Secant Varieties of Segre–Veronese Varieties

Claudiu Raicu

Princeton University

Raleigh, October 2011

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ の < @

Overview









◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─のへ⊙

Secant Varieties

Definition

Given a subvariety $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$, the (k - 1)-st secant variety of X, denoted $\sigma_k(X)$, is the closure of the union of linear subspaces spanned by k points on X:

$$\sigma_k(X) = \bigcup_{x_1,\cdots,x_k \in X} \mathbb{P}_{x_1,\cdots,x_k}.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Secant Varieties

Definition

Given a subvariety $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$, the (k - 1)-st secant variety of X, denoted $\sigma_k(X)$, is the closure of the union of linear subspaces spanned by k points on X:

$$\sigma_k(X) = \bigcup_{x_1, \cdots, x_k \in X} \mathbb{P}_{x_1, \cdots, x_k}.$$

Alternatively, write $\mathbb{P}^N = \mathbb{P}W$ for some vector space W, and let $\hat{X} \subset W$ denote the cone over X. The cone $\widehat{\sigma_k(X)}$ over $\sigma_k(X)$ is the closure of the image of the map

$$s: \hat{X} \times \cdots \times \hat{X} \longrightarrow W,$$

 $s(x_1, \cdots, x_k) = x_1 + \cdots + x_k$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Secant Varieties

Definition

Given a subvariety $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$, the (k - 1)-st secant variety of X, denoted $\sigma_k(X)$, is the closure of the union of linear subspaces spanned by k points on X:

$$\sigma_k(X) = \bigcup_{x_1, \cdots, x_k \in X} \mathbb{P}_{x_1, \cdots, x_k}.$$

Alternatively, write $\mathbb{P}^N = \mathbb{P}W$ for some vector space W, and let $\hat{X} \subset W$ denote the cone over X. The cone $\widehat{\sigma_k(X)}$ over $\sigma_k(X)$ is the closure of the image of the map

$$s: \hat{X} \times \cdots \times \hat{X} \longrightarrow W,$$

 $s(x_1, \cdots, x_k) = x_1 + \cdots + x_k.$

Problem

Given (the equations of) X, determine (the equations of) $\sigma_k(X)$.

The morphism s of affine varieties corresponds to a ring map

$$s^{\#}$$
: Sym $(W^*) \rightarrow K[X \times \cdots \times X] = K[X] \otimes \cdots \otimes K[X].$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ の < @

 $I(\sigma_k(X))$ and $K[\sigma_k(X)]$ are the kernel and image respectively of $s^{\#}$.

The morphism s of affine varieties corresponds to a ring map

$$s^{\#}: \operatorname{Sym}(W^*) \to K[X \times \cdots \times X] = K[X] \otimes \cdots \otimes K[X].$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

 $I(\sigma_k(X))$ and $K[\sigma_k(X)]$ are the kernel and image respectively of $s^{\#}$.

Big Problem

Computing the kernel and image of $s^{\#}$ is really hard!

The morphism s of affine varieties corresponds to a ring map

$$s^{\#}: \operatorname{Sym}(W^*) \to K[X \times \cdots \times X] = K[X] \otimes \cdots \otimes K[X].$$

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

 $I(\sigma_k(X))$ and $K[\sigma_k(X)]$ are the kernel and image respectively of $s^{\#}$.

Big Problem

Computing the kernel and image of $s^{\#}$ is really hard!



The morphism s of affine varieties corresponds to a ring map

$$s^{\#}: \operatorname{Sym}(W^*) \to K[X \times \cdots \times X] = K[X] \otimes \cdots \otimes K[X].$$

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

 $I(\sigma_k(X))$ and $K[\sigma_k(X)]$ are the kernel and image respectively of $s^{\#}$.

Big Problem

Computing the kernel and image of $s^{\#}$ is really hard!

Interesting examples:





2 toric varieties:

The morphism s of affine varieties corresponds to a ring map

$$s^{\#}: \operatorname{Sym}(W^*) \to K[X \times \cdots \times X] = K[X] \otimes \cdots \otimes K[X].$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

 $I(\sigma_k(X))$ and $K[\sigma_k(X)]$ are the kernel and image respectively of $s^{\#}$.

