



Cite this: *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, 52, 120

Received 6th October 2015,
Accepted 20th October 2015

DOI: 10.1039/c5cc08317f

www.rsc.org/chemcomm

Croconaine rotaxane for acid activated photothermal heating and ratiometric photoacoustic imaging of acidic pH†

Samit Guha,^a Gillian Karen Shaw,^a Trevor M. Mitcham,^b Richard R. Bouchard^b and Bradley D. Smith^{*a}

Absorption of 808 nm laser light by liposomes containing a pH sensitive, near-infrared croconaine rotaxane dye increases dramatically in weak acid. A stealth liposome composition permits acid activated, photothermal heating and also acts as an effective nanoparticle probe for ratiometric photoacoustic imaging of acidic pH in deep sample locations, including a living mouse.

Molecules and materials that can absorb near-infrared (NIR) laser light and create localized heating are potentially valuable for numerous therapeutic applications.¹ These photothermal agents can also enhance photoacoustic imaging, an emerging modality in molecular imaging with many performance features that are superior to fluorescence imaging and more amenable to clinical translation.² To date, most NIR photothermal agents have absorbance profiles that cannot be chemically modulated. However, many photothermal and photoacoustic applications would be improved if the light absorbing properties could be switched on by specific local conditions. A good example is the tissue acidosis associated with pathological states such as cancer, infection, inflammation, and fibrosis.³ There are a few reports of NIR agents that can undergo changes in absorbance cross-section due to triggered self-aggregation but an inherent drawback with this approach is a dependence on local concentration which can be hard to control.⁴ New NIR absorbing agents are needed with chromophores that can be altered directly by the local chemical environment. A logical strategy is to design appropriate dyes with switchable absorbance, but there are very few NIR chromophores with the correct combination of chemical and photophysical properties.⁵ Recently, we discovered that croconaine dyes exhibit excellent laser heating properties.⁶ They strongly absorb NIR light ($\epsilon > 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$)

and have short excited state lifetimes with little fluorescence emission, singlet oxygen generation, or dye photobleaching. We have described a supramolecular encapsulation strategy that modulates a croconaine's NIR absorbance wavelength, but this method is susceptible to the concentration dependence mentioned above.^{6a} Here we report a conceptual advance that is based on the pH dependent croconaine (Croc) dye shown in Fig. 1a.⁷ The dye's absorption profile can be switched between an anionic basic form ($\lambda_{\text{max}} \sim 660 \text{ nm}$) and a zwitterionic acidic form ($\lambda_{\text{max}} \sim 794 \text{ nm}$). An important spectral feature is the relatively narrow bandwidths which permit large amplitude switching of molar absorptivity at the two wavelengths. To utilize the lipophilic Croc dye for biological applications we incorporated it within liposome membranes and employed supramolecular strategies to achieve two crucial photothermal and photoacoustic performance features: stable ratiometric absorption response that is unaltered by laser irradiation, and fine-tuning of the dye pK_a to match the local pH.

A preliminary study using a 808 nm diode laser showed that irradiation of an ethanol solution of Croc in its basic form created very little sample heating, but conversion to the acidic




Fig. 1 (a) pH sensitive croconaine (Croc). (b) UV/Vis absorption of Croc-SL in buffer at various pH values, [Croc] = 3 μM . (c) UV/Vis absorption plots of Croc-SL in buffer at pH 5.0, spectra were acquired initially (solid line) and after standing for 1 hour (dashed line) at 4 °C.

