

# The Cauchy–Binet formula

Andrew Putman

## Abstract

We give a proof of the Cauchy–Binet formula for the determinant of the product of two matrices that mostly avoids explicit matrix manipulations.

Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a field. All matrices in this note have entries in  $\mathbf{k}$ . Let  $A$  be an  $n \times m$  matrix and let  $B$  be an  $m \times n$  matrix. The product  $AB$  is thus an  $n \times n$  matrix. The Cauchy–Binet formula shows how to express the determinant of  $AB$  in terms of  $A$  and  $B$ . When  $n = m$ , it reduces to the familiar fact that  $\det(AB) = \det(A) \det(B)$ .

Stating it requires introducing some notation. Let  $[m] = \{1, \dots, m\}$ . For  $I \subset [m]$ , let  $A_I$  be the  $n \times |I|$  submatrix of  $A$  consisting of the rows of  $A$  lying in  $I$ . Similarly, let  ${}_I B$  be the  $|I| \times n$  submatrix of  $B$  consisting of the columns of  $B$  lying in  $I$ .

**Theorem 0.1** (Cauchy–Binet formula). *Let  $A$  be an  $n \times m$  matrix and let  $B$  be an  $m \times n$  matrix. Then*

$$\det(AB) = \sum_{\substack{I \subset [m] \\ |I|=n}} \det(A_I) \det({}_I B).$$

*Proof.* For an  $r \times s$  matrix  $C$ , let  $\phi_C: \mathbf{k}^s \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^r$  be the associated linear map. Thus  $\phi_{AB}$  equals the composition

$$\mathbf{k}^n \xrightarrow{\phi_B} \mathbf{k}^m \xrightarrow{\phi_A} \mathbf{k}^n.$$

Letting  $\{\vec{e}_1, \dots, \vec{e}_n\}$  be the standard basis for  $\mathbf{k}^n$ , we thus have that

$$\phi_A \circ \phi_B(\vec{e}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n) = \det(AB) \vec{e}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n.$$

To express this in terms of  $A$  and  $B$ , we will have to first understand  $\phi_B: \wedge^n \mathbf{k}^m \rightarrow \wedge^n \mathbf{k}^n$ .

Let  $\{\vec{f}_1, \dots, \vec{f}_m\}$  be the standard basis  $\mathbf{k}^m$ . The vector space  $\wedge^n \mathbf{k}^m$  thus has a basis

$$\{\vec{f}_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{f}_{i_n} \mid \{i_1 < \dots < i_n\} \subset [m]\}.$$

We claim that

$$\phi_B(\vec{e}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n) = \sum_{I=\{i_1 < \dots < i_n\} \subset [m]} \det({}_I B) \vec{f}_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{f}_{i_n}. \quad (0.1)$$

To see this, fix some  $I = \{i_1 < \dots < i_n\} \subset [m]$ . Let  $V_I = \langle \vec{f}_{i_1}, \dots, \vec{f}_{i_n} \rangle \subset \mathbf{k}^m$  and let  $\pi_I: \mathbf{k}^m \rightarrow V_I$  be the projection whose kernel is generated by the  $\vec{f}_j$  with  $j \notin I$ . Identifying  $V_I$  with  $\mathbf{k}^n$  via its natural basis, the composition

$$\mathbf{k}^n \xrightarrow{\phi_B} \mathbf{k}^m \xrightarrow{\pi_I} V_I$$

equals the linear map associated to  ${}_I B$ . We thus have

$$(\pi_I \circ \phi_B)_*(\vec{e}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \vec{e}_n) = \det({}_I B) \vec{f}_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \vec{f}_{i_n}.$$

The equation (0.1) follows.

Fixing some  $I = \{i_1 < \cdots < i_n\} \subset [m]$  again, the next step is to observe that if we again identify  $V_I$  with  $\mathbf{k}^n$  via its natural basis, the composition

$$V_I \hookrightarrow \mathbf{k}^m \xrightarrow{\phi_A} \mathbf{k}^n$$

equals the linear map associated to  $A_I$ . It follows that

$$\phi_A(\vec{f}_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \vec{f}_{i_n}) = \det(A_I) \vec{e}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \vec{e}_n.$$

Combining this with (0.1), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_A \circ \phi_B(\vec{e}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \vec{e}_n) &= \sum_{I=\{i_1 < \cdots < i_n\} \subset [m]} \det({}_I B) \phi_A(\vec{f}_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \vec{f}_{i_n}) \\ &= \sum_{I=\{i_1 < \cdots < i_n\} \subset [m]} \det({}_I B) \det(A_I) \vec{e}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \vec{e}_n. \end{aligned}$$

The theorem follows. □

Andrew Putman  
 Department of Mathematics  
 University of Notre Dame  
 164 Hurley Hall  
 Notre Dame, IN 46556  
 andyp@nd.edu