CSE 30341
Operating System Principles

Scheduling

Objectives

• To introduce CPU scheduling, which is the basis for multi-programmed and multi-tasking systems

• To describe various CPU-scheduling algorithms

• To discuss evaluation criteria for selecting a CPU-scheduling algorithm for a particular system

• To examine the scheduling algorithms of several operating systems
Why Schedule?

- Firefox
- Word
- Thunderbird
- Spotify

Who should run?

What do I want to optimize?

Responsive

Most Work Done

Scale Well

CPU₁

CPU₂

I/O

Where should the process (thread) run?

Burstiness

- Computation and I/O tend to be **bursty**
  - Read some data
  - Compute a bunch
  - Write some data
  - Repeat
“Keep CPU busy”

Process 1

Process 2

CPU

Process 1

Process 2

Scheduling

Ready Queue

Jobs are ready for computation “Need CPU”

Short term scheduler

Scheduler

Running process

CPU

When do I choose the next one to run?
Scheduling Choices

- Non-preemptive (voluntarily):
  - Process **yields**
  - Process goes from running to waiting state
  - Process terminates

- Preemptive (forced, can happen any time):
  - OS forces process from running to ready state

Dispatcher

- Mechanism that gives control of the CPU to selected process; includes:
  - Context switch
    - Save/restore stack, registers, ...
  - Switch back to user mode
  - Resume PC for process

**Dispatch Latency:** Time it takes to stop one process and swap to another
Scheduling Criteria

- **CPU utilization** – keep the CPU as busy as possible ("how busy is the CPU")
- **Throughput** – # of processes that complete their execution per time unit ("how much work is getting done")
- **Turnaround time** – amount of time to execute a particular process ("how long does it take to execute a process")
- **Waiting time** – amount of time a process has been waiting in the READY QUEUE (RUNQUEUE)
- **Response time** – amount of time it takes from when a request was submitted until the first response is produced

Scheduling Algorithm Optimization Criteria

- Max CPU utilization
- Max throughput
- Min turnaround time
- Min waiting time
- Min response time

The fancier we get optimization-wise, the more complexity we have in the system
First-Come, First-Served (FCFS) Scheduling

- Suppose that the processes arrive in the order $P_1, P_2, P_3$ and ready for execution at time 0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Burst Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$P_1$</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_2$</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_3$</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Waiting Time**
- $P_1 = 0; P_2 = 24; P_3 = 27$
- Average Waiting Time $(0 + 24 + 27)/3 = 17$

Different Order

- Suppose that the processes arrive in the order $P_2, P_3, P_1$ (ready for execution at time 0)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Burst Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$P_1$</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_2$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_3$</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Waiting Time**
- $P_1 = 6; P_2 = 0; P_3 = 3$
- Average Waiting Time $(6 + 0 + 3)/3 = 3$

Convoy Effect
**FCFS (FIFO)**

- Very simple (add processes to end of runqueue, take processes from beginning of queue)
- Note: processes returning from waitqueues always go to the back of the runqueue!
- **NON-PREEMPTIVE** (time-sharing/interactive?)

**Shortest-Job-First (SJF) Scheduling**

- Associate with each process the length of its next CPU burst
  - Use these lengths to schedule the process with the shortest time

- SJF is **optimal** – gives minimum average waiting time for a given set of processes
  - The **difficulty** is knowing the length of the next CPU request
Revisiting with SJF

- Suppose that the processes arrive in the order P₁, P₂, P₃ (all in queue at time 0)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Burst Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P₁</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₃</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waiting Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P₁ = 6, P₂ = 0, P₃ = 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Average Waiting Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(6 + 0 + 3)/3 = 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Shortest-Job First

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Burst Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P₁</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₃</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₄</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waiting Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P₁ = 3, P₂ = 16, P₃ = 9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Average Waiting Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(3 + 16 + 9)/4 = 7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Determining Length of Next CPU Burst

- Can only estimate the length – should be similar to the previous one
  - Then pick process with shortest predicted next CPU burst

- Can be done by using the length of previous CPU bursts, using exponential averaging

\[
\tau_{n+1} = \alpha t_n + (1-\alpha)\tau_n.
\]

1. \(t_n\) = actual length of \(n^{th}\) CPU burst
2. \(\tau_{n+1}\) = predicted value for the next CPU burst
3. \(\alpha, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1\)
4. Define:
   - \(\alpha\) set to \(\frac{1}{2}\)

