148 | 3.018 | 84.852 | -0.132 |  |
| 90.104 | 2.038 | 89.896 | -0.076 |  |
| 95.053 | 1.028 | 94.947 | -0.048 |  |
| 100.000 | 0.021 | 100.000 | -0.021 |  |

L.E. radius: 0.687
T.E. radius: 0.023

Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.190

NACA $64{ }_{1}$ A212
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.409 | 1.013 | 0.591 | -0.901 |
| 0.648 | 1.233 | 0.852 | $-1.075$ |
| 1.135 | 1.580 | 1.365 | -1.338 |
| 2.365 | 2.225 | 2.635 | - 1.803 |
| 4.849 | 3.145 | 5.151 | - 2.423 |
| 7.343 | 3.846 | 7.657 | -2.874 |
| 9.842 | 4.432 | 10.158 | - 3.240 |
| 14.849 | 5.358 | 15.151 | - 3.796 |
| 19.862 | 6.060 | 20.138 | - 4.200 |
| 24.880 | 6.584 | 25.120 | - 4.482 |
| 29.900 | 6.956 | 30.100 | - 4.660 |
| 34.922 | 7.189 | 35.078 | - 4.741 |
| 39.946 | 7.272 | 40.054 | - 4.714 |
| 44.970 | 7.177 | 45.030 | -4.549 |
| 49.993 | 6.935 | 50.007 | -4.275 |
| 55.015 | 6.570 | 54.985 | - 3.918 |
| 60.034 | 6.103 | 59.966 | - 3.499 |
| 65.050 | 5.544 | 64.950 | - 3.034 |
| 70.064 | 4.903 | 69.936 | -2.537 |
| 75.075 | 4.197 | 74.925 | -2.037 |
| 80.090 | 3.433 | 79.910 | - 1.563 |
| 85.088 | 2.601 | 84.912 | - 1.159 |
| 90.062 | 1.751 | 89.938 | -0.771 |
| 95.032 | 0.888 | 94.968 | -0.398 |
| 100.000 | 0.025 | 100.000 | -0.025 |

L.E. radius: 0.994
T.E. radius: 0.028

Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.095

NACA 642A215
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surfa |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordi |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0.388 | 1.243 | 0.612 | - 1.13 |
| 0.624 | 1.509 | 0.876 | - 1.35 |
| 1.107 | 1.930 | 1.393 | - 1.688 |
| 2.333 | 2.713 | 2.667 | - 2.291 |
| 4.811 | 3.833 | 5.189 | - 3.111 |
| 7.304 | 4.683 | 7.696 | 71 |
| 802 | 5.391 | . 198 | - 4.199 |
| 14.811 | 6.510 | 15.189 | - 4.948 |
| 19.827 | 7.351 | 20.173 | 5.49 |
| 24.849 | 7.975 | 25.151 | - 5.873 |
| 29.875 | 8.417 | 30.125 | -6.121 |
| 34.903 | 8.686 | 35.097 | -6.238 |
| 39.933 | 8.766 | 40.067 | -6.208 |
| 44.963 | 8.627 | 45.037 | - 5.999 |
| 49.992 | 8.308 | 50.0 | . 648 |
| 55.018 | 7.843 | 4.9 | - 5.19 |
| 60.042 | 7.258 | 59.958 | 65 |
| 65.063 | 6.566 | 64.937 | 4.056 |
| 70.079 | 5.782 | 69.921 | - 3.416 |
| 75.093 | 4.926 | 74.907 | - 2.766 |
| 80.111 | 4.017 | 79.889 | - 2.147 |
| 85.109 | 3.039 | 84.891 | - 1.597 |
| 90.076 | 2.046 | 89.924 | - 1.066 |
| 95.039 | 1.039 | 94.961 | - 0.549 |
| 100.000 | 0.032 | 100.000 | $-0.032$ |

L.E. radius: 1.561
T.E. radius: 0.037

Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.095

NACA 65-206
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.460 | 0.524 | 0.540 | - 0.42 |
| 0.706 | 0.642 | 0.794 | -0.502 |
| 1.200 | 0.822 | 1.300 | - 0.608 |
| 2.444 | 1.140 | 2.556 | -0.768 |
| 4.939 | 1.625 | 5.061 | - 0.993 |
| 7.437 | 2.012 | 7.563 | - 1.164 |
| 9.936 | 2.340 | 10.064 | - 1.306 |
| 14.939 | 2.869 | 15.061 | - 1.523 |
| 19.945 | 3.277 | 20.055 | - 1.685 |
| 24.953 | 3.592 | 25.047 | - 1.802 |
| 29.962 | 3.824 | 30.038 | $-1.880$ |
| 34.971 | 3.982 | 35.029 | - 1.922 |
| 39.981 | 4.069 | 40.019 | - 1.927 |
| 44.990 | 4.078 | 45.010 | - 1.888 |
| 50.000 | 4.003 | 50.000 | - 1.797 |
| 55.009 | 3.836 | 54.991 | $-1.646$ |
| 60.016 | 3.589 | 59.984 | - 1.447 |
| 65.022 | 3.276 | 64.978 | - 1.210 |
| 70.026 | 2.907 | 69.974 | -0.963 |
| 75.028 | 2.489 | 74.972 | - 0.699 |
| 80.027 | 2.029 | 79.973 | -0.437 |
| 85.024 | 1.538 | 84.976 | -0.192 |
| 90.018 | 1.027 | 89.982 | 0.007 |
| 95.009 | 0.511 | 94.991 | 0.121 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 0.240

Slope of radius throuch L.E.: 0.084

NACA 65-209
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.441 | 0.748 | 0.559 | -0.648 |
| 0.684 | 0.912 | 0.816 | -0.772 |
| 1.177 | 1.162 | 1.323 | -0.948 |
| 2.417 | 1.605 | 2.583 | -1.233 |
| 4.908 | 2.275 | 5.092 | - 1.643 |
| 7.405 | 2.805 | 7.595 | - 1.957 |
| 3.904 | 3.251 | 10.096 | - 2.217 |
| 14.909 | 3.971 | 15.091 | - 2.625 |
| 19.918 | 4.522 | 20.082 | - 2.930 |
| 24.929 | 4.944 | 25.071 | - 3.154 |
| 29.942 | 5.254 | 30.058 | - 3.310 |
| 34.956 | 5.461 | 35.044 | -3.401 |
| 39.971 | 5.567 | 40.029 | - 3.425 |
| 44.986 | 5.564 | 45.014 | -3.374 |
| 50.000 | 5.439 | 50.000 | - 3.233 |
| 55.013 | 5.181 | 54.987 | - 2.991 |
| 60.024 | 4.814 | 59.976 | - 2.672 |
| 65.033 | 4.358 | 64.967 | - 2.298 |
| 70.039 | 3.828 | 69.961 | - 1.884 |
| 75.041 | 3.237 | 74.959 | - 1.447 |
| 80.040 | 2.601 | 79.960 | - 1.009 |
| 85.035 | 1.933 | 84.965 | -0.587 |
| 90.026 | 1.255 | 89.974 | -0.221 |
| 95.013 | 0.596 | 94.987 | 0.036 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 0.552 <br> Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.084 |  |  |  |