Big Problem

Computing the kernel and image of $s^{\#}$ is really hard!



- toric varieties;
- homogeneous spaces;

The morphism s of affine varieties corresponds to a ring map

$$s^{\#}: \operatorname{Sym}(W^*) \to K[X \times \cdots \times X] = K[X] \otimes \cdots \otimes K[X].$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

 $I(\sigma_k(X))$ and $K[\sigma_k(X)]$ are the kernel and image respectively of $s^{\#}$.

Big Problem

Computing the kernel and image of $s^{\#}$ is really hard!



- 2 toric varieties;
- homogeneous spaces;
- Grassmannians;

The morphism s of affine varieties corresponds to a ring map

$$s^{\#}: \operatorname{Sym}(W^*) \to K[X \times \cdots \times X] = K[X] \otimes \cdots \otimes K[X].$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

 $I(\sigma_k(X))$ and $K[\sigma_k(X)]$ are the kernel and image respectively of $s^{\#}$.

Big Problem

Computing the kernel and image of $s^{\#}$ is really hard!



- 2 toric varieties:
- homogeneous spaces;
- Grassmannians;
- Segre and Veronese varieties.

Segre–Veronese Varieties

Consider vector spaces V_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$ with duals V_i^* , and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_n . We let

$$X = \mathbb{P}V_1^* \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}V_n^*$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

and think of it as a subvariety in projective space via the embedding determined by the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(d_1, \dots, d_n)$.

Segre–Veronese Varieties

Consider vector spaces V_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$ with duals V_i^* , and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_n . We let

$$X = \mathbb{P}V_1^* \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}V_n^*$$

and think of it as a subvariety in projective space via the embedding determined by the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(d_1, \dots, d_n)$. X is the image of

$$SV_{d_1,\cdots,d_n}: \mathbb{P}V_1^* \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}V_n^* \to \mathbb{P}(\operatorname{Sym}^{d_1}V_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{d_n}V_n^*),$$
$$([e_1],\cdots,[e_n]) \mapsto [e_1^{d_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n^{d_n}].$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

We call *X* a Segre–Veronese variety.

Segre–Veronese Varieties

Consider vector spaces V_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$ with duals V_i^* , and positive integers d_1, \dots, d_n . We let

$$X = \mathbb{P}V_1^* \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}V_n^*$$

and think of it as a subvariety in projective space via the embedding determined by the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(d_1, \dots, d_n)$. X is the image of

$$SV_{d_1,\cdots,d_n}: \mathbb{P}V_1^* \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}V_n^* \to \mathbb{P}(\operatorname{Sym}^{d_1}V_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{d_n}V_n^*),$$

$$([e_1], \cdots, [e_n]) \mapsto [e_1^{d_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_n^{d_n}].$$

We call X a Segre–Veronese variety. Write W^* for the linear forms on the target of SV_{d_1,\dots,d_n} , $W^* = \text{Sym}^{d_1} V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \text{Sym}^{d_n} V_n$. To compute the equations of $\sigma_k(X)$ it's "enough" to understand the kernel of

$$s^{\#}: \operatorname{Sym}(W^*) \longrightarrow \left(\bigoplus_{r \ge 0} \operatorname{Sym}^{rd_1} V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{rd_n} V_n \right)^{\otimes k}.$$

When all $d_i = 1$, X is the Segre variety (pure tensors). When n = 2 we get matrices of rank 1 as the image of

$$SV_{1,1}: \mathbb{P}V_1^* \times \mathbb{P}V_2^* \to \mathbb{P}(V_1^* \otimes V_2^*).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ の < @

When all $d_i = 1$, X is the Segre variety (pure tensors). When n = 2 we get matrices of rank 1 as the image of

$$SV_{1,1}: \mathbb{P}V_1^* \times \mathbb{P}V_2^* \to \mathbb{P}(V_1^* \otimes V_2^*).$$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

More generally, $\sigma_k(X)$ is the collection of matrices of rank at most k, which are defined by the vanishing of their (k + 1)-minors.

