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Abstract—Network utility maximization (NUM) problems seek to maximize the aggregate utility network users receive for transmitting at a given data rate subject to limits on link throughput. Distributed solutions to the NUM problem assume one can directly measure link utilization; something that may not always be possible in practice. This paper examines the use of consensus filtering methods for the distributed estimation of link utilization in a distributed NUM algorithm. In particular, we establish sufficient conditions under which distributed network utility maximization using distributed consensus filtering converges to the problem’s optimal solution.

I. INTRODUCTION

A networked system is a collection of subsystems where individual subsystems exchange information over some communication channel. There are many large-scale networked systems in the real world, such as the electrical power grid, wireless sensor networks, and the Internet. An interesting research problem seeks to optimize the overall system behavior subject to constraints generated by limited resources in the network. Network utility maximization (NUM) problems maximize the aggregate utility by transmitting at a specified data rate subject to linear inequality constraints on link throughput. Many problems can be formulated as NUM problems, such as resource allocation, data gathering and power dispatch [1], [2]. Due to the complexity of these large-scale networked systems, centralized optimization techniques may not be preferable since they require an unacceptably large amount of coordination and signaling. We are interested in distributed optimization algorithms, where subsystems solve the optimization problem collaboratively through communication between subsystems.

A variety of distributed algorithms have been proposed to solve the NUM problem. Early distributed algorithms [3] [2] suggest that the network’s state will asymptotically converge to its optimal point if the communication between subsystems is frequent enough. The dual decomposition approach proposed by Low [4] is the most widely used among the existing algorithms. This approach shows that the message passing complexity might become unacceptable when the network size gets large. Recently, several other distributed optimization algorithms have been proposed. In [5], a subgradient based method is used to generate an approximate optimal solution for the unconstrained problem. Each agent in the network updates the decision vector containing all the decision variables. In [6], a randomized incremental subgradient method was proposed. In this distributed algorithm, communication is governed by a Markov chain. This algorithm assumes that local constraints can be implemented as a projection on the feasible set. Such a projection may be difficult to implement in practice. In both [5] and [6], information exchange happens each time the gradient or subgradient following update is applied and this may result in very expensive communication cost.

Our recent work focuses on event-triggered distributed algorithms [7]. Under event-triggered communication schemes, links and users in a subsystem transmit information to each other when a local “error” signal exceeds a state dependent threshold. In this framework, agents transmit information sporadically rather than in a periodic way and the message passing complexity is greatly reduced. In order to solve the constrained optimization problem, we use the augmented Lagrangian method [8]. This approach transforms the constrained NUM problem into an unconstrained one by introducing penalty for infeasible data rates. One disadvantage of this method is that computational agents are needed to monitor the overall data flow going through each link in the network. Plugging such devices into the network may be expensive which makes this approach impractical for large networks.

The motivation for this work is to use a consensus filter estimating link utilization in the network. Consensus problems have a long history in distributed computing and management science [9]. In networked systems, “consensus” means all agents agree on a specified state according to some interaction rule. This interaction rule determines how an agent exchanges information with its neighbors in the network in order to reach an agreement. Consensus algorithms have broad application in networked systems, such as flocking, swarming, and formation control, see [10], [11], [12] and also in sensor networks [13], [14], [15]. This paper uses an event-triggered consensus filter to estimate link utilization. These estimates of link utilization are used by the distributed algorithm for solving the NUM problem. Our main result is that this closed loop system can generate an approximate optimal solution to the NUM problem.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section II introduces the distributed NUM problem without direct measurement of link utilization. Section III formulates the system model for solving the NUM problem. Section IV studies the error for data rates and link states. Section V presents the main result of this paper. Section VI gives an example to verify our theoretical result. Section VII summarizes this paper.
II. PROBLEM STATEMENT

Consider a network with a set \( S = \{1, 2, \ldots, N\} \) users and \( L = \{1, 2, \ldots, M\} \) links. Let \( A \in \{0, 1\}^{M \times N} \) denote the incidence matrix mapping the users in \( S \) onto the links in \( L \). Let \( a_{ji} \) denote the element in the \( j \)th row and \( i \)th column of \( A \), so that \( a_{ji} = 1 \) if link \( j \) is used by user \( i \) and is zero otherwise. Let \( \bar{a}_{j}^T \) denote the \( j \)th row of the incidence matrix, then \( \bar{a}_{j}^T x \) denote the total data flow going through link \( j \). Given a link \( j \in L \), let \( S_j \subset S \) denote the set of all users using link \( j \). In a similar way, given a user \( i \in S \), let \( L_i \subset L \) denote the set of all links used by user \( i \). If \( j \in L_i \), let \( S_j^{-i} = S_j \setminus \{i\} \), and \( S_i^{-j} = \bigcup_{j \in L_i} S_j \setminus \{i\} \).