NACA 65-210
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.435 | 0.819 | 0.565 | $-0.719$ |
| 0.678 | 0.999 | 0.822 | -0.859 |
| 1.169 | 1.273 | 1.331 | - 1.059 |
| 2.408 | 1.757 | 2.592 | $-1.385$ |
| 4.898 | 2.491 | 5.102 | $-1.859$ |
| 7.394 | 3.069 | 7.606 | - 2.221 |
| 9.894 | 3.555 | 10.106 | - 2.521 |
| 14.899 | 4.338 | 15.101 | $-2.992$ |
| 19.909 | 4.938 | 20.091 | - 3.346 |
| 24.921 | 5.397 | 25.079 | - 3.607 |
| 29.936 | 5.732 | 30.064 | - 3.788 |
| 34.951 | 5.954 | 35.049 | - 3.894 |
| 39.968 | 6.067 | 40.032 | - 3.925 |
| 44.984 | 6.058 | 45.016 | $-3.868$ |
| 50.000 | 5.915 | 50.000 | - 3.709 |
| 55.014 | 5.625 | 54.986 | -3.435 |
| 60.027 | 5.217 | 59.973 | - 3.075 |
| 65.036 | 4.712 | 64.964 | - 2.652 |
| 70.043 | 4.128 | 69.957 | $-2.184$ |
| 75.045 | 3.479 | 74.955 | - 1.689 |
| 80.044 | 2.783 | 79.956 | $-1.191$ |
| 85.038 | 2.057 | St. 962 | -0.711 |
| 90.028 | 1.327 | 89.972 | $-0.293$ |
| 95.014 | 0.622 | 94.986 | 0.010 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 0.687

Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.084

NACA 65-410
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.372 | 0.861 | 0.628 | -0.661 |
| 0.607 | 1.061 | 0.893 | -0.781 |
| 1.089 | 1.372 | 1.411 | -0.944 |
| 2.318 | 1.935 | 2.682 | - 1.191 |
| 4.797 | 2.800 | 5.203 | - 1.536 |
| 7.289 | 3.487 | 7.711 | - 1.791 |
| 9.788 | 4.067 | 10.212 | - 1.999 |
| 14.798 | 5.006 | 15.202 | - 2.314 |
| 19.817 | 5.731 | 20.183 | - 2.547 |
| 24.843 | 6.290 | 25.157 | $-2.710$ |
| 29.872 | 6.702 | 30.128 | - 2.814 |
| 34.903 | 6.983 | 35.097 | - 2.863 |
| 39.936 | 7.138 | 40.064 | -2.854 |
| 44.968 | 7.153 | 45.032 | - 2.773 |
| 50.000 | 7.018 | 50.000 | - 2.606 |
| 55.029 | 6.720 | 54.971 | - 2.340 |
| 60.053 | 6.288 | 59.947 | - 2.004 |
| 65.073 | 5.741 | 64.927 | - 1.621 |
| 70.085 | 5.099 | 69.915 | - 1.211 |
| 75.090 | 4.372 | 74.910 | -0.792 |
| 80.088 | 3.577 | 79.912 | -0.393 |
| 85.076 | 2.729 | 84.924 | -0.037 |
| 90.057 | 1.842 | 89.943 | 0.226 |
| 95.029 | 0.937 | 94.971 | 0.327 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 0.687

Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.168

NACA 651-212
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.423 | 0.970 | 0.577 | -0.870 |
| 0.664 | 1.176 | 0.836 | $-1.036$ |
| 1.154 | 1.491 | 1.346 | - 1.277 |
| 2.391 | 2.058 | 2.609 | - 1.686 |
| 4.878 | 2.919 | 5.122 | $-2.287$ |
| 7.373 | 3.593 | 7.627 | -2.745 |
| 9.873 | 4.162 | 10.127 | - 3.128 |
| 14.879 | 5.073 | 15.121 | -3.727 |
| 19.890 | 5.770 | 20.110 | - 4.178 |
| 24.906 | 6.300 | 25.094 | - 4.510 |
| 29.923 | 6.687 | 30.077 | - 4.743 |
| 34.942 | 6.942 | 35.058 | - 4.882 |
| 39.961 | 7.068 | 40.039 | - 4.926 |
| 44.981 | 7.044 | 45.019 | - 4.854 |
| 50.000 | 6.860 | 50.000 | - 4.654 |
| 55.017 | 6.507 | 54.983 | - 4.317 |
| 60.032 | 6.014 | 59.968 | - 3.872 |
| 65.043 | 5.411 | 64.957 | - 3.351 |
| 70.050 | 4.715 | 69.950 | $-2.771$ |
| 75.053 | 3.954 | 74.947 | - 2.164 |
| 80.052 | 3.140 | 79.948 | - 1.548 |
| 85.045 | 2.302 | 84.955 | $-0.956$ |
| 90.033 | 1.463 | 89.967 | - 0.429 |
| 95.017 | 0.672 | 94.983 | - 0.040 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 1.000

Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.084

NACA $651-212$
$a=0.6$
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinat |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.399 | 0.982 | 0.601 | -0.852 |
| 0.638 | 1.194 | 0.862 | - 1.0 |
| 1.124 | 1.520 | 1.376 | -1.2 |
| 2.356 | 2.113 | 2.644 | -1.62 |
| 4.837 | 3.017 | 5.163 | - 2.185 |
| 7.329 | 3.728 | 7.671 | $-2.606$ |
| 9.827 | 4.330 | 10.173 | - 2.956 |
| 14.833 | 5.298 | 15.167 | - 3.500 |
| 19.848 | 6.042 | 20.152 | - 3.904 |
| 24.869 | 6.611 | 25.131 | -4.197 |
| 29.894 | 7.029 | 30.106 | -4.401 |
| 34.921 | 7.304 | 35.079 | -4.518 |
| 39.951 | 7.444 | 40.049 | - 4.550 |
| 44.983 | 7.423 | 45.017 | -4.475 |
| 50.017 | 7.231 | 49.983 | -4.283 |
| 55.051 | 6.856 | 54.949 | - 3.968 |
| 60.094 | 6.318 | 59.906 | - 3.566 |
| 65.123 | 5.634 | 64.877 | - 3.124 |
| 70.124 | 4.842 | 69.876 | - 2.640 |
| 75.112 | 3.983 | 74.888 | - 2.131 |
| 80.090 | 3.082 | 79.910 | - 1.604 |
| 85.064 | 2.173 | 84.936 | - 1.085 |
| 90.036 | 1.297 | 89.964 | -0.595 |
| 95.013 | 0.521 | 94.987 | -0.191 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 1.000

Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.110

NACA 65-412
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.347 | 1.010 | 0.653 | -0.810 |
| 0.580 | 1.236 | 0.920 | - 0.956 |
| 1.059 | 1.588 | 1.441 | $-1.160$ |
| 2.283 | 2.234 | 2.717 | - 1.490 |
| 4.757 | 3.227 | 5.243 | - 1.963 |
| 7.247 | 4.010 | 7.753 | - 2.314 |
| 9.746 | 4.672 | 10.254 | - 2.604 |
| 14.757 | 5.741 | 15.243 | - 3.049 |
| 19.781 | 6.562 | 20.219 | - 3.378 |
| 24.811 | 7.193 | 25.189 | - 3.613 |
| 29.846 | 7.658 | 30.154 | -3.770 |
| 34.884 | 7.971 | 35.116 | - 3.851 |
| 39.923 | 8.139 | 40.077 | -3.855 |
| 44.962 | 8.139 | 45.038 | - 3.759 |
| 50.000 | 7.963 | 50.000 | - 3.551 |
| 55.035 | 7.602 | 54.965 | -3.222 |
| 60.064 | 7.085 | 59.936 | - 2.801 |
| 65.086 | 6.440 | 64.914 | - 2.320 |
| 70.101 | 5.686 | 69.899 | - 1.798 |
| 75.107 | 4.847 | 74.893 | - 1.267 |
| 80.103 | 3.935 | 79.897 | $-0.751$ |
| 85.090 | 2.974 | 84.910 | -0.2s2 |
| 90.066 | 1.979 | 89.934 | 0.089 |
| 95.033 | 0.986 | 94.967 | 0.278 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 1.000

Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.168

NACA $65_{2}-215$
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.406 | 1.170 | 0.594 | $-1.070$ |
| 0.645 | 1.422 | 0.855 | - 1.282 |
| 1.132 | 1.805 | 1.368 | - 1.591 |
| 2.365 | 2.506 | 2.635 | $-2.134$ |
| 4.848 | 3.557 | 5.152 | $-2.925$ |
| 7.342 | 4.380 | 7.658 | - 3.532 |
| 9.841 | 5.069 | 10.159 | $-4.035$ |
| 14.848 | 6.175 | 15.152 | - 4.829 |
| 19.863 | 7.018 | 20.137 | $-5.426$ |
| 24.882 | 7.658 | 25.118 | $-5.868$ |
| 29.904 | 8.123 | 30.096 | $-6.179$ |
| 34.927 | 8.426 | 35.073 | -6.366 |
| 39.952 | 8.569 | 40.048 | - 6.427 |
| 44.976 | 8.522 | 45.024 | - 6.332 |
| 50.000 | 8.271 | 50.000 | - 6.065 |
| 55.021 | 7.815 | 54.979 | $-5.625$ |
| 60.039 | 7.189 | 59.961 | $-5.047$ |
| 65.053 | 6.433 | 64.947 | $-4.373$ |
| 70.062 | 5.572 | 69.938 | - 3.628 |
| 75.065 | 4.638 | 74.935 | - 2.848 |
| 80.063 | 3.653 | 79.937 | - 2.061 |
| 85.055 | 2.649 | 84.945 | $-1.303$ |
| 90.040 | 1.660 | 89.960 | $-0.626$ |
| 95.020 | 0.744 | 94.980 | -0.112 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 1.505

Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.084

NACA 65 $\mathbf{n}_{2}-415$
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.313 | 1.208 | 0.687 | $-1.008$ |
| 0.542 | 1.480 | 0.958 | $-1.200$ |
| 1.016 | 1.900 | 1.484 | $-1.472$ |
| 2.231 | 2.680 | 2.769 | $-1.936$ |
| 4.697 | 3.863 | 5.303 | $-2.599$ |
| 7.184 | 4.794 | 7.816 | - 3.098 |
| 9.682 | 5.578 | 10.318 | - 3.510 |
| 14.697 | 6.842 | 15.303 | $-4.150$ |
| 19.726 | 7.809 | 20.274 | $-4.625$ |
| 24.764 | 8.550 | 25.236 | $-4.970$ |
| 29.807 | 9.093 | 30.193 | $-5.205$ |
| 34.854 | 9.455 | 35.146 | - 5.335 |
| 39.903 | 9.639 | 40.097 | $-5.355$ |
| 44.953 | 9.617 | 45.047 | $-5.237$ |
| 50.000 | 9.374 | 50.000 | - 4.962 |
| 55.043 | 8.910 | 54.957 | $-4.530$ |
| 60.079 | 8.260 | 59.921 | $-3.976$ |
| 65.106 | 7.462 | 64.894 | - 3.342 |
| 70.124 | 6.542 | 69.876 | $-2.654$ |
| 75.131 | 5.532 | 74.869 | - 1.952 |
| 80.126 | 4.447 | 79.874 | - 1.263 |
| 85.109 | 3.320 | 84.891 | -0.628 |
| 90.080 | 2.175 | 89.920 | $-0.107$ |
| 95.040 | 1.058 | 94.960 | 0.206 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 1.505

Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.168

NACA 65-415
$a=0.5$
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.245 | 1.233 | 0.755 | -0.957 |
| 0.464 | 1.520 | 1.036 | - 1.132 |
| 0.927 | 1.965 | 1.573 | - 1.377 |
| 2.126 | 2.812 | 2.874 | - 1.776 |
| 4.574 | 4.099 | 5.426 | $-2.335$ |
| 7.054 | 5.122 | 7.946 | - 2.746 |
| 9.549 | 5.985 | 10.451 | -. 3.081 |
| 14.568 | 7.383 | 15.432 | -3.591 |
| 19.611 | 8.459 | 20.389 | - 3.963 |
| 24.671 | 9.280 | 25.329 | -4.232 |
| 29.743 | 9.883 | 30.257 | -4.411 |
| 34.825 | 10.280 | 35.175 | - 4.508 |
| 39.916 | 10.470 | 40.084 | -4.526 |
| 45.019 | 10.423 | 44.981 | - 4.431 |
| 50.152 | 10.106 | 49.848 | -4.226 |
| 55.262 | 9.501 | 54.738 | - 3.929 |
| 60.307 | 8.672 | 59.693 | -3.548 |
| 65.314 | 7.684 | 64.686 | -3.104 |
| 70.294 | 6.573 | 69.706 | - 2.609 |
| 75.253 | 5.387 | 74.747 | - 2.083 |
| 80.199 | 4.157 | 79.801 | - 1.545 |
| 85.137 | 2.930 | 84.863 | - 1.014 |
| 90.077 | 1.755 | 89.923 | -0.527 |
| 95.027 | 0.715 | 94.973 | -0.139 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |

I.E. radius: 1.505

Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.233

NACA $65_{r}-218$
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.388 | 1.382 | 0.612 | - 1.282 |
| 0.625 | 1.673 | 0.875 | - 1.533 |
| 1.110 | 2.116 | 1.390 | - 1.902 |
| 2.340 | 2.932 | 2.660 | -2.560 |
| 4.819 | 4.178 | 5.181 | - 3.546 |
| 7.311 | 5.153 | 7.689 | - 4.305 |
| 9.809 | 5.971 | 10.191 | - 4.937 |
| 14.818 | 7.276 | 15.182 | $-5.930$ |
| 19.835 | 8.270 | 20.165 | -6.676 |
| 24.858 | 9.023 | 25.142 | - 7.233 |
| 29.884 | 9.566 | 30.116 | - 7.622 |
| 34.912 | 9.916 | 35.088 | - 7.856 |
| 39.942 | 10.070 | 40.058 | - 7.928 |
| 44.972 | 9.996 | 45.028 | - 7.806 |
| 50.000 | 9.671 | 50.000 | - 7.465 |
| 55.026 | 9.103 | 54.974 | -6.913 |
| 60.047 | 8.338 | 59.953 | -6.196 |
| 65.063 | 7.425 | 64.937 | $-5.365$ |
| 70.073 | 6.398 | 69.927 | - 4.454 |
| 75.077 | 5.290 | 74.923 | $-3.500$ |
| 80.074 | 4.133 | 79.926 | -2.541 |
| 85.063 | 2.967 | 84.937 | $-1.621$ |
| 90.046 | 1.835 | 89.954 | -0.801 |
| 95.023 | 0.805 | 94.977 | -0.173 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 1.96

Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.084

|  | NACA ons and or er cent of | $65-418$ <br> dinates gi irfoil chor | ven in <br> d) |  |  | $65-418$ <br> 0.5 <br> dinates gi | ven in |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upper | surface | Lower | surface |  |  |  |  |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate | Upper | surface | Lower | surface |
|  |  |  |  | Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0.278 | 1.418 | 0.722 | - 1.218 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.503 | 1.729 | 0.997 | - 1.449 | 0.197 | 1.440 | 0.803 | - 1.164 |
| 0.973 | 2.209 | 1.527 | - 1.781 | 0.411 | 1.766 | 1.089 | -1.378 |
| 2.181 | 3.104 | 2.819 | - 2.360 | 0.868 | 2.271 | 1.632 | -1.683 |
| 4.639 | 4.481 | 5.361 | - 3.217 | 2.057 | 3.233 | 2.943 | - 2.197 |
| 7.123 | 5.566 | 7.877 | - 3.870 | 4.493 | 4.715 | 5.507 | - 2.951 |
| 9.619 | 6.478 | 10.381 | - 4.410 | 6.966 | 5.891 | 8.034 | - 3.515 |
| 14.636 | 7.942 | 15.364 | - 5.250 | 9.459 | 6.882 | 10.541 | - 3.978 |
| 19.671 | 9.061 | 20.329 | - 5.877 | 14.481 | 8.482 | 15.519 | - 4.690 |
| 24.716 | 9.914 | 25.284 | - 6.334 | 19.533 | 9.709 | 20.467 | - 5.213 |
| 29.768 | 10.536 | 30.232 | - 6.648 | 24.604 | 10.643 | 25.396 | - 5.595 |
| 34.825 | 10.944 | 35.175 | - 6.824 | 29.691 | 11.325 | 30.309 | $-5.853$ |
| 39.884 | 11.140 | 40.116 | - 6.856 | 34.789 | 11.770 | 35.211 | - 5.898 |
| 44.943 | 11.091 | 45.057 | - 6.711 | 39.899 | 11.970 | 40.101 | -6.026 |
| 50.000 | 10.774 | 50.000 | - 6.362 | 45.022 | 11.897 | 44.978 | - 5.905 |
| 55.051 | 10.198 | 54.949 | - 5.818 | 50.182 | 11.506 | 49.818 | - 5.626 |
| 60.094 | 9.408 | 59.906 | - 5.124 | 55.313 | 10.788 | 54.687 | - 5.216 |
| 65.126 | 8.454 | 64.874 | - 4.334 | 60.364 | 9.820 | 59.636 | - 4.696 |
| 70.146 | 7.368 | 69.854 | - 3.480 | 65.372 | 8.674 | 64.628 | -4.094 |
| 75.154 | 6.183 | 74.846 | - 2.603 | 70.347 | 7.397 | 69.653 | - 3.433 |
| 80.147 | 4.927 | 79.853 | - 1.743 | 75.298 | 6.038 | 74.702 | -2.734 |
| 85.127 | 3.638 | 84.873 | - 0.946 | 80.232 | 4.636 | 79.768 | - 2.024 |
| 90.092 | 2.350 | 89.908 | -0.282 | 85.159 | 3.247 | 84.841 | - 1.331 |
| 95.046 | 1.120 | 94.954 | 0.144 | 90.089 | 1.930 | 89.911 | -0.702 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0.777 | 94.970 | $-0.201$ |
| I.E. radius: 1.96 <br> Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.168 |  |  |  |  |  | 100.000 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | L.E. radius: 1.96 <br> Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.233 |  |  |  |

NACA 65-618
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.172 | 1.446 | 0.828 | - 1.146 |
| 0.385 | 1.776 | 1.115 | - 1.356 |
| 0.839 | 2.293 | 1.661 | - 1.651 |
| 2.026 | 3.268 | 2.974 | - 2.152 |
| 4.462 | 4.776 | 5.538 | - 2.880 |
| 6.936 | 5.971 | 8.064 | - 3.427 |
| 9.431 | 6.978 | 10.569 | - 3.876 |
| 14.455 | 8.602 | 15.545 | - 4.564 |
| 19.506 | 9.848 | 20.494 | - 5.072 |
| 24.574 | 10.803 | 25.426 | - 5.433 |
| 29.652 | 11.504 | 30.348 | - 5.672 |
| 34.738 | 11.972 | 35.262 | - 5.792 |
| 39.826 | 12.210 | 40.174 | - 5.784 |
| 44.915 | 12.186 | 45.085 | - 5.616 |
| 50.000 | 11.877 | 50.000 | - 5.259 |
| 55.077 | 11.293 | 54.923 | - 4.723 |
| 60.141 | 10.479 | 59.859 | -4.053 |
| 65.189 | 9.482 | 64.811 | $-3.302$ |
| 70.219 | 8.338 | 69.781 | - 2.506 |
| 75.230 | 7.075 | 74.770 | - 1.705 |
| 80.220 | 5.719 | 79.780 | -0.943 |
| 85.189 | 4.306 | 84.811 | -0.268 |
| 90.138 | 2.863 | 89.862 | 0.239 |
| 95.068 | 1.433 | 94.932 | 0.463 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 1.96

Slupe of radius through L.E.: 0.253

## NACA $\mathbf{6 5}_{\mathbf{-}} \mathbf{- 6 1 8}$

$$
a=0.5
$$

(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.059 | 1.469 | 0.941 | - 1.055 |
| 0.256 | 1.821 | 1.244 | - 1.239 |
| 0.689 | 2.375 | 1.811 | - 1.493 |
| 1.846 | 3.449 | 3.154 | - 1.895 |
| 4.248 | 5.115 | 5.752 | - 2.469 |
| 6.706 | 6.448 | 8.294 | -2.884 |
| 9.194 | 7.575 | 10.806 | -3.219 |
| 14.225 | 9.404 | 15.775 | - 3.716 |
| 19.301 | 10.815 | 20.699 | - 4.071 |
| 24.407 | 11.893 | 25.593 | -4.321 |
| 29.537 | 12.687 | 30.463 | -4.479 |
| 34.684 | 13.209 | 35.316 | -4.551 |
| 39.849 | 13.456 | 40.151 | -4.540 |
| 45.034 | 13.395 | 44.966 | -4.407 |
| 50.273 | 12.974 | 49.727 | -4.154 |
| 55.468 | 12.173 | 54.532 | -3.815 |
| 60.546 | 11.090 | 59.454 | -3.404 |
| 65.557 | 9.806 | 64.443 | - 2.936 |
| 70.519 | 8.374 | 69.481 | - 2.428 |
| 75.445 | 6.851 | 74.555 | - 1.895 |
| 80.347 | 5.279 | 79.653 | - 1.361 |
| 85.239 | 3.720 | 84.761 | -0.846 |
| 90.133 | 2.233 | 89.867 | -0.391 |
| 95.046 | 0.920 | 94.954 | -0.055 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 1.96 Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.349 |  |  |  |