When all $d_i = 1$, X is the Segre variety (pure tensors). When n = 2 we get matrices of rank 1 as the image of

$$SV_{1,1}: \mathbb{P}V_1^* \times \mathbb{P}V_2^* \to \mathbb{P}(V_1^* \otimes V_2^*).$$

More generally, $\sigma_k(X)$ is the collection of matrices of rank at most k, which are defined by the vanishing of their (k + 1)-minors. If n = 3, dim $(V_i) = 3$, the ambient space consists of $3 \times 3 \times 3$ tensors $T = (x_{ijk})$, which we can flatten by thinking of $V_1^* \otimes V_2^*$ as a single factor:

$$V_1^*\otimes V_2^*\otimes V_3^*=(V_1^*\otimes V_2^*)\otimes V_3^*.$$

When all $d_i = 1$, X is the Segre variety (pure tensors). When n = 2 we get matrices of rank 1 as the image of

$$SV_{1,1}: \mathbb{P}V_1^* \times \mathbb{P}V_2^* \to \mathbb{P}(V_1^* \otimes V_2^*).$$

More generally, $\sigma_k(X)$ is the collection of matrices of rank at most k, which are defined by the vanishing of their (k + 1)-minors. If n = 3, dim $(V_i) = 3$, the ambient space consists of $3 \times 3 \times 3$ tensors $T = (x_{ijk})$, which we can flatten by thinking of $V_1^* \otimes V_2^*$ as a single factor:

$$V_1^* \otimes V_2^* \otimes V_3^* = (V_1^* \otimes V_2^*) \otimes V_3^*.$$

The tensor *T* flattens to a 3×9 matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_{11,1} & X_{12,1} & X_{13,1} & X_{21,1} & X_{22,1} & X_{23,1} & X_{31,1} & X_{32,1} & X_{33,1} \\ X_{11,2} & X_{12,2} & X_{13,2} & X_{21,2} & X_{22,2} & X_{23,2} & X_{31,2} & X_{32,2} & X_{33,2} \\ X_{11,3} & X_{12,3} & X_{13,3} & X_{21,3} & X_{22,3} & X_{23,3} & X_{31,3} & X_{32,3} & X_{33,3} \end{bmatrix}$$

• $\sigma_1(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2)$: 2-minors of flattenings. (Kostant)

(ロ)、

- $\sigma_1(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2)$: 2–minors of flattenings. (Kostant)
- 2 $\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2)$: 3-minors of flattenings. (Landsberg-Manivel)

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

- $\sigma_1(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2)$: 2–minors of flattenings. (Kostant)
- 2 $\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2)$: 3-minors of flattenings. (Landsberg-Manivel)

• $\sigma_3(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2)$: 4-minors of flattenings

- $\sigma_1(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2)$: 2-minors of flattenings. (Kostant)
- 2 $\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2)$: 3-minors of flattenings. (Landsberg-Manivel)
- σ₃(P² × P² × P²): 4–minors of flattenings give nothing. Instead, use Strassen's commutation conditions. (Landsberg–Weyman)

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

- $\sigma_1(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2)$: 2-minors of flattenings. (Kostant)
- 2 $\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2)$: 3-minors of flattenings. (Landsberg-Manivel)
- σ₃(P² × P² × P²): 4–minors of flattenings give nothing. Instead, use Strassen's commutation conditions. (Landsberg–Weyman)

Conjecture (Garcia-Stillman-Sturmfels, Pachter-Sturmfels)

The 3–minors of flattenings generate the ideal of $\sigma_2(X)$ when X is a Segre variety.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

- $\sigma_1(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2)$: 2-minors of flattenings. (Kostant)
- 2 $\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2)$: 3-minors of flattenings. (Landsberg-Manivel)
- σ₃(P² × P² × P²): 4–minors of flattenings give nothing. Instead, use Strassen's commutation conditions. (Landsberg–Weyman)

