Introduction to Compilers and Language Design

Copyright © 2023 Douglas Thain. Paperback ISBN: 979-8-655-18026-0 Second edition.

Anyone is free to download and print the PDF edition of this book for personal use. Commercial distribution, printing, or reproduction without the author's consent is expressly prohibited. All other rights are reserved.

You can find the latest version of the PDF edition, and purchase inexpensive hardcover copies at http://compilerbook.org

Revision Date: August 24, 2023

Appendix C – Coding Conventions

C has been the language of choice for implementing low-level systems like compilers, operating systems, and drivers since the 1980s. However, it is fair to say that C does not enforce a wide variety of good programming practices, in comparison to other languages. To write solid C, you need to exercise a high degree of self-discipline. ¹

For many students, a compilers course in college is the first place where you are asked to create a good sized piece of software, refining it through several development cycles until the final project is reached. This is a good opportunity for you to pick up some good habits that will make you more productive.

To that end, here are the coding conventions that I ask my students to observe when writing C code. Each of these recommendations requires a little more work up front, but will save you headaches in the long run.

Use a version control system. There are a variety of nice open source systems for keeping track of your source code. Today, Git, Mercurial, and Subversion are quite popular, and I'm sure next year will bring a new one. Pick one, learn the basic features, and shape your code gradually by making small commits.²

Go from working to working. Never leave your code in a broken state. Begin by checking in the simplest possible sketch of your program that compiles and works, even if it only prints out "hello world". Then, add to the program in a minor way, make sure that it compiles and runs, and check it in again. ³

Eliminate dead code. Students often pick up the habit of commenting out one bit of code while they attempt to change it and test it. While this

¹Why not use C++ to address some of these disciplines? Although C++ is a common part of many computer science curricula, I generally discourage the use of C++ by students. Although it has many features that are attractive at first glance, they are not powerful enough to allow you to dispense with the basic C mechanisms. (For example, even if you use the C++ string class, you still need to understand basic character arrays and pointers.) Further, the language is so complex that very few people really understand the complete set of features and how they interact. If you stick with C, what you see is what you get.

²Some people like to spend endless hours arguing about the proper way to use arcane features of these tools. Don't be one of those people: learn the basic operations and spend your mental energy on your code instead.

³This advice is often attributed as one of Jim Gray's "Laws of Data Engineering" in slide presentations, but I haven't been able to find an authoratative reference.

is a reasonable tactic to use for a quick test, don't allow this dead code to pile up in your program, otherwise your source code will quickly become incomprehensible. Remove unused code, data, comments, files, and anything else that is unnecessary to the program, so that you can clearly see what it does now. Trust your version control system to allow you to go back to a previously working version, if needed.

Use tools to handle indenting. Don't waste your time arguing about indenting style; find a tool that does it for you automatically, and then forget about it. Your editor probably has a mode to indent automatically. If not, use the standard Unix tool indent.

Name things consistently. In this book, you will see that every function consists of a noun and a verb: expr_typecheck, decl_codegen, etc. Each one is used consistently: expr is always used for expressions, codegen is always used for code generation. Every function dealing with expressions is in the expr module. It may be tempting to take shortcuts or make abbreviations in the heat of battle, but this will come back to bite you. Do it right the first time.

Put only the interface in a header file. In C, a header file (like expr.h) is used to describe the elements needed to call a function: function prototypes and the types and constants necessary to invoke those functions. If a function is only used within one module, it should *not* be mentioned in the header file, because nobody outside the module needs that information.

Put only the implementation in a source file. In C, a source file (like expr.c) is used to provide the definitions of functions. In the source file, you should include the corresponding header (expr.h) so that the compiler can check that your function definitions match the prototypes. Any function or variable that is private to the module should be declared static.

Be lazy and recursive. Many language data structures are hierarchically nested. When designing an algorithm, take note of the nested data structures, and pass responsibility to other functions, even if you haven't written them yet. This technique generally results in code that is simple, compact, and readable. For example, to print out a variable declaration, break it down into printing the name, then the type, then the value, with some punctuation in between:

```
printf("%s:\n",d->name);
type_print(d->type);
printf(" = ");
expr_print(d->value);
printf(" ;\n");
```

Then proceed to writing type_print and expr_print, if you haven't done them already.

Use a Makefile to build everything automatically. Learn how to write a Makefile, if you haven't already. The basic syntax of Make is very simple.

The following rule says that expr.o depends upon expr.c and expr.h, and can be built by running the command gcc:

```
expr.o: expr.c expr.h
gcc expr.c -c -o expr.o -Wall
```

There are many variations of Make that include wildcards, pattern substitution, and all manner of other things that can be confusing to the nonexpert. Just start by writing plain old rules whose meaning is clear.

Null pointers are your friends. When designing a data structure, use null pointers to indicate when nothing is present. You cannot dereference a null pointer, of course, and so you must check before using it. This can lead to code cluttered with null checks everywhere, like this:

```
void expr_codegen( struct expr *e, FILE *output )
{
    if(e->left) expr_codegen(e->left,output);
    if(e->right) expr_codegen(e->right,output);
    . . .
}
```

You can eliminate many of them by simply placing the check at the beginning of the function, and programming in a recursive style:

```
void expr_codegen( struct expr *e, FILE *output )
{
    if(!e) return;
    expr_codegen(e->left,output);
    expr_codegen(e->right,output);
    . . .
}
```

Automate regression testing. A compiler has to handle a large number of details, and it is all too easy for you to accidentally introduce a new bug when attempting to fix an old one. To handle this, create a simple test suite that consists of a set of sample programs, some correct and some incorrect. Write a little script that invokes your compiler on each sample program, and makes sure that it succeeds on the good tests, and fails on the bad tests. Make it a part of your Makefile, so that every time you touch the code, the tests are run, and you will know if things are still working.

