Combined boron, radiogenic (Nd, Pb, Sr), stable (C, O) isotopic and geochemical investigations of carbonatites from the Blue River Region, British Columbia (Canada): Implications for mantle sources and recycling of crustal carbon
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ABSTRACT

This study reports the combined major, minor and trace element compositions, and stable (C, O), radiogenic (Nd, Pb, and Sr) isotopic compositions, and first δ11B isotopic data for the Fir, Felix, Gum, and Howard Creek carbonatites from the Blue River Region, British Columbia (Canada). These sill-like occurrences were intruded into Late Proterozoic strata during rifting and extensional episodes during the Late Cambrian and Devonian-Mississippian, and subsequently deformed and metamorphosed to amphibolite grade in relation to a collisional-type tectonic environment. The carbonatites at Fir, Gum, and Felix contain both calcite and dolomite, whereas the carbonatite at Howard Creek contains only calcite. The dolomite compositions reported here are consistent with those experimentally determined by direct partial melting of metasomatized peridotitic mantle. The combined major and trace element compositions and δ13C\textsubscript{PDB} (−5.37 to −4.85‰) and δ18O\textsubscript{SMOW} (9.14 to 9.62‰) values for all the samples investigated are consistent with those for primary igneous carbonate and support their mantle origin. However, these signatures cannot be attributed to closed system melt differentiation from a single parental melt. The initial Nd, Pb, and Sr isotopic ratios are highly variable and suggest generation from multiple, small degree parental melts derived from a heterogeneous mantle source. The δ11B values for carbonates from Felix, Gum, and Howard Creek vary between −8.67 and −6.36‰, and overlap the range for asthenospheric mantle (−7.1 ± 0.9‰), whereas two samples from Fir yield heavier values of −3.98 and −2.47‰. The latter indicate the presence of recycled crustal carbon in their mantle source region, which is consistent with those for young (<300 Ma) carbonatites worldwide. The radiogenic and B isotope results for the Blue River carbonatites are compared to those from contrasting, anorogenic tectonic settings at Chipman Lake, Fen, and Jacupiranga, and indicate that similar upper mantle sources are being tapped for carbonatite melt generation. The pristine, mantle-like δ11B values reported here for the Blue River carbonatites clearly demonstrate that this isotope system is robust and was not perturbed by post-solidification tectono-metamorphic events. This observation indicates that B isotope signatures are a valuable tool for deciphering the nature of the upper mantle sources for carbonates of igneous origin.

1. Introduction

Carbonatites are unique igneous rocks with distinct compositional and mineralogical characteristics, which include high concentrations (i.e. 100’s to 1000’s of ppm) of Sr, Nd, REEs and volatiles (e.g., Bell and Simonetti, 2010; Jones et al., 2013), and consist > 50 vol% (silica content < 20 wt%; Woolley and Kempe, 1989) or > 30 vol% (regardless of silica content; Mitchell, 2005) carbonate minerals. They occur as both intrusive (e.g., dikes, plugs and sills; Rossetti et al., 2000; Ying et al., 2017) and extrusive bodies (detailed discussion in Woolley and Church, 2005), and form predominantly with various alkaline silica-undersaturated (e.g., ijolite, phoscorite, foyaite etc.) and ultramafic
rocks (e.g., Chen and Simonetti, 2013; Mitchell, 2005). Although exotic, carbonatites play an important role in our understanding of the chemical evolution of the asthenospheric and/or lithospheric mantle (e.g., Bell et al., 1982; Bell and Blenkinsop, 1987; Simonetti et al., 1998; Bell and Tilton, 2002; Hulett et al., 2016). They provide significant information on the nature of their mantle source due to their relatively high abundances of incompatible elements (e.g., Sr and Nd) and low viscosities, which help buffer their inherited isotope signatures against crustal contamination during their ascent to the surface (e.g., Jones et al., 2013 and references therein).

Carbonatites are found on all continents (Woolley and Kjarsgaard, 2008) including Antarctica (Hall et al., 1995; Worley et al., 1995). They are present in a number of tectonic settings (Woolley, 1989) but predominantly within intra-plate, continental rifts (e.g., East African Rift; Simonetti and Bell, 1993; Bell and Simonetti, 1996; Ernst and Bell, 2010), and less commonly within oceanic environments (e.g., Cape Verde Islands; Kogarko et al., 2009; Hoernle et al., 2002) and orogenic belts (e.g., Canadian Cordillera; Millonig et al., 2012; Chudy, 2013; Mitchell et al., 2017). On the basis of the radiogenic isotope systematics of young carbonatite complexes (<200 Ma old) worldwide (for detailed review, Bell and Simonetti, 2010), it has been proposed that carbonatite melts are derived from a combination of mantle sources, including those characterized predominantly by EMI (Enriched Mantle carbonatite melts are derived from a combination of mantle sources, of young carbonatite complexes (<200Ma old) worldwide (for de- Verde Islands; Kogarko et al., 2009; Hoernle et al., 2002) and orogenic belts) (e.g., Chen and Simonetti, 2013; Mitchell, 2005). Although exotic, O. Çimen, et al.

2.1. Blue river carbonatites, British Columbia, Canada

The Canadian Cordillera is located on the western margin of the North American continent and is commonly subdivided into five west to east tectonic belts based on their rock associations, metamorphic grade and structural style (Fig. 1), and these are: the Insular Belt, the Coast Plutonic Complex, the Intermontane Belt, the Omineca Crystalline Belt, and the Rocky Mountain Trench (e.g., Monger and Price, 2002; Millonig et al., 2012; Chudy, 2013). The Blue River carbonatites and associated alkaline rocks occur within the central belt of the eastern Cordillera, which is located west of the Rocky Mountain Trench within the Omineca Crystalline Belt (e.g., Pell and Höy, 1989; Millonig et al., 2012; Chudy, 2013). There are various carbonatite occurrences in the Blue River area (Fig. 2), which occur as small (100 m) discontinuous outcrops (e.g., Pell, 1987; Mitchell et al., 2017) in the form of sill-like bodies and lenses. These have intruded Late Proterozoic strata (e.g., Horsethief Creek Group; Pell and Simony, 1987; Chudy, 2013; Fig. 2) during rifting and extensional episodes in the Late Cambrian (e.g., Felix and Little Chiago) and Devonian-Mississippian times (e.g., Fir; Gorham et al., 2009; Serpentine Creek and Mud Lake; Millonig et al., 2012), prior to deformation and metamorphism associated with the late Jurassic-Cretaceous orogeny (Millonig et al., 2013 and references therein).