Also called
Exponential
Weighted
Moving
Average

Exponential Averaging

- \(\alpha = 0? \alpha = 1?\)

\[
\tau_{n+1} = \alpha t_n + (1-\alpha) \alpha t_{n-1} + (1-\alpha)^2 \alpha t_{n-2} + \ldots + (1-\alpha)^j \alpha t_{n-j} + \ldots + (1-\alpha)^{n+1} \tau_0
\]
### Prediction of the Length of the Next CPU Burst

- **CPU burst ($t_i$)**: 6 4 6 4 13 13 13 ...
- **"guess" ($\tau_i$)**: 10 8 6 6 5 9 11 12 ...

### Shortest Job First (SJF)

- **Non-preemptive!**
- Preemptive version called **shortest-remaining-time-first**

**Process - Arrival / Burst Time**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Arrival</th>
<th>Burst Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$P_1$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_2$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_3$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_4$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Schedule**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P1</th>
<th>P2</th>
<th>P4</th>
<th>P1</th>
<th>P3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Diagram**

[Diagram showing CPU burst and "guess" graph]
Priority Scheduling

- A priority number (integer) is associated with each process
- The CPU is allocated to the process with the highest priority (smallest integer $\equiv$ highest priority)
  - Preemptive
  - Non-preemptive
- SJF is priority scheduling where priority is the inverse of predicted next CPU burst time
- **Fixed priorities**: do not change over time
- **Dynamic priorities**: can change over time
- Problem: **Starvation** – low priority processes may never execute
- Solution: **Aging** – as time progresses increase the priority of the process

---

Example of Priority Scheduling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Burst Time</th>
<th>Priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$P_1$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_2$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_3$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_4$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_5$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Low number $\equiv$ high priority
- Dynamic versus static/fixed priority
Round Robin (RR)

- Switch between processes at a time interval
  - Time quantum, $q$
  - 10-100 ms
  - Preemptive
- What does it mean?
  - $N$ tasks?
  - Maximum wait time
- Performance
  - $q$ large $\Rightarrow$ FIFO
  - $q$ small $\Rightarrow$ $q$ must be large with respect to context switch, otherwise overhead is too high

Example of RR with Time Quantum = 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Burst Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$P_1$</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_2$</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_3$</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The Gantt chart is:

- Typically, higher average turnaround than SJF, but better response
- $q$ should be large compared to context switch time
- $q$ usually 10ms to 100ms, context switch < 10 usec
Time Quantum and Context Switch Time

Turnaround Time Varies With The Time Quantum

80% of CPU bursts should be shorter than q
Multilevel Queue

- Ready queue is partitioned into separate queues, e.g.:
  - **foreground** (interactive)
  - **background** (batch)
- Process permanently in a given queue
- Each queue has its own scheduling algorithm:
  - foreground – RR
  - background – FCFS
- Scheduling must be done between the queues:
  - Fixed priority scheduling; (i.e., serve all from foreground then from background). Possibility of **starvation**!
  - Time slice – each queue gets a certain amount of CPU time which it can schedule amongst its processes; i.e., 80% to foreground in RR
  - 20% to background in FCFS

---

**Multilevel Queue Scheduling**

```
  highest priority
  system processes
  interactive processes
  interactive editing processes
  batch processes
  student processes
  lowest priority
```
Multilevel Feedback Queue

- A process can move between the various queues; **aging** can be implemented this way

- Multilevel-feedback-queue scheduler defined by the following parameters:
  - number of queues
  - scheduling algorithms for each queue
  - method used to determine when to upgrade a process
  - method used to determine when to demote a process
  - method used to determine which queue a process will enter when that process needs service

Example of Multilevel Feedback Queue

- Three queues:
  - \( Q_0 \) – RR with time quantum 8 milliseconds
  - \( Q_1 \) – RR time quantum 16 milliseconds
  - \( Q_2 \) – FCFS

- Scheduling
  - A new job enters queue \( Q_0 \) which is served FCFS
    - When it gains CPU, job receives 8 milliseconds
    - If it does not finish in 8 milliseconds, job is moved to queue \( Q_1 \)
  - At \( Q_1 \) job is again served FCFS and receives 16 additional milliseconds
    - If it still does not complete, it is preempted and moved to queue \( Q_2 \)
Thread Scheduling

