pp. 101-108. Context Free Grammars (Sec. 2.1)

- Remember: languages are sets of strings
- Also not all languages are regular:  $B = \{0^n 1^n | n \ge 0\}$
- Context Free Languages (CFL): a superset of Regular Languages but still NOT ALL POSSIBLE languages
  - E.g.  $B = \{0^n 1^n | n \ge 0\}$  is context free
  - But {a<sup>n</sup>b<sup>n</sup>c<sup>n</sup>d<sup>n</sup> | n >0} is <u>not</u> context free
- (p. 102) Defined by **Context Free Grammars (CFG)** 
  - ∑ as before + set of **substitution rules** + **start variable** 
    - Terminals are symbols from alphabet
    - Nonterminals: name of set of strings
      - Sometimes in "<>"
      - **Start variable** = non-terminal for entire language
    - Substitution rules: how to replace a nonterminal with some string
      - Rule format: LHS -> RHS
        - LHS: nonterminal
        - **RHS**: a string or expression over strings:
          - Concatenation of strings
          - Using both nonterminals & terminals
        - "|" = shorthand for "or"

- (p. 102) Example of CFG with ∑ = {0,1}, Start = A
   A -> 0A1 | B
   B -> #
- (p. 103) Parse Tree: Generate a tree of strings
  - starting with root as some variable
  - Successively replace some variable on leaves by RHS of rule with that variable as LHS
  - See p. 103 for parse tree and another grammar
- Formal Definition: G = (V, ∑, R, S)
  - V is set of names for variables (the "non-terminals")
  - ∑ is alphabet (must be disjoint from V)
  - R is a set of rules: <var> -> string
  - S a start variable from V
- **Derivation** of one string v from another:
  - Assume u, v strings from  $(\Sigma U V)^*$ 
    - u **yields** v, written u => v, if
      - either u = v
      - or u = xyz where
        - y is a variable
        - There is a rule of form y -> w (w a string)
        - Where xwz = v
      - Or a series of such substitutions u=>u<sub>1</sub>=>u<sub>2</sub>=>..u<sub>k</sub>=>v

- L(G) = Language of grammar G =  $\{w | w \text{ in } \Sigma^*, \& S => w\}$
- (pp. 104-105) have more examples
- (p. 106) Constructing CFG from complex CFLs
  - 1. Many CFLs are unions of simpler ones
    - Construct CFGs for each piece, with start states S<sub>i</sub>
      - and then S->  $S_1 \mid ... \mid S_k$  (akin to an  $\varepsilon$  edge)
    - e.g. p. 106
  - 2. (p. 107) Constructing a CFG for a regular language
    - Start with a DFA accepting the regular language
    - For each state q<sub>i</sub> in Q, define a variable R<sub>i</sub>
    - If q<sub>0</sub> is start state then make R<sub>0</sub> the start variable
    - If  $\delta(q_i, a) = q_j add rule R_i \rightarrow aR_j$
    - For each accept state q<sub>i</sub>, add rule R<sub>i</sub> -> ε
    - Example: DFA on Fig. 1.44 (p. 58)
      - V = {R<sub>0</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>3</sub>, R<sub>13</sub>, R<sub>23</sub>, R<sub>123</sub>}, S = R<sub>13</sub>
      - Rules: R<sub>13</sub> -> aR<sub>13</sub>; R<sub>13</sub> -> bR<sub>2</sub>; R<sub>2</sub> -> aR<sub>23</sub>; R<sub>2</sub> -> bR<sub>3</sub>; R<sub>23</sub> -> aR<sub>123</sub>;
      - $R_{23} \rightarrow bR_3$ ;  $R_3 \rightarrow aR_{13}$ ;  $R_3 \rightarrow bR_0$ ;  $R_{123} \rightarrow aR_{123}$ ;  $R_{123} \rightarrow bR_{23}$ ;
      - $R_0 \rightarrow aR_0$ ;  $R_0 \rightarrow bR_0$ ;  $R_{13} \rightarrow \epsilon$
      - E.g. R<sub>13</sub> => bR<sub>2</sub> =>bbR<sub>3</sub> => bbaR<sub>13</sub> => bba
  - 3. (p. 107) Language has concatenation of 2 or more strings that seem coupled (e.g. {0<sup>n</sup>1<sup>n</sup>| n≥0})
    - Use rules like R -> uRv to build left & right in sync

- 4. (p. 107) many strings contain (recursive) substrings that are used in other structures (e.g. p.105 arithmetic exprs)
  - Use separate variable for each such structure
- (p. 108) Ambiguity
  - Some grammars can generate same string in >1 parse trees
  - If this is possible, grammar is called ambiguous
  - Some CFLs inherently ambiguous (see Problem 2.29)
  - E.g. (p. 108) 2 different parse trees for a+a\*a from:
     <expr> -> <expr>+<expr> | <expr>\*<expr> | a
    - <expr> => <expr>\*<expr> => <expr>\*a =>
      <expr>+<expr>\*a => <expr>+a\*a => a+a\*a
    - <expr> => <expr>+<expr> => a+<expr> =>
       a+<expr>\*<expr> => a+a\*<expr> = a+a\*a
  - Multiple derivations possible from same parse tree
    - eg. <expr> => <expr>+<expr> => a+<expr> => a+<expr>\*<expr> => a+<expr>\*a = a+a\*a
  - Define leftmost derivation if we always replace leftmost nonterminal first at each step
  - String w is derived ambiguously in G if it has ≥2 leftmost derivations