

### Independent sets

#### John Engbers and David Galvin\*

University of Notre Dame

March 14, 2012

An extremal question for independent sets

Independent set Set of pairwise non-adjacent vertices



- *i*(*G*): Number of independent sets in *G*
- $i_t(G)$ : Number of independent sets of size t

Question

Fix a family  $\mathcal{G}$  of graphs.

- What is the maximum of i(G) as G ranges over G?
- What about the maximum of  $i_t(G)$  for each t?

## Trees of fixed order

 $\mathcal{T}(n)$ : trees on *n* vertices



```
Theorem (Prodinger, Tichy, 1982)

For T \in \mathcal{T}(n),

• i(G) maximized by the star K_{1,n-1}
```

```
Theorem (Wingard, 1995)
```

For  $T \in \mathcal{T}(n)$ , and all t, •  $i_t(G)$  maximized by  $K_{1,n-1}$ 

### Graphs with fixed order and number of edges

 $\mathcal{H}(n, m)$ : graphs on *n* vertices with *m* edges

Theorem (Cutler, Radcliffe, 2011)

For  $G \in \mathcal{H}(n, m)$ ,

• i(G) maximized by the lex graph L(n, m)

• for all t,  $i_t(G)$  maximized by L(n, m)



The lex graph L(8, 11)

Independent sets

Graphs with fixed order and independence number

 $\mathcal{I}(n, \alpha)$ : graphs on *n* vertices with  $\alpha(G) = \alpha$ 

### Theorem (Roman, 1976)

For  $G \in \mathcal{I}(n, \alpha)$ ,

- i(G) maximized by union of  $\alpha$  almost-equal-sized cliques
- for all t,  $i_t(G)$  maximized by same graph



The case n = 12,  $\alpha = 5$ 

Graph of fixed order that are regular of fixed degree

 $\mathcal{R}(n, d)$ : d-regular graphs on n vertices



Theorem (Kahn, 2001; (Yufei) Zhao, 2011)  
For 
$$G \in \mathcal{R}(n, d)$$
,  
•  $i(G)$  maximized by  $\frac{n}{2d}K_{d,d}$ , union of  $n/2d$  copies of  $K_{d,d}$ 

Conjecture (Kahn, 2001)

For  $G \in \mathcal{R}(n, d)$ , and all t,

•  $i_t(G)$  maximized by  $\frac{n}{2d}K_{d,d}$ 

Asymptotic evidence given by Carroll, G., Tetali, and by Zhao

Graphs of fixed order with fixed minimum degree

 $\mathcal{G}(n, \delta)$ : graphs on *n* vertices with minimum degree  $\delta$ 

#### Speculation

Removing edges increases independent set count, so maybe

• i(G) maximized by  $\frac{n}{2\delta}K_{\delta,\delta}$ 

Not true, even for  $\delta = 1$ 



Independent sets

# An unbalanced maximizer

Theorem (G., 2011) For  $n \ge 8\delta^2$  and  $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, \delta)$ , • i(G) uniquely maximized by  $K_{\delta,n-\delta}$ .



 $K_{3,n-3}$ 

Conjecture (G., 2011) For  $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, \delta)$ , • for  $n \ge 2\delta$ , i(G) maximized by  $K_{\delta,n-\delta}$ • for smaller n, i(G) maximized by  $K_{n-\delta,n-\delta,\dots,n-\delta,x}$  ( $x \le n - \delta$ ) Fixed size independent sets in  $\mathcal{G}(n, \delta)$ 

 $i_2(G)$  = number of non-edges, so  $K_{\delta,n-\delta}$  definitely *not* the maximizer

Conjecture (G., 2011)

For  $n \geq 2\delta$ ,  $t \geq 3$  and  $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, \delta)$ ,

•  $i_t(G)$  maximized by  $K_{\delta,n-\delta}$ 

Theorem (Alexander, Cutler, Mink, 2011+)

• Conjecture true for bipartite G

Theorem (Engbers, G., 2011+)

Full conjecture is true for

- $\delta = 1, 2, 3$
- $t \geq \delta + 1$ ,  $n \geq 3.2\delta$
- $t \ge 2\delta + 1$ ,  $n \ge 3\delta + 1$