Conjecture (Garcia-Stillman-Sturmfels, Pachter-Sturmfels)

The 3–minors of flattenings generate the ideal of $\sigma_2(X)$ when X is a Segre variety.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Known cases:

2 factors (classical)

- $\sigma_1(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2)$: 2-minors of flattenings. (Kostant)
- 2 $\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2)$: 3-minors of flattenings. (Landsberg-Manivel)
- σ₃(P² × P² × P²): 4–minors of flattenings give nothing. Instead, use Strassen's commutation conditions. (Landsberg–Weyman)

Conjecture (Garcia-Stillman-Sturmfels, Pachter-Sturmfels)

The 3–minors of flattenings generate the ideal of $\sigma_2(X)$ when X is a Segre variety.

Known cases:

- 2 factors (classical)
- 3 factors, and the set-theoretic version for any number of factors (Landsberg and Manivel)

- $\sigma_1(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2)$: 2-minors of flattenings. (Kostant)
- 2 $\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2)$: 3-minors of flattenings. (Landsberg-Manivel)
- σ₃(P² × P² × P²): 4–minors of flattenings give nothing. Instead, use Strassen's commutation conditions. (Landsberg–Weyman)

Conjecture (Garcia-Stillman-Sturmfels, Pachter-Sturmfels)

The 3–minors of flattenings generate the ideal of $\sigma_2(X)$ when X is a Segre variety.

Known cases:

- 2 factors (classical)
- 3 factors, and the set-theoretic version for any number of factors (Landsberg and Manivel)
- 4 factors (Landsberg and Weyman)

- $\sigma_1(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2)$: 2-minors of flattenings. (Kostant)
- 2 $\sigma_2(\mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2)$: 3-minors of flattenings. (Landsberg-Manivel)
- σ₃(P² × P² × P²): 4–minors of flattenings give nothing. Instead, use Strassen's commutation conditions. (Landsberg–Weyman)

Conjecture (Garcia-Stillman-Sturmfels, Pachter-Sturmfels)

The 3–minors of flattenings generate the ideal of $\sigma_2(X)$ when X is a Segre variety.

Known cases:

- 2 factors (classical)
- 3 factors, and the set-theoretic version for any number of factors (Landsberg and Manivel)
- 4 factors (Landsberg and Weyman)
- 5 factors (Allman and Rhodes)

When n = 1, write $V = V_1$, $d = d_1$. If dim(V) = 2 (with basis $\{x, y\}$ of V^*), X is a rational normal curve of degree d, embedded by

$$[x:y] \longrightarrow [x^d: x^{d-1} \cdot y: \cdots : x \cdot y^{d-1}: y^d].$$

Write $z_i \in \text{Sym}^d V$ for the coordinate function of the ambient projective space $\mathbb{P}(\text{Sym}^d V^*)$ corresponding to $x^{d-i} \cdot y^i$.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

When n = 1, write $V = V_1$, $d = d_1$. If dim(V) = 2 (with basis $\{x, y\}$ of V^*), X is a rational normal curve of degree d, embedded by

$$[x:y] \longrightarrow [x^d: x^{d-1} \cdot y: \cdots : x \cdot y^{d-1}: y^d].$$

Write $z_i \in \text{Sym}^d V$ for the coordinate function of the ambient projective space $\mathbb{P}(\text{Sym}^d V^*)$ corresponding to $x^{d-i} \cdot y^i$. We obtain symmetric flattenings (or catalecticant matrices Cat(a, b)) by writing the multiplication table of $\text{Sym}^a V \otimes \text{Sym}^b V \to \text{Sym}^d V$.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

When n = 1, write $V = V_1$, $d = d_1$. If dim(V) = 2 (with basis $\{x, y\}$ of V^*), X is a rational normal curve of degree d, embedded by

$$[x:y] \longrightarrow [x^d: x^{d-1} \cdot y: \cdots : x \cdot y^{d-1}: y^d].$$

Write $z_i \in \text{Sym}^d V$ for the coordinate function of the ambient projective space $\mathbb{P}(\text{Sym}^d V^*)$ corresponding to $x^{d-i} \cdot y^i$. We obtain symmetric flattenings (or catalecticant matrices Cat(a, b)) by writing the multiplication table of $\text{Sym}^a V \otimes \text{Sym}^b V \rightarrow \text{Sym}^d V$. For d = 6, we get

