## Math 40510, Algebraic Geometry

## Problem Set 1, due March 5, 2021

Note: Answers that are sloppy, either from a mathematical point of view or because they are hard to read, will result in points being deducted even if they are technically correct.

## Solutions

1. Let $k$ be a field and let $R=k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$, the polynomial ring in $n$ variables over $k$.
a) Prove that for any positive integer $n \geq 1, R=k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ has the property that if $f, g \in R$ and $f \neq 0, g \neq 0$, then $f g \neq 0$. (Recall that this is the main step in showing that $R$ is an integral domain.) [Hint: In class we showed this for $n=1$, and you can use this fact without proving it again. Now use induction on $n$. Notice that we can lump together the terms according to the power of one variable, for example

$$
\left.y^{2}+z^{3}+x y^{5} z^{4}+x y^{4}+x^{2} y^{3} z^{4}+x^{2} y z^{5}+x^{2} z^{7}+x^{3} y^{2} z=x^{0}\left(y^{2}+z^{3}\right)+x\left(y^{5} z+y^{4}\right)+x^{2}\left(y^{3} z^{4}+y z^{5}+z^{7}\right)+x^{3}\left(y^{2} z\right) .\right]
$$

## Solution:

We know from class that the result is true for $n=1$, so assume $n>1$ and use induction.
$f$ and $g$ are non-zero polynomials in $n$ variables, so each of them has at least one non-zero term. Note that there is no guarantee that a non-zero term that you pick for $f$ will have any variables in common with a non-zero term you pick for $g$. To simplify notation, without loss of generality let $x_{1}$ be a variable that exists in at least one term of $f$. For the sake of this argument, we don't care if $g$ has any terms with $x_{1}$ in it, as long as $g \neq 0$.

As in the hint, separate $f$ according to the powers of $x_{1}$ in the terms (lump together the terms without $x_{1}$, then the terms with exactly $x_{1}^{1}$, then the terms with exactly $x_{1}^{2}$, etc), and similarly separate $g$ according to the powers of $x_{1}$ in the terms.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f=H_{0}+x_{1} H_{1}+x_{1}^{2} H_{2}+\cdots+x_{1}^{m} H_{m} \\
& g=K_{0}+x_{1} K_{1}+x_{1}^{2} K_{2}+\cdots+x_{1}^{\ell} K_{\ell}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $H_{i}, K_{j} \in k\left[x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ for all $i j$ (i.e. none of the $H_{i}$ or the $K_{j}$ involve $x_{1}$ in any way). Our assumptions give that $m \geq 1$ and $\ell \geq 0$, and $H_{m} \neq 0, K_{\ell} \neq 0$. (If $\ell=0$ that just means that $g$ has no term with an $x_{1}$ in it, but it doesn't mean $K_{\ell}=0$.)

Now look at $f g$ :

$$
f g=H_{0} K_{0}+\cdots+x_{1}^{i+j}\left(H_{i} K_{j}+H_{j} K_{i}\right)+\cdots+x_{1}^{m+\ell} H_{m} K_{\ell} .
$$

The first thing to note is that no term of $x_{1}^{m+\ell} H_{m} K_{\ell}$ can cancel with any earlier term, by looking at powers of $x_{1}$. So it's enough to show that $x_{1}^{m+\ell} H_{m} K_{\ell} \neq 0$. But certainly $x_{1}^{m+\ell} \neq 0$, and since $H_{m}$ and $K_{\ell}$ are non-zero polynomials in $<n$ variables, namely $x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$, by induction their product is not zero so we are done.
b) If $f, g \in R$, prove that $\operatorname{deg}(f g)=\operatorname{deg}(f)+\operatorname{deg}(g)$. (We know it for monomials, but you have to show that things don't get messed up when you use polynomials even though cancelation of terms can occur in a product.) [Hint: collect terms of the same degree together. For example,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y^{2}+z^{2}+x y^{5} z^{4}+x y^{4}+x^{2} y^{3} z^{5}+x^{2} y z^{2}+x^{3} z^{7}+x^{3} y z \\
&\left.=\left(y^{2}+z^{2}\right)+\left(x y^{4}+x^{2} y z^{2}+x^{3} y z\right)+\left(x y^{5} z^{4}+x^{2} y^{3} z^{5}+x^{3} z^{7}\right) \cdot\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Solution:

