

Physics 70007, Fall 2009

Solutions to Homework #3

October 30, 2009

1. (Sakurai 1.28)

(a) Let x and p_x be the coordinate and linear momentum in one dimension. Evaluate the classical Poisson bracket

$$[x, F(p_x)]_{\text{classical}}$$

The classical Poisson bracket is defined in Sakurai (1.6.48):

$$[A(q, p), B(q, p)]_{\text{classical}} \equiv \sum_s \left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial q_s} \frac{\partial B}{\partial p_s} - \frac{\partial A}{\partial p_s} \frac{\partial B}{\partial q_s} \right)$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} [x, F(p_x)]_{\text{classical}} &= \frac{\partial x}{\partial x} \frac{\partial F(p_x)}{\partial p_x} - \frac{\partial x}{\partial p_x} \frac{\partial F(p_x)}{\partial x} \\ &= 1 \cdot \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_x} - 0 \cdot 0 \\ &= \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_x} \end{aligned}$$

(b) Let x and p_x be the corresponding quantum mechanical operators this time. Evaluate the commutator

$$\left[x, \exp\left(\frac{ip_x a}{\hbar}\right) \right]$$

First we expand the exponential in a power series, and use the fact that the commutator is linear in its arguments:

$$\left[x, \exp\left(\frac{ip_x a}{\hbar}\right) \right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left(\frac{ia}{\hbar}\right)^n [x, p_x^n]$$

Now we show by induction that $[x, p_x^n] = i\hbar \cdot n p_x^{n-1}$ for $n \geq 1$:

- Firstly for $n = 1$, the statement becomes $[x, p_x] = i\hbar$, which we accept as true (this is one of our basic axioms);
- we then assume that the statement holds for n and prove that it holds for $n + 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} [x, p_x^{n+1}] &= x p_x^{n+1} - p_x^{n+1} x \\ &= x p_x^n p_x - p_x^n p_x x \\ &= ([x, p_x^n] + p_x^n x) p_x - p_x^n p_x x \\ &= (i\hbar n p_x^{n-1} + p_x^n x) p_x - p_x^n p_x x \\ &= i\hbar n p_x^n + p_x^n [x, p_x] \\ &= i\hbar (n + 1) p_x^n \quad \text{as desired.} \end{aligned}$$

Using this result, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[x, \exp\left(\frac{ip_x a}{\hbar}\right) \right] &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left(\frac{ia}{\hbar}\right)^n i\hbar n p_x^{n-1} \quad (\text{the } n=0 \text{ term gives zero}) \\
&= \left(\frac{ia}{\hbar}\right) (i\hbar) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \left(\frac{ia}{\hbar}\right)^{n-1} p_x^{n-1} \\
&= -a \exp\left(\frac{ip_x a}{\hbar}\right) \quad (\text{shifting the } n \text{ index})
\end{aligned}$$

From part (a), we find that the corresponding classical Poisson bracket would be $\frac{\partial}{\partial p_x} \exp\left(\frac{ip_x a}{\hbar}\right) = \frac{-a}{i\hbar} \exp\left(\frac{ip_x a}{\hbar}\right)$. So we see that the correspondence rule between classical Poisson brackets and quantum-mechanical commutators (Sakurai 1.6.47), wherein we take the classical result, promote x and p to operators, and multiply by $i\hbar$ to obtain the quantum commutator, is satisfied.

(c) Using the result obtained in (b), prove that

$$\exp\left(\frac{ip_x a}{\hbar}\right) |x'\rangle, \quad (x|x'\rangle = x'|x'\rangle)$$

is an eigenstate of the coordinate operator x . What is the corresponding eigenvalue?

Let $|\psi\rangle \equiv \exp\left(\frac{ip_x a}{\hbar}\right) |x'\rangle$; then

$$\begin{aligned}
x|\psi\rangle &= \hat{x} \exp\left(\frac{ip_x a}{\hbar}\right) |x'\rangle \\
&= \left(\exp\left(\frac{ip_x a}{\hbar}\right) x + \left[x, \exp\left(\frac{ip_x a}{\hbar}\right) \right] \right) |x'\rangle \\
&= \left(\exp\left(\frac{ip_x a}{\hbar}\right) x' - a \exp\left(\frac{ip_x a}{\hbar}\right) \right) |x'\rangle \\
&= (x' - a) |\psi\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

So $|\psi\rangle$ is an eigenstate of x with eigenvalue $x' - a$. Apparently, the exponential operator has performed a spatial translation of the position eigenstate.

2. (Sakurai 1.29)

(a) On page 247, Gottfried (1966) states that

$$[x_i, G(\vec{p})] = i\hbar \frac{\partial G}{\partial p_i}, \quad [p_i, F(\vec{x})] = -i\hbar \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}$$

can be “easily derived” from the fundamental commutation relations, for all functions F and G that can be expressed as power series in their arguments. Verify this statement.