^a Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, 236 Nieuwland Science Hall, University of Notre Dame, IN 46556, USA. E-mail: smith.115@nd.edu

^b Department of Imaging Physics, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX 77054, USA

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Chemical structures, synthesis and characterization; liposome data; photoacoustic imaging data. See DOI: 10.1039/c5cc08317f

form greatly enhanced laser induced heating (Fig. S1, ESI†). Formulation of the Croc dye for operation in aqueous solution, was achieved by incorporating it into stealth liposomes as an amphiphilic ion-pair with 1,2-dioleoyl-3-trimethylammonium-propane (DOTAP) as the counter cation.† Stealth liposomes have polyethylene glycol chains protruding from the membrane surface which inhibit liposome aggregation and extend circulation time in the blood stream.⁸ Initially, stealth liposomes doped with Croc dye (Croc-SL) were prepared as unilamellar vesicles (diameter = 194 nm) with a composition of POPC:Chol-PEG600:DOTAP:Croc 84:10:3:3 (Fig. S3, ESI†).‡ The sample color was blue at pH 7.4 due to the Croc conjugate base absorption at 660 nm. Titration with acid abolished the blue color and an intense new peak appeared at 794 nm with a pK_a of 6.5 (Fig. 1b and Fig. S3, ESI†). Irradiation of cuvettes containing Croc-SL with an 808 nm diode laser produced acid activated photothermal heating (Fig. S3b, ESI†). Dynamic light scattering measurements indicated that Croc-SL do not change size in acidic pH, but the absorption spectrum slowly broadened with time (Fig. 1c, Fig. S3 and S4, ESI†). The absorption broadening is consistent with self-aggregation of the zwitterionic Croc conjugate acid within the liposome membrane and is a potential drawback for important applications, such as repetitive photothermal heating and ratiometric photoacoustic imaging of pH (see below). We hypothesized that the problem could be circumvented by trapping the Croc dye within a tetralactam macrocycle and generating an interlocked croconaine rotaxane (CrocRot) (Fig. 2a). Even under self-aggregation conditions, we expected the surrounding macrocycle in CrocRot to prevent electronic coupling of the encapsulated dye.^{6b} Initial attempts to prepare CrocRot by a direct slippage process in organic solvent or within liposome membranes were unsuccessful, presumably due to the steric bulk of the indolenine dimethyl substituents. Thus, a Leigh-type templated clipping reaction was used to convert Croc dye to CrocRot and the rotaxane structure was elucidated using a battery of spectroscopic methods (Fig. S5–S11, ESI†).⁹ As expected, rotaxane formation produced a 22 nm red-shift of the dye absorption maxima.⁶ The interlocked CrocRot molecule does not unthread in competitive organic solvents or when it is located within a liposome membrane (Fig. S9, ESI†). We prepared CrocRot doped stealth liposomes (CrocRot-SL) composed of POPC:Chol-PEG600:DOTAP:CrocRot 84:10:3:3 (Fig. S12, ESI†) and found that they exhibited very similar pH dependent changes in absorption spectra as above, with a slightly decreased dye pK_a of ~ 6.0 (Fig. 2b and Fig. S13, ESI†). We were pleased to find that the spectral stability of CrocRot-SL was greatly improved; for example, there was very little spectral change after sitting for 24 hours in acidic pH (Fig. 2c and Fig. S14, ESI†). As shown in Fig. 2d, pH dependent photothermal heating was observed using an 808 nm diode laser (3.5 W cm^{-2}), and the high spectral stability of the CrocRot-SL enabled multiple laser heating cycles using the same sample with no measurable change in the absorption profile and photothermal heating response (Fig. 2e and Fig. S15, ESI†). CrocRot-SL are highly stable in the presence of serum, biological salts (Fig. S16a, ESI†), and




Fig. 2 (a) pH sensitive croconaine rotaxane (CrocRot). (b) UV/Vis absorption of CrocRot-SL at various pH values, [CrocRot] = $3 \mu\text{M}$. (c) UV/Vis absorption plots of CrocRot-SL in buffer at pH 5.0, acquired initially (solid line) and after standing for 24 hour (dashed line) at 4°C . (d) Temperature changes during laser irradiation (808 nm , 3.5 W cm^{-2}) of CrocRot-SL samples at different pH values. (e) Multiple heating cycles of CrocRot-SL at pH 5.0 due to periodic laser irradiation (808 nm).

small biomolecules such as glutathione, cysteine, and H_2O_2 (Fig. S16b, ESI†). Furthermore, CrocRot-SL were not toxic to cultured mammalian cells (Fig. S16c, ESI†). These results demonstrate that CrocRot-SL are robust biocompatible nanoparticles that can be switched reversibly between acid and base forms and that they survive strong and repeated laser heating. They likely can be used for photothermal therapy and controlled release in disease states that have tissue acidosis.¹⁰ In addition, they can also be utilized for another important application, namely, ratiometric photoacoustic imaging of acidic pH.