- Distinction between user-level and kernel-level threads

- Kernel-level: threads scheduled, not processes
  - system-contention scope (SCS) – competition among all threads in system

- User-level: thread library schedules user-level threads to run on “LWP” (“lightweight process”)
  - called process-contention scope (PCS) since scheduling competition is within the process
  - typically done via priority set by programmer

Pthread Scheduling

- API allows specifying either PCS or SCS during thread creation
  - PTHREAD_SCOPE_PROCESS schedules threads using PCS scheduling
  - PTHREAD_SCOPE_SYSTEM schedules threads using SCS scheduling

- Can be limited by OS – Linux and Mac OS X only allow PTHREAD_SCOPE_SYSTEM
#include <pthread.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#define NUM_THREADS 5
int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    int i, scope;
    pthread_t tid[NUM_THREADS];
    pthread_attr_t attr;
    /* get the default attributes */
    pthread_attr_init(&attr);
    /* first inquire on the current scope */
    if (pthread_attr_getscope(&attr, &scope) != 0)
        fprintf(stderr, "Unable to get scheduling scope\n");
    else {
        if (scope == PTHREAD_SCOPE_PROCESS)
            printf("PTHREAD_SCOPE_PROCESS\n");
        else if (scope == PTHREAD_SCOPE_SYSTEM)
            printf("PTHREAD_SCOPE_SYSTEM\n");
        else
            fprintf(stderr, "Illegal scope value.\n");
    }
    /* set the scheduling algorithm to PCS or SCS */
    pthread_attr_setscope(&attr, PTHREAD_SCOPE_SYSTEM);
    /* create the threads */
    for (i = 0; i < NUM_THREADS; i++)
        pthread_create(&tid[i], &attr, runner, NULL);
    /* now join on each thread */
    for (i = 0; i < NUM_THREADS; i++)
        pthread_join(tid[i], NULL);
}

/* Each thread will begin control in this function */
void *runner(void *param) {
    /* do some work ... */
    pthread_exit(0);
}
Multiple-Processor Scheduling

- CPU scheduling more complex when multiple CPUs are available
- **Homogeneous processors** within a multiprocessor
- **Asymmetric multiprocessing** – only one processor accesses the system data structures, alleviating the need for data sharing
- **Symmetric multiprocessing (SMP)** – each processor is self-scheduling, all processes in common ready queue, or each has its own private queue of ready processes
  - Currently, most common
- **Processor affinity** – process has affinity for processor on which it is currently running
  - **soft affinity**
  - **hard affinity**
  - "migration": process changes processor

NUMA and CPU Scheduling

![NUMA and CPU Scheduling Diagram](image)
Load Balancing

• If SMP, need to keep all CPUs loaded for efficiency

• **Load balancing** attempts to keep workload evenly distributed

• **Push migration** – periodic task checks load on each processor, and if imbalanced found pushes task from overloaded CPU to other CPUs

• **Pull migration** – idle processors pulls waiting task from busy processor

Multicore Processors

• Recent trend to place multiple processor cores on same physical chip

• Faster and consumes less power

• Multiple threads per core also growing
  – Takes advantage of memory stall to make progress on another thread while memory retrieve happens
Multithreaded Multicore System

Real-Time Scheduling
Priority-Based Scheduling

- Many real-time processes are periodic, i.e., they require CPU at constant intervals
  - Has processing time $t$, deadline $d$, period $p$
  - $0 \leq t \leq d \leq p$
  - Rate of periodic task is $1/p$

![Diagram showing periodic tasks with deadlines and periods](image)

Rate Montonic Scheduling

- A priority is assigned based on the inverse of its period
- Shorter periods = higher priority
- Longer periods = lower priority
- $P_1$ is assigned a higher priority than $P_2$. 

