

1. BERMAN-BOUCKSOM FORMULA FOR THE DERIVATIVE OF THE MODIFIED ENERGY FUNCTIONAL

Let  $X$  be a compact complex manifold with Kähler form  $\omega$ . Let  $E : \text{PSH}(\omega) \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  be the Aubin-Mabuchi energy functional discussed in class, and  $\mathcal{E}^1(\omega)$  denote the subset of  $\text{PSH}(\omega)$  on which  $E$  is finite. From concavity and upper-semicontinuity of  $E$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{E}^1$  is convex and closed (in the  $L^1$  topology).

Given  $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}^1(\omega)$  and  $\psi \in C(X)$ , we set  $\varphi_t = \varphi + t\psi$  and  $e(t) = E(\varphi_t)$ . We showed in class that  $e'(0) = \int \psi \omega_\varphi^n$ , which is very useful for understanding critical points of  $E$ . However, a big drawback in the definition of  $e$  is that it concerns values of the energy functional at functions  $\varphi_t$  which are not necessarily  $\omega$ -psh. To fix this problem, we replace  $E$  with the modified energy functional  $E \circ P$  where, for any usc function  $\phi$  we define  $P(\phi)$  to be an upper envelope

$$P(\phi) := \sup\{u \in \text{PSH}(\omega) : u \leq \phi\}.$$

If the set on the right side is non-empty, then  $P(\phi) \in \text{PSH}(\omega)$  (note that the upper envelope  $P(\phi)$  is automatically usc since  $\phi$  is). If not, we set  $P(\phi) \equiv -\infty$ . Several properties of  $P$  are immediate consequences of the definition:

- $P(\phi) \leq \phi$  with equality everywhere if and only if  $\phi \in \text{PSH}(\omega)$ ;
- $P(\phi_1 + \phi_2) \geq P(\phi_1) + P(\phi_2)$ ;
- $\phi_1 \leq \phi_2$  implies  $P(\phi_1) \leq P(\phi_2)$ ;
- $P(\varphi_t) \geq \varphi + t \|\psi\|_\infty$ .

A less obvious property that will be important to us is

**Theorem 1.1.** *For any continuous function  $\phi$  on  $X$ , we have  $P(\phi) = \phi$  a.e. with respect to  $\omega_{P(\phi)}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $P(\phi) < \phi$  at  $z_0$ . We work in local coordinates about  $z_0$ . Since  $P(\phi) - \phi$  is usc, there exist  $\epsilon, r > 0$  such that  $P(\phi) < \phi(z_0) - \epsilon < \phi$  on  $\overline{B_r(z_0)}$ . Let  $h$  be a local potential for  $\omega$  on a neighborhood of  $\overline{B_r(z_0)}$ . Let  $u : \overline{B_r(z_0)} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be the maximal psh function such that  $u \equiv P(\phi) + h$  on  $bB_r(z_0)$ . Then

$$\tilde{\phi} := \begin{cases} u - h & \text{on } B_r(z_0) \\ P(\phi) & \text{on } X - B_r(z_0). \end{cases}$$

is an  $\omega$ -psh function satisfying  $P(\phi) \leq \tilde{\phi} \leq \phi$ . Therefore  $P(\phi) = \tilde{\phi}$  and  $\omega_{P(\phi)}^n = (dd^c u)^n \equiv 0$  on  $B_r(z_0)$ . It follows that  $z_0 \notin \text{supp } \omega_{P(\phi)}^n$ .  $\square$

Let  $\tilde{e}(t) = E \circ P(\varphi_t)$ . Berman and Boucksom showed that  $\tilde{e}$  has the same derivative as  $e$  at  $t = 0$ .

**Theorem 1.2.**  $\tilde{e}'(0) = \int \psi \omega_\varphi^n$

Let us take this theorem for granted momentarily and explain its connection with variational solution of the complex Monge-Ampere equation.

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let  $\mu$  be a non-negative Borel measure on  $X$  with the same total mass as  $\omega^n$ . If  $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}(\omega)$  maximizes  $E_\mu(\varphi) := E(\varphi) - \int \varphi \mu$ , then  $\omega_\varphi^n = \mu$ .*

*Proof.* Note first that since  $P(\varphi) = \varphi$ , we have that  $\varphi$  maximizes  $E_\mu \circ P$  over all usc functions on  $X$ . On the other hand, since  $P(\phi) \leq \phi$ , we have

$$E \circ P(\phi) - \int \phi \mu \leq E_\mu \circ P(\phi)$$

with equality at any  $\phi \in \text{PSH}(\omega)$ . Hence  $\varphi$  also maximizes the left side of this inequality. But the second term on the left is linear in  $\varphi$ , so from Theorem 1.2, we see that the function

$$g(t) = E \circ P(\varphi_t) - \int \varphi_t \mu$$

is differentiable at  $t = 0$  with

$$g'(0) = \int \psi (\omega_\varphi^n - \mu).$$

We infer that the right side is zero for any  $\psi \in C(X)$ . Hence  $\omega_\varphi^n = \mu$  as desired.  $\square$

**1.1. Proof of Theorem 1.2, step 1.** We spend the remainder of this section proving Theorem 1.2. First we reduce to the case where both  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are smooth. Since both functions are at least usc, we can choose sequences  $(\varphi_j), (\psi_j) \subset C^\infty(X)$  decreasing to  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  at every point. One can check that then  $P(\varphi_j + t\psi_j)$  decreases to  $P(\varphi_t)$ , too.