Photothermal agents facilitate photoacoustic imaging by absorbing short-duration, low power density laser pulses ($\sim 0.06 \text{ W cm}^{-2}$) and emitting ultrasound waves which are scattered less than light waves and thus penetrate further through biological media to produce higher resolution images.² There is a need for new types of exogenous probes for enhanced photoacoustic imaging. The current literature contains several reports of probes that can be activated by cleavage enzymes to produce changes in signal intensity, but to the best of our knowledge, there is no NIR photoacoustic probe with a rapid ratiometric pH response.¹¹ A preliminary evaluation of phantoms (narrow tubes oriented parallel to the face of the transducer, see Fig. S17, ESI†) containing ethanol solutions of Croc or CrocRot showed that they generated about the same photoacoustic signal intensities as the commonly used NIR dye indocyanine green (ICG) (Fig. S18, ESI†).¹² We




Fig. 3 (a) Photoacoustic scans of CrocRot-SL at pH 7.4 (black) and pH 5.0 (red), mean ($N = 3$) and SD shown. (b) Ratiometric photoacoustic images of two phantoms containing CrocRot-SL in buffer at pH 7.4 or 5.0, proximally located at 11–13 mm depth in light scattering media. See Fig. S17 (ESI[†]) for a diagram of the transverse orientation of the phantoms.

then examined two phantoms containing CrocRot-SL at pH 7.4 or 5.0 and observed that the photoacoustic and absorption spectra at each pH value were closely matched (Fig. 3a and Fig. S19, ESI[†]). Additional photoacoustic imaging studies examined the two phantoms at different depths in a light scattering medium (0.7% milk fat solution) that is opaque to normal fluorescence imaging methods. We found that the difference in phantom pH could easily be distinguished by comparing the ratio of photoacoustic signal intensities at 812 and 690 nm. The image in Fig. 3b shows a large difference in ratiometric response (more than 5-fold) for the two phantoms, each located at a depth of 11–13 mm from the transducer face. Additional imaging experiments at phantom depths of 9 and 26 mm confirmed that the photoacoustic signal-to-noise decreased with depth, but the pH dependent 812/690 signal ratio hardly changed.

With these proof-of-concept imaging results in hand we moved to a more demanding biological system to assess the potential of acid sensitive CrocRot-doped liposomes. In some diseases, the tissue pH can be as low as 5.5 (e.g., intracellular endosomes, inflamed tissue) but in others it is closer to 6.5 (e.g., extracellular matrix of solid tumors).³ This means it will be necessary to optimize the pK_a of the CrocRot for each case, to ensure a significant degree of absorbance switching. After examining the literature,¹³ we reasoned that the pK_a can be fine-tuned in a rational way by simply adding controlled amounts of appropriately charged amphiphiles to the liposome formulation. To demonstrate the utility of this concept we purposely raised the CrocRot pK_a by substituting the neutral Ch-PEG600 in the CrocRot-SL membrane with anionic DSPE-PEG2000.[‡] After a short sequence of trials, we found that a modified liposome formulation composed of POPC:DSPE-PEG2000:DOTAP:CrocRot 79:15:3:3 and given the descriptor name, CrocRot-IVSL, exhibited a pK_a of ~ 6.5 (Fig. 4a and Fig. S20, ESI[†]).