When n = 1, write $V = V_1$, $d = d_1$. If dim(V) = 2 (with basis $\{x, y\}$ of V^*), X is a rational normal curve of degree d, embedded by

$$[x:y] \longrightarrow [x^d: x^{d-1} \cdot y: \cdots : x \cdot y^{d-1}: y^d].$$

Write $z_i \in \text{Sym}^d V$ for the coordinate function of the ambient projective space $\mathbb{P}(\text{Sym}^d V^*)$ corresponding to $x^{d-i} \cdot y^i$. We obtain symmetric flattenings (or catalecticant matrices Cat(a, b)) by writing the multiplication table of $\text{Sym}^a V \otimes \text{Sym}^b V \to \text{Sym}^d V$. For d = 6, we get

$$Cat(2,4): \begin{bmatrix} z_0 & z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 \\ z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 \\ z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 & z_6 \end{bmatrix}$$

When n = 1, write $V = V_1$, $d = d_1$. If dim(V) = 2 (with basis $\{x, y\}$ of V^*), X is a rational normal curve of degree d, embedded by

$$[x:y] \longrightarrow [x^d: x^{d-1} \cdot y: \cdots : x \cdot y^{d-1}: y^d].$$

Write $z_i \in \text{Sym}^d V$ for the coordinate function of the ambient projective space $\mathbb{P}(\text{Sym}^d V^*)$ corresponding to $x^{d-i} \cdot y^i$. We obtain symmetric flattenings (or catalecticant matrices Cat(a, b)) by writing the multiplication table of $\text{Sym}^a V \otimes \text{Sym}^b V \to \text{Sym}^d V$. For d = 6, we get

$$\begin{array}{c} x^{3} & x^{2} \cdot y & x \cdot y^{2} & y^{3} \\ x^{3} & z_{0} & z_{1} & z_{2} & z_{3} \\ z_{0} & z_{1} & z_{2} & z_{3} \\ z_{1} & z_{2} & z_{3} & z_{4} \\ z_{2} & z_{3} & z_{4} & z_{5} \\ y^{3} & z_{3} & z_{4} & z_{5} \\ z_{3} & z_{4} & z_{5} & z_{6} \end{array} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} z_{0} & z_{1} \\ z_{1} & z_{2} \\ z_{2} & z_{3} \\ z_{3} & z_{4} \\ z_{4} & z_{5} \\ z_{5} & z_{6} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Cat(2,4): \begin{bmatrix} z_{0} & z_{1} & z_{2} & z_{3} & z_{4} \\ z_{1} & z_{2} & z_{3} & z_{4} & z_{5} \\ z_{2} & z_{3} & z_{4} & z_{5} & z_{6} \end{bmatrix}$$

Theorem (Gruson-Peskine, Eisenbud, Conca)

If X is a rational normal curve of degree d, then $I(\sigma_k(X))$ is generated by the (k + 1)-minors of any Cat(a, b), where $a, b \ge k$, a + b = d.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Theorem (Gruson-Peskine, Eisenbud, Conca)

If X is a rational normal curve of degree d, then $I(\sigma_k(X))$ is generated by the (k + 1)-minors of any Cat(a, b), where $a, b \ge k$, a + b = d.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Now assume that $\dim(V)$ is arbitrary. We can still talk about catalecticant matrices Cat(a, b) whenever a + b = d.

Theorem (Gruson-Peskine, Eisenbud, Conca)

If X is a rational normal curve of degree d, then $I(\sigma_k(X))$ is generated by the (k + 1)-minors of any Cat(a, b), where $a, b \ge k$, a + b = d.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Now assume that $\dim(V)$ is arbitrary. We can still talk about catalecticant matrices Cat(a, b) whenever a + b = d.

