

MINICOURSE PART I

JENS JAKOB KJAER

1. INTRODUCTION

I'll start with an overview, then tell you about homotopy functors.

Let $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor. We wish to understand $F(X)$ for some preferred object $X \in \mathcal{C}$. By “preferred,” we mean that there is a preferred way of constructing objects in \mathcal{C} .

Example 1.1. If \mathcal{C} is the category of topological spaces, then the preferred objects are CW complexes.

We also have a preferred way of computing in \mathcal{D} .

Example 1.2. If \mathcal{D} is also topological spaces, then we want to compute homology. We then need cofiber sequences. Or, we want to compute homotopy groups, in which case we need fiber sequences.

A very nice functor should take our preferred means of construction to our preferred means of computation. We'll see that most functors are not very nice, but we can filter F so that the layers of F are very nice.

We will usually work with $\mathcal{D} = Top_*$ or $\mathcal{D} = Sp$. In these cases, there are special names for functor calculus depending on \mathcal{C} :

- (1) If $\mathcal{C} = Top_*$, then the area of study is *homotopy calculus*.
- (2) If $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{O}(M)$ is the category of open sets on a manifold, then this is *embedding calculus*. Usually, we have $F = Emb(-, M)$.
- (3) There are other cases we're interested in, but these are the ones we will focus on here.

2. HOMOTOPY CALCULUS

Suppose we have $F : Top_* \rightarrow Top_*$. Our goal is to compute $\pi_* F(X)$. We make the following assumptions on F :

- Definition 2.1.**
- (1) We say that F is *homotopical* if $X \simeq Y$ implies that $F(X) \simeq F(Y)$.
 - (2) We say that F is *pointed* if $F \simeq *$ implies that $F(X) \simeq *$. Sometimes we will require $F(X) = *$ to make things easier.
 - (3) We say that F is *finitary* or *continuous* if for any CW complex X , we have

$$F(X) \simeq \operatorname{colim}_{A \subseteq X} F(A)$$

where the colimit is taken over finite CW complexes $A \subseteq X$.

Remark 2.2. *The analog of “pointed” in classical calculus is that $f(0) = 0$. Just as in classical calculus and Taylor series, one can work out homotopy calculus without assuming pointedness. However, the assumption will make things cleaner in the sequel.*

2.1. Linear functors.

Example 2.3. Let’s take an easy example. Let X be a CW complex. Let $F = \Omega^\infty(H\mathbb{Z} \wedge -)$, so $\pi_*F(X) = \tilde{H}_*(X)$. This is finitary since H is a homology theory. Filter X by its CW decomposition

$$X \supset \cdots \supset X^{[n]} \supset \cdots \supset X^{[0]}.$$

Applying this functor to the filtration quotients gives a spectral sequence (the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence)

$$E_1 = \bigoplus_{\text{cells of } X} \pi_*F(S^i) \cong \bigoplus_{\text{cells of } X} H_*(S^i) \Rightarrow \tilde{H}_*(X).$$

This is also just the cellular chain complex.

We can generalize this example as follows.

Example 2.4. Suppose that X is a space equipped with a tower of Serre fibrations

$$X \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_\ell \rightarrow X_{\ell-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_0$$

with fibers Y_ℓ . Let $F = id$. Then we obtain a spectral sequences

$$\prod_{\ell} \pi_*Y_\ell \Rightarrow \pi_*X.$$

We could apply this to a Postnikov tower for X , but this gives a fairly dumb spectral sequence since we input π_*X to compute π_*X . In general, it’s rare to be handed X along with a tower of fibrations as above.

Definition 2.5. We say that F is *linear* or *1-excisive* if it takes homotopy pushouts to homotopy pullbacks.

Remark 2.6. *This definition is motivated by how we build CW complexes. We have homotopy pushouts*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigvee S^{i-1} & \longrightarrow & X^{[i-1]} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \bigvee D^i & \longrightarrow & X^{[i]}. \end{array}$$

If F is linear, then we have a homotopy pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(\bigvee S^{i-1}) & \longrightarrow & F(X^{[i-1]}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ F(\bigvee D^i) & \longrightarrow & F(X^{[i]}). \end{array}$$

The bottom-left is a point (since F is pointed) and the top-left is a product of $F(S^{i-1})$.

The remark implies the following.

Proposition 2.7. *Suppose that F is linear and X is a CW complex. Then there is a spectral sequence*

$$\prod_{\text{cells of } X} \pi_* F(S^i) \Rightarrow \pi_* F(X).$$

This spectral sequence does not always converge, but with sufficient connectivity and finiteness conditions it does converge.

Example 2.8. We've seen $F = \Omega^\infty H\mathbb{Z} \wedge -$ above. We can generalize this by taking $F = \Omega^\infty(C \wedge -)$ where C is any spectrum. In fact, these are the only examples! This follows from Brown representability and unwinding the definition of linearity; we will work this out in detail later.