NACA 65-221
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.372 | 1.567 | 0.628 | - 1.467 |
| 0.608 | 1.902 | 0.892 | - 1.762 |
| 1.090 | 2.402 | 1.410 | - 2.188 |
| 2.314 | 3.335 | 2.684 | - 2.963 |
| 4.791 | 4.783 | 5.209 | - 4.151 |
| 7.280 | 5.918 | 7.720 | - 5.070 |
| 9.778 | 6.865 | 10.222 | - 5.831 |
| 14.787 | 8.370 | 15.213 | - 7.024 |
| 19.808 | 9.514 | 20.192 | - 7.922 |
| 24.834 | 10.381 | 25.166 | - 8.591 |
| 29.865 | 11.007 | 30.135 | -9.063 |
| 34.898 | 11.404 | 35.102 | - 9.344 |
| 39.932 | 11.570 | 40.068 | - 9.428 |
| 44.967 | 11.461 | 45.033 | -9.271 |
| 50.000 | 11.055 | 50.000 | -8.849 |
| 55.030 | 10.372 | 54.970 | -8.182 |
| 60.054 | 9.461 | 59.946 | - 7.319 |
| 65.072 | 8.390 | 64.928 | -6.330 |
| 70.084 | 7.195 | 69.916 | - 5.251 |
| 75.088 | 5.918 | 74.912 | - 4.128 |
| 80.084 | 4.595 | 79.916 | - 3.003 |
| 85.072 | 3.270 | 84.928 | - 1.924 |
| 90.052 | 2.000 | 89.948 | - 0.966 |
| 95.026 | 0.861 | 94.974 | -0.229 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 2.50

Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.084

NACA 65-421
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ord |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0.247 | 1.60 | 0.75 | - |
| 0.468 | 1.956 | 1.032 | - 1.67 |
| 0.933 | 2.493 | 1.567 | - 2.06 |
| 2.135 | 3.505 | 2.865 | -2.76 |
| 4.582 | 5.085 | 5.417 | - 3.82 |
| 7.062 | 6.329 | 7.938 | -4.633 |
| 9.557 | 7.371 | 10.443 | - 5.303 |
| 14.575 | 9.034 | 15.42 | 342 |
| 19.616 | 10.304 | 20.384 | - 7.120 |
| 24.66 | 11.2 | 25.3 | - 7.68 |
| 29.7 | 11.976 | 30.271 | -8.088 |
| 34.79 | 12.433 | 35.204 | -8.313 |
| 39.865 | 12.640 | 40.135 | 8.35 |
| 44.934 | 12.550 | 45.066 | $-8.176$ |
| 50.000 | 12.15 s | 0.00 | - 7.746 |
| 55.059 | 11.467 | 4.941 | - 7.087 |
| 60.108 | 10.531 | 59.892 | -6.247 |
| 65.145 | 9.419 | 64.85 | - 5.299 |
| 70.168 | 8.166 | 69.832 | 278 |
| 75.176 | 6.811 | 74.824 | - 3.23 |
| 80.1 | 5.385 | 79.8 | - 2.204 |
| 85.143 | 3.940 | 84.857 | - 1.248 |
| 90.104 | 2.514 | 89.896 | -0.446 |
| 95.051 | 1.176 | 94.949 | 0.08 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 2.50

Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.168

NACA 65-421
$a=0.5$
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station |  | Ordinate |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0.155 | 1.620 | 0.845 | -1.344 |  |
| 0.363 | 1.991 | 1.137 | -1.603 |  |
| 0.813 | 2.553 | 1.687 | -1.965 |  |
| 1.992 | 3.631 | 3.008 | -2.595 |  |
| 4.414 | 5.315 | 5.586 | -3.551 |  |
| 6.880 | 6.651 | 8.120 | -4.275 |  |
| 9.371 | 7.773 | 10.629 | -4.869 |  |
| 14.395 | 9.572 | 15.605 | -5.780 |  |
| 19.455 | 10.951 | 20.545 | -6.455 |  |
| 24.538 | 12.000 | 25.462 | -6.952 |  |
| 29.639 | 12.765 | 30.361 | -7.293 |  |
| 34.754 | 13.258 | 35.246 | -7.486 |  |
| 39.882 | 13.470 | 40.118 | -7.526 |  |
| 45.026 | 13.362 | 44.974 | -7.370 |  |
| 50.211 | 12.890 | 49.789 | -7.010 |  |
| 55.362 | 12.056 | 54.638 | -6.484 |  |
| 60.421 | 10.942 | 59.579 | -5.818 |  |
| 65.428 | 9.637 | 64.572 | -5.057 |  |
| 70.398 | 8.193 | 69.602 | -4.229 |  |
| 75.340 | 6.664 | 74.660 | -3.360 |  |
| 80.264 | 5.097 | 79.736 | -2.485 |  |
| 85.181 | 3.550 | 84.819 | -1.634 |  |
| 90.100 | 2.095 | 89.900 | -0.867 |  |
| 95.034 | 0.833 | 94.966 | -0.257 |  |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |  |
|  | 0 |  |  |  |

L.E. radius: 2.50

Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.233

NACA 66-206
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.461 | 0.509 | 0.539 | -0.409 |
| 0.707 | 0.622 | 0.793 | -0.482 |
| 1.202 | 0.798 | 1.298 | -0.584 |
| 2.447 | 1.102 | 2.553 | $-0.730$ |
| 4.941 | 1.572 | 5.059 | - 0.940 |
| 7.439 | 1.947 | 7.561 | -1.099 |
| 9.939 | 2.268 | 10.061 | -1.234 |
| 14.942 | 2.791 | 15.058 | $-1.445$ |
| 19.947 | 3.196 | 20.053 | - 1.604 |
| 24.954 | 3.513 | 25.046 | $-1.723$ |
| 29.962 | 3.754 | 30.038 | - 1.810 |
| 34.971 | 3.929 | 35.029 | -1.869 |
| 39.981 | 4.042 | 40.019 | - 1.900 |
| 44.990 | 4.095 | 45.010 | $-1.905$ |
| 50.000 | 4.088 | 50.000 | $-1.882$ |
| 55.009 | 4.020 | 54.991 | $-1.830$ |
| 60.018 | 3.886 | 59.982 | - 1.744 |
| 65.026 | 3.641 | 64.974 | -1.581 |
| 70.031 | 3.288 | 69.969 | - 1.344 |
| 75.034 | 2.848 | 74.966 | $-1.058$ |
| 80.034 | 2.339 | 79.966 | -0.747 |
| 85.031 | 1.780 | 84.969 | -0.434 |
| 90.023 | 1.182 | 89.977 | -0.148 |
| 95.012 | 0.578 | 94.988 | 0.054 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |

[^4]NACA 66-209
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.442 | 0.735 | 0.558 | -0.635 |
| 0.686 | 0.892 | 0.814 | -0.752 |
| 1.179 | 1.135 | 1.321 | - 0.921 |
| 2.420 | 1.552 | 2.580 | - 1.180 |
| 4.912 | 2.194 | 5.088 | - 1.562 |
| 7.409 | 2.705 | 7.591 | - 1.857 |
| 9.908 | 3.141 | 10.092 | - 2.107 |
| 14.912 | 3.850 | 15.088 | - 2.504 |
| 19.921 | 4.396 | 20.079 | - 2.804 |
| 24.931 | 4.821 | 25.069 | - 3.031 |
| 29.944 | 5.145 | 30.056 | -3.201 |
| 34.957 | 5.378 | 35.043 | - 3.318 |
| 39.971 | 5.528 | 40.029 | - 3.386 |
| 44.986 | 5.594 | 45.014 | - 3.404 |
| 50.000 | 5.578 | 50.000 | - 3.372 |
| 55.014 | 5.476 | 54.986 | - 3.286 |
| 60.027 | 5.275 | 59.973 | - 3.133 |
| 65.038 | 4.912 | 64.962 | - 2.852 |
| 70.046 | 4.400 | 69.954 | - 2.456 |
| 75.050 | 3.772 | 74.950 | - 1.882 |
| 80.050 | 3.058 | 79.950 | - 1.466 |
| 85.044 | 2.283 | 84.956 | - 0.937 |
| 90.034 | 1.477 | 89.965 | -0.443 |
| 95.018 | 0.690 | 94.982 | -0.058 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |

[^5]NACA 66-210
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0.436 | 0.806 | 0.564 | -0.70 |
| 0.679 | 0.980 | 0.821 | - 0.84 |
| 1.171 | 1.245 | 1.329 | - 1.031 |
| 2.412 | 1.699 | 2.588 | - 1.32 |
| 4.902 | 2.401 | 5.098 | - 1.769 |
| 7.399 | 2.958 | 7.601 | - 2.110 |
| 9.898 | 3.432 | 10.102 | - 2.389 |
| 14.903 | 4.202 | 15.097 | - 2.856 |
| 19.912 | 4.796 | 20.088 | - 3.204 |
| 24.924 | 5.257 | 25.0 | - 3.467 |
| 29.937 | 5.608 | 30.0 | -3.664 |
| 34 | 5.86 | 35.048 | - 3.802 |
| 39.968 | 6.024 | 40.032 | - 3.882 |
| 44.984 | 6.095 | 45.016 | - 3.905 |
| 50.000 | 6.074 | 50.000 | - 3.868 |
| 55.016 | 5.960 | 54.984 | - 3.770 |
| 60.030 | 5.736 | 59.970 | - 3.594 |
| 65.042 | 5.332 | 64.9 | - 3.272 |
| 70.051 | 4.759 | 69.949 | - 2.815 |
| 75.056 | 4.071 | 74.944 | - 2.281 |
| 80.055 | 3.289 | 79.945 | - 1.697 |
| 85.0 | 2.445 | 84.951 | - 1.099 |
| 90.037 | 1.570 | 89.963 | -0.536 |
| 95.019 | 0.724 | 94.981 | - 0.092 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 0.662

Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.084

NACA 661-212
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.424 | 0.953 | 0.576 | $-0.853$ |
| 0.666 | 1.154 | 0.834 | - 1.014 |
| 1.156 | 1.462 | 1.344 | $-1.248$ |
| 2.395 | 1.991 | 2.605 | $-1.619$ |
| 4.883 | 2.809 | 5.117 | $-2.177$ |
| 7.379 | 3.459 | 7.621 | - 2.611 |
| 9.878 | 4.011 | 10.122 | - 2.977 |
| 14.883 | 4.905 | 15.117 | - 3.559 |
| 19.894 | 5.596 | 20.106 | $-4.004$ |
| 24.908 | 6.132 | 25.092 | - 4.342 |
| 29.925 | 6.539 | 30.075 | -4.595 |
| 34.943 | 6.833 | 35.057 | $-4.773$ |
| 39.962 | 7.018 | 40.038 | - 4.876 |
| 44.981 | 7.095 | 45.019 | $-4.905$ |
| 50.000 | 7.068 | 50.000 | $-4.862$ |
| 55.019 | 6.931 | 54.981 | $-4.741$ |
| 60.036 | 6.659 | 59.964 | $-4.517$ |
| 65.051 | 6.169 | 64.949 | $-4.109$ |
| 70.061 | 5.487 | 69.939 | $-3.543$ |
| 75.066 | 4.661 | 74.934 | - 2.871 |
| 80.065 | 3.739 | 79.935 | $-2.147$ |
| 85.057 | 2.755 | 84.943 | $-1.409$ |
| 90.043 | 1.750 | 89.957 | - 0.716 |
| 95.022 | 0.789 | 94.978 | $-0.157$ |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 0.952

Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.084

NACA 66r-215
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.406 | 1.168 | 0.594 | - 1.068 |
| 0.646 | 1.409 | 0.854 | $-1.269$ |
| 1.134 | 1.778 | 1.366 | $-1.564$ |
| 2.370 | 2.417 | 2.630 | - 2.045 |
| 4.855 | 3.413 | 5.145 | -2.781 |
| 7.349 | 4.202 | 7.651 | - 3.354 |
| 9.848 | 4.872 | 10.152 | - 3.838 |
| 14.854 | 5.957 | 15.146 | $-4.611$ |
| 19.868 | 6.790 | 20.132 | - 5.198 |
| 24.886 | 7.437 | 25.114 | $-5.647$ |
| 29.906 | 7.927 | 30.094 | - 5.983 |
| 34.929 | 8.280 | 35.071 | - 6.220 |
| 39.952 | 8.501 | 40.048 | - 6.359 |
| 44.976 | 8.590 | 45.024 | -6.400 |
| 50.000 | 8.553 | 50.000 | $-6.347$ |
| 55.023 | 8.378 | 54.977 | -6.188 |
| 60.045 | 8.030 | 59.955 | - 5.888 |
| 65.063 | 7.402 | 64.937 | $-5.342$ |
| 70.075 | 6.547 | 69.925 | $-4.603$ |
| 75.081 | 5.526 | 74.919 | - 3.736 |
| 80.079 | 4.393 | 79.921 | $-2.801$ |
| 85.070 | 3.202 | 84.930 | $-1.856$ |
| 90.052 | 2.005 | 89.948 | -0.871 |
| 95.026 | 0.881 | 94.974 | -0.249 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 1.435

Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.084

NACA 66-415
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.314 | 1.206 | 0.686 | - 1.006 |
| 0.544 | 1.467 | 0.956 | - 1.187 |
| 1.019 | 1.873 | 1.481 | - 1.445 |
| 2.241 | 2.592 | 2.759 | - 1.848 |
| 4.711 | 3.718 | 5.289 | - 2.454 |
| 7.199 | 4.617 | 7.801 | -2.921 |
| 9.696 | 5.381 | 10.304 | - 3.313 |
| 14.709 | 6.624 | 15.291 | - 3.932 |
| 19.736 | 7.581 | 20.264 | - 4.397 |
| 24.771 | 8.329 | 25.229 | - 4.749 |
| 29.812 | 8.897 | 30.188 | - 5.009 |
| 34.857 | 9.309 | 35.143 | - 5.189 |
| 39.904 | 9.571 | 40.096 | - 5.287 |
| 44.952 | 9.685 | 45.048 | - 5.305 |
| 50.000 | 9.656 | 50.000 | - 5.244 |
| 55.046 | 9.473 | 54.954 | - 5.093 |
| 60.090 | 9.100 | 59.910 | - 4.816 |
| 65.126 | 8.431 | 64.874 | - 4.311 |
| 70.150 | 7.518 | 69.850 | - 3.630 |
| 75.162 | 6.419 | 74.838 | -2.839 |
| 80.159 | 5.187 | 79.841 | -2.003 |
| 85.139 | 3.872 | 84.861 | - 1.180 |
| 90.104 | 2.519 | 89.896 | - 0.451 |
| 95.053 | 1.196 | 94.947 | . 068 |
| 100.090 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |
| L.E. radius: 1.435 <br> Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.168 |  |  |  |