• (k + 1)-minors of catalecticants vanish on $\sigma_k(X)$.

Theorem (Gruson-Peskine, Eisenbud, Conca)

If X is a rational normal curve of degree d, then $I(\sigma_k(X))$ is generated by the (k + 1)-minors of any Cat(a, b), where $a, b \ge k, a + b = d$.

Now assume that $\dim(V)$ is arbitrary. We can still talk about catalecticant matrices Cat(a, b) whenever a + b = d.

- (k + 1)-minors of catalecticants vanish on $\sigma_k(X)$.
- **2** $X = \sigma_1(X)$ is defined by the 2–minors of any Cat(a, b). (Pucci)

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本

Theorem (Gruson-Peskine, Eisenbud, Conca)

If X is a rational normal curve of degree d, then $I(\sigma_k(X))$ is generated by the (k + 1)-minors of any Cat(a, b), where $a, b \ge k, a + b = d$.

Now assume that $\dim(V)$ is arbitrary. We can still talk about catalecticant matrices Cat(a, b) whenever a + b = d.

- (k + 1)–minors of catalecticants vanish on $\sigma_k(X)$.
- **2** $X = \sigma_1(X)$ is defined by the 2–minors of any Cat(a, b). (Pucci)

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

• $\sigma_2(X)$ is defined by the 3-minors of Cat(1, d-1) and Cat(2, d-2). (Kanev)

Theorem (Gruson-Peskine, Eisenbud, Conca)

If X is a rational normal curve of degree d, then $I(\sigma_k(X))$ is generated by the (k + 1)-minors of any Cat(a, b), where $a, b \ge k, a + b = d$.

Now assume that $\dim(V)$ is arbitrary. We can still talk about catalecticant matrices Cat(a, b) whenever a + b = d.

- (k + 1)–minors of catalecticants vanish on $\sigma_k(X)$.
- **2** $X = \sigma_1(X)$ is defined by the 2–minors of any Cat(a, b). (Pucci)
- $\sigma_2(X)$ is defined by the 3-minors of Cat(1, d-1) and Cat(2, d-2). (Kanev)
- σ_k(X) is NOT defined by (k + 1)-minors of catalecticants in general. (Buczyńska-Buczyński)

Theorem (Gruson-Peskine, Eisenbud, Conca)

If X is a rational normal curve of degree d, then $I(\sigma_k(X))$ is generated by the (k + 1)-minors of any Cat(a, b), where $a, b \ge k, a + b = d$.

Now assume that $\dim(V)$ is arbitrary. We can still talk about catalecticant matrices Cat(a, b) whenever a + b = d.

- (k + 1)–minors of catalecticants vanish on $\sigma_k(X)$.
- 3 $X = \sigma_1(X)$ is defined by the 2-minors of any Cat(a, b). (Pucci)
- $\sigma_2(X)$ is defined by the 3-minors of Cat(1, d-1) and Cat(2, d-2). (Kanev)
- σ_k(X) is NOT defined by (k + 1)-minors of catalecticants in general. (Buczyńska-Buczyński)

Conjecture (Geramita)

The ideals of 3-minors of Cat(a, b) are all equal for $a, b \ge 2$.

Main Results

Theorem (-)

Geramita conjecture holds, as well as its generalization to 4-minors.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

Main Results

Theorem (-)

Geramita conjecture holds, as well as its generalization to 4-minors.

Question

Are the ideals of k-minors of Cat(a, b) all equal for $a, b \ge k - 1$?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへで

Main Results

Theorem (-)

Geramita conjecture holds, as well as its generalization to 4-minors.

Question

Are the ideals of k-minors of Cat(a, b) all equal for $a, b \ge k - 1$?

Theorem (–)

For X a Segre–Veronese variety, the ideal of $\sigma_2(X)$ is generated by 3–minors of flattenings. Moreover, one has an explicit description of the multiplicities of the irreducible representations that occur in the decomposition of the homogeneous coordinate ring of $\sigma_2(X)$.