Assume that F is linear. We can apply F to the pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & CX \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ CX & \longrightarrow & \Sigma X \end{array}$$

to obtain a homotopy pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X) & \longrightarrow & * \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ * & \longrightarrow & F(\Sigma X) \end{array}$$

which implies that we have an equivalence

$$F(X) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Omega F(\Sigma X).$$

Iterating this process, we see that if F is linear, then $F(X)$ is an infinite loop space! This justifies the Ω^∞ in the example above.

Let \mathcal{L} be the category of linear functors from Top_* to Top_* . To any object of \mathcal{L} , we can attach a spectrum to obtain a functor

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &\xrightarrow{\partial_1} Sp, \\ F &\mapsto \partial_1 F \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(\partial_1 F)_n = F(S^n).$$

The structure maps were described above, and we see that in fact $\partial_1 F$ is an Ω -spectrum.

We can go the other way by setting

$$\begin{aligned} Sp &\rightarrow \mathcal{L}, \\ C &\mapsto \Omega^\infty(C \wedge -). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.9. *This gives an equivalence of categories between \mathcal{L} and Sp .*

Remark 2.10. *We will not give the proof in detail since we don't want to discuss the model structure on \mathcal{L} .*

Why should we believe this? If F is linear, then $F \simeq \Omega^\infty(\partial_1 F \wedge -)$. Then the spectral sequence

$$\prod_{\text{cells}} \pi_* F(S^i) \Rightarrow \pi_* F(X)$$

strongly suggests that the theorem is true.

2.2. Not linear functors? We can now study the composite

$$P_1 : \text{Fun}(\text{Top}_*, \text{Top}_*) \xrightarrow{\partial_1} \text{Sp} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$$

and the suspension diagram from before gives a map (after resolving point-set issues)

$$F(X) \rightarrow \Omega F(\Sigma X).$$

If F is not linear, this may not be an equivalence. In general, we have

$$P_1 F \simeq \Omega^\infty(\partial_1 F \wedge -).$$

This is the *first polynomial approximation to F* . We will discuss the generalization of this next week.

2.3. Connectivity issues.

Definition 2.11. A functor F is $E(c, \kappa)$ if given any diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & X_1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_2 & \longrightarrow & X_{12} \end{array}$$

where $k_i \geq \kappa$ and $X \rightarrow X_i$ is k_i -connected, then the map from $F(X)$ to the homotopy limit of

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & F(X_1) \\ & & \downarrow \\ F(X_2) & \longrightarrow & F(X_{12}) \end{array}$$

is $(k_1 + k_2 - c)$ -connected.

If F is $E(c, \kappa)$ for some c and κ , we say that F is *stably linear*.

Example 2.12. If F is linear, then F satisfies $E(-\infty, -1)$.

Example 2.13. If F is the identity, then F satisfies $E(1, \kappa)$ for any κ . This is just a restatement of the Blakers-Massey Theorem.

Definition 2.14. Let $T_1(F)(X)$ be the homotopy limit of

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & F(CX) & \\ & \downarrow & \\ F(CX) & \longrightarrow & F(\Sigma X). \end{array}$$

Note that $T_1(F)(X) \simeq \Omega F(\Sigma X)$.

Proposition 2.15. *If F is $E(c, \kappa)$, then $T_1 F$ is $E(c-1, \kappa-1)$.*

Proposition 2.16. *If F is stably linear, then*

$$\text{colim}(F \rightarrow T_1(F) \rightarrow T_1^{\circ 2}(F) \rightarrow \dots)$$

is linear and agrees with $P_1 F$ as defined above.

Remark 2.17. *The (homotopy) colimit above is defined pointwise on CW complexes. This is one place the finitary condition on F is necessary.*

We'll generalize this definition and proposition next week, as well.

Proposition 2.18. *The map $F \rightarrow P_1 F$ is initial (in the homotopy category of functors) amongst linear functors under F . Equivalently, any map from F to a linear functor factors through $P_1 F$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{L} be a linear functor and let $F \rightarrow L$ be a map. Then consider

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \longrightarrow & L \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T_1(F) & \longrightarrow & T_1(L) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ P_1 F & \longrightarrow & P_1(L). \end{array}$$

Everything in the right-hand column is equivalent to L , so we're done. □

Proposition 2.19. *We have*

$$P_1(id) \simeq \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty.$$

Proof. We have $T_1(id) \simeq \Omega \Sigma$, and more generally $T_1^{\circ n}(id) \simeq \Omega^n \Sigma^n$. The result follows by letting n tend to infinite. □

Corollary 2.20. *The Hurewicz homomorphism always exists.*

Example 2.21. We have $\partial_1(id) \simeq \mathbb{S}$.

Proposition 2.22. *Let K be a finite CW complex. Then*

$$P_1\mathrm{Map}(K, -) \simeq \mathrm{Map}_{Sp}(\Sigma^\infty K, \Sigma^\infty -).$$

In particular,

$$\partial_1\mathrm{Map}(K, -) \simeq DK$$

where D is the Spanier-Whitehead dual functor.