NACA 66-218
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.389 | 1.368 | 0.611 | $-1.268$ |
| 0.628 | 1.636 | 0.872 | $-1.496$ |
| 1.115 | 2.054 | 1.385 | $-1.840$ |
| 2.346 | 2.828 | 2.654 | - 2.456 |
| 4.827 | 4.002 | 5.173 | - 3.370 |
| 7.320 | 4.933 | 7.680 | - 4.085 |
| 9.818 | 5.724 | 10.182 | - 4.690 |
| 14.825 | 7.004 | 15.175 | $-5.65 \mathrm{~S}$ |
| 19.841 | 7.982 | 20.159 | $-6.390$ |
| 24.863 | 8.742 | 25.137 | - 6.952 |
| 29.887 | 9.317 | 30.113 | - 7.373 |
| 34.914 | 9.731 | 35.086 | - 7.671 |
| 39.942 | 9.989 | 40.058 | - 7.847 |
| 44.971 | 10.093 | 45.029 | - 7.903 |
| 50.000 | 10.045 | 50.000 | $-7.839$ |
| 55.028 | 9.828 | 54.972 | - 7.638 |
| 60.054 | 9.394 | 59.946 | - 7.252 |
| 65.075 | 8.610 | 64.925 | -6.550 |
| 70.089 | 7.568 | 69.911 | $-5.624$ |
| 75.095 | 6.345 | 74.905 | -4.555 |
| 80.093 | 5.001 | 79.907 | - 3.409 |
| 85.081 | 3.606 | 84.919 | - 2.260 |
| 90.060 | 2.230 | 89.940 | $-1.196$ |
| 95.030 | 0.961 | 94.970 | -0.329 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 1.955

Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.084

NACA 67,1-215
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.402 | 1.213 | 0.598 | - 1.113 |
| 0.642 | 1.460 | 0.858 | -1.320 |
| 1.128 | 1.867 | 1.372 | - 1.653 |
| 2.361 | 2.577 | 2.639 | - 2.205 |
| 4.848 | 3.557 | 5.152 | - 2.925 |
| 7.344 | 4.321 | 7.656 | - 3.473 |
| 9.845 | 4.947 | 10.155 | - 3.913 |
| 14.854 | 5.954 | 15.146 | - 4.608 |
| 19.869 | 6.735 | 20.131 | - 5.143 |
| 24.887 | 7.348 | 25.113 | - 5.558 |
| 29.908 | 7.825 | 30.092 | - 5.881 |
| 34.930 | 8.185 | 35.070 | - 6.125 |
| 39.953 | 8.430 | 40.047 . | -6.288 |
| 44.976 | 8.570 | 45.024 | -6.380 |
| 50.000 | 8.600 | 50.000 | - 6.394 |
| 55.024 | 8.516 | 54.976 | - 6.326 |
| 60.047 | 8.302 | 59.953 | - 6.160 |
| 65.068 | 7.935 | 64.932 | - 5.875 |
| 70.086 | 7.373 | 69.914 | - 5.429 |
| 75.098 | 6.515 | 74.902 | - 4.725 |
| 80.100 | 5.335 | 79.900 | - 3.743 |
| 85.092 | 3.999 | 84.908 | - 2.653 |
| 90.071 | 2.537 | 89.929 | - 1.503 |
| 95.037 | 1.103 | 94.963 | - 0.471 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 1.523

Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.084

NACA 747A315
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.229 | 1.305 | 0.771 | - 1.031 |
| 0.449 | 1.599 | 1.051 | - 1.207 |
| 0.911 | 2.065 | 1.589 | - 1.473 |
| 2.109 | 2.935 | 2.891 | - 1.927 |
| 4.564 | 4.264 | 5.436 | - 2.518 |
| 7.053 | 5.286 | 7.947 | -2.952 |
| 9.558 | 6.140 | 10.442 | -3.304 |
| 14.599 | 7.497 | 15.401 | -3.843 |
| 19.668 | 8.503 | 20.332 | - 4.247 |
| 24.758 | 9.242 | 25.242 | - 4.546 |
| 29.867 | 9.731 | 30.133 | -4.773 |
| 35.001 | 9.982 | 34.999 | -4.926 |
| 40.200 | 9.962 | 39.800 | - 5.020 |
| 45.375 | 9.572 | 44.625 | - 5.040 |
| 50.447 | 8.964 | 49.553 | - 5.014 |
| 55.463 | 8.206 | 54.537 | -4.930 |
| 60.435 | 7.324 | 59.565 | -4.772 |
| 65.366 | 6.365 | 64.634 | -4.509 |
| 70.241 | 5.354 | 69.759 | - 4.110 |
| 75.130 | 4.336 | 74.870 | - 3.502 |
| 80.073 | 3.295 | 79.927 | - 2.743 |
| 85.038 | 2.257 | 84.962 | - 1.915 |
| 90.016 | 1.289 | 89.984 | - 1.097 |
| 95.004 | 0.481 | 94.996 | - 0.405 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 1.544

Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.232

NACA 66-418
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.280 | 1.405 | 0.720 | - 1.205 |
| 0.509 | 1.692 | 0.991 | - 1.412 |
| 0.981 | 2.147 | 1.519 | - 1.719 |
| 2.194 | 3.000 | 2.806 | - 2.256 |
| 4.656 | 4.306 | 5.344 | - 3.042 |
| 7.140 | 5.347 | 7.860 | -3.651 |
| 9.636 | 6.231 | 10.364 | -4.163 |
| 14.651 | 7.669 | 15.349 | -4.97i |
| 19.683 | 8.773 | 20.317 | - 5.589 |
| 24.726 | 9.633 | 25.274 | -6.053 |
| 29.775 | 10.287 | 30.225 | - 6.399 |
| 34.829 | 10.759 | 35.171 | -6.639 |
| 39.885 | 11.059 | 40.115 | -6.775 |
| 44.943 | 11.188 | 45.057 | -6.80s |
| 50.000 | 11.148 | 50.000 | -6.736 |
| 55.056 | 10.923 | 54.944 | -6.543 |
| 60.107 | 10.464 | 59.893 | -6.183 |
| 65.149 | 9.639 | 64.851 | - 5.519 |
| 70.178 | 8.539 | 69.822 | -4.651 |
| 75.191 | 7.238 | 74.809 | - 3.658 |
| 80.185 | 5.794 | 79.815 | - 2.610 |
| 85.162 | 4.276 | 84.838 | - 1.584 |
| 90.120 | 2.744 | 89.880 | -0.676 |
| 95.060 | 1.275 | 94.940 | -0.011 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 1.955

Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.168

NACA 66ィ-221
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower suriace |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.372 | 1.570 | 0.628 | $-1.470$ |
| 0.610 | 1.869 | 0.890 | - 1.729 |
| 1.095 | 2.342 | 1.405 | - 2.128 |
| 2.323 | 3.226 | 2.677 | - 2.854 |
| 4.800 | 4.580 | 5.200 | - 3.948 |
| 7.291 | 5.653 | 7.709 | -4.805 |
| 9.788 | 6.565 | 10.212 | $-5.531$ |
| 14.797 | 8.039 | 15.203 | - 6.693 |
| 19.815 | 9.170 | 20.185 | - 7.578 |
| 24.840 | 10.047 | 25.160 | $-8.257$ |
| 29.869 | 10.709 | 30.131 | $-8.765$ |
| 34.900 | 11.183 | 35.100 | $-9.123$ |
| 39.933 | 11.478 | 40.067 | -9.336 |
| 44.967 | 11.595 | 45.033 | -9.405 |
| 50.000 | 11.537 | 50.000 | $-9.331$ |
| 55.032 | 11.281 | 54.968 | - 9.091 |
| 60.063 | 10.763 | 59.937 | -8.621 |
| 65.087 | 9.823 | 64.913 | $-7.763$ |
| 70.103 | 8.581 | 69.897 | $-6.637$ |
| 75.109 | 7.145 | 74.891 | $-5.355$ |
| 80.106 | 5.591 | 79.894 | - 3.999 |
| 85.092 | 3.996 | 84.908 | -2.650 |
| 90.067 | 2.440 | 89.933 | $-1.406$ |
| 95.034 | 1.032 | 94.966 | -0.405 |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 2.550

Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.084

NACA 747A415
(Stations and ordinates given in per cent of airfoil chord)

| Upper surface |  | Lower surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Ordinate | Station | Ordinate |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.183 | 1.318 | 0.817 | -0.994 |
| 0.398 | 1.622 | 1.102 | - 1.160 |
| 0.852 | 2.106 | 1.648 | - 1.406 |
| 2.041 | 3.016 | 2.959 | $-1.822$ |
| 4.487 | 4.411 | 5.513 | - 2.349 |
| 6.972 | 5.488 | 8.028 | - 2.730 |
| 9.476 | 6.390 | 10.524 | - 3.038 |
| 14.521 | 7.827 | 15.479 | -3.501 |
| 19.598 | 8.897 | 20.402 | - 3.845 |
| 24.698 | 9.687 | 25.302 | - 4.095 |
| 29.818 | 10.216 | 30.182 | -4.286 |
| 34.964 | 10.497 | 35.036 | - 4.411 |
| 40.176 | 10.499 | 39.824 | - 4.485 |
| 45.364 | 10.121 | 44.636 | - 4.493 |
| 50.447 | 9.516 | 49.553 | -4.462 |
| 55.474 | 8.753 | 54.526 | -4.381 |
| 60.454 | 7.859 | 59.546 | $-4.235$ |
| 65.393 | 6.878 | 64.607 | - 3.992 |
| 70.273 | 5.838 | 69.727 | -3.622 |
| 75.164 | 4.783 | 74.836 | - 3.053 |
| 80.107 | 3.692 | 79.893 | - 2.344 |
| 85.066 | 2.592 | 84.934 | - 1.578 |
| 90.037 | 1.546 | 89.963 | -0.838 |
| 95.015 | 0.639 | 94.985 | $-0.247$ |
| 100.000 | 0 | 100.000 | 0 |

L.E. radius: 1.544

Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.274

## APPENDIX IV <br> AERODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF WING SECTIONS



NACA Designation Page
$65-018$ ..... 632
65 -218 ..... 634
65-418. ..... 636
$65-418 a=0.5$ ..... 638
655-618. ..... 640
$65-618 a=0.5$ ..... 642
$65-021$. ..... 644
65-221. ..... 646
65-421 ..... 648
$65-421 a=0.5$ ..... 650
66-006 ..... 652
66-009 ..... 654
66-206 ..... 656
66-209 ..... 658
66-210 ..... 660
66 $\mathrm{T}^{-012}$ ..... 662
66 1 -212 ..... 664
66-015 ..... 666
$66_{2}-215$ ..... 668
66-415 ..... 670
66,-018 ..... 672
$66_{\mathrm{r}}-218$ ..... 674
66-418 ..... 676
66,021. ..... 678
664-221. ..... 680
67,1-215 ..... 682
747A315 ..... 684
747A415 ..... 686




NACA 0009 Wing Section







NACA 0010-34 Wing Section
$a=0.8$ (modified), $c_{4}=0.2$



NACA 0012 Wing Section




NACA 0012-64 Wing Section (Continued)


NACA 0012-64 Wing Section,
$a=0.8$ (modified), $c_{l_{i}}=0.2$






NiCA 1412 Wing Section




NACA 2408 Wing Section (Continued)


NACA 2410 Wing Section


NACA 2410 Wing Section (Conlinued)






















NACA 23012 Wing Section




























NACA 63:-015 Wing Section (Continued)









NACA 63s-018 Wing Sertion (Continued)













NAC. 63 - 421 Wing Section (Continucd)


theoretical and mxperimental data for a number of naca ba-series arroil sections
NACA 63A010 Wing Section (Continued)


NACA 63A210 Wing Section
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NACA bisayid wing Section (Continued)


NACA $64-006$ Wing Section





NACi 64-i08 Wing Section







NACA 64-208 Wing Section (Continued)






NACA 64-210 Wing Section (Pontinued)





NACA 641-112 Wing Section (Continued)





























NACA 64A210 Wing Section (Continued)


NACA 64A410 Wing Sect:on


NACA 64A410 Wing Section (Continued)


NACA 64,A212 Wing Section




NACA 64, A212 Wing Section (Continued)






NACA 65-009 Wing Section














NACA 651-212 Wing Section (Continued)


$a=0.6$ (iontinueli)











NACA 65̈-415 Wing Section
$a=0.5$ (Continued)


NACA 65z-01S Wing Section



NACA 65t-218 Wing Section





$a=0.5$ (Continued)




$a=0.5$ (Conlinued)








$u=0.5$ (Continued)



















NACA 66x-215 Wing Section (Cuntinued)
















NACA 747A315 Wing Section




NACA 747A415 Wing Section (Continued)
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[^0]:    Chevy Chase, Md. July, 1949

[^1]:    $S$ wing area
    $b$ span
    A aspect ratio
    $c_{t} / c_{8}$ taper ratio where $c_{t}$ is the tip chord and $c_{8}$ is the root chord
    $\epsilon$ aerodynamic twist in degrees from root to tip, measured between the sero lift directions of the center and tip sections, positive for wash in
    $\beta$ angle of sweepback, measured between the lateral axis and a line through the aerodynamic centers of the wing sections
    $a_{0}$ section lift-curve slope

[^2]:    $x$ Cartesian coordinate (distance along surface)
    $x_{\text {. }}$ effective length of flat plate
    $x_{0}$ position on surface at start of integration
    $y$ Cartesian coordinate (distance normal to surface)

    - $2 \pi / l$
    $\beta_{i}$ time rate of amplification of disturbance
    boundary-layer thickness
    $\delta^{*}$ displacement thickness of the boundary layer
    nondimensional variable $(y / x) \sqrt{R_{x}}$
    distance parameter $\rho \nu^{*} y / \mu$
    momentum thickness of the boundary layer
    $\theta_{0}$ momentum thickness at the point $x_{0}$
    pressure-loss coefficient
    laminar boundary layer shape parameter
    viscosity of the fluid
    coefficient of slip
    ratio of the circumference of a circle to its diameter
    mass density of the fluid
    shearing stress at the wall (skin friction)
    velocity parameter $u / v^{*}$
    stream function
    $\psi_{1}$ nondimensional stream function
    $\infty$ infinity

[^3]:    SHDIAGG LAIT-HDIH

[^4]:    L.E. radius: 0.223

    Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.084

[^5]:    L.E. radius: 0.530

    Slope of radius through L.E.: 0.084