Proof for 
$$t \ge 2\delta + 1$$
,  $n \ge 3\delta + 1$  (I)

Observation

• Suffices to consider  $t = 2\delta + 1$ 

Proof Suppose we know that for some  $t > \delta$ ,

$$i_t(G) \leq i_t(K_{\delta,n-\delta}) = \binom{n-\delta}{t}$$

Then

$$\#(ordered \text{ independent } t\text{-sets}) \leq (n-\delta)^{\underline{t}}$$

Once *t* vertices chosen, at least  $\delta + t$  ruled out, so

 $\#(\text{ordered independent } (t+1)\text{-sets}) \leq (n-\delta)^{\underline{t}}(n-(\delta+t)) = (n-\delta)^{\underline{t+1}}$ 

and so

$$i_{t+1}(G) \leq \binom{n-\delta}{t+1} = i_{t+1}(K_{\delta,n-\delta})$$

Proof for 
$$t \ge 2\delta + 1$$
,  $n \ge 3\delta + 1$  (II)

Proof strategy

 $i_t$ 

• Prove  $t = 2\delta + 1$  case by induction on *n* 

Base case  $n = 3\delta + 1$  is trivial

Induction, case 1 There is  $x \in V(G)$  with  $\delta(G - x) = \delta$ 

$$\begin{array}{ll} (G) &=& i_t(G-x) + i_{t-1}(G-x-N(x))\\ &\leq& \binom{(n-1)-\delta}{t} \mbox{ (induction)} + \binom{n-(\delta+1)}{t-1} \mbox{ (trivial)}\\ &\leq& \binom{n-\delta}{t} \mbox{ (Pascal)} \end{array}$$

Proof for  $t \ge 2\delta + 1$ ,  $n \ge 3\delta + 1$  (III)

Induction, case 2 There is  $no x \in V(G)$  with  $\delta(G - x) = \delta$ 

Ordered independent *t*-sets starting with vertex of degree  $> \delta$ :

 $N_{>\delta} \leq k(n-(\delta+2))(n-(\delta+3))\dots(n-(\delta+t))$ 

where k = number of vertices of degree  $> \delta$ 

Ordered independent *t*-sets starting with vertex of degree =  $\delta$ :

$$N_{=\delta} \leq (n-k)(n-(\delta+1))(n-(\delta+2))\dots(n-2\delta)$$
$$(n-(2\delta+2))((n-(2\delta+2)))\dots(n-(\delta+t))$$

#### Why the missing term?

- Worst case: each new vertex shares  $\delta$  neighbors of first choice
- This can't happen  $\delta + 1$  times (or we're in case 1)
- $(\delta + 1)$ st choice (at worst) removes a new vertex

Proof for  $t \ge 2\delta + 1$ ,  $n \ge 3\delta + 1$  (IV)

Have

$$N_{>\delta} \leq k(n-(\delta+2))(n-(\delta+3))\dots(n-(\delta+t))$$

and

$$N_{=\delta} \leq (n-k)(n-(\delta+1))(n-(\delta+2))\dots(n-2\delta)$$
$$(n-(2\delta+2))((n-(2\delta+2)))\dots(n-(\delta+t))$$

Worst case k = n, giving bound

$$i_t(G) \leq \frac{n(n-(\delta+2))(n-(\delta+3))\dots(n-(\delta+t))}{t!} \\ < \binom{n-\delta}{t}$$

Last inequality uses  $t = 2\delta + 1$ 

## Final comments

- Improve result by considering first, second, third ... choices more carefully, and optimizing a linear program
- $\delta = 2,3$  requires messy case analysis, structural results for  $\delta$ -critical graphs, with  $\delta = 4$  hopeless

#### Open questions

- $i_t(G)$  for all t and n-vertex, d-regular G
- i(G) for  $n \le 8\delta^2$  for *n*-vertex *G* with min. degree  $\delta$
- $i_t(G)$  for  $3 \le t \le \delta$  and  $n \le 3.2\delta$  for *n*-vertex *G* with min. degree  $\delta$
- . . .
- e.g.,  $\mathcal{G}$  the family of *n*-vertex, triangle-free, average degree *t* graphs

# THANK YOU!

Slides at http://nd.edu/~dgalvin1