INDEPENDENCE, EXCHANGE, AND STRONGLY MINIMAL THEORIES

GABRIEL CONANT

Abstract. We define five notions of independence, which can be used to measure the complexity of an arbitrary first order theory. After assuming the theory in question has the property that algebraic closure satisfies exchange, we add a sixth notion of dimensional independence, and see how it fits in with the others. Altogether, this gives a simple proof of the characterization of independence in strongly minimal theories.

$T$ denotes a complete first order theory and $M$ a sufficiently saturated monster model of $T$.

Definition 1. Let $C \subset M$ and $\varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \mathcal{L}(C)$. Given $\bar{b} \in M$, $\varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{b})$ divides over $C$ if there is a sequence $(\bar{b}_i)_{i<\omega}$, with $\bar{b}_i \equiv_C \bar{b}$ for all $i < \omega$, such that $\{\varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{b}_i) : i < \omega\}$ is $k$-inconsistent for some $k > 0$.

A formula forks over $C$ if it proves a finite disjunction of formulas that divide over $C$. A type forks (resp. divides) over $C$ if it proves a formula that forks (resp. divides) over $C$.

Definition 2. $\bar{a} \downarrow\upcl_C B \iff \text{tp}(\bar{a}/BC)$ is finitely satisfiable in $\text{acl}(C)$

$\bar{a} \downarrow\upl_C B \iff \text{tp}(\bar{a}/BC)$ does not fork over $C$

$\bar{a} \downarrow\udp_C B \iff \text{tp}(\bar{a}/BC)$ does not divide over $C$

Theorem 3. $\downarrow\upcl \Rightarrow \downarrow\upl \Rightarrow \downarrow\udp$

Proof. The second implication is trivial since dividing implies forking by definition. Next, suppose $\text{tp}(\bar{a}/BC)$ forks over $C$. Then there are tuples $\bar{d} \in M$, $\bar{b} \in B$, some and $\mathcal{L}(C)$-formulas $\psi(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \varphi_1(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), \ldots \varphi_n(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ such that $\psi(\bar{x}, \bar{b}) \in \text{tp}(\bar{a}/BC)$, $\varphi_i(\bar{x}, \bar{d})$ divides over $C$ for all $i$, and

$$\psi(\bar{x}, \bar{b}) \vdash \bigvee_{i=1}^n \varphi_i(\bar{x}, \bar{d}).$$

Suppose, $\bar{a}_* \models \psi(\bar{x}, \bar{b})$. Then there is some $i$ such that $M \models \varphi_i(\bar{a}_*, \bar{d})$. Fix $(\bar{d}_l)_{l<\omega}$, with $\bar{d}_l \equiv_C \bar{d}$ for all $l < \omega$, such that $\{\varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{d}_l) : l < \omega\}$ is finitely inconsistent. If $\sigma_l \in \text{Aut}(M/C)$ is such that $\sigma_l(\bar{d}) = \bar{d}_l$, then
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set \( \bar{a}^l = \sigma_l(\bar{a}_*) \). Since \( \bar{a}_* \models \varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{d}) \) and \( \{ \varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{d}^i) : i < \omega \} \) is finitely inconsistent, it follows that \( (\bar{a}^l)_{i<\omega} \) is an
infinite sequence. Therefore \( \bar{a}_* \not\in \text{acl}(C) \), and so \( \psi(\bar{x}, \bar{b}) \) is not satisfiable in \( \text{acl}(C) \). So \( \bar{a} \nsubseteq \text{acl}_C B \).