To demonstrate the capability of CrocRot-IVSL for *in vivo* photoacoustic imaging we chose to image the pH of peritoneal fluid in a living mouse which is known to be in the range of 6.1–6.3.¹⁴ Following a protocol that was approved by the appropriate animal care and use committee, a single dose of CrocRot-IVSL was injected into the peritoneal cavity of a living mouse ($N = 2$), and the sagittal plane of the mouse abdomen was imaged using co-registered B-mode ultrasound and multi-wavelength




Fig. 4 (a) UV/Vis absorption spectra of CrocRot-IVSL at various pH values, [CrocRot] = 3 μ M. (b) Cross-sectional B-mode ultrasound image (sagittal plane) of living mouse abdomen (grayscale), co-registered with the photoacoustic response at 740 nm; magenta and green arrows denote two ROIs of CrocRot-IVSL accumulation within peritoneal cavity. (c) Photoacoustic spectra of CrocRot-IVSL in buffer pH 7.4 before injection (blue/black trace) and in the two ROIs within the mouse peritoneal cavity (magenta and green).

photoacoustic imaging. The image in Fig. 4b is comprised of a B-mode ultrasound image (grayscale) clearly showing the peritoneal cavity and an overlay (red) depicting the corresponding photoacoustic response when the excitation wavelength was 740 nm. There are three photoacoustic spectra in Fig. 4c. One spectrum corresponds to the sample of CrocRot-IVSL in buffer at pH 7.4 before injection into the mouse, and the other two spectra correspond to the different regions-of-interest (ROI) in the mouse peritoneal indicated by the arrows in Fig. 4b. A comparison of the two *in vivo* ratiometric photoacoustic scans with the UV/absorption plots indicates a peritoneal pH of 6.0–6.5.[¶] Thus, the *in vivo* imaging correctly identified the weakly acidic pH of the mouse peritoneal. With further development, this photoacoustic method may become a new *in vivo* technique for measuring the pH of peritoneal fluid, which is known to decrease with pathological conditions such as bacterial peritonitis, a frequent complication in patients on peritoneal dialysis.¹⁵ It should also be effective at identifying local regions of weakly acidic tissue associated with other types of disease.³ In addition, the liposome architecture can be further customized by incorporating drugs or additional imaging reporter groups to make a wide array of novel laser responsive therapeutic and diagnostic agents.¹⁶

We are grateful for funding support from the Walther Cancer Foundation Advancing Basic Cancer Research Grant (2013/14) administered by the Harper Cancer Research Institute (USA) and the NIH (GM059078 to B. D. S., and P30 CA016672, S10 OD010403 to R. R. B.).

Notes and references

[‡] Abbreviations: Cholesterol-PEG600 $n = 10$ –11 (Ch-PEG600), croconaine (Croc), croconaine rotaxane (CrocRot), 1,2-dioleoyl-3-trimethylammonium-propane (chloride salt) (DOTAP), *N*-(carbonyl-methoxypolyethyleneglycol-2000)-1,2-distearoyl-*sn*-glycero-3-phosphoethanol-amine (DSPE-PEG2000),

Indocyanine Green (ICG), 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-*sn*-glycero-3-phosphocholine (POPC). See Fig. S2 (ESI[†]) for chemical structures.

§ This result highlights an important technical advantage of pH sensor production by nanoparticle self-assembly *versus* covalent synthesis of a discrete molecular sensor. Fine-tuning the pK_a of a molecular sensor requires a much longer and more labour intensive cycle of structure redesign and chemical synthesis.

¶ Inspection of Fig. 4c shows that the two major peaks within the *in vivo* photoacoustic spectra are slightly red-shifted when compared to the spectrum in buffer before injection. This is attributed to *in vivo* attenuation of the lower photoacoustic excitation wavelengths by the blood-perfused skin and tissue. This anatomical-dependent variation may explain why the two *in vivo* photoacoustic spectra from nearby peritoneal locations are slightly different. A goal for future imaging studies is to develop calibration methods that correct these tissue-dependent changes in the *in vivo* photoacoustic spectrum.