Assume $\operatorname{deg}(f)=m$ and $\operatorname{deg}(g)=p$. This time we'll decompose $f$ and $g$ in a different way. Let $f_{i}$ be the sum of all the terms of degree $i$ in $f, 0 \leq i \leq m$ and let $g_{i}$ be the sum of all the terms of degree $i$ in $g, 0 \leq i \leq p$. So

$$
f=f_{0}+f_{1}+\cdots+f_{m} \quad \text { and } \quad g=g_{0}+g_{1}+\cdots+g_{p}
$$

so

$$
f g=f_{0} g_{0}+f_{1} g_{0}+f_{0} g_{1}+\cdots+f_{m} g_{p} .
$$

Since $\operatorname{deg}(f)=m$ and $f_{m}$ consists of all the terms of degree $m$, we get $f_{m} \neq 0$. Similarly $g_{p} \neq 0$. In the product, no term can have higher degree than $m+p$ (since $m$ is the highest degree of a term in $f$ and $p$ is the highest degree in $g$ ). Also, the terms of degree exactly $m+p$ have to occur in $f_{m} g_{p}$. We just have to show that $f_{m} g_{p} \neq 0$ so $f g$ has at least one term of degree $m+p$. But by part a), since $f_{m} \neq 0$ and $g_{p} \neq 0$ we have $f_{m} g_{p} \neq 0$ and we're done.
2. In class we proved that if $k$ is an infinite field and $f \in k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ then the following are equivalent:

- $f$ is the zero polynomial.
- The evaluation function $f: k^{n} \rightarrow k$, defined by $f(P)=f\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ for $P=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) \in k^{n}$, is the zero function. (I.e. $f$, evaluated at any point of $k^{n}$, vanishes.)

Now instead we consider a finite field. Let $p$ be a prime and consider the field $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Give an example of a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}[x, y]$ for which $f: \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ vanishes at all but one point of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{2}$. (Specifically, it has to fail to vanish at one and only one point of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{2}$.) Be sure to prove why your example works - it's not enough to just give the polynomial. [Hint: Fermat's Little Theorem.]

## Solution:

For our purposes (there are different ways to phrase it), Fermat's theorem says that for all $a \in$ $\mathbb{Z}_{p} \backslash\{0\}, a^{p-1}=1$. So the roots of the polynomial $x^{p-1}-1$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ are $1,2, \ldots, p-1$.

Now let $f(x, y)=\left(x^{p-1}-1\right)\left(y^{p-1}-1\right)$. For any $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{2}$ we have

$$
f(a, b)=\left(a^{p-1}-1\right)\left(b^{p-1}-1\right) .
$$

From what we have said, this is equal to 0 in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ as long as either $a$ or $b$ is not 0 . The only point missing is $(0,0)$, and clearly $f(0,0)=(0-1)(0-1)=1 \neq 0$ so we are done.
3. If $k$ is an infinite field, prove that the phenomenon in Problem 2 can't happen. That is, prove that if $f \in k[x, y]$ and $f(x, y)=0$ when evaluated at every point of $k^{2}$ except one specific point $(a, b)$ then we must also have $f(a, b)=0$. (Make sure to indicate the relevance of the assumption that $k$ is infinite.)

## Solution:

$f(x, b)$ is a polynomial in one variable with infinitely many roots (namely $x$ can be any value other than $x=a$ ). But the degree of $f$ is finite, so $f$ must be the zero polynomial and so $f(a, b)=0$ as well.
4. Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be the set of points

$$
D=\left\{\left(t^{2}, t^{3}, t^{5}\right) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\right\} .
$$

For instance, the point $\left(2^{2}, 2^{3}, 2^{5}\right)=(4,8,32) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is a point of $D$.
a) Prove that $D$ is an affine variety. Specifically, find polynomials $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s}$ (you get to decide what $s$ is) so that $D=\mathbb{V}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s}\right)$. Make sure you prove both inclusions, $\subseteq$ and $\supseteq$.

## Solution:

We claim $D=\mathbb{V}\left(x^{3}-y^{2}, x^{5}-z^{2}, y^{5}-z^{3}\right)$. First prove $\subseteq$. If $P \in D$ then $P$ has the form $\left(t^{2}, t^{3}, t^{5}\right)$ for some $t$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(x^{3}-y^{2}\right)(P)=t^{6}-t^{6}=0 \\
& \left(x^{5}-z^{2}\right)(P)=t^{10}-t^{10}=0 \\
& \left(y^{5}-z^{3}\right)(P)=t^{15}-t^{15}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

so $\subseteq$ holds.
Now prove $\supseteq$. Let $P \in \mathbb{V}\left(x^{3}-y^{2}, x^{5}-z^{2}, y^{5}-z^{3}\right)$ and say $P=(a, b, c)$. If $P=(0,0,0)$ then clearly $P$ is on $D$ (take $t=0$ ), so assume $a \neq 0$. If $a<0$ then since $x^{3}-y^{2}$ vanishes on $P$ we get $b^{2}=a^{3}$; but $a^{3}$ is also negative so this is impossible. So we can assume $a>0$.