Suppose n = 2, $d_1 = 2$, $d_2 = 1$, and focus on the equations of degree 4 of $\sigma_2(X)$. We look for the kernel of

 $s^{\#}: \operatorname{Sym}^{4}(\operatorname{Sym}^{2}V_{1} \otimes V_{2}) \longrightarrow$ $\bigoplus_{a+b=4} (\operatorname{Sym}^{2a}V_{1} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{a}V_{2}) \otimes (\operatorname{Sym}^{2b}V_{1} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{b}V_{2}).$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Suppose n = 2, $d_1 = 2$, $d_2 = 1$, and focus on the equations of degree 4 of $\sigma_2(X)$. We look for the kernel of

$$s^{\#}: \operatorname{Sym}^{4}(\operatorname{Sym}^{2}V_{1} \otimes V_{2}) \longrightarrow$$

$$\bigoplus_{a+b=4} (\operatorname{Sym}^{2a}V_{1} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{a}V_{2}) \otimes (\operatorname{Sym}^{2b}V_{1} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{b}V_{2}).$$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

"Representation theory yoga" \implies free to choose $m_i = \dim(V_i)$ arbitrarily, as long as $m_i \ge 2$.

Suppose n = 2, $d_1 = 2$, $d_2 = 1$, and focus on the equations of degree 4 of $\sigma_2(X)$. We look for the kernel of

$$s^{\#}: \operatorname{Sym}^{4}(\operatorname{Sym}^{2}V_{1} \otimes V_{2}) \longrightarrow$$

$$\bigoplus_{a+b=4} (\operatorname{Sym}^{2a}V_{1} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{a}V_{2}) \otimes (\operatorname{Sym}^{2b}V_{1} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{b}V_{2}).$$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

"Representation theory yoga" \implies free to choose $m_i = \dim(V_i)$ arbitrarily, as long as $m_i \ge 2$. Take $m_1 = 8$, $m_2 = 4$.

Suppose n = 2, $d_1 = 2$, $d_2 = 1$, and focus on the equations of degree 4 of $\sigma_2(X)$. We look for the kernel of

$$s^{\#}: \operatorname{Sym}^{4}(\operatorname{Sym}^{2}V_{1}\otimes V_{2}) \longrightarrow$$

$$\bigoplus_{a+b=4} (\operatorname{Sym}^{2a} V_1 \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^a V_2) \otimes (\operatorname{Sym}^{2b} V_1 \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^b V_2).$$

"Representation theory yoga" \implies free to choose $m_i = \dim(V_i)$ arbitrarily, as long as $m_i \ge 2$. Take $m_1 = 8$, $m_2 = 4$. The (SL-) zero–weight spaces *S* and *T* of the source and target of $s^{\#}$ are representations of the Weyl group $S_8 \times S_4$. Enough to analyze

$$s_0^{\#}: S \longrightarrow T.$$

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Suppose n = 2, $d_1 = 2$, $d_2 = 1$, and focus on the equations of degree 4 of $\sigma_2(X)$. We look for the kernel of

$$s^{\#}: \operatorname{Sym}^{4}(\operatorname{Sym}^{2}V_{1} \otimes V_{2}) \longrightarrow$$

$$\bigoplus_{a+b=4} (\operatorname{Sym}^{2a} V_1 \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^a V_2) \otimes (\operatorname{Sym}^{2b} V_1 \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^b V_2).$$

"Representation theory yoga" \implies free to choose $m_i = \dim(V_i)$ arbitrarily, as long as $m_i \ge 2$. Take $m_1 = 8$, $m_2 = 4$. The (SL-) zero–weight spaces *S* and *T* of the source and target of $s^{\#}$ are representations of the Weyl group $S_8 \times S_4$. Enough to analyze

$$s_0^{\#}: S \longrightarrow T.$$

To do that, use the representation theory of (products of) symmetric groups, and the combinatorics that comes with it.

