

## PHIL 13185: Final version of Hume paper

Jeff Speaks

Due: in class, Tuesday, February 6

Taking into account the comments you've received on the previous two versions of this paper, do the following four things:

1. Put the argument of the following passage in premise/conclusion form so that it is a valid argument:

“Is he willing to prevent evil, but not able? then is he impotent. Is he able, but not willing? then he is malevolent. Is he both able and willing? whence then is evil?”

2. Pick one premise in your argument to which you think an opponent of Hume's argument might object. In one paragraph, do your best to argue that that premise is false.

3. In a second paragraph, say how you think Hume should defend this premise against the objection.

4. In a sentence or two, say which side you are on, and why. (Say which side you are on with respect to the truth of the premise under discussion — not which side you are on about issues having to do with God and evil in general.)