Let \( x \in \mathbb{R}^N \) be the data rate vector whose \( i \)th component, \( x_i \in \mathbb{R} \), is the rate data for the \( i \)th user. Let \( U_i(\cdot) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \) be a continuous function where \( i \in S \) and \( U_i(x_i) \) represents the utility user \( i \) receives for transmitting at data rate \( x_i \). Let \( c \in \mathbb{R}^M \) be the link limit vector whose \( j \)th component, \( c_j \in \mathbb{R} \), is the largest total rate that can be carried by link \( j \).

The network utility maximization (NUM) problem seeks a data vector, \( x \in \mathbb{R}^N \) that maximizes the summed utility of all network users subject to the total data rate in each link being less than or equal to the capacity limit \( c \). Formally, this problem may be stated as

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Maximize:} & \quad U(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} U_i(x_i) \\
\text{w.r.t:} & \quad x_i \geq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, N \\
\text{subject to:} & \quad Ax \leq c.
\end{align*}
\]

The function \( U(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) represents the total network utility, constraint \( Ax \leq c \) constrains the total flow through each link to be less than link limit vector \( c \), and the decision variable is the network rate vector, \( x \).

An approximate solution to the NUM problem may be computed using the augmented Lagrangian method [8]. This approach converts the constrained NUM problem into a sequence of unconstrained optimization problems by augmenting the utility function, \( U(x) \), with a penalty term that prescribes a high cost to infeasible data rates. The augmented Lagrangian function \( L_p(\cdot; \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is a function that takes values

\[
L_p(x; w) = - \sum_{i \in S} U_i(x_i) + \sum_{j \in L} \psi_j(x; w),
\]

for \( x \in \mathbb{R}^N \), \( w \in \mathbb{R}^M \) and where the real valued function \( \psi_j(\cdot; \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) takes values

\[
\psi_j(x; w) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } c_j - \bar{a}_{j}^T x \geq 0 \\
\frac{1}{2w_j}(\bar{a}_{j}^T x - c_j)^2 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

for all \( j \in L \).

A primal algorithm based on the augmented Lagrangian method converges to an arbitrarily small neighborhood of the NUM problem’s minimizer by approximately minimizing \( L_p(x; w) \) for a sufficiently small weighting vector \( w \in \mathbb{R}^M \) [8]. One may therefore use a standard gradient descent recursion

\[
x[k + 1] = \max \{0, x[k] - \gamma \nabla_x L_p(x[k], w)\},
\]

for \( k = 0, 1, \ldots, \infty \), where \( \gamma \) is a step size. This recursive equation generates a sequence \( \{x[k]\}_{k=0}^{\infty} \) that asymptotically approach a neighborhood of the solution to the NUM problem. This neighborhood may be made arbitrarily small by selecting the weighting vector \( w \) to be sufficiently small.

The update algorithm in equation (2) can be implemented in a distributed manner. In particular, the \( i \)th user’s update equation takes the form

\[
x_i[k + 1] = \max \left\{0, x_i[k] - \gamma \frac{\partial L_p(x[k], w)}{\partial x_i}\right\},
\]

where

\[
\frac{\partial L_p}{\partial x_i} = -\frac{\partial U_i(x_i[k])}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{j \in L_i} \max \left\{0, \phi_j[k] w_j \right\},
\]

and

\[
\phi_j[k] = \bar{a}_{j}^T x[k] - c_j.
\]

The variable, \( \phi_j[k] \), is called the \( j \)th link’s state. It represents the \( j \)th component of the vector \( Ax[k] - c \). The information that user \( i \) needs in this recursion is its past data rate, \( x_i[k] \), the sensitivity of its own utility function, \( \partial U_i/\partial x_i \), and the weighted link states, \( \phi_j \), for all those links used by user \( i \) (i.e. \( j \in L_i \)). The local data rate and utility sensitivity are clearly available to user \( i \). The link states, however, must be forwarded to the user from the links \( j \in L_i \). This recursion is distributed in the sense that it only requires information from the user and those links that are being used by that user.

The distributed update shown in equation (3) assumes that some computational agent directly measures the link states, \( \phi = (\phi_1 \ \phi_2 \ \cdots \ \phi_M)^T \in \mathbb{R}^M \). In many applications, direct measurement of link utilization may not be possible. In particular, link utilization may need to be inferred from measured user rates. In a wireless communication channel, for instance, it may be difficult to measure the actual capacity of the channel since this is often a function of the number of users using that channel. In general, it may be easier to directly measure what the users generate, rather than how fully the link resources are utilized. Even in wired networks, direct measurement of link utility is based on indirect measurement of time delays, which may vary from user to user.