The tectonic belts within the Canadian Cordillera have been metamorphosed to different degrees (Fig. 1). For example, igneous and sedimentary rocks belonging to the Omineca Belt experienced sub-greenschist to amphibolite facies conditions, whereas the metamorphism in the Foreland Belt did not exceed greenschist facies conditions (e.g., Read et al., 1991; Millonig et al., 2012). In detail, the Blue...
River region has been deformed and metamorphosed during at least three tectono-metamorphic events, which have been identified using stratigraphic correlations in the Horsethief Creek group strata (Sevigny and Simony, 1989; Chudy, 2013). There are localized multiple metamorphic events that took place between ∼160 and 56 Ma in the Blue River area based on previous geochronological studies (Millonig et al., 2013 and references therein). The earliest, major event occurred during the Early Cretaceous (140–111 Ma) as evidenced by radiometric ages recorded for pelitic schists and deformed pegmatites (Chudy, 2013 and references therein). Subsequently, a thermal event accompanied partial melting of metapelites that produced syn- and post-kinematic peraluminous granites at ∼100 Ma and 63 Ma, respectively (Sevigny et al., 1989). The cooling of the Blue River region occurred between 75 and 54 Ma based on amphibole and mica K-Ar and Ar-Ar ages from the Omineca Crystalline Belt and Mica Creek Area (Millonig et al., 2013 and references therein).

Mitchell et al. (2017) document that the Blue River area carbonatites contain both calcite and dolomite and these exhibit a wide range of textures and compositions, which are the result of re-crystallization during their tectono-metamorphic evolution. The latter includes development of mylonitic shear zones and the redistribution of minor and accessory phases parallel to the foliation (Mitchell et al., 2017). Consequently, the petrogenetic context of the observed parageneses have been significantly modified for the majority of the carbonatite sills, in particular for the thinner (< 1 m) calcitic occurrences (Mitchell et al., 2017). In contrast, the thicker dolomitic carbonatite sills present at Fir preserve some magmatic features that permit investigation of the evolution of this system (Chudy, 2013). For example, Mitchell et al. (2017) report that relics of magmatic textures are still present in sections of the carbonatite that escaped pervasive shearing and dynamic re-crystallization. In particular, they describe the presence and intact paragenetic relations of three calcite and dolomite generations, which were identified by cathodoluminescence microscopy and microprobe analysis. The three generations include: 1- Mg-depleted, 2- peak-metamorphic, and 3- retrograde calcite. Detailed petrographic descriptions and observations are documented in Mitchell et al. (2017) and are briefly summarized here. The Fir carbonatite covers an area of 1 km by 4.5 km and consists of isoclinal recumbent folds of < 5 m to 90 m thick sills with strike lengths of 50 m to 1100 m (Kulla and Hardy, 2015). The Fir carbonatite consists of dolomite with abundant fluorapatite (5–20 vol%) and Na-Ca amphiboles (5–20 vol%; Fig. 3); accessory phases include phlogopite, zircon, pyrohuite, ilmenite, magnetite, ferrrocolumbite, pyrochlore supergroup minerals, fersmite, thorite, nio-boaeschnyite and monazite. Three main mineralogical facies are based
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Fig. 1. Neoproterozoic and Paleozoic miogeoclinal rocks in western North America (a). Simplified metamorphic map of the Canadian Cordillera (b). As indicated in the pressure-temperature diagram, Sg: Sub-greenschist facies, G: Greenschist facies, A: Amphibolite facies. Both figures modified after Millonig et al. (2012).
on the amphibole composition and the Nb-Ta oxide minerals, and are as follows: 1- anchimonomineralic facies essentially devoid of accessory phases containing ferrocolumbite, 2- a winchite (and ferrocolumbite) facies (Fig. 3), and 3- a katophorite (and pyrochlore) facies. The Fir samples investigated here are from both the winchite (FIR-W) and katophorite (FIR-K) facies. The Fir complex consists ∼5 vol% of calcite carbonatite that occurs solely with the katophorite facies proximal to the center of the sills. The Howard Creek carbonatites occur as a series of thin isoclinally folded sills (Pell, 1987), and the majority consist of equigranular calcite with rounded apatite crystals (Fig. 3). They also contain phlogopites, rounded (up to 2 mm) olivine crystals (Fo: 83–88) with inclusions of magnetite and apatite, diopside, plus late stage magnetite, ilmenite and pyrite. The Gum carbonatite sill, which is up to 10 m thick and several tens of meters in length, is apatite-rich calcio-carbonatite. The latter is locally wrapped around neighbouring leuocratic amphibolites, and exhibits bedded-to-slaty cleavage. The
carbonatite contains calcite, apatite, and amphibole in similar amounts, and minor and accessory phases include phlogopite, magnetite, zircon and pyrochlore. The Felix carbonatite forms an extensive sill-like body (~ 10 × 200 m) and its geological setting is complex and has not been thoroughly investigated. The carbonatite sill exhibits modal layering of magnetite and has been, in parts, complexly folded. The mineralogy consists of large rounded apatite crystals set in an equigranular matrix of calcite with very small exsolved dolomite crystals; olivine and magnetite occur as trace minerals.

2.2. Fen carbonatite, Telemark, Norway

The Fen carbonatite is located in Telemark, southeast Norway about 20 km west of the late Paleozoic Oslo rift (Andersen and Taylor, 1988). In this region, the Precambrian gneisses and amphibolites represent the host rocks to the Fen carbonatite. This complex includes a composite central magmatic system and several satellite intrusions (Andersen, 1987 and references therein). The most abundant magmatic rocks consist of carbonatites (60%) and associated intrusive silicate rocks (<20%), with the remainder consisting of metasomatized country rocks (Andersen and Taylor, 1988). Based on a Pb-Pb whole rock isochron age of 539 ± 14Ma (Andersen and Taylor, 1988) and two rocks (Andersen and Taylor, 1988). Based on a Pb-Pb whole rock isochron age of 539 ± 14Ma (Andersen and Taylor, 1988) and two consistent 40Ar/39Ar ages of 583 ± 15Ma (Meert et al., 1998), the Fen carbonatite was formed in Cambrian or latest Neoproterozoic. Dahlgren (1994) has reported a Rb-Sr isochron age of 578Ma for this complex, which is consistent with the ages cited above. The calcite-dolomite carbonatite is composed of primary calcite, dolomite, apatite, pyrite, magnetite and colmate with accessory quartz, baryte, pyrochlore, fluorite and REE fluorocarbonates (Men et al., 2018). This investigation reports new compositional and isotopic data and the first boron isotope composition for carbonate separated from carbonatite within the main pit.