**Theorem 5.** \( \downarrow^d \Rightarrow \downarrow^M \)

**Proof.** Suppose \( \bar{a} \downarrow^d_C B \) and let \( C \subseteq D \subseteq \text{acl}(BC) \). The outline of the proof is to show:

\[
\bar{a} \downarrow^d_C B \Rightarrow \bar{a} \downarrow^d C \text{ acl}(BC) \Rightarrow \bar{a} \downarrow^d D \text{ acl}(BD) \Rightarrow \bar{a} \downarrow^d_D B.
\]

The first implication is Remark 5.3(c) of [1], where it is left as an exercise. A proof can be found in Adler’s thesis. The second implication follows immediately from monotonicity of dividing. In particular, suppose \( \varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{d}) \in \text{tp}(\bar{a}/\text{acl}(BD)) \) divides over \( D \), witnessed by the sequence \( (\bar{d}^i)_{i<\omega} \). Then \( \bar{d}^i \equiv_D \bar{d} \) implies \( \bar{d}^i \equiv_C \bar{d} \) so this sequence witnesses that \( \varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{d}) \) divides over \( C \). Since \( \varphi(\bar{x}, \bar{d}) \in \text{tp}(\bar{a}/\text{acl}(BC)) \), we have \( \bar{a} \nsubseteq \text{acl}_C \text{acl}(BC) \).

For the third implication suppose \( \bar{a} \nsubseteq \text{acl}_C \text{acl}(BD) \). Then there is some \( g \in (\text{acl}(\bar{a}D) \cap \text{acl}(BD)) \text{acl}(D) \). Let \( \varphi(\bar{x}, y) \in \mathcal{L}(D) \) such that \( M \models \varphi(\bar{a}, g) \) and \( \varphi(\bar{a}, M) \) is finite. Let \( k > |\varphi(\bar{a}, M)| \).

We have \( \varphi(\bar{x}, g) \in \text{tp}(\bar{a}/\text{acl}(BD)) \). Since \( g \not\in \text{acl}(D) \), there is a sequence \( (g_i)_{i<\omega} \) of distinct realizations of \( \text{tp}(g/D) \). Let \( p(\bar{x}) = \text{tp}(\bar{a}/D) \) and suppose, towards a contradiction, that \( p(\bar{x}) \cup \{ \varphi(\bar{x}, g_i) : i < \omega \} \) is not \( k \)-inconsistent. Then there is \( \bar{a}_* \equiv_D \bar{a} \) and \( i_1 < \ldots < i_k < \omega \) such that \( M \models \varphi(\bar{a}_*, g_{i_j}) \) for all \( 1 \leq j \leq k \). If \( \sigma \in \text{Aut}(M/D) \) is such that \( \sigma(\bar{a}_*) = \bar{a} \), then it follows that \( \{ \sigma(g_{i_1}), \ldots, \sigma(g_{i_k}) \} \) is a set of distinct realizations of \( \varphi(\bar{a}, y) \), which is a contradiction.

By compactness, there is some \( \psi(\bar{x}) \in \text{tp}(\bar{a}/D) \) such that \( \{ \psi(\bar{x}) \wedge \varphi(\bar{x}, g_i) : i < \omega \} \) is \( k \)-inconsistent. So \( \psi(\bar{x}) \wedge \varphi(\bar{x}, g) \in \text{tp}(\bar{a}/\text{acl}(BD)) \) divides over \( D \). \( \square \)

Now we assume that in \( T \), algebraic closure satisfies the **exchange property**, i.e., if \( a \in \text{acl}(bC) \setminus \text{acl}(C) \) then \( b \in \text{acl}(aC) \).

**Definition 6.** Fix sets \( A, C \subseteq M \). Then \( A \) is **independent over** \( C \) if \( a \not\in \text{acl}(C \cup A \setminus \{a\}) \) for all \( a \in A \). A **basis for** \( A \) **over** \( C \) is a subset \( A_0 \subseteq A \) such that \( A_0 \) is independent over \( C \) and \( \text{acl}(A_0C) = \text{acl}(AC) \).

**Theorem 7.** Let \( A, C \subseteq M \). If \( A' \subseteq A \) is such that \( \text{acl}(A'C) = \text{acl}(AC) \), then \( A' \) contains a basis for \( A \) over \( C \). Moreover, any two bases for \( A \) over \( C \) have the same cardinality.

**Proof.** See [3]. \( \square \)
Definition 8. Given $C \subseteq M$ and $\bar{a} \in M$, $\dim(\bar{a}/C)$ is the cardinality of a basis for $\bar{a}$ over $C$. We define

$$\bar{a} \downarrow_C^{\dim} B \iff \dim(\bar{a}/BC) = \dim(\bar{a}/C).$$

Proposition 9.