- 1 (a) S. Lal, S. E. Clare and N. J. Halas, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2008, **41**, 1842–1851; (b) V. Shanmugam, S. Selvakumar and C.-S. Yeh, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 6254–6287; (c) L. Cheng, C. Wang, L. Feng, K. Yang and Z. Liu, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**, 10869–10939.
- 2 (a) S. Zackrisson, S. M. W. Y. van de Ven and S. S. Gambhir, *Cancer Res.*, 2014, **74**, 979–1004; (b) S. Mallidi, G. P. Luke and S. Emelianov, *Trends Biotechnol.*, 2011, **29**, 213–221; (c) L. V. Wang and S. Hu, *Science*, 2012, **335**, 1458–1462.
- 3 (a) B. A. Webb, M. Chimenti, M. P. Jacobson and D. L. Barber, *Nat. Rev. Cancer*, 2011, **11**, 671–677; (b) A. I. Hashim, X. Zhang, J. W. Wojtkowiak and R. J. Gillies, *NMR Biomed.*, 2011, **24**, 582–591; (c) O. A. Andreev, A. D. Dupuy, M. Segala, S. Sandugu, D. A. Serra, C. O. Chichester, D. M. Engelman and Y. K. Reshetnyak, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2007, **104**, 7893–7898; (d) Y.-T. Tsai, J. Zhou, H. Weng, J. Shen, L. Tang and W.-J. Hu, *Adv. Healthcare Mater.*, 2014, **3**, 221–229; (e) F. Okajima, *Cell. Signalling*, 2013, **25**, 2263–2271; (f) A. Honasoge and H. Sontheimer, *Front. Physiol.*, 2013, **4**, 316; (g) K. M. Jones, E. A. Randtke, C. M. Howison, J. Cárdenas-Rodríguez, P. J. Sime, M. R. Kottmann and M. D. Pagel, *Mol. Imaging Biol.*, 2015, **17**, 177–184.
- 4 (a) J. Nam, N. Won, H. Jin, H. Chung and S. Kim, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 13639–13645; (b) J. Nam, W.-G. La, S. Hwang, Y. S. Ha, N. Park, N. Won, S. Jung, S. H. Bhang, Y.-J. Ma, Y.-M. Cho, M. Jin, J. Han, J.-Y. Shin, E. K. Wang, S. G. Kim, S.-H. Cho, J. Yoo, B.-S. Kim and S. Kim, *ACS Nano*, 2013, **7**, 3388–3402; (c) A. Dragulescu-Andrasi, S. Kothapalli, G. A. Tikhomirov, J. Rao and S. S. Gambhir, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 11015–11022; (d) H. Li, X. Liu, N. Huang, K. Ren, Q. Jin and J. Ji, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 18930–18937; (e) J. F. Hainfeld, M. J. O'Connor, P. Lin, L. Qian, D. N. Slatkin and H. M. Smilowitz, *PLoS One*, 2014, **9**, e88414.
- 5 W. Shi and H. Ma, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 8732–8744.
- 6 (a) G. T. Spence, G. V. Hartland and B. D. Smith, *Chem. Sci.*, 2013, **4**, 4240–4244; (b) G. T. Spence, S. S. Lo, C. Ke, H. Destecroix, A. P. Davis, G. V. Hartland and B. D. Smith, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2014, **20**, 12628–12635. For a summary of absorption properties for nanoparticles containing croconaine dyes, see: (c) M. S. Devadas, T. Devkota, S. Guha, S. K. Shaw, B. D. Smith and G. V. Hartland, *Nanoscale*, 2015, **7**, 9779–9785; (d) S. Guha, S. K. Shaw, G. T. Spence, F. M. Roland and B. D. Smith, *Langmuir*, 2015, **31**, 7826–7834.
- 7 C. Encinas, E. Otazo, L. Rivera, S. Miltsov and J. Alonso, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2002, **43**, 8391–8393.