2.3. Chipman Lake carbonatite, Ontario, Canada

The Chipman Lake carbonatite occurrence is located ~ 350 km northeast of Thunder Bay, Ontario and includes a series of dikes intruding rocks of the Archean Wabigoon Sub-province within the Superior Province (Platt and Woolley, 1990). The small dikes (~ 1 m thick) associated with marginal fenitises crop-out at the southern end of Chipman Lake (Platt and Woolley, 1990). The carbonates from these carbonatites are composed of dolomite, ferroan dolomite- to- ankerite, with minor calcite (Buckley and Woolley, 1990). Based on cathodoluminescence studies (Platt and Woolley, 1990), there are two major carbonate phases within some dikes that consist of an early dolomite phase brecciated by younger ankeritic carbonate. The age of the Chipman Lake carbonatite is considered as Mesoproterozoic based on a K-Ar age of 1022 ± 31Ma (Sage, 1985) for amphibole from fenite. We report here new compositional and isotopic data and first boron isotope compositions for carbonate separated from a carbonatite dike located on the south shore of Chipman Lake.

2.4. Jacupiranga carbonatite, São Paulo State, Brazil

The Jacupiranga carbonatite, which is located in southeastern Brazil, was emplaced into a Late Precambrian mica schist and syenitic granodiorite belt (Rod et al., 1985; Huang et al., 1995). The time of emplacement occurred in Early Cretaceous based on Rb-Sr and K-Ar ages of 131 ± 3Ma (Rod et al., 1985) and 130 ± 5Ma (Amaral, 1978), respectively. In this alkaline complex, five distinct carbonatite intrusions and two separate intrusive stages of silicate rocks have been recognized, which consist of syenites, peridotites, ijolites and fenite (Huang et al., 1995 and references therein). The Jacupiranga carbonatites consist mainly of calcite, dolomite, apatite, forsterite, phoscorite, and pyrochlore (Costanzo et al., 2006). Rod et al. (1985) and Huang et al. (1995) reported that the initial Sr, Nd and Pb isotope ratios from the Jacupiranga carbonatite complex are similar to those of basalts from several nearby alkaline complexes, including those from Paraná (high-Ti), the Walvis Ridge and Tristan da Cunha; hence, providing evidence for derivation from similar mantle source regions. This investigation reports new compositional and isotopic data and the first boron isotope composition for carbonate separated from carbonatite within the main pit.

3. Analytical methods

3.1. μXRF mapping

Qualitative elemental maps (Fig. 3; Si, Al, Mg, K, Ti, Ca, Fe) were produced for ~ 100μm thick petrographic thin sections using an Edax Orbis micro-XRF instrument at the Center for Environmental Science and Technology (CEST), University of Notre Dame. The mapping was conducted overnight (~ 12 h) and the X-ray conditions of the instrument were as follows: amplifier time 12.8 μs, fluorescent energy 32 kV, beam size 30 μm, matrix 512 × 400, Dwell time of 200 ms, spectrum map 32-Bit.

3.2. Electron microprobe analyses

The major and minor element concentrations (Table 1) of carbonate grains were determined by using a CAMECA SX-50 electron microprobe at the University of Notre Dame. The instrument was operated with a 15 kV of accelerating voltage, 10 nA beam current, and 15μm beam diameter. The following standards were used for calibration: TAP for Mg, PETJ for Ca, Sr, Mn, and LIFT for Fe. The peak and background counting times were 30 s for Mn and Fe, 25 s for Sr, 15 s for Mg, and 5 s for Ca.

3.3. Trace element determinations

The carbonate grains/fragments for determination of trace element concentrations (Table 2) were hand-picked using a binocular microscope in a class 1000 clean room facility at the Midwest Isotope and Trace Element Research Analytical Center (MITERAC), University of Notre Dame. Details for analytical procedures employed here are included within the appendix.

3.4. Stable (B, C, O) and radiogenic (Sr, Pb, Nd) isotope analyses

The δ18O and δ13C isotope data (Table 3) for carbonate separates (Table 3) employed here are from Hulett et al. (2016). The B, Sr, Pb and Nd isotope analyses reported in Tables 3, 4 and 5 were conducted using a NuPlasma II MC-ICP-MS instrument at University of Notre Dame. Details for all analytical procedures employed for both the stable and radiogenic isotope measurements are provided within the appendix.
4. Results

4.1. Major, minor and trace element geochemistry

Major and minor element concentrations for carbonate separates from the Blue River carbonatite samples are listed in Table 1. The CaO and MgO wt% concentrations reported here indicate that there are two main carbonates, which correspond to compositions of calcite and dolomite (Fig. 4a). With the exception of the sample from Howard Creek (which contains only calcite), the remaining samples include both calcite and dolomite.

The trace element and B contents for carbonate separates from the carbonatites investigated here are listed in Table 2. A majority of the B abundances are ≤1 ppm (except for Felix at 3.06 ppm), which are consistent with those from fresh (unaltered) mid-ocean ridge basalts (e.g., MORBs; Marschall et al., 2017; Spivack and Edmond, 1987; Wunder et al., 2005), and boron concentrations reported for a majority of carbonatites worldwide (Hulett et al., 2016; Çimen et al., 2018). The total rare earth element (TREE) concentrations determined here for the Blue River carbonatites vary between 215 and 1483 ppm, and the corresponding chondrite-normalized (CN)-REE patterns display variable degrees of enrichment in light (LREEs) over heavy (HREEs; La/LuCN = 32.3–86.5; Table 2; Fig. 5). Fig. 5 also illustrates and compares the CN-REE patterns obtained for the dolomite carbonatites from Fir to previous studies (Chudy, 2013) and those for apatites from various Blue River carbonatites (Mitchell et al., 2017).

4.2. Boron, carbon, and oxygen isotope data

Oxygen and carbon stable isotope data obtained for carbonate separates from the Blue River carbonatites are listed in Table 3 and illustrated in Fig. 6. The δ18Owater (%) and δ13Ccarbonate (%) values vary between +9.14% and +9.62% and −3.57% and −4.85%, respectively, and plot within the field for “primary igneous carbonatites (PIC)” (δ18O −6% to +10% and δ13C −4% to −8%; Keller and Hoefs, 1995; Fig. 6). In addition, the samples from Fen (δ18Owater = 8.94% and 9.28%; δ13Ccarbonate = −4.90% and −4.72%) also fall within the PIC field, whereas the sample from Chipman Lake (δ18Owater = 10.54%; δ13Ccarbonate = −4.52%) plots slightly to the right of the PIC box, and that from Jacupiranga (δ18Owater = 16.55%; δ13Ccarbonate = −5.62%) plots much further away to the right of the PIC with an enriched O isotope composition.