If direct measurement of link utilization is not possible, then individual agents must estimate link utilization based on the information received from other users using the same link. These link estimates, however, will vary from user to user, particularly if the time between received data varies over time. In this context, one can propose using a distributed consensus filter to estimate the link states, which are then used by the gradient update in equation (3) to update actual user rates. The issue addressed in this paper concerns stability of systems in which distributed consensus is used in a closed loop manner to update user data rates.

III. SYSTEM MODEL

Figure 1 illustrates the system consisting of \( N \) users that are connected to a communication network through
transmitter (TX) and receiver (RX) subsystems. All systems are assumed to be synchronized to the same clock tick. The \(i\)th TX subsystem has a sequence \(T^i = \{\tau^i_k\}_{k=0}^\infty\) of increasing integers where \(\tau^i_k\) denotes the \(k\)th consecutive time when the TX component transmits a message to the communication channel. We assume that all messages are transmitted at most one clock-tick of delay and no data dropouts.

The information transmitted by the \(i\)th TX subsystem are user \(i\)’s data rate \(x_i\) and his estimate for link utilization \(\phi^i\), where the element in \(\phi^i\) is \(\phi^i_j\), for all \(j \in L_i\). The update rule is

\[
x_i[k+1] = \left[ x_i[k] + \gamma \frac{\partial U_i(x_i[k])}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\gamma}{\omega} \sum_{j \in L_i} (\phi^i_j[k])^+ \right]^+, \tag{6}
\]

\[
\phi^j_i[k+1] = \phi^j_i[k] + \gamma \left( \sum_{\ell \in S_{j^{-i}}} \frac{1}{|S_j|} (\phi^j_i[k] - \phi^j_{\ell}[k]) \right) - \gamma \alpha \left( \phi^j_i[k] - \left( x_i[k] + \sum_{\ell \in S_{j^{-i}}} \hat{x}_{\ell}[k] - c_j \right) \right), \tag{7}
\]

where \(\phi^j_i[k]\) is the latest link state received from user \(\ell\), \(\hat{x}_{\ell}[k]\) is the latest data rate received from user \(\ell\). \(S_{j^{-i}}\) denotes the other users who transmit information through each link \(j \in L_i\) and \(|S_j|\) denotes the number of users on each link \(j \in L_i\). \(U_i(x_i)\) denotes the utility function for user \(i\). The utility functions satisfy the following assumption [7].

\textbf{Assumption 1}: \(U_i(x) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+\) is continuous and (a). \(\partial U_i/\partial x > 0\); (b). \(\zeta < \partial^2 U_i/\partial^2 x < 0\),

where \(\zeta\) is a lower bound for \(\partial^2 U_i/\partial^2 x\), and \(\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^+\) denotes a feasible set for the NUM problem.

In the update rules (6)-(7), we let \(\gamma > 0\) denote a stepsize for the system, and we assume a common penalty parameter \(\omega > 0\) for all link estimates. In order to ensure convergence of the method, \(\gamma\) and \(\omega\) should be sufficiently small. The feedback gain \(\alpha > 0\) in the consensus filter drives the link estimate, \(\phi^j_i\), to the optimal value. The notation \([f(x[k])]^+\) defines a positive projection ensuring data rates \(x_i[k] \geq 0\) for all \(k = 0, 1, \ldots, \infty\). The consensus filter used in (7) is based on the “equal neighbor model” proposed in [12]. This agreement algorithm using the equal neighbor model achieves asymptotic consensus when there is no difference between sampled data and actual data. Here, \(\hat{x}_i\) and \(\hat{x}_j\) denote the sampled data, and \(\phi^i\) and \(x_i\) denote the actual data. In the following sections, we will show that when the difference is not exactly zero, but bounded above by some threshold, then asymptotic consensus can still be preserved.

The transmission (TX) subsystem of the \(i\)th user decides when to transmit the user data rate \(x_i\) and the estimated link state vector \(\phi^i\). Let \(T^i = \{\tau^i_k\}_{k=0}^\infty\) denote a sequence of integers where \(\tau^i_k < \tau^i_{k+1}\) for \(i \in S\). The integer \(\tau^i_k\) is the \(k\)th consecutive time instant when user \(i\) transmits the data state and link state of user \(i\). This means we can define another signal, \(\hat{x}_i[\cdot] : \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\) and \(\hat{\phi}^i_j[\cdot] : \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\) that takes the values

\[
\hat{x}_i[k] = x_i(\tau^i_k), \tag{8}
\]

\[
\hat{\phi}^i_j[k] = \phi^i_j(\tau^i_k), \tag{9}
\]

for \(k \in [\tau^i_k, \tau^i_{k+1} - 1]\) and all \(k = 0, 1, \ldots, \infty\).