First we note that although G is a function of all the components of \vec{p} , the only component which does not commute with x_i is p_i ; so for this computation, we can consider G to be a function of p_i only (the other components of \vec{p} will act like constants as far as commutation with x_i is concerned.) We then expand G as a power series in p_i , apply the linearity of the commutator, and use the result we derived in part (b) of the previous problem:

$$\begin{aligned}
[x_i, G(\vec{p})] &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n [x_i, p_i^n] \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n \cdot i\hbar n p_i^{n-1} \quad (\text{the } n=0 \text{ term is zero}) \\
&= i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n p_i^n \\
&= i\hbar \frac{\partial G}{\partial p_i}
\end{aligned}$$

The second relation can be derived in a very similar way (the needed lemma is $[p_i, x_i^n] = -i\hbar n x_i^{n-1}$ whose proof is very similar to the one already performed.)

(b) Evaluate $[x^2, p^2]$. Compare your result with the classical Poisson bracket $[x^2, p^2]_{\text{classical}}$.

Our strategy to evaluate $[x^2, p^2] = x x p p - p p x x$ is to move the x operators in the first term one by one, through the p operators:

$$\begin{aligned} [x^2, p^2] &= x x p p - p p x x \\ &= x (p^2 x + [x, p^2]) - p^2 x^2 \\ &= x p^2 x + 2i\hbar x p - p^2 x^2 \quad (\text{from part a}) \\ &= (p^2 x + [x, p^2]) x + 2i\hbar x p - p^2 x^2 \\ &= p^2 x^2 + 2i\hbar p x + 2i\hbar x p - p^2 x^2 \\ &= 2i\hbar (x p + p x) \end{aligned}$$

In contrast, the classical Poisson bracket is

$$\begin{aligned} [x^2, p^2]_{\text{classical}} &= \frac{\partial x^2}{\partial x} \frac{\partial p^2}{\partial p} - \frac{\partial x^2}{\partial p} \frac{\partial p^2}{\partial x} \\ &= (2x)(2p) - 0 \cdot 0 \\ &= 4xp \end{aligned}$$

At first glance, it might seem as though the classical-quantum correspondence rule is failing us here. In fact this is not the case – there is an ambiguity in the rule, due to the fact that the classical x and p commute (as they are just ordinary c-numbers) while the corresponding quantum *operators* do not. So when we are presented with a classical quantity like xp , we can quantize it as is, giving us the operator $\hat{x}\hat{p}$, or we could commute the classical quantities first to get px and then quantize, giving us a different operator $\hat{p}\hat{x}$! Both choices appear equally valid, yet they cannot possibly both be correct. The prescription that appears to work is the *symmetric prescription*, which respects both choices equally by writing xp as $1/2(xp + px)$ before quantizing; at any rate, it makes classical-quantum correspondence work for this problem. The bottom line, however, is that it is often far from clear how to quantize a classical system once we move beyond the simplest cases.

3. (Sakurai 1.30)

The translation operator for a finite (spatial) displacement is given by

$$\mathcal{T}(\vec{l}) = \exp\left(\frac{-i\vec{p} \cdot \vec{l}}{\hbar}\right)$$

where \vec{p} is the momentum operator

(a) Evaluate $[x_i, \mathcal{T}(\vec{l})]$.

First note that we can write the translation operator as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}(\vec{l}) &= \exp\left(\frac{-i(p_x l_x + p_y l_y + p_z l_z)}{\hbar}\right) \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{-i p_x l_x}{\hbar}\right) \exp\left(\frac{-i p_y l_y}{\hbar}\right) \exp\left(\frac{-i p_z l_z}{\hbar}\right) \end{aligned}$$

since the various components of momentum commute with each other. Then, since only p_i out of all the components of momentum does not commute with x_i , we can treat the exponentials not involving p_i as constants and factor them out of the commutator:

$$\begin{aligned} [x_i, \mathcal{T}(\vec{l})] &= \left[x_i, \prod_j \exp\left(\frac{-i p_j l_j}{\hbar}\right) \right] \\ &= \left(\prod_{j \neq i} \exp\left(\frac{-i p_j l_j}{\hbar}\right) \right) \left[x_i, \exp\left(\frac{-i p_i l_i}{\hbar}\right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

The remaining commutator is the same one we evaluated in problem 1, part b:

$$\begin{aligned} [x_i, \mathcal{T}(\vec{l})] &= \left(\prod_{j \neq i} \exp\left(\frac{-ip_j l_j}{\hbar}\right) \right) \left(l_i \exp\left(\frac{-ip_i l_i}{\hbar}\right) \right) \\ &= l_i \mathcal{T}(\vec{l}). \end{aligned}$$

(b) Using (a) (or otherwise), demonstrate how the expectation value $\langle \vec{x} \rangle$ changes under translation.