![Diagram showing deadlines and priorities](image)
Missed Deadlines with Rate Monotonic Scheduling

Earliest Deadline First Scheduling (EDF)

- Priorities are assigned according to deadlines:
  - the earlier the deadline, the higher the priority
  - the later the deadline, the lower the priority
POSIX Real-Time Scheduling

- The POSIX.1b standard
- API provides functions for managing real-time threads
- Defines two scheduling classes for real-time threads:
  1. **SCHED_FIFO** - threads are scheduled using a FCFS strategy with a FIFO queue. There is no time-slicing for threads of equal priority
  2. **SCHED_RR** - similar to SCHED_FIFO except time-slicing occurs for threads of equal priority
- Defines two functions for getting and setting scheduling policy:
  1. `pthread_attr_getsched_policy` (void)
  2. `pthread_attr_setsched_policy` (void)

```c
#include <pthread.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#define NUM_THREADS 5
int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    int i, policy;
    pthread_t tid[NUM THREADS];
    pthread_attr_t attr;
    /* get the default attributes */
    pthread_attr_init(&attr);
    /* get the current scheduling policy */
    if (pthread_attr_getschedpolicy(&attr, &policy) != 0) {
        fprintf(stderr, "Unable to get policy.\n");
    } else {
        if (policy == SCHED_OTHER) printf("SCHED_OTHER\n");
        else if (policy == SCHED_RR) printf("SCHED_RR\n");
        else if (policy == SCHED_FIFO) printf("SCHED_FIFO\n");
    }
}
```
POSIX Real-Time Scheduling API (Cont.)

/* set the scheduling policy - FIFO, RR, or OTHER */
if (pthread_attr_setschedpolicy(&attr, SCHED_FIFO) != 0)
    fprintf(stderr, "Unable to set policy.\n");
/* create the threads */
for (i = 0; i < NUM_THREADS; i++)
    pthread_create(&tid[i], &attr, runner, NULL);
/* now join on each thread */
for (i = 0; i < NUM_THREADS; i++)
    pthread_join(tid[i], NULL);

/* Each thread will begin control in this function */
void *runner(void *param)
{
    /* do some work ... */
    pthread_exit(0);
}

Linux Scheduling Through Version 2.5

• Prior to kernel version 2.5, ran variation of standard UNIX
  scheduling algorithm
• Version 2.5 moved to constant order O(1) scheduling time
  – Preemptive, priority based
  – Two priority ranges: time-sharing and real-time
  – Real-time range from 0 to 99 and nice value from 100 to 140
  – Map into global priority with numerically lower values indicating
    higher priority
  – Higher priority gets larger q
  – Task run-able as long as time left in time slice (active)
  – If no time left (expired), not run-able until all other tasks use their
    slices
  – All run-able tasks tracked in per-CPU runqueue data structure
    • Two priority arrays (active, expired)
    • Tasks indexed by priority
    • When no more active, arrays are exchanged
  – Worked well, but poor response times for interactive processes
Linux Scheduling in Version 2.6.23 +

**Completely Fair Scheduler (CFS)**

- **Scheduling classes**
  - Each has specific priority
  - Scheduler picks highest priority task in highest scheduling class
  - Rather than quantum based on fixed time allotments, based on proportion of CPU time
  - 2 scheduling classes included, others can be added
    1. default
    2. real-time
- **Quantum calculated based on nice value from -20 to +19**
  - Lower value is higher priority
  - Calculates target latency — interval of time during which task should run at least once
  - Target latency can increase if say number of active tasks increases
- **CFS scheduler maintains per task virtual run time in variable vruntime**
  - Associated with decay factor based on priority of task — lower priority is higher decay rate
  - Normal default priority yields virtual run time = actual run time
- **To decide next task to run, scheduler picks task with lowest virtual run time**

The Linux CFS scheduler provides an efficient algorithm for selecting which task to run next. Each runnable task is placed in a red-black tree—a balanced binary search tree whose key is based on the value of vruntime. This tree is shown below:

When a task becomes runnable, it is added to the tree. If a task on the tree is not runnable (for example, if it is blocked while waiting for I/O), it is removed. Generally speaking, tasks that have been given less processing time (smaller values of vruntime) are toward the left side of the tree, and tasks that have been given more processing time are on the right side. According to the properties of a binary search tree, the leftmost node has the smallest key value, which for the sake of the CFS scheduler means that it is the task with the highest priority. Because the red-black tree is balanced, navigating to discover the leftmost node will require \(O(\log N)\) operations (where \(N\) is the number of nodes in the tree). However, for efficiency reasons, the Linux scheduler caches this value in the variable s_i.leafmin, and thus determines which task to run next merely by retrieving the cached value.