The first-reported δ11B (%) values for the carbonate separates from the Blue River region carbonates range between −8.67% and −2.47% and are listed in Table 3. The samples from Gum, Howard Creek, and Felix are characterized by negative δ11B values, between −8.67% and −6.36%, which overlap (given their associated uncertainties) the range of values for typical asthenospheric (MORB) mantle of −7.1 ± 0.9‰ (Marschall et al., 2017). The δ11B signatures of carbonate separates from the Fir carbonatites (−3.98 and −2.47‰) are slightly higher than the remaining samples, and overlap the B isotope compositions for young (<300 Ma old) carbonatites worldwide (Hulett et al., 2016; Fig. 7). Moreover, while the δ11B values for the carbonate samples from Fen (−7.12 and −9.79‰) and Chipman Lake (−8.48‰) also overlap that for typical asthenospheric mantle, the carbonate sample from Jacupiranga yields a much higher value of +0.62‰ (Fig. 7).

4.3. Sr, Pb, and Nd isotope data

The new Sr, Nd, and Pb isotope data for carbonate separates from the Blue River carbonatites are listed in Tables 4 and 5 and shown in Figs. 7, 8, and 9. The ages of ~340 Ma (Serpentine Creek carbonatite) and 497 Ma (Felix carbonatite), which are both from Millonig et al. (2012) for the Blue River carbonatites, have been adopted for the calculation of initial ratios. Ages of 578 Ma (Dahlgren, 1994), 1022 Ma (Sage, 1985) and 131 Ma (Rodén et al., 1985) have been adopted for the samples from Fen, Chipman Lake, and Jacupiranga for their respective age corrections of measured isotope ratios.

Given the low δ87Sr/δ18O (0 to −0.004) for all carbonate samples investigated here with the exception of the sample from Jacupiranga (0.12), the magnitude of the age correction of the measured
87Sr/86Sr ratios (0.70258 to 0.70442) is minimal (Table 4). The initial Sr isotope ratios (0.70256 to 0.70442) for the Blue River carbonatites fall within the range of values previously reported for apatite for several complexes examined here (Howard Creek = 0.70310–0.70354; FIR-K = 0.70290–0.70291 and FIR-W = 0.70280–0.70285; Gum = 0.70390–0.70404, and Felix = 0.70338–0.70346; Mitchelletal., 2017).

In comparison, the carbonatite sample from Chipman Lake is characterized by the lowest initial 87Sr/86Sr (0.70256) ratio, whereas the initial Sr isotope compositions (0.70306–0.70309) for the carbonate samples from Fen are identical (given their associated uncertainties), and overlap those reported in previous studies (e.g., Roden et al., 1985; Huang et al., 1995; Beccaluva et al., 2017; Fig. 8).

The 147Sm/144Nd ratios vary between ∼0.10 and ∼0.12 for all carbonate samples investigated here, which results in corresponding, calculated initial 143Nd/144Nd ratios that range between 0.51136 and 0.51269 (Table 4). The corresponding ƐNd(t) values (Table 4, Fig. 8) overlap those reported for apatite from the equivalent complexes examined by Mitchell et al. (2017), and the comparison is shown in Fig. 8a. The sample from Chipman Lake has the lowest initial 143Nd/144Nd (0.511356) ratio that corresponds to a ƐNd(1022 Ma) value of +0.7, whereas the sample from Jacupiranga has the highest initial 143Nd/144Nd (0.51269) ratio that results in a ƐNd(131 Ma) value of +4.4 (Fig. 8).

The initial Pb isotope values for carbonate grains from the Blue River carbonatites define a rather large range for 206Pb/204Pb (21.14–107.42), 207Pb/204Pb (15.87–20.42), and 208Pb/204Pb (39.34–41.39; Table 5). In particular, the carbonate sample from Fir (FIR-W) has significantly higher initial 206Pb/204Pb and 207Pb/204Pb ratios than the remaining samples (Table 5). Moreover, the carbonate samples from Fen, Chipman Lake and Jacupiranga have lower initial Pb isotope ratios (i.e., 206Pb/204Pb = 16.89–21.38) compared to the remaining complexes from the Blue River region (Fig. 9).

5. Discussion

5.1. Carbonate composition and metamorphism

The carbonate samples from the Blue River region (British
minerals (calcite and dolomite). Given their distinct and variable yields,
phases characterized by a bimodal distribution of carbonate (McCrea, 1999). The latter complex is a classic example of a carbonatite system.
Pb isotope data for carbonate separates from the carbonatite samples. Table 5
similar trends and compositions compared to the calcitic and dolomitic
major element compositions for the Blue River carbonates define si-
compositional trends that may be attributed to closed system fractional
tectono-metamorphic history of the area. Despite having ex-
melts were involved in the petrogenesis of the Blue River carbonatite
samples FIR-W and FIR-K may reflect combined early amphibole and
defined by the MgO vs. FeO wt% abundances for the carbonates from
shown in Fig. 4 most probably indicates that either multiple primary
have been derived by direct partial melting of upper mantle include:
involved in the petrogenesis of the Blue River carbonatite complexes, or the major element compositions were perturbed by
complex tectono-metamorphic events subsequent to their emplacement. How-
ever, as stated earlier, the thicker complexes such as Fir and Felix may
expected result given that these carbonatites have been subjected to
tectono-metamorphic events subsequent to their emplacement. How-
associated with relative uncertainties of between 3 and 5% (2σ level).
Columbia, Canada) are characterized by the presence of both calcite
dolomite, with the exception of Howard Creek that only contains calcite (Table 1; Fig. 4a). Based on the major and minor element con-
Table 5
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Table 4
Sr and Nd isotope data for carbonate separates from the carbonatite samples investigated in this study.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Rb (ppm)</th>
<th>Sr (ppm)</th>
<th>87Sr/86Sr</th>
<th>87Sr/86Sr(i)</th>
<th>2σ</th>
<th>Sm (ppm)</th>
<th>Nd (ppm)</th>
<th>143Sm/144Nd</th>
<th>143Nd/144Nd</th>
<th>2σ</th>
<th>143Nd/144Nd(i)</th>
<th>2σ</th>
<th>ENd(i)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FIR-W</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1268</td>
<td>0.00003</td>
<td>0.70297</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.70297</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.51272</td>
<td>0.00001</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIR-K</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>876</td>
<td>0.00009</td>
<td>0.70297</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.70297</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.51272</td>
<td>0.00001</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GUM</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>899</td>
<td>0.00006</td>
<td>0.70442</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.70442</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.51233</td>
<td>0.00001</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HW-CR</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>732</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.70322</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.70322</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.51259</td>
<td>0.00001</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLX</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>995</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.70377</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.70377</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.51243</td>
<td>0.00001</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEN-1</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td>0.70308</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.70306</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.51248</td>
<td>0.00001</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEN-2</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>673</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.70312</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.70309</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.51245</td>
<td>0.00001</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHP-LK</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>0.70258</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.70256</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.51214</td>
<td>0.00001</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JC-PR</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.70404</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.70382</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.51278</td>
<td>0.00001</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please see text for details in relation to age correction. 87Rb/86Sr and 147Sm/144Nd values were calculated based on ICP-MS-determined elemental abundances and are associated with relative uncertainties of between 3 and 5% (2σ level).