We consider some state $|\psi\rangle$ versus its translated counterpart $|\psi'\rangle \equiv \mathcal{T}(\vec{l})|\psi\rangle$, and look at the expectation value of some component of position:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi' | x_i | \psi' \rangle &= \langle \psi | \mathcal{T}(\vec{l})^\dagger x_i \mathcal{T}(\vec{l}) | \psi \rangle \\ &= \langle \psi | \mathcal{T}(\vec{l})^\dagger \mathcal{T}(\vec{l}) x_i | \psi \rangle + \langle \psi | \mathcal{T}(\vec{l})^\dagger [x_i, \mathcal{T}(\vec{l})] | \psi \rangle \\ &= \langle \psi | \mathcal{T}(\vec{l})^\dagger \mathcal{T}(\vec{l}) x_i | \psi \rangle + l_i \langle \psi | \mathcal{T}(\vec{l})^\dagger \mathcal{T}(\vec{l}) | \psi \rangle \\ &= \langle \psi | x_i | \psi \rangle + l_i \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $\mathcal{T}(\vec{l})$ is unitary, since the components of momentum are hermitian. This result holds for each component i , so we can combine our results into the vector equation

$$\langle \vec{x} \rangle' = \langle \vec{x} \rangle + \vec{l}$$

which shows that the expectation value of position is translated, as we might have suspected.

4. (Sakurai 2.5)

Consider a particle in one dimension whose Hamiltonian is given by

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V(x).$$

By calculating $[[H, x], x]$, prove

$$\sum_{a'} |\langle a'' | x | a' \rangle|^2 (E_{a'} - E_{a''}) = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}$$

where $|a'\rangle$ is a [normalized] energy eigenket with eigenvalue $E_{a'}$ [and the sum goes over the complete set of energy eigenstates].

First we note that

$$\langle a'' | x | a' \rangle^* = \langle a' | x^\dagger | a'' \rangle = \langle a' | x | a'' \rangle$$

since x is hermitian. Then calling the desired sum S , we have

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \sum_{a'} |\langle a'' | x | a' \rangle|^2 (E_{a'} - E_{a''}) \\ &= \sum_{a'} \langle a'' | x | a' \rangle \langle a' | x | a'' \rangle (E_{a'} - E_{a''}) \\ &= \sum_{a'} E_{a'} \langle a'' | x | a' \rangle \langle a' | x | a'' \rangle - \sum_{a'} E_{a''} \langle a'' | x | a' \rangle \langle a' | x | a'' \rangle \\ &= \sum_{a'} \langle a'' | x E_{a'} | a' \rangle \langle a' | x | a'' \rangle - E_{a''} \sum_{a'} \langle a'' | x | a' \rangle \langle a' | x | a'' \rangle \\ &= \sum_{a'} \langle a'' | x H | a' \rangle \langle a' | x | a'' \rangle - E_{a''} \sum_{a'} \langle a'' | x | a' \rangle \langle a' | x | a'' \rangle \\ &= \langle a'' | x H \sum_{a'} (|a'\rangle \langle a'|) x | a'' \rangle - E_{a''} \langle a'' | x \sum_{a'} (|a'\rangle \langle a'|) x | a'' \rangle \\ &= \langle a'' | x H x | a'' \rangle - E_{a''} \langle a'' | x^2 | a'' \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Now, looking at the second term, we can proceed in two different ways: we can move $E_{a''}$ into the matrix element, either to the left or to the right of the x^2 . Either way, it ends up next to an a'' eigenstate and so can be turned into the Hamiltonian operator H ; so in the former case, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \langle a'' | xHx - Hxx | a'' \rangle = \langle a'' | [x, H] x | a'' \rangle \\ &= - \langle a'' | [H, x] x | a'' \rangle \end{aligned}$$

while in the latter case we get

$$S = \langle a'' | xHx - xxH | a'' \rangle = \langle a'' | x [H, x] | a'' \rangle$$

Adding these two expressions, we find

$$2S = \langle a'' | [x, [H, x]] | a'' \rangle = - \langle a'' | [[H, x], x] | a'' \rangle$$

or

$$S = -\frac{1}{2} \langle a'' | [[H, x], x] | a'' \rangle$$

The nested commutator is easy to evaluate:

$$[H, x] = \left[\frac{p^2}{2m} + V(x), x \right] = \frac{1}{2m} [p^2, x] = \frac{-1}{2m} (2i\hbar p) = \frac{-i\hbar}{m} p$$

and so

$$[[H, x], x] = \frac{-i\hbar}{m} [p, x] = \frac{(-i\hbar)^2}{m} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{m}$$

So at last,

$$S = \left(-\frac{1}{2} \right) \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{m} \right) \langle a'' | a'' \rangle = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}.$$