Note that by the fundamental theorem of calculus, Theorem 1.2 is equivalent to the statement that

$$\tilde{e}(T) - \tilde{e}(0) = \int_0^T \int_X \psi \omega_{P(\varphi_t)}^n dt.$$

By continuity of Monge-Ampere under decreasing limits and (on the right side) the dominated convergence theorem, this equation is the limit of

$$E \circ P(\varphi_j + t\psi_j) - E \circ P(\varphi_j) = \int_0^T \int_X \psi \omega_{P(\varphi_j + t\psi_j)}^n dt.$$

So it suffices to justify this equation, which is (again) equivalent to

$$\frac{d}{dt} E \circ P(\varphi_j + t\psi_j)|_{t=0} = \int \psi_j \omega_{P(\varphi_j)}^n.$$

Note that we write  $P(\varphi_j)$  instead of  $\varphi_j$  on the right side, because we do not assume that the approximants  $\varphi_j$  are  $\omega$ -psh (we could do this if we were willing to break down and invoke Demailly's approximation theorem).

**1.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2, step 2.** So from now on, we take  $\varphi, \psi$  to be smooth, but we do assume that  $\varphi$  is necessarily  $\omega$ -psh. Our next step will be to 'linearize' out the  $E$  in  $E \circ P$ . By concavity of  $E$ , we have

$$\tilde{e}(t) - \tilde{e}(0) = E(P(\varphi_t)) - E(P(\varphi)) \leq D_{P(\varphi)} E(P(\varphi_t) - P(\varphi)) = \int (P(\varphi_t) - P(\varphi)) \omega_{P(\varphi)}^n.$$

Thus

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\tilde{e}(t) - \tilde{e}(0)}{t} \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \int (P(\varphi_t) - P(\varphi)) \omega_{P(\varphi)}^n.$$

With slightly more effort we will show that the reverse inequality holds. For any  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  and any  $s \in [0, 1]$ , we have  $\varphi_{st} = \varphi(1-s) + s\varphi_t$ . Setting  $T = st$ , we invoke convexity of  $P$  to get

$$\tilde{e}(T) = E(P(\varphi_T)) \geq E(P(\varphi) + s(P(\varphi_t) - P(\varphi))).$$

Letting  $s \rightarrow 0$  while holding  $t$  fixed gives

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow 0} \frac{\tilde{e}(T) - \tilde{e}(0)}{T} \geq \frac{1}{t} DE_{P(\varphi)}((P(\varphi_t) - P(\varphi))) = \frac{1}{t} \int (P(\varphi_t) - P(\varphi)) \omega_{P(\varphi)}^n.$$

Letting  $t \rightarrow 0$  on the right side, we infer that  $\tilde{e}'(0)$  exists and satisfies

$$\tilde{e}'(0) = \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \int (P(\varphi_t) - P(\varphi)) \omega_{P(\varphi)}^n.$$

**1.3. Proof of Theorem 1.2, step 3.** We will conclude the proof of Theorem 1.2 by showing for any  $\varphi, \psi \in C(X)$ .

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \int (P(\varphi_t) - P(\varphi)) \omega_{P(\varphi)}^n = \int \psi \omega_{P(\varphi)}^n.$$

In fact  $\leq$  follows from subadditivity of  $P$ : namely,  $P(\varphi_t) \leq P(\varphi) + tP(\psi) \leq P(\varphi) + t\psi$ . So we need only establish that

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \int (P(\varphi_t) - P(\varphi) - t\psi) \omega_{P(\varphi)}^n \geq 0.$$

Since  $P(\varphi_t) - P(\varphi) \geq tP(\psi) \geq -t\|\psi\|_\infty$ , the integrand is bounded below by  $-Ct$  and is non-zero only on the open set

$$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_t := \{P(\varphi_t) < P(\varphi) + t\psi\} \subset \mathcal{O}_t := \{P(\varphi_t) < \varphi_t\} \{P(\varphi_t) < P(\varphi) + t\psi\}$$

It therefore suffices to show that  $\omega_{P(\varphi)}^n(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_t)$  tends to 0 with  $t$ .

Scaling  $\psi$  appropriately, we may assume that  $\omega \geq -dd^c\psi$ , i.e.  $\psi \in \text{PSH}(\omega)$ . Hence  $\varphi_t$  and  $\tilde{\varphi}_t := P(\varphi) + t\psi$  are  $\omega_t$ -psh, where  $\omega_t := (1+t)\omega$ . We estimate

$$\int_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_t} \omega_{P(\varphi)}^n \leq \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_t} (\omega_{P(\varphi)} + t\omega_\psi)^n = \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_t} \omega_{t, P(\varphi) + t\psi}^n \leq \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_t} \omega_{t, P(\varphi_t)} \leq \int_{\mathcal{O}_t} \omega_{t, P(\varphi_t)},$$

the second inequality resulting from the comparison principle and the definition of  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_t$ . The last integral is equal to.

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}_t} \omega_{P(\varphi_t)}^n + \sum_{j=1}^n t^j \binom{n}{j} \int_{\mathcal{O}_t} \omega^j \wedge \omega_{P(\varphi_t)}^{n-j}$$

Theorem 1.1 tells us that  $P(\varphi_t) = \varphi_t$  a.e. with respect to  $\omega_{P(\varphi_t)}^n$ , so the first integral is zero. The integrals in the sum are all controlled by expanding the domain of integration and applying Stokes Theorem:

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}_t} \omega^j \wedge \omega_{P(\varphi_t)}^{n-j} \leq \int_X \omega^j \wedge \omega_{P(\varphi_t)}^{n-j} = \int_X \omega^j.$$

Together our estimates show that

$$\int_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_t} \omega_{P(\varphi)}^n \leq O(t)$$

so that the left side tends to zero with  $t$  as desired.  $\square$