Let $t$ be a real number satisfying

$$
t^{2}=a
$$

(so $t$ is either the positive or the negative square root of $a$ ). Since $\left(x^{3}-y^{2}\right)(a, b, c)=0$ we have

$$
b^{2}=a^{3}=t^{6} \quad \text { so } \quad b \quad \text { is either } \quad t^{3} \quad \text { or } \quad-t^{3}
$$

Similarly, we get

$$
c^{2}=a^{5}=t^{10} \quad \text { so } \quad c \quad \text { is either } \quad t^{5} \quad \text { or } \quad-t^{5}
$$

So far we have shown that one of the following holds:

$$
\begin{gathered}
(a, b, c)=\left(t^{2}, t^{3}, t^{5}\right) \\
(a, b, c)=\left(t^{2}, t^{3},-t^{5}\right) \\
(a, b, c)=\left(t^{2},-t^{3}, t^{5}\right) \\
(a, b, c)=\left(t^{2},-t^{3},-t^{5}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

But we also know that $\left(y^{5}-z^{3}\right)(a, b, c)=0$ so $b^{5}=c^{3}$. This means that $b$ and $c$ are either both positive or both negative. This rules out the second and third of these possibilities, so

$$
(a, b, c) \quad \text { is either } \quad\left(t^{2}, t^{3}, t^{5}\right) \quad \text { or } \quad\left(t^{2},-t^{3},-t^{5}\right)=\left((-t)^{2},(-t)^{3},(-t)^{5}\right)
$$

Either way, $(a, b, c) \in D$ and we are done.
b) If $f \in \mathbb{R}[x, y, z]$ and $D \not \subset \mathbb{V}(f)$ (i.e. $f$ does not vanish on all of $D)$, show that $\mathbb{V}(f) \cap D$ consists of at most $5 \cdot \operatorname{deg}(f)$ points.

## Solution:

$D$ consists of all the points in $\mathbb{C}^{3}$ of the form $\left(t, t^{3}, t^{5}\right)$ for some $t \in \mathbb{C}$. So $D \cap \mathbb{V}(f)$ consists of all points of the form $\left(t, t^{3}, t^{5}\right)$ on which $f$ vanishes, i.e. we're looking for all values of $t$ for which $f\left(t, t^{3}, t^{5}\right)=0$. Since $f$ does not vanish on all of $D$ by assumption, there are values of $t$ for which this equation does not hold, so now thinking of $t$ as a variable, $f\left(t, t^{3}, t^{5}\right)$ is a non-zero polynomial, and its degree is $5 \cdot \operatorname{deg}(f)$. But the points of $\mathbb{V}(f) \cap D$ correspond to the roots of $f\left(t, t^{3}, t^{5}\right)$, and the number of roots of a polynomial in one variable is at most the degree of the polynomial.
c) Let $\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be the function defined by $\phi(t)=\left(t^{2}, t^{3}, t^{5}\right)$. Prove that $\phi$ is one-to-one.

## Solution:

Assume $\phi(s)=\phi(t)$. We want to show that necessarily $s=t$. But our assumption says that $\left(s^{2}, s^{3}, s^{5}\right)=\left(t^{2}, t^{3}, t^{5}\right)$. But in particular we have $s^{3}=t^{3}$, and this forces $s=t$.
d) We first make the following definition:

For any field $k$, if $W$ is a set in $k^{n}$ and $V$ is a variety in $k^{n}$, we say that $W$ is a subvariety of $V$ if $W \subseteq V$ and $W$ is itself a variety in $k^{n}$. We say that $W$ is a proper subvariety of $V$ if, in addition, $W \subsetneq V$.

In the context of the current problem, prove that a set $W$ is a proper subvariety of $D$ (the variety defined in a)) if and only if $W$ consists of a finite set of points on $D$. You can use anything we talk about in class in your answer. (The implication $\Leftarrow$ should only take a line or two but $\Rightarrow$ will take a little more work.)

## Solution:

Let's prove $\Leftarrow$ first. Assume $W$ is a finite set of points on $D$. By assumption $W$ is a subset of $D$, and since $W$ is a finite set of points, we said in class that $W$ is a variety in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. So by definition, $W$ is a subvariety of $D$.