A typical monomial in S looks like

 $(x_1x_2\otimes y_2)\cdot (x_3x_6\otimes y_1)\cdot (x_4x_7\otimes y_4)\cdot (x_5x_8\otimes y_3),$

 $((x_i)_i \text{ and } (y_j)_j \text{ are bases for } V_1, V_2).$



 $(x_1x_2\otimes y_2)\cdot (x_3x_6\otimes y_1)\cdot (x_4x_7\otimes y_4)\cdot (x_5x_8\otimes y_3),$

 $((x_i)_i \text{ and } (y_j)_i \text{ are bases for } V_1, V_2)$. It specializes to

$$m = (x_1^2 \otimes y_2) \cdot (x_3 x_2 \otimes y_1) \cdot (x_2 x_3 \otimes y_2) \cdot (x_3^2 \otimes y_2)$$

via the specialization map ϕ that sends

$$\{x_1, x_2\} \to x_1, \ \{x_4, x_6\} \to x_2, \ \{x_3, x_5, x_7, x_8\} \to x_3, \\ \{y_1\} \to y_1, \ \{y_2, y_3, y_4\} \to y_2.$$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

 $(x_1x_2\otimes y_2)\cdot(x_3x_6\otimes y_1)\cdot(x_4x_7\otimes y_4)\cdot(x_5x_8\otimes y_3),$

 $((x_i)_i \text{ and } (y_j)_j \text{ are bases for } V_1, V_2)$. It specializes to

$$m = (x_1^2 \otimes y_2) \cdot (x_3 x_2 \otimes y_1) \cdot (x_2 x_3 \otimes y_2) \cdot (x_3^2 \otimes y_2)$$

via the specialization map ϕ that sends

$$\{x_1, x_2\} \to x_1, \ \{x_4, x_6\} \to x_2, \ \{x_3, x_5, x_7, x_8\} \to x_3, \\ \{y_1\} \to y_1, \ \{y_2, y_3, y_4\} \to y_2.$$

Any kernel element of $s_0^{\#}$ specializes to a kernel element of $s^{\#}$.

 $(x_1x_2\otimes y_2)\cdot(x_3x_6\otimes y_1)\cdot(x_4x_7\otimes y_4)\cdot(x_5x_8\otimes y_3),$

 $((x_i)_i \text{ and } (y_j)_i \text{ are bases for } V_1, V_2)$. It specializes to

$$m = (x_1^2 \otimes y_2) \cdot (x_3 x_2 \otimes y_1) \cdot (x_2 x_3 \otimes y_2) \cdot (x_3^2 \otimes y_2)$$

via the specialization map ϕ that sends

$$\{x_1, x_2\} \to x_1, \ \{x_4, x_6\} \to x_2, \ \{x_3, x_5, x_7, x_8\} \to x_3, \\ \{y_1\} \to y_1, \ \{y_2, y_3, y_4\} \to y_2.$$

Any kernel element of $s_0^{\#}$ specializes to a kernel element of $s^{\#}$. We can polarize *m* by

$$m \mapsto \operatorname{average}(m_0 : \phi(m_0) = m).$$

 $(x_1x_2\otimes y_2)\cdot(x_3x_6\otimes y_1)\cdot(x_4x_7\otimes y_4)\cdot(x_5x_8\otimes y_3),$

 $((x_i)_i \text{ and } (y_j)_j \text{ are bases for } V_1, V_2)$. It specializes to

$$m = (x_1^2 \otimes y_2) \cdot (x_3 x_2 \otimes y_1) \cdot (x_2 x_3 \otimes y_2) \cdot (x_3^2 \otimes y_2)$$

via the specialization map ϕ that sends

$$\{x_1, x_2\} \to x_1, \ \{x_4, x_6\} \to x_2, \ \{x_3, x_5, x_7, x_8\} \to x_3, \\ \{y_1\} \to y_1, \ \{y_2, y_3, y_4\} \to y_2.$$

Any kernel element of $s_0^{\#}$ specializes to a kernel element of $s^{\#}$. We can polarize *m* by

$$m \mapsto \operatorname{average}(m_0 : \phi(m_0) = m).$$

Any kernel element of $s^{\#}$ polarizes to a kernel element of $s_0^{\#}$.