Table 5
Pb isotope data for carbonate separates from the carbonatite samples.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>U (ppm)</th>
<th>Pb (ppm)</th>
<th>Th (ppm)</th>
<th>208Pb/204Pb</th>
<th>207Pb/204Pb</th>
<th>206Pb/204Pb</th>
<th>203Pb/204Pb</th>
<th>2σ</th>
<th>207Pb/206Pb</th>
<th>2σ</th>
<th>208Pb/206Pb</th>
<th>2σ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FIR-W</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>108.1</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>20.45</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>39.75</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIR-K</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>16.50</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>42.66</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GUM</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>16.09</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>41.49</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HW-CR</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>15.89</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>41.86</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLX</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>15.95</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>40.76</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEN-1</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>70.8</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>15.62</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>38.58</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEN-2</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>15.61</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>39.53</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHP-LK</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>148.7</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>16.02</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>40.60</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JC-PR</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>150.2</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>15.64</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>39.52</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please see text for details in relation to age correction. 87Pb/206Pb and 147Sm/144Nd values were calculated based on ICP-MS-determined elemental abundances and are associated with relative uncertainties of between 3 and 5% (2σ level).
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carbonatites worldwide (e.g., Hornig-Kjarsgaard, 1998 and references therein). Moreover, the CN-REE patterns for the Blue River carbonatites overlap those for the dolomitic carbonatites from the Spitskop complex (Harmer, 1999; Fig. 5). The carbonate separates from both FIR samples, which are characterized predominantly by dolomite, contain the least TREE abundances among the Blue River carbonatites (Table 2; Fig. 5). Given the major element compositions described above, it is unlikely that the uniform CN-REE patterns for all samples investigated here are the result of closed-system melt differentiation from a single parental magma. A more plausible interpretation involves small degree partial melting of a heterogeneous (variably enriched) upper mantle source.

Of note, the CN-REE patterns from Chudy (2013) for the Fir dolomite carbonatites display similar patterns but plot at higher values compared to those determined here for carbonate separates from Fir (Fig. 5). One possible explanation for this difference is that the former are whole rock analyses and therefore may have incorporated REEs contributed by accessory minerals. Moreover, as documented in previous studies (e.g., Chen and Simonetti, 2013), the comparative results shown in Fig. 5 indicate that apatite controls the TREE budget over carbonate in carbonatite systems. The CN-REE patterns for carbonate from the Fir carbonatite (TREE(CN) = 85.5–113.4) are the least enriched compared to the remaining carbonatites from the Blue River region (e.g., Howard Creek, Felix and Gum; TREE(CN) = 250.3–590.9). This feature may be attributed to the predominantly dolomitic nature of the carbonate at Fir, which based on experimental results is characterized by lower partition coefficients for incompatible trace elements compared to calcite (e.g., Hornig-Kjarsgaard, 1998; Dasgupta et al., 2009). In addition, TREE abundances for Fen, Chipman Lake and Jacupiranga carbonatite samples are 423.5 ppm (average of two samples), 430 ppm and 80 ppm, respectively; the TREE content for the
Jacupiranga carbonate separate is particularly low compared to that for average carbonatite along with those of other trace elements reported here (e.g., Sr; Woolley and Kempe, 1989); its TREE content falls within the range defined for sedimentary carbonate (<200 ppm; e.g., Xu et al., 2010 and references therein). Hence, these results for the Jacupiranga carbonate separate are rather suspect since they cannot be easily attributed to carbonatite melt derivation from partial melting of a metasomatized upper mantle source; however, its CN-REE pattern along with those for the Chipman Lake and Fen carbonate separates are similar to those for the carbonates from the Blue River area analyzed here (Fig. 5). The combined low TREE content and heavy δ18O value of +16.55‰ suggests that the carbonate sample from Jacupiranga has been subjected to post-solidification alteration. Thus, this renders its radiogenic and B isotopic data suspect with regard to deciphering and evaluating the nature of its mantle source.

5.2. Nature of upper mantle source(s)

Oxygen and carbon stable isotope signatures of mantle-derived carbonates are effective in evaluating possible mantle sources, contamination or hydrothermal processes (e.g., Deines, 1989; Keller and Hoefs, 1995). All of the stable δ18O and δ13C isotope values reported here for the Blue River carbonatites (Table 3; Fig. 6) plot within the PIC field, which is indicative of their pristine nature and upper mantle origin. The sample from Chipman Lake plots marginally to the right of the PIC field, whereas the carbonate from Jacupiranga is characterized by a heavy O isotope signature (16.55%; Fig. 6), and the latter may be attributed to low-temperature, sub-solidus alteration (e.g., Deines, 1989; Simonetti et al., 1995). Thus, with the exception of the carbonate sample from Jacupiranga, the remaining samples investigated here yield radiogenic and B isotopic data that can be used to decipher the nature of their upper mantle source(s).

In the recent study by Mitchell et al. (2017), apatite for several of these complexes (e.g., Howard Creek and Gum) clearly define a significant range in Sr isotope compositions that are not consistent with melt differentiation in a closed-system. Of particular interest is the fact that the carbonate (this study) and corresponding apatite from Blue River carbonatites yield similar or overlapping initial Sr isotope ratios (Mitchell et al., 2017), regardless of the tectonic deformation incurred by these samples. Moreover, Mitchell et al. (2017) proposed that the Sr and Nd isotopic compositions for apatites from the Blue River carbonatites were interpreted to suggest derivation from depleted sub-lithospheric mantle that is characterized by a mixed HIMU-EMI signature; however, it is somewhat contradictory to invoke the simultaneous occurrence of a long-lived “depleted” mantle that is characterized by HIMU and EMI signatures. The main reason being that the latter components are predominantly attributed to enriched, asthenospheric, plume-related mantle giving rise to oceanic island basalts (OIBs; e.g., Zindler and Hart, 1986).