\section*{IV. ERROR INEQUALITIES}

Showing stability of the interconnected system is equivalent to showing that the error generated at each iteration step converges to zero asymptotically. Since the following analysis is concerned with the asymptotic behavior of the errors, it is convenient to transform the original system dynamics (6)-(7) into a set of coupled error inequalities.

Let \(x^*_i\) denote the data rate for user \(i\) that maximizes the utility of the network, and \(\phi^*_j\) denote the link utilization when data rates for all users \(i \in S_j\) are optimal, where \(j \in L_i\). Obviously, we want to guarantee that all users \(\ell \in L_i\) agree on the same link state \(\phi^*_j\) by use of the consensus filter. In other words, we should have \(\phi^j_i[k] \rightarrow \phi^*_j\), as \(k \rightarrow \infty\).
For notational convenience, we let $\gamma < \infty$, for all $l \in S_j$. The optimal value of $x_i^*$ and $\phi_j^*$ should satisfy the following relationship:

$$\frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{L}_i} \left( \phi_j^* \right)^+ = \frac{\partial U_i(x_i^*)}{\partial x_i},$$

$$\phi_j^* = \sum_{i \in S_j} x_i^* - c_j. \quad (10)$$

Define the data rate error $\tilde{x}_i$ and link state error $\tilde{\phi}_j$ as

$$\tilde{x}_i = x_i - x_i^*, \quad \tilde{\phi}_j = \phi_j - \phi_j^*.$$ 

From the update rule for data rate, (6), we obtain

$$\tilde{x}_i[k + 1] = \left[ x_i[k] + \gamma \frac{\partial U_i(x_i[k])}{\partial x_i} \right] - \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{L}_i} \left( \phi_j^* \right)^+ - x_i^*.$$ 

With the non-expansive property of the Euclidean projection, we have

$$|\tilde{x}_i[k + 1]| \leq |x_i[k]| + \gamma \frac{\partial U_i(x_i[k])}{\partial x_i} - \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{L}_i} |\phi_j^*| - |x_i^*|.$$ 

Substituting (10) and using the mean value theorem, we obtain

$$|\tilde{x}_i[k + 1]| \leq \left( 1 + \gamma \frac{\partial U_i^2(\xi_i[k])}{\partial x_i^2} \right) |\tilde{x}_i[k]| + \frac{\gamma}{\omega} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{L}_i} |\tilde{\phi}_j[k]|,$$

with a stepsize $\gamma < -2/\zeta$ chosen to satisfy Assumption 1. For notational convenience, we let $\beta_i = 1 + \gamma \frac{\partial U_i^2(\xi_i[k])}{\partial x_i^2}$, where $\beta_i \in (0, 1)$. Therefore, the data rate error satisfies the following inequality

$$|\tilde{x}_i[k + 1]| \leq \beta_i |\tilde{x}_i[k]| + \frac{\gamma}{\omega} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{L}_i} |\tilde{\phi}_j[k]|. \quad (12)$$

Next we analyze the error of the link states, $\tilde{\phi}_j$, for $j \in \mathcal{L}_i$. According to the consensus algorithm (7), substituting (11), we obtain

$$\tilde{\phi}_j[k + 1] = \left( 1 - \gamma \alpha - \gamma \frac{|S_j| - 1}{|S_j|} \right) \tilde{\phi}_j[k] + \gamma \frac{1}{|S_j|} \sum_{l \in S_j} \tilde{\phi}_l[k] + \gamma \alpha \sum_{h \in S_j} \tilde{x}_h[k] + \gamma \alpha \sum_{l \in S_j} (\tilde{x}_l[k] - x_l[k]) + \gamma \frac{1}{|S_j|} \sum_{l \in S_j} (\tilde{\phi}_l[k] - \tilde{\phi}_j[k]).$$

Then we have

$$|\tilde{\phi}_j[k + 1]| \leq \left( 1 - \gamma \alpha - \gamma \frac{|S_j| - 1}{|S_j|} \right) |\tilde{\phi}_j[k]| + \gamma \frac{1}{|S_j|} \sum_{l \in S_j} |\tilde{\phi}_l[k]| + \gamma \alpha \sum_{h \in S_j} |\tilde{x}_h[k]| + \gamma \alpha \sum_{l \in S_j} (|\tilde{x}_l[k] - x_l[k]|). \quad (13)$$

Here, we characterize the relationship between the data rate error and link state error, (12)-(13). In order to ensure stability, we have to derive threshold conditions for $|\tilde{x}_i[k] - x_i[k]|$ and $|\tilde{\phi}_j[k] - \phi_j[k]|$, based on the link state error, $\tilde{\phi}_j[k]$. These thresholds are derived in the next section.