(a) $\downarrow_C^{\dim}$ is symmetric.
(b) $\downarrow_M = \downarrow_C^{\dim}$

Proof. This proof is essentially the same as that of [2, Proposition 2.2].

Part (a). Suppose $\bar{a} \not\downarrow_C^{\dim} B$. Without loss of generality, assume $\bar{a}$ is independent over $C$. Then $\bar{a}$ is not independent over $BC$ so there is some $a \in \bar{a}$ such that $a \in \acl(\bar{a}'BC)$, where $\bar{a}' := \bar{a}\setminus\{a\}$. Let $\bar{a}_0 \subseteq \bar{a}'$ and $\bar{b}_0 \subseteq B$ be such that $\bar{a}_0\bar{b}_0$ is a basis for $\bar{a}'B$ over $C$. Then $a \in \acl(\bar{a}_0\bar{b}_0C)$. Let $\bar{b} \subseteq \bar{b}_0$ be independent over $C$ and finite such that $a \in \acl(\bar{a}_0\bar{b}C)$. Note that $\bar{b} \neq \emptyset$ since $\bar{a}$ is independent over $C$. For $b \in \bar{b}$, we have $a \in \acl(\bar{a}_0\bar{b}\{b\}C)$, and so $b \in \acl(\bar{a}_0\bar{b}\{b\}C)$. It follows that $\bar{b}$ is not independent over $\bar{a}C$. In particular, $B \not\downarrow_C^{\dim} \bar{a}$.

Part (b). Suppose $\bar{a} \not\downarrow_C^{\dim} B$. By part (a), $B \not\downarrow_C^{\dim} \bar{a}$. Then there are $B_0 \subseteq B$ and $b \in B$ such that $B_0b$ is independent over $C$, but $b \in \acl(\bar{a}B_0C)$. Let $D := \acl(B_0C)$. Then $C \subseteq D \subseteq \acl(BC)$, $b \in \acl(\bar{a}B_0C) \subseteq \acl(aD)$, $b \in B \subseteq \acl(BD)$ and $b \notin D = \acl(D)$. Therefore $D$ witnesses $\bar{a} \not\downarrow_C^{\dim} B$.

Conversely, suppose $\bar{a} \not\downarrow_C^{\dim} B$. Say $C \subseteq D \subseteq \acl(BC)$ such that $\bar{a} \not\downarrow_D^{\dim} B$. So there is some $d \in (\acl(\bar{a}D) \cap \acl(BD)) \setminus \acl(D)$. Without loss of generality we may assume $D \setminus C$ is finite and independent over $C$. By exchange, follows that $Dd$ is independent over $C$. But $Dd$ is not independent over $\bar{a}C$, and so $Dd \not\downarrow_C^{\dim} \bar{a}$. By symmetry, $\bar{a} \not\downarrow_C^{\dim} \acl(BC)$. Therefore $\dim(\bar{a}/BC) = \dim(\bar{a}/\acl(BC)) < \dim(\bar{a}/C)$, and so $\bar{a} \not\downarrow_C^{\dim} B$. 

Remark 10. Note that $\downarrow_M \Rightarrow \downarrow^a$ is always true, and so we have $\downarrow_C^{\dim} \Rightarrow \downarrow^a$ by Proposition 9.

Moreover, the following are equivalent:

(i) $\downarrow_C^{\dim} = \downarrow_C^a$;

(ii) $T$ is modular, i.e., $\dim(A) + \dim(B) = \dim(A \cup B) + \dim(A \cap B)$ for all closed sets $A$ and $B$;

(iii) $\downarrow_C^a$ satisfies base monotonicity, i.e., $\bar{a} \downarrow_D^a B$ and $D \subseteq C \subseteq B$ implies $\bar{a} \downarrow_C^a B$;

(iv) $B \cap \acl(A \cup C) = \acl((B \cap A) \cup C)$ for all closed sets $A$, $B$, and $C$, with $C \subseteq B$.

See [3] for (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii), and [1] for (iii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iv). In [1], (iv) is used to define a modular theory without the assumption of acl satisfying exchange.

