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The Cooperative Computing Lab

• We *collaborate with people* who have large scale computing problems in science, engineering, and other fields.

• We *operate computer systems* on the $O(10,000)$ cores: clusters, clouds, grids.

• We *conduct computer science* research in the context of real people and problems.

• We *release open source software* for large scale distributed computing.

http://www.nd.edu/~ccl
The massive data sets accumulated by High Energy Physics (HEP) experiments represent the most direct result of the often decades-long process of construction, commissioning and data acquisition that characterize this science. Many of these data are unique and represent an irreplaceable resource for potential future studies. Forward-thinking efforts for preservation are necessary now in order to achieve the relevant parameters, analysis paths and software to preserve the usefulness of these rich and varied data sets.

Data and Software Preservation for Open Science, DASPOS, represents an initial exploration of the key technical problems that must be solved to provide appropriate data, software and algorithmic preservation for HEP, including the contexts necessary to understand, trust and reuse the data. While the archiving of HEP data may require some HEP-specific technical solutions, DASPOS will create a template for preservation that will be useful across many different disciplines, leading to a broad, coordinated effort.

"Ten or 20 years ago we might have been able to repeat an experiment. They were simpler, cheaper and on a smaller scale. Today that is not the case. So if we need to re-evaluate the data we collect to test a new theory, or adjust it to a new development, we are going to have to be able to re-use it. That means we are going to need to save it as open data..."

Rolf-Dieter Heur 2008
Director General, CERN

First Workshop Scheduled
The first DASPOS Workshop has been scheduled for Thursday - Friday, March 21-22, 2013, at CERN. More information.

Workshop 1
2012-12-17 10:11:04
WORKSHOP 1 Establishment of Use Cases for Archived Data and Software in HEP Date: Thursday-Friday...

Workshop 2
2012-12-17 19:11:04
WORKSHOP 2 Survey of Commonality with other Disciplines Attendees: Broad participation from many...
Some of Our Collaborators

K. Lannon: Analyze 2PB of data produced by the LHC experiment at CERN

S. Emrich: Analyze DNA in thousands of genomes for similar sub-sequences.

J. Izaguirre: Simulate 10M different configurations of a complex protein.

P. Flynn: Computational experiments on millions of acquired face videos.
Reproducibility in Scientific Computing is Very Poor Today

• Can I re-run a result from a colleague from five years ago, and obtain the same result?
• How about a student in my lab from last week?
• Today, are we preparing for our current results to be re-used by others five years from now?
• Multiple reasons why not:
  – Rapid technological change.
  – No archival of artifacts.
  – Many implicit dependencies.
  – Lack of backwards compatibility.
  – Lack of social incentives.
Our scientific collaborators see the value in reproducibility...

But only if it can be done
  - easily
  - at large scale
  - with high performance
In principle, preserving a software execution is easy.
I want to preserve my simulation method and results so other people can try it out.

`mysim.exe -in data -out output -p 10`

... and repeat this 1M times with different `-p` values.
But it’s not that simple!
I want to preserve my simulation method and results so other people can try it out.

```bash
mysim.exe --in data --out output --p 10
SIM_MODE=clever
```

Green Goat Linux 57.83.09.B

X86-64 CPU / 64GB RAM / 200GB Disk
The problem is implicit dependencies:

(things you need but cannot see)

How do we find them?
How do we deliver them?
Two approaches:

Preserve the Mess  
(VMs, Packaging)

Encourage Cleanliness  
(CVMFS, Umbrella, and Prune)
Preserve the Mess: Save a VM

Hardware:

```
sim.exe -in data -out output -p 10
SIM_MODE=clever
```

Green Goat Linux 57.83.09.B

data → config → libsim → ruby → output

VMM:

```
sim.exe -in data -out output -p 10
SIM_MODE=clever
```

DOI:10.XXXX

Virtual Hardware:

Green Goat Linux 57.83.09.B

data → config → libsim → ruby → output
Preserve the Mess: Save a VM

• Not a bad place to start, but:
  – Captures more things than necessary.
  – Duplication across similar VM images.
  – Hard to disentangle things logically – what if you want to run the same thing with a new OS?
  – Doesn’t capture network interactions.
  – May be coupled to a specific VM technology.
  – VM services are not data archives.
Preserve the Mess: Trace Individual Dependencies

Case Study: 166TB reduced to a 21GB package.

Preserve the Mess:
Trace Individual Dependencies

• Solves some problems:
  – Captures only what is necessary.
  – Observes network interactions.
  – Portable across VM technologies.