Now let's prove $\Rightarrow$. Assume that $W$ is a subvariety of $D$ but not equal to $D$. This means that there exist polynomials $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s} \in \mathbb{R}[x, y, z]$ such that $W=\mathbb{V}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s}\right)$. In particular, not all of the $f_{i}$ can vanish on all of $D$. Let $f$ be such a polynomial (we suppress the subscript). Then we are in the situation of part b), so $\mathbb{V}(f) \cap D$ is a finite set. But

$$
W=\mathbb{V}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{s}\right) \subset \mathbb{V}(f)
$$

and $W \subset D$ by hypothesis, so $W \subset D \cap \mathbb{V}(f)$. Since $D \cap \mathbb{V}(f)$ is a finite set, $W$ must be finite as well.
5. Let $a$ and $b$ be positive real numbers and let

$$
V=\mathbb{V}\left(b^{2} x^{2}+a^{2} y^{2}-a^{2} b^{2}\right)
$$

Notice that $V$ is the solution set of the equation

$$
\frac{x^{2}}{a^{2}}+\frac{y^{2}}{b^{2}}=1,
$$

so $V$ is an ellipse. (I'm not asking you to prove this; I'm just pointing out the fact.)

a) Mimicking what we did in class, find a rational parametrization of $V$. [Hint: try setting $t$ to be the slope of a line through the point $(-a, 0)$ as we did in class.]
b) Check your answer by plugging in two specific choices of $t$ to see if you get a point of $V$ both times.

## Solution:

a) We'll look at lines through the point $(-a, 0)$ and having slope $t$.


First we need to find the equation of this line, which is

$$
y-0=t(x+a) \quad \text { or } \quad y=t(x+a)
$$

This line meets the ellipse in two points, one of which is $(-a, 0)$. We have to find the second point. So we have the equations

$$
\begin{gathered}
b^{2} x^{2}+a^{2} y^{2}-a^{2} b^{2}=0 \\
y=t(x+a)
\end{gathered}
$$

Substituting the second into the first, we get

$$
b^{2} x^{2}+a^{2} t^{2}(x+a)^{2}-a^{2} b^{2}=0
$$

Thus

$$
b^{2}\left(x^{2}-a^{2}\right)+a^{2} t^{2}(x+a)^{2}=0
$$

i.e.

$$
b^{2}(x+a)(x-a)+a^{2} t^{2}(x+a)^{2}=0
$$

Factoring out $(x+a)$ we get

$$
(x+a)\left[b^{2}(x-a)+a^{2} t^{2}(x+a)\right]=0
$$

The factor on the left corresponds to the point $(-a, 0)$, so we focus on the factor on the right. Multiplying it out and setting it equal to zero we have

$$
b^{2} x-b^{2} a+a^{2} t^{2} x+a^{3} t^{2}=0, \quad \text { or } \quad x\left(b^{2}+a^{2} t^{2}\right)=b^{2} a-a^{3} t^{2}
$$

Thus

$$
x=\frac{a\left(b^{2}-a^{2} t^{2}\right)}{b^{2}+a^{2} t^{2}}
$$

Then

$$
y=t(x+a)=t\left[a \cdot \frac{b^{2}-a^{2} t^{2}}{b^{2}+a^{2} t^{2}}+a\right]=a t\left[\frac{b^{2}-a^{2} t^{2}}{b^{2}+a^{2} t^{2}}+1\right]=\frac{2 a b^{2} t}{a^{2} t^{2}+b^{2}}
$$

b) Let's try the slopes $t=0$ and $t=\frac{b}{a}$. First let's see what we predict will happen. When $t=0$, the line with slope 0 is the $x$-axis, and we predict that the second point will be the point $(a, 0)$, i.e. $x=a, y=0$. When $t=\frac{b}{a}$ this corresponds to the line joining $(-a, 0)$ and $(0, b)$, so we predict we'll get $x=0, y=b$.

Let's confirm that our predictions hold. When $t=0$ our parametrization gives

$$
x=\frac{a\left(b^{2}\right)}{b^{2}}=a \quad \text { and } \quad y=0
$$

as predicted.
When $t=\frac{b}{a}$ our parametrization gives

$$
x=\frac{a\left(b^{2}-a^{2} \cdot \frac{b^{2}}{a^{2}}\right)}{b^{2}+a^{2} \cdot \frac{b^{2}}{a^{2}}}=\frac{a(0)}{2 b^{2}}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad y=a \cdot \frac{b}{a}\left[\frac{b^{2}-a^{2} \cdot \frac{b^{2}}{a^{2}}}{b^{2}+a^{2} \cdot \frac{b^{2}}{a^{2}}}+1\right]=b(0+1)=b
$$

as predicted.