The recent investigations of Hulett et al. (2016) and Çimen et al. (2018) have demonstrated that combining δ11B isotope signatures with stable (C, O) and radiogenic (Nd, Pb, and Sr) isotope values for carbonatites is effective in providing additional insights with regards to their petrogenesis and nature of mantle source(s). For the Blue River carbonatites, the δ11B isotope signatures for Gum, Howard Creek, and Felix either overlap or are very close to the range of values for typical asthenospheric (MORB) mantle (−7.1 ± 0.9‰; Marschall et al., 2017). In contrast, the δ11B signatures for the Fir carbonatites (−3.98 and −2.47‰) are heavier and consistent with those for young (<300 Ma old) carbonatites worldwide (Hulett et al., 2016; Fig. 7). Of
importance, the combined $\delta^{11}$B, $\delta^{13}$C, and $\delta^{18}$O isotope signatures indicate that the carbonatites from Blue River region reflect those of their mantle source and are not the result of crustal contamination or subsolidus alteration processes (Fig. 7a). It has been well established that crustal assimilation will produce heavier $\delta^{18}$O and $\delta^{13}$C isotope compositions, which is clearly not the case here since all of the carbonates from the Blue River region plot within or proximal to the PIC field (Fig. 6). The initial $87$Sr/$86$Sr isotope ratios for several of the Blue River carbonatites (e.g., Felix and Gum) display more radiogenic values relative to the remaining samples, and this suggests the presence of a heterogeneous mantle source involving more than one endmember. Here, the heavier $\delta^{11}$B isotope values of $-3.98\%$ and $-2.47\%$ (Table 3) for both carbonatite samples from Fir, which are greater than the range for typical asthenospheric mantle ($\sim -7.1 \pm 0.9\%$), may reflect input from recycled crustal material (Hulett et al., 2016).

Overall, the $\delta^{11}$B, $\delta^{13}$C and initial $87$Sr/$86$Sr isotope signatures (Fig. 7) for the carbonatites investigated here are consistent with those for the Miaoya complex (Çimen et al., 2018) and carbonatite occurrences worldwide (Hulett et al., 2016). For the latter studies, the carbonatite complexes examined contained solely calcium carbonate and not dolomite. Here, both samples from Fir contain predominantly dolomite as their carbonate phase, and therefore, it is possible that their heavier $\delta^{11}$B isotope signatures reflect melt-crystallization fractionation. However, the lack of anomalous or mixed B isotope values from these samples (i.e., intermediate values between an asthenospheric mantle and an endmember with heavier $\delta^{11}$B isotope signature; Fig. 7) argues against this interpretation.

The Sr, Nd and Pb isotope systematics of young carbonatite occurrences ($<200$ Ma old) worldwide suggest that these were derived from a heterogeneous mantle source including EM1 and HIMU mantle components (Zindler and Hart, 1986; Bell and Simonetti, 2010). In Fig. 8a, the initial $87$Sr/$86$Sr and $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ values for the Blue River carbonatites, which indeed plot between the HIMU and EM1 compositional fields, are also consistent with the involvement of these two mantle components during carbonatite melt generation; there is a lack of Nd, Pb and Sr isotopic evidence to suggest the involvement of depleted mantle (e.g., DMM- depleted MORB mantle; Fig. 8). Hence, the radiogenic isotope compositions corroborate the stable $\delta^{11}$B isotope results, which indicate the presence of an enriched mantle source that contains recycled crustal material (Fig. 7b). Unlike the carbonatite worldwide occurrences investigated by Hulett et al. (2016), which all yielded mantle-like C and O isotope signatures (Fig. 7b), the heavy $\delta^{11}$B value for the carbonate sample from Jacupiranga may be attributed to low-temperature alteration/hydrothermal processes given its extremely high $\delta^{18}$O value of $16.55\%$ (Table 3; Fig. 7b). Of particular note is the fact that the carbonate samples from Felix and Gum both contain asthenospheric-like $\delta^{11}$B values of $-8.38\%$ and $-8.67\%$, respectively regardless of their relatively radiogenic Nd and Sr isotope signatures compared to other Blue River carbonatites (Fig. 7b). This feature suggests that there may be more than one radiogenic mantle component present in the source of the Blue River carbonatites, and/or the B isotope signature for the EM1 mantle component is not that of typical asthenospheric mantle as assumed and illustrated in Fig. 7b. If indeed the radiogenic isotope signatures of young carbonatites worldwide reflect mixing predominantly between HIMU and EM1 mantle components (e.g., Bell and Simonetti, 2010), then one can perhaps infer that
the $\delta^{11}B$ value for EMI is not the typical asthenospheric value of $-7.1 \pm 0.9$‰ (Marschall et al., 2017) based on the distribution of the Sr and B isotope data (Fig. 7b).

It is somewhat difficult to directly compare commonly used geochemical tracers (e.g., B/Zr, B/Nb) adopted for delineating mantle processes and source characteristics for primitive, mafic basalts to those measured in carbonatites due to contrasting magma types (silicate- vs. carbonate-dominated) and consequently distinct melt differentiation processes. Moreover, it has been clearly established that a majority of carbonatites worldwide do not represent primitive liquid compositions but rather are cumulate in nature (e.g., Mitchell, 2005). In addition, previous investigations have demonstrated that the stable, light isotope compositions of oceanic island basalts (OIBs), such as $\delta^7$Li and $\delta^{11}$B, must be carefully screened and evaluated for shallow level crustal contamination (e.g., assimilation-fractional crystallization; Genske et al., 2014). Nonetheless, comparison of the $\delta^{11}$B and radiogenic Nd, Pb, and Sr isotope signatures between carbonatites and those for (unaltered and uncontaminated) MORBs, and in particular enriched-MORBs and OIBs, may shed some important insights into the chemical nature of mantle source(s) for the former. For example, Dixon et al. (2017) report abundances of volatiles (CO$_2$, H$_2$O, Cl), $\delta$DSMOW values, and new $\delta^{11}$B compositions for enriched basalts from various mid-ocean ridge segments from both Pacific and Atlantic Oceans. Basalts from the Shona (Group I) in the southern mid-Atlantic ridge segment, which are characterized by PREMA (Prevalent Mantle) Pb and Sr isotope signatures, yield heavy $\delta^{11}$B values of $+10$‰ (Fig. 7). The latter may be attributed to the generation of isotopically enriched boron from antigorite within the deep mantle (Benton et al., 2001; Spivack and Edmond, 1987). In addition, Dixon et al.’s (2017) “DampEM” or Enriched Mantle (EM) component based on C-O-H-Cl fluid compositions is characterized by $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr and $\delta^{11}$B values of 0.709 and $-6.6$‰, respectively and this component is also shown in Fig. 7b. The B isotope signature of the DampEM component is consistent with that of dehydrated sediments ($-1$ to $-8$‰; Ishikawa and Nakamura, 1993), or melange serpentinites resulting from mantle wedge hydration at significant depths (30 to $>70$ km; Martin et al., 2016). Dixon et al. (2017) proposed a multistage dehydration model to account for the contrasting isotopic compositions, which takes into account the thermal properties of the subducting slab. This in turn provides an important control on the concentrations of volatiles and light stable isotopic composition of slab materials. Hence, it was proposed that EM-like mantle results from the melting of carbonated sediments of a subducting slab at roughly 230 km depth, whereas a PREMA-like mantle reservoir is located deeper ($>300$ km) and produced by slab interaction with fluids derived from dehydrated subcrustal antigorite (Dixon et al., 2017).

