

pp. 292-311. **The Class NP** (Sec. 7.3)

- Issue: many interesting problems seem to have only “brute force” algorithms of exponential time
- (p. 292) **HAMPATH = $\{(G,s,t) \mid G \text{ is graph with Hamiltonian path from } s \text{ to } t\}$**
 - **Hamiltonian Path** from s to t goes thru every other vertex
 - Easy decider by variant of algorithm for PATH
 - Modify PATH to generate all possible paths
 - With test after each one to **verify** if path is Hamiltonian
 - **Verifier** runs in polynomial time
 - Keep a list of vertices
 - Follow path
 - Cross off matching vertex as each step
 - At end, if all vertices crossed off, accept; else reject
 - But the generator from PATH is *exponential!*
 - **No known polynomial HAMPATH algorithm!**
- (p. 293) **COMPOSITES = $\{x \mid x=pq, \text{ for } p,q>1\}$**
 - Verifier is trivial
 - No known polynomial generator
- (p. 293) Not all problems have polynomial verifiers
 - e.g. not(HAMPATH)

- (p. 293) Definition 7.18. **A verifier for language A is an algorithm V, where $A = \{w \mid V \text{ accepts } \langle w, c \rangle \text{ for some string } c\}$**
 - For all w in A there is some c where V accepts $\langle w, c \rangle$
 - c is “extra information” called a **certificate** or **proof**
 - e.g. for above problems, c is a “**guess**” of answer
 - HAMPATH: a path that is a Hamiltonian
 - COMPOSITES: a divisor
 - The ones that work are solutions to problem
 - Equivalent to stating “a solution exists”
 - Time for V expressed as a function of w
 - **Polynomial Time Verifier** for V runs in polynomial time
 - Language A is **polynomially verifiable** if it has a polynomial time verifier
- p. 294: example of NTM N_1 for HAMPATH that works in “nondeterministic polynomial time”
 - Remember time of NTM is time used by longest branch
 - Step 1 “generates” a solution (magically) as a series of vertex #s
 - Step 2 ensures no repeats
 - Step 3 ensures starts at s and ends at p
 - Step 4 is the **polynomial verifier** that checks edges exist

(p. 294) Definition 7.19: **NP is class of languages that have polynomial time verifiers**

- NP stands for “Non deterministic Polynomial”
- HAMPATH and COMPOSITES both in class NP
- (p, 294) **Theorem 7.20 Language A is in NP iff it is decided by some polynomial time NTM**
 - Proof: if A in NP then decided by NTM in polynomial time
 - Let V be matching polynomial verifier for A of $O(n^k)$
 - Define NTM N as follows: For input w of length n,
 - Nondeterministically select string c of length $\leq n^k$
 - c is “solution”
 - Run V on $\langle w, c \rangle$
 - If V accepts, accept, else reject
 - Proof: if Poly time NTM N exists, then A is in NP
 - V constructed on $\langle w, c \rangle$ as follows
 - Simulate N on input w, treating each symbol of c as description of NTM choice to make at each step
 - If this branch accepts, accept, else reject
 - For HAMPATH
 - W is $\langle G, s, t \rangle$
 - c is a path

- (p. 293) **NTIME($t(n)$)** = {L | L is language decided by some $O(t(n))$ time NTM}
- **NP** = $\bigcup_k \text{NTIME}(n^k)$ for all k
- (p. 295) **CLIQUE** = {<G,k> | G undirected graph with k-clique} in NP
 - k-clique = set of k vertices with edges between each pair of vertices in set
 - (p. 296) Proof by demonstrating polynomial time verifier
- (p. 297) **SUBSET-SUM** = {<S,t> | $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ and for some $\{y_1, \dots, y_l\}$ subset of S and $\sum y_i = t$ }
- (p. 299) **SAT** = {<wff> | wff a satisfiable Boolean formula}
 - **wff** is well-formed-formula constructed from
 - Boolean variables
 - Boolean operations AND, OR, NOT
 - **Satisfiability**: test if there is a substitution of 0s and 1s to variables that makes the wff true
- Summary:
 - **P** = class that can be decided quickly
 - **NP** = class that can be verified quickly
- **Biggest question in CS: Is P = NP, or P a subset of NP?**
 - Is there a language in NP that is not in P?