• Still has some of the same problems:
  – Hard to disentangle things logically – what if you want to run the same thing with a new OS?
  – Duplication across similar VM images / packages.
  – VM services are not data archives.
Encourage Cleanliness:
First, preserve the necessary software.
Then, design apps to access it.

Case Study:
CMS Data Analysis at Global Scale with Parrot and CMVFS
Large Hadron Collider

Compact Muon Solenoid

Worldwide LHC Computing Grid

Online Trigger

Many PB Per year

100 GB/s

Calorimeter Trigger
Regional Calorimeter Trigger
Global Calorimeter Trigger

Muon Trigger
Pattern comparator trigger
Global Muon Trigger

Online Trigger
Local trigger
Global trigger

Many PB Per year
CMS Group at Notre Dame

Matthias Wolf
Anna Woodard

Sample Problem:

Search for events like this:

$t \, t \, H \rightarrow \tau \, \tau \rightarrow \text{(many)}$

$\tau$ decays too quickly to be observed directly, so observe the many decay products and work backwards.

Was the Higgs Boson generated?

(One run requires successive reduction of many TB of data using hundreds of CPU years.)

Prof. Hildreth
Prof. Lannon
CMS Application Software

• Carefully curated and versioned collection of analysis software, data access libraries, and visualization tools. (Good news!)

• Several hundred GB of executables, compilers, scripts, libraries, configuration files...

• User expects:

  ```
  export CMSSW /path/to/cmssw
  $CMSSW/cmsset_default.sh
  ```

• How can we deliver the software everywhere?
Parrot Virtual File System

Unix Appl

Capture System Calls via ptrace

Parrot Virtual File System

Custom Namespace
/home = /chirp/server/myhome
/software = /cvmfs/cms.cern.ch/cmssoft

File Access Tracing
Sandboxing
User ID Mapping
.
.
.

Local iRODS Chirp HTTP CVMFS

Parrot runs as an ordinary user, so no special privileges required to install and use. Makes it useful for harnessing opportunistic machines via a batch system.
How to Use Parrot

% parrot_run bash
   (starts new shell with parrot enabled)

% cat /http/www.google.com
   (see html source of web page)

% cd /anonftp/ftp.gnu.org
   (browse GNU software archive)

% cd /cvmfs/cms.cern.ch
   (see global view of CMS software via CVMFS)

http://ccl.cse.nd.edu/software/parrot
CVMFS Filesystem

CMS Task
Parrot
CVMFS Driver

HTTP GET
squid proxy

HTTP GET
www server

Content Addressable Storage

metadata
data
data
data

CMS Software
967 GB
31M files

Build CAS

http://cernvm.cern.ch/portal/filesystem
Parrot + CVMFS at Scale

/cm/vms/cm.s.cern.ch

On demand access.

CMS Software
967 GB
31M files

HPC Center:
No network access.
No storage on node.
Parrot + CVMFS

- Global distribution of a widely used software stack, with updates automatically deployed.
- Metadata is downloaded in bulk, so directory operations are all fast and local.
- Only the subset of files actually used by an application are downloaded. (Typically MB)
- Data sharing at machine, cluster, and site.

The Good News

• ND daily production runs on 1K cores.
• Largest runs: 10K cores on data analysis jobs, and 20K cores on simulation jobs.
• One instance of Lobster at ND is larger than all CMS Tier-3s, and 10% of the CMS WLCG.
• CVMFS distributes software to $O(100K)$ cores around the world via FUSE or Parrot.

Anna Woodard, Matthias Wolf, Charles Mueller, Nil Valls, Ben Tovar, Patrick Donnelly, Peter Ivie, Kenyi Hurtado Anampa, Paul Brenner, Douglas Thain, Kevin Lannon and Michael Hildreth,
Scaling Data Intensive Physics Applications to 10k Cores on Non-Dedicated Clusters with Lobster,
Running on 10K Cores

Transient XrootD outage
Portability and Reproducibility are Closely Related!

• To get **portability** around the world, we:
  – Store a single, consistent environment image.
  – Import that image at execution sites.
  – Verify that the environment is correct.
  – Allow the end-user to control the namespace.

• To get **reproducibility**, we need more:
  – Disallow access to anything **not** in the image.
  – Give user control over **storage** of the image.
  – Bring together **multiple** kinds of dependencies.
Encourage Cleanliness:

We want a structured way to compose an application with multiple dependencies.

Enable preservation and sharing of data and images for efficiency.
Encourage Cleanliness: Umbrella

mysim.json

```
kernel: { name: "Linux", version: "3.2";
}
opsys: { name: "Red Hat", version: "6.1";
}
software: {
  mysim: {
    url: "doi://10.WW/ZZZZ"
    mount: "/soft/sim",
  }
}
data: {
  input: {
    url: "http://some.url"
    mount: "/data/input",
  }
  calib: {
    url: "doi://10.XX/YYYY"
    mount: "/data/calib",
  }
}
```
Umbrella specifies a reproducible environment while avoiding duplication and enabling precise adjustments.