To finish the chain of equivalences, it suffices to show (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii). But (i) implies (iii) since $\downarrow_C^{\dim}$ clearly satisfies base monotonicity. Conversely, (iii) implies $\downarrow_C^M = \downarrow_C^a$, which implies (i) by Proposition 9.

Given a ternary relation $\downarrow$ and $C \subseteq M$, let $\downarrow_C$ be the induced binary relation.

Theorem 11. Suppose $T$ is strongly minimal and $C \subseteq M$. If $\acl(C)$ is infinite then

$$\downarrow_C^{\acl} = \downarrow_C^I = \downarrow_C^a = \downarrow_C^M = \downarrow_C^{\dim}.$$
Proof. By previous results, it suffices to show $\dim_C \subseteq \dim_C \Rightarrow \dim_C \subseteq \dim_C$. So assume $a \subseteq b$ by induction on $|a|$. For the base case, let $\varphi(x, \bar{b}) \in \text{tp}(a/BC)$. If $\varphi(M, \bar{b})$ is finite then $\dim(a/BC) = 0$, and so $a \in \text{acl}(C)$. Therefore $a \subseteq \dim_C B$ since $\text{tp}(a/BC)$ is realized in $\text{acl}(C)$. Otherwise $\varphi(M, \bar{b})$ is cofinite and therefore intersects $\text{acl}(C)$.

Assume the result for tuples of length strictly less than $l(\bar{a})$, and let $\bar{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$. Fix $\varphi(x, \bar{b}) \in \text{tp}(\bar{a}/BC)$. We want to show $\varphi(x, \bar{b})$ has a solution in $\text{acl}(C)$.

Suppose first that $\varphi(M, a_2, \ldots, a_n, \bar{b})$ is infinite. Then there is some $c \in \text{acl}(C)$ such that $M \models \varphi(c, a_2, \ldots, a_n, \bar{b})$. Let $\psi(x) \in \mathcal{L}(C)$ such that $M \models \psi(c)$ and $\psi(M)$ is finite. Then $\exists x(\varphi(x, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \land \psi(x)) \in \text{tp}(a_2, \ldots, a_n/BC)$.

Note that $a_2, \ldots, a_n \subseteq \dim C$, and so by induction there are $c_1, \ldots, c_n \in M$ such that $c_2, \ldots, c_n \in \text{acl}(C)$ and $M \models \varphi(c, \bar{b}) \land \psi(c_1)$. Then $c_1 \in \text{acl}(C)$ as well, and so $\varphi(x, \bar{b})$ has a solution in $\text{acl}(C)$.

Now suppose $\varphi(M, a_2, \ldots, a_n, \bar{b})$ is finite. It follows that $\dim(a/BC) < n$ and so $\dim(a/C) < n$, since $\bar{a} \subseteq \dim C$. In particular there is some $a_i$ such that $a_i \in \text{acl}(a_1 \ldots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \ldots a_n C)$. Without loss of generality, assume $a_1 \in \text{acl}(a_2 \ldots a_n C)$. Let $\psi(x, y_2, \ldots, y_n) \in \mathcal{L}(C)$ such that $\psi(M, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$ is finite and $M \models \psi(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$. Say $|\psi(M, a_2, \ldots, a_n)| = m$. Then

$$\exists x(\varphi(x, x_2, \ldots, x_n, \bar{b}) \land \psi(x, x_2, \ldots, x_n)) \land \exists^m x \psi(x, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \in \text{tp}(a_2, \ldots, a_n/BC).$$

By induction there are $c_1, \ldots, c_n \in M$ such that $c_2, \ldots, c_n \in \text{acl}(C)$, $\psi(M, c_2, \ldots, c_n)$ is finite, and

$$M \models \varphi(c_1, \ldots, c_n, \bar{b}) \land \psi(c_1, \ldots, c_n).$$

Therefore $c_1 \in \text{acl}(c_2 \ldots c_n C) = \text{acl}(C)$, and so $\varphi(x, \bar{b})$ has a solution in $\text{acl}(C)$.

\[\square\]
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