Index

a.out, 146 absolute address, 143 abstract syntax tree, 75 abstract syntax tree (AST), 7, 8, 85 accepting states, 16 accepts, 16 Acorn Archimedes, 167 Acorn RISC Machine, 167 address computation, 143 Advanced RISC Machine (ARM), 167 alternation, 14 ambiguous grammar, 38 ARM (Advanced RISC Machine), 167assembler, 6 associativity, 15 AST (abstract syntax tree), 7, 8, 85 atomic types, 103 backtracking, 13 base pointer, 140 base-relative, 155 base-relative address, 143 basic block, 125 binary blob, 146 bottom-up derivation, 37 break, 136 BSS size, 147 bytecode, 1 callee-saved, 160 caller-saved, 160 calling convention, 141

canonical collection, 51 CFG (context-free grammar), 36 Chomsky hierarchy, 63 CISC (Complex Instruction Set Computer), 167 closure, 51 code generator, 7 code hoisting, 201 code segment, 136 comments, 11 commutativity, 15 compact finite state machine, 51 compiler, 1, 5 complex, 155 Complex Instruction Set Computer (CISC), 167 compound types, 104 concatenation, 14 conditional execution, 173 conflict graph, 209 constant folding, 124, 198 context free languages, 63 context sensitive languages, 64 context-free grammar (CFG), 36 control flow graph, 125, 202 core, 62 crystal ball interpretation, 18 DAG (directed acyclic graph), 120 data segment, 136 data size, 147 declaration, 85 delete, 138

deterministic finite automaton (DFA),

230

derivation, 37

16

directed acyclic graph (DAG), 120 directives, 151 distribution, 15 domain specific languages, 2 dot, 51 dynamically typed language, 101 entry point, 147 enumerations, 104 epsilon closure, 22 evaluated, 85 executable formats, 146 explicitly typed language, 102 expression, 85 Extensible Linking Format (ELF), 147 external format, 119 FA (finite automaton), 15 finite automata, 13 finite automaton (FA), 15 frame pointer, 140 free, 138 function inlining, 201 GIMPLE (GNU Simple Representation), 130 Global data, 143 global value, 154 GNU Simple Representation (GIM-PLE), 130 grammar, 7, 8 graph coloring, 209 guard page, 137 Heap data, 144 heap segment, 136 idempotency, 15 identifiers, 11 immediate value, 154 implicitly typed language, 102 indirect value, 155 instruction selection, 7 instructions, 151

intermediate representation (IR), 7,119 interpreter, 1, 69 IR (intermediate representation), 119 items, 51 Java Virtual Machine (JVM), 132 JIT, 1 just in time compiling, 1 JVM (Java Virtual Machine), 132 kernel, 51, 196 keywords, 11 Kleene closure, 14 labels, 151 LALR (Lookahead LR), 62 language, 37 leaf function, 143, 162, 175 left recursion, 41 lifetime, 128 linker, 6 literal pool, 170 little languages, 2 live ranges, 209 LL(1) parse table, 47 Local data, 144 logical segments, 135 lookahead, 59 Lookahead LR (LALR), 62 loop unrolling, 199 LR(0) automaton, 51 magic number, 147 malloc, 138 many-worlds interpretation, 18 memory fragmentation, 139 name resolution, 99, 111 new, 138 NFA (nondeterministic finite automaton), 17 non-terminal, 36 nondeterministic finite automaton (NFA), 17

232

numbers, 11 object code, 6 optimization, global, 195 optimization, interprocedural, 195 optimization, local, 195 optimization, peephole, 204 optimizers, 7 page fault, 137 parser, 7 parser generator, 69 partial execution, 124 PC-relative address, 144 preprocessor, 5 record type, 104 recursive descent parser, 45 recursively enumerable languages, 64 reduce, 50 reduce-reduce conflict, 54 Reduced Instruction Set Computer (RISC), 167 redundant load elimination, 204 register allocation, 7, 208 register value, 154 regular expression, 14 regular expressions, 13 regular languages, 63 rejects, 16 RISC (Reduced Instruction Set Computer), 167 rules, 36 runtime library, 191 safe programming language, 101 scanner, 6 scanner generator, 27 scope, 108 scratch registers, 181 section table, 147 segmentation fault, 137 semantic actions, 74 semantic routines, 7, 8 semantic type, 79

semantic values, 74 semantics, 99 sentence, 36 sentential form, 36 shift, 50 shift-reduce, 50 shift-reduce conflict, 54 side effect, 85 side effects, 187 sigil, 11 Simple LR (SLR), 55 SLR (Simple LR), 55 SLR grammar, 55 SLR parse tables, 55 source language, 1 SSA (static single assignment), 127 stack, 140 stack backtrace, 177 stack frame, 140, 163 stack machine, 129 stack pointer, 140, 159, 173 stack segment, 136 start symbol, 36 statement, 85 static single assignment (SSA), 127 statically typed language, 101 strength reduction, 199 strings, 11 structure tag, 145 structure type, 104 subset construction, 22 symbol table, 99, 107, 147 System V ABI, 160 target language, 1 terminal, 36 text segment, 136 text size, 147 tokens, 6, 7, 11 toolchain, 5 top-down derivation, 37 translator, 69 tree coverage, 204 type, 100 type checking, 99

typechecking, 8

union types, 104 unrolling factor, 199 unsafe programming language, 100 user-defined types, 103

validator, 69, 73 value, 85, 187 value-number method, 123 variant type, 105 virtual machine, 1 virtual registers, 128 virtual stack machine, 129

weak equivalence, 37 whitespace, 11

YYSTYPE, 79