Run the experiment

- **input1**
  - Mysim 3.1
  - RedHat 6.1
  - Linux 3.2.4

Same thing, but use different input data.

- **input2**
  - Mysim 3.1
  - RedHat 6.1
  - Linux 3.2.4

Same thing, but update the OS

- **input2**
  - Mysim 3.1
  - RedHat 6.2
  - Linux 3.2.4

Institutional Repository

- RedHat 6.1
- input1
- calib1
- RedHat 6.2
- input2
- calib2
- Linux 3.2.4
- Linux 3.2.5
- Mysim 3.1
- Mysim 3.2

Specification is More Important Than Mechanism

• Umbrella can work in a variety of ways:
  – Native Hardware: Just check for compatibility.
  – Amazon: allocate VM, copy and unpack tarballs.
  – Docker: create container, mount volumes.
  – Parrot: Download tarballs, mount at runtime.
  – Condor: Request compatible machine.

• More ways will be possible in the future as technologies come and go.

• Key requirement: Efficient runtime composition, rather than copying, to allow shared deps.
Encourage Cleanliness:

Construct workflows from carefully specified building blocks.
Encouraging Cleanliness: PRUNE

- Observation: The Unix execution model is part of the problem, because it allows implicit deps.
- Can we improve upon the standard command-line shell interface to make it reproducible?
- Instead of interpreting an opaque string:
  ```
  mysim.exe --in data --out calib
  ```
- Ask the user to invoke a function instead:
  ```
  output = mysim( input, calib ) IN ENV mysim.json
  ```
PRUNE – Preserving Run Environment

PUT “/tmp/input1.dat” AS “input1” [id 3ba8c2]
PUT “/tmp/input2.dat” AS “input2” [id dab209]
PUT “/tmp/calib.dat” AS “calib” [id 64c2fa]
PUT “sim.function” AS “sim” [id fffda7]

out1 = sim( input1, calib ) IN ENV mysim.json [out1 is bab598]
out2 = sim( input2, calib ) IN ENV mysim.json [out2 is 392caf]
out3 = sim( input2, calib2 ) IN ENV bettersim.json [out3 is 232768]
PRUNE connects together precisely reproducible executions and gives each item a unique identifier.

\[ \text{output1} = \text{sim}(\text{input1}, \text{calib1}) \text{ IN ENV mysim.json} \]

\[ \text{bab598} = \text{fffda7 (3ba8c2, 64c2fa) IN ENV c8c832} \]

Online Data Archive
PRUNE is like Version Control for Workflows

PRUNE Repo 1

PUT A
PUT B
X = F(A, B)
PUT C
Y = F(A, C)

PRUNE

 Repo 2

IMPORT FILE
Z = F(B, D)

EXPORT Y TO FILE

PRUNE

 Repo 1

E1

PRUNE

 Repo 2

E1

PRUNE
Scientific Reproducibility is also a Social Problem

• Do we reward researchers that provide detailed descriptions of their work.
• Do we insist that publications reveal their configurations in a rigorous way?
• Do we provide resources for archiving and using shared configurations?
• Technology can help, but there must be appropriate incentives.
Recapitulation

• Key problem: User cannot see the *implicit dependencies* that are critical to their code.

• Preserve the Mess:
  – VMs: Just capture everything present.
  – Parrot+Packaging: Capture only what is actually used.

• Encourage Cleanliness:
  – Parrot+CVMFS: Access deps over the network.
  – Umbrella: Describe all deps of a single execution.
  – PRUNE: Like version control for workflows.
Advice on Designing for Reproducibility

• Start with a clean slate.  
  *(Clean filesystem, empty environment, etc.)*

• Use explicit reference to dependencies.  
  *(Prefer command line args over environment vars.)*

• Do not permit unused dependencies.  
  *(Otherwise dep lists grow without bound.)*

• Separate the logical and physical namespaces.  
  *(Otherwise you cannot move things around.)*

• Preserve dependencies *before* using them.  
  *(Otherwise you will forget to preserve them.)*
Many Open Problems!

- Naming: Tension between usability and durability: DOIs, UUIDs, HMACs, . . .
- Overhead: Tools must be close to native performance, or they won’t get used.
- Usability: Do users have to change behavior?
- Layers: Preserve program binaries, or sources + compilers, or something else?
- Repositories: Will they take provisional data?
- Compatibility: Can we plug into existing tools?
- Composition: Connect systems together?
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