- 8 M. L. Immordino, F. Dosio and L. Cattel, *Int. J. Nanomed.*, 2006, **1**, 297–315.
- 9 J. J. Gassensmith, L. Barr, J. M. Baumes, A. Paek, A. Nguyen and B. D. Smith, *Org. Lett.*, 2008, **10**, 3343–3346.
- 10 (a) E. Ju, K. Dong, Z. Liu, F. Pu, J. Ren and X. Qu, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2015, **25**, 1574–1580; (b) M. Guo, J. Huang, Y. Deng, H. Shen, Y. Ma, M. Zhang, A. Zhu, Y. Li, H. Hui, Y. Wang, X. Yang, Z. Zhang and H. Chen, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2015, **25**, 59–67; (c) X. Wu, M. Yu, B. Lin, H. Xing, J. Han and S. Han, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, **6**, 798–803.
- 11 For examples of photoacoustic probes with chemically or biochemically activated response, see: (a) K. Pu, A. J. Shuhendler, J. V. Jokerst, J. Mei, S. S. Gambhir, Z. Bao and J. Rao, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2014, **9**, 233–239; (b) A. Ray, H. K. Yoon, Y. E. K. Lee, R. Kopelman and X. Wang, *Analyst*, 2013, **138**, 3126–3130; (c) K. Yang, L. Zhu, L. Nie, X. Sun, L. Cheng, C. Wu, G. Niu, X. Chen and Z. Liu, *Theranostics*, 2014, **4**, 134–141; (d) J. Levi, S. R. Kothapalli, T.-J. Ma, K. Hartman, B. T. Khuri-Yakub and S. S. Gambhir, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 11264–11269; (e) D. Razansky, N. J. Harlaar, J. L. Hillebrands, A. Taruttis, E. Herzog, C. J. Zeebregts, G. M. van Dam and V. Ntziachristos, *Mol. Imaging Biol.*, 2012, **14**, 277–285; (f) K. J. Cash, C. Li, J. Xia, L. V. Wang and H. A. Clark, *ACS Nano*, 2015, **9**, 1692–1698; (g) G. Huang, Z. Si, S. Yang, C. Li and D. Xing, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, **22**, 22575–22581; (h) J. Zhang, Z. Qiao, P. Yang, J. Pan, L. Wang and H. Wang, *Chin. J. Chem.*, 2015, **33**, 35–52.
- 12 N. Beziere, N. Lozano, A. Nunes, J. Salichs, D. Queiros, K. Kostarelos and V. Ntziachristos, *Biomaterials*, 2015, **37**, 415–424. For recent insight into the photophysical factors that control photoacoustic signal intensity using dyes, see: M. Frenette, M. Hatamimoslehabadi, S. Bellinger-Buckley, S. Laoui, J. La, S. Bag, S. Mallidi, T. Hasan, B. Bouma, C. Yelleswarapu and J. Rochford, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 15853–15856.
- 13 (a) I. M. Hafez, S. Ansell and P. R. Cullis, *Biophys. J.*, 2000, **79**, 1438–1446; (b) G. Shi, W. Guo, S. M. Stephenson and R. J. Lee, *J. Controlled Release*, 2002, **80**, 309–319.
- 14 A. Beck, S. Bergner-Rabinowitz and I. Ofek, *J. Bacteriol.*, 1969, **100**, 1204–1207.
- 15 H. Johno, R. Ogata, S. Nakajima, N. Hiramatsu, T. Kobayashi, H. Hara and M. Kitamura, *Nephrol., Dial., Transplant.*, 2012, **27**, 4053–4060.
- 16 (a) R. D. Corato, G. Béalle, J. Kolosnjaj-Tabi, A. Espinosa, O. Clément, A. K. A. Silva, C. Ménager and C. Wilhelm, *ACS Nano*, 2015, **9**, 2904–2916; (b) M. S. Muthu, D. T. Leong, L. Mei and S.-S. Feng, *Theranostics*, 2014, **4**, 660–677.