The recent study of Walowski et al. (2019) investigated the B and volatile (H$_2$O, CO$_2$, and Cl) abundances and $\delta^{11}$B compositions of basaltic glasses and olivine-hosted melt inclusions from OIBs present at La Palma, Canary Islands, and Piton de la Fournaise, La Réunion Island. The olivine-hosted melt inclusions provide more robust estimates of primary mantle source $\delta^{11}$B values compared to previous studies investigating whole rocks since the former are protected from contamination during ascent. The average $\delta^{11}$B value for the La Réunion samples ($-7.9 \pm 0.5$‰; 2σ) overlaps that for MORB, whereas basalts from La Palma, which are notable for their radiogenic Pb isotope ratios, contain distinctly lighter $\delta^{11}$B values of $-10.5 \pm 0.7$ (2σ) (Walowski et al., 2019; Fig. 7). Walowski et al. (2019) suggest that the isotopically light $\delta^{11}$B values are derived from considerably dehydrated recycled materials, which is consistent with their B/Zr and H$_2$O/Ce ratios. Thus, upon examination of the data illustrated in Fig. 7b, it is clear that the new B
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isotope compositions reported here for the Blue River carbonatites along with those measured to date for carbonatite occurrences worldwide within a field/area bounded by MORB (typical asthenospheric mantle), PREMA-, DamPEM (or enriched mantle, EM)-, and a mantle source similar to the one giving rise to the OIBs in La Palma (Canary Islands). Thus, several straightforward interpretations are possible: 1- recycled crustal materials of varying B isotopic compositions are playing an important role in the mantle source regions of carbonatite melt generation; and 2- carbonatites are tapping similar mantle sources that are giving rise to MORBs and OIBs worldwide; this is consistent with the similar Nd-Sr-Pb isotope systematics between OIBs and carbonatites (see Bell and Simonetti, 2010 for detailed review).

The extremely radiogenic Pb isotope ratios for the Blue River carbonatites (e.g. Fir carbonatite) may indicate involvement of a distinct radiogenic Pb mantle reservoir (Figs. 8b and 9). The similar distributions in radiogenic Pb isotope values for the Miaoya (Çimen et al., 2018), Shaixiongdong (Chen et al., 2018) and Fen (Andersen, 1987) carbonatite complexes all corroborate the involvement of a distinct, radiogenic Pb mantle reservoir for carbonatite melt generation (Fig. 8b). Of note, the Pb isotope values from the Miaoya and Shaixiongdong complexes display a wide range of values, whereas their corresponding 87Sr/86Sr signatures define narrow ranges (0.70357–0.70384 and 0.70319–0.70331, respectively). Chen et al. (2018) previously suggested that the chemical and Pb isotopic compositions of carbonatites from the Shaixiongdong region (China) indicate involvement of a distinct, highly radiogenic Pb mantle reservoir. A similar type of mantle reservoir is needed to explain the extremely radiogenic Pb isotope data for a majority of the carbonates from both the Blue River region and Fen samples investigated here since these plot to the right of HIMU (Table 5; Figs. 8b and 9); this result suggests that the presence of an extremely radiogenic Pb isotope reservoir may be a more prevalent global phenomenon than previously postulated. In contrast, the Jacupiranga carbonatites define a narrow range in terms of radiogenic Pb isotope ratios and this complex could have been generated from mixing of HIMU and EMI mantle sources (Fig. 8b).

In summary, the combined isotopic signatures reported in this study provide significant information about the heterogeneous character of the upper mantle beneath this region of the North American craton, and requires the involvement of several mantle components including HIMU, PREMA, EM-like, and a distinct radiogenic Pb reservoir (Figs. 8 and 9). In previous studies, it has been argued that these enriched mantle reservoirs are typically associated with plume-related magmatism (e.g., Gasperini et al., 2000; Bell et al., 2006; Bell and Simonetti, 2010); however, this interpretation is difficult to argue here given their emplacement within a local, extensional environment in a subduction-related tectonic regime.

5.3. Tectonic context: subduction zones vs rift environments?

Carbonatites generally occur within stable, intra-plate, continental regions and continental rifts, and rarely in orogenic belts or within oceanic plates (e.g., Nelson et al., 1988; Woolley and Kjarsgaard, 2008). The vast majority of previous investigations of carbonatite complexes have focused on those from anorogenic tectonic environments and not those found within collision/subduction zone-related carbonatite complexes (e.g., Hou et al., 2006; Chen et al., 2018; Çimen et al., 2018). Within anorogenic regimes, carbonatite melts may be related to mantle plume activity and linked to a volatile-rich, isotopically heterogeneous asthenospheric/plume source (e.g., Bell and Simonetti, 2010).

Numerous previous studies have well established that the boron budget and δ11B signatures of arc-related magmatism are controlled by subduction-related processes (e.g., Leeman et al., 2017). However, it remains unclear whether recycling involves bulk mixing of subducted material into arc magma sources, or selective transfer via devolatilization fluids, partial melts, or both (e.g., Class et al., 2000; Elliott et al., 1997; Klimm et al., 2008; Plank, 2005). Within active subduction zones, it has been suggested that carbonatitic melts are derived from the metamalised lithospheric mantle that was modified by infiltration of high-flux REE- and CO2-rich fluids derived from subducted marine sediments (e.g., D’Orazio et al., 2007; Bonadiman et al., 2008; Deng et al., 2014; Hou et al., 2006, 2015). Similarly, the recent study by Li et al. (2019) reports new Mo isotope compositions, along with B isotopic and trace element data for a suite of Cenozoic basalts from the eastern North China Craton (NCC). The combined isotopic and geochemical results suggest that the nephelinites and basanites were derived from a carbonated mantle source via fluid-flux melting (Li et al., 2019). The origin of the fluid flux is somewhat complex within an intraplate setting; however, it could be associated to the decarbonization of subducted slabs stalled in the deep mantle. The interaction between these ascending carbonate-rich fluids (from depths of 300 km or more) and an extensive column of depleted mantle will modify and alter their original B isotopic composition to that of depleted upper mantle (Li et al., 2019).

The Blue River region contains an important series of carbonatite complexes associated with a collision-type tectonic setting (Fig. 1), postulated to have formed during the rifting and extensional episodes in the Late Cambrian and Devono-Mississippian times (e.g., Gorham et al., 2009; Millonig et al., 2012). Based on recently published geochronological data (Millonig et al., 2012), the magmatism in the southern Canadian Cordillera formed discontinuously during the Neoproterozoic, Late Cambrian and Upper Devonian to Lower Carboniferous. Most of the carbonatite samples (e.g., Fir, Gum, Howard Creek) from the Blue River region investigated here were emplaced in the Devono-Mississippian, whereas the Felix carbonatite intruded in the Late Cambrian. As stated above, the stable (Figs. 6 and 7) and radiogenic isotope (Figs. 8 and 9) signatures for the Blue River carbonatites indicate derivation from a heterogeneous mantle source that may include HIMU, PREMA, EM-like, components and a distinct radiogenic Pb reservoir. Bukanov et al. (2018b) report Sr, Nd, and Pb isotope data for the same carbonatites from the Blue River area investigated here, and these define a mixing trend involving FOZO (Focal Zone) and EMI mantle end-members. Although HIMU, EMI, and FOZO mantle component signatures recorded by young ( < 200 Ma) carbonatites are typically associated with OIBs and mantle plume activity (e.g., Bell and Simonetti, 2010), it is doubtful that the Blue River region carbonatites are the products of plume activity as there are no physical and petrological evidences for the latter impacting the area of study; i.e., regional crustal doming, presence of extensive flood basaltic province, and radial dyke swarms (e.g., Sleep, 1990; Davies, 1999; Rainbow and Ernst, 2001; Saunders et al., 2007). Alternatively, it is more likely that enriched fluids/melts were tapped from the underlying, convecting (isotopically enriched) asthenosphere during periods of extension, which may have caused decompression melting of the asthenosphere with ascending partial melts metasomatising the overlying lithosphere (e.g., Bell and Simonetti, 2010).

In contrast, the Cambrian-aged Fen carbonatite, which is located west of the Oslo rift, is a well known example of carbonate magmatism associated with extensional rifting in an anorogenic tectonic setting (e.g., Andersen and Taylor, 1988). It is characterized by negative δ18O isotope values (−7.12 and −9.79‰) (Fig. 7), which is consistent with the B isotope results of carbonatite occurrences worldwide for complexes older than ~300 Ma since these are characterized by asthenospheric-like values (−7.1 ± 0.9‰; Hulett et al., 2016; Marshall et al., 2017). Based on Nd, Pb, and Sr isotope compositions (Fig. 8), the carbonatites from Fen display signatures that are indicative of derivation from a mixed mantle source region consisting of enriched mantle components (e.g., HIMU, EMI and distinct radiogenic Pb reservoir). In contrast, sample JC-PR from the younger, early Cretaceous Jacupiranga carbonatite yields a δ13C value (0.62‰) that is more positive than the remaining older carbonatites investigated here (Table 3 and Fig. 7). However, its elevated δ18O value of 16.55‰ (Table 3) renders
this B isotope result suspect and precludes it from providing meaningful information in relation to the chemical nature of its mantle source. The combined O and B isotope ratios for JC-PR suggest this sample has most likely undergone subsolidus alteration/contamination, which may also explain its somewhat odd Nd and Sr isotope signatures (Fig. 8) relative to the other samples examined here. Moreover, sample JC-PR is also characterized by extremely low concentrations of incompatible elements such as Sr and the REEs (Table 2) compared to other samples investigated here, and those characteristic of average pristine, ‘fresh’ mantle-derived carbonatites (e.g., Woolley and Kempe, 1989). Finally, sample CHP-LK from the Chipman Lake carbonatite is the oldest sample (1022 ± 31 Ma; Sage, 1985) and, yields a negative δ 11B isotope value of −8.48‰ combined with mantle-like δ 13C and δ 18O signatures (Table 3) and CN-REE patterns that are typical of mantle-derived carbonatites (Fig. 5). Thus, the combined compositional and isotopic evidence indicates that sample CHP-LK represents pristine/unaltered carbonatite, with the radiogenic (Nd, Pb, and Sr) and B isotope values indicating contrasting mantle sources. The former indicate derivation from an enriched upper mantle source compared to radiogenic signatures for carbonatites worldwide of similar age (Bell and Simonetti, 2010), whereas the δ 11B data suggests derivation from typical asthenospheric mantle. However, the δ 11B value of −8.48‰ for sample CHP-LK is consistent with the findings from Hulett et al. (2016), which argue that carbonatites older than 300 million years did not sample or incorporate recycled crustal material within their mantle source region.

Although the carbonatites within the Blue River area are generally sheared and recrystallized as a result of tectonism, on the basis of data reported in this study, it is clear that these have not been perturbed and have retained their original signatures inherited from their mantle source. The thicker (larger) dolomitic carbonatites such as Fir, are typically coarse-grained and are characterized by gneissic texture indicative of equilibration during metamorphism (Chudy, 2013). In addition, the calcite-dolomite geothermometry results obtained by Chudy (2013) using the least deformed carbonatite samples from the Blue River area yield temperatures ~50 °C higher than those of the local, regionally metamorphosed dolomitic marbles (630–650 °C). Hence, these results were interpreted to indicate magmatic crystallization conditions for the Fir carbonatites (Chudy, 2013). Therefore, this study is the first to report preservation of mantle-like δ 11B isotope signatures in metamorphosed and deformed carbonatites, which further confirms its great utility as a tracer of magmatic processes within crustal regimes. Moreover, the more positive δ 11B isotope values for the carbonatites from Fir clearly confirm the presence of recycled crustal material within their mantle source.

6. Conclusions

The major, minor and trace element compositions of magmatic carbonates from the Blue River carbonatites (British Columbia, Canada) investigated here most probably reflect those inherited from their mantle source, and have not been perturbed by the complex tectono-metamorphic history of the region. The major element compositions of the dolomitic carbonatites are consistent with those experimentally determined by direct partial melting of a metasomatized peridotite upper mantle source. Moreover, the combined compositional and mantle-like (B, C, O, Nd, Pb, and Sr) isotopic data for all of the calcitic and dolomitic carbonates reported in this study cannot be reconciled with melt differentiation involving closed system, fractional crystallization of a single parental melt. Their upper mantle source is chemically heterogeneous, and is characterized by the presence of HIMU and EM-like mantle components and a highly radiogenic Pb isotope endmember. The carbonatite complexes investigated here occur within a collisional-type tectonic environment, but were emplaced during extensional regimes within several orogenic cycles; in particular, the complexes younger than 360 Ma most likely formed due to extension caused by slab rollback. The first reported B isotope signatures for the carbonatites from the Blue River region are not anomalous when compared to signatures for modern-day mid-ocean ridge basalts (MORBs) and oceanic island basalts (OIBs). Most are characterized by asthenosphere-like values with the exception of the carbonate from Fir. The latter contains slightly more positive δ 11B values that are consistent with those from young (< 300 Ma) carbonatite complexes worldwide, and corroborate the presence of recycled crustal carbon within its mantle source. Finally, the pristine, mantle-like δ 11B values for the Blue River carbonatites clearly demonstrate that this isotope system is robust and was not perturbed by the later tectono-metamorphic events. This observation indicates that B isotope signatures can be a valuable forensic tool for deciphering the nature of sources of mantle-derived carbonates.
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