V. MAIN RESULT

This section shows (6)-(7) will converge to the optimal solution of the NUM problem. The main result establishes a threshold condition for message passing ensuring stability for the closed-loop system. In the following analysis, we will choose $\gamma > 0$ and $\omega > 0$ to be constants, which are sufficiently small.

The following lemma studies the data error vector $\tilde{x} = (\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2, \ldots, \tilde{x}_N)^T \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Consider the following system,

$$\tilde{x}[k + 1] = B\tilde{x}[k] + u[k] \quad u[k] = D\tilde{x}[k]. \quad (14)$$

where $B = \text{diag}(\beta_1 \cdots \beta_N) \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$, $D \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times |S_j| \times N}$ containing $M$ blocks, and each block $D_j \in \mathbb{R}^{|S_j| \times N}$ has same rows. For each block $D_j$, let $d_{qh}$ denote the element in the $q$th row and $h$th column of $D_j$, then for $q = 1, \ldots, |S_j|$ and $h = 1, \ldots, N$, we have

$$d_{qh} = \begin{cases} \gamma \alpha & \text{if } h \in S_j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 1:** The system (14) is $l_2$ stable.

**Proof:** The transfer function for the discrete-time system (14) is denoted by $G(z)$, where $G(z) = D(zI - B)^{-1}$. The $H_\infty$ norm for the system,

$$\|G(z)\|_{H_\infty} \leq \|D\|_{H_\infty} \|(zI - B)^{-1}\|_{H_\infty} \leq N \gamma \alpha \|\text{diag} \left( \frac{1}{z - \beta_1}, \ldots, \frac{1}{z - \beta_N} \right)\|_{H_\infty} \leq \max \frac{N \gamma \alpha}{1 - \beta_i} \leq \frac{N \gamma \alpha}{1 - \beta} < \infty, $$

where $\beta = \max_i \beta_i$, for $i = 1, \ldots, N$ and the second inequality holds since each link $j \in \mathcal{L}$ has at most $N$ users. Therefore, the system is $l_2$ stable.
Next, we derive threshold conditions for sampling. Let $\rho$ and $\eta$ be two constants such that $0 < \rho < 1$ and $0 < \eta < 1$. If
\[
|\hat{\phi}_j^k[k] - \phi_j^k[k]| \leq \rho (|\phi_j^k[k]|)^+, \tag{15}
\]
and
\[
|\hat{x}_j^k[k] - x_j^k[k]| \leq \eta (|\phi_j^k[k]|)^+ \tag{16}
\]
hold for all $j \in L$ and $i \in S$ and for $k = 0, \ldots, \infty$, we can guarantee that
\[
|\hat{\phi}_j^k[k+1]| \leq (1 - \gamma \alpha - \gamma |S_j|-1 |S_j|) |\phi_j^k[k]| + \gamma \gamma \sum_{\ell \in S_j^\ell} |\phi_j^\ell[k]| + \gamma \alpha \sum_{h \in S_j} |\hat{x}_j^k[h]|. \tag{17}
\]
Consider the following system
\[
\begin{align*}
\phi[k+1] &= E \hat{\phi}[k] + v[k] \\
\end{align*}
\]
where $\hat{\phi} = (\hat{\phi}_1, \ldots, \hat{\phi}_M)^T \in \mathbb{R}^{\sum_{j=1}^M |S_j|}$ with each $\hat{\phi}_j \in \mathbb{R}^{\sum_{j=1}^M |S_j|}$. Notice that by ordering $\hat{\phi}$ in this way, we actually put together the error for link $j$’s state from all users $h \in S_j$, for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, M$. In (18), $E = \text{diag}(E_1, \ldots, E_M) \in \mathbb{R}^{\sum_{j=1}^M |S_j| \times \sum_{j=1}^M |S_j|}$, where each $E_j \in \mathbb{R}^{\sum_{j=1}^M |S_j|}$ is symmetric. Let $e_{qh}$ denote the element in the $q$th row and $h$th column of $E_j$ so that for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, M,$
\[
e_{qh} = \begin{cases} 1 - \gamma \alpha - \gamma |S_j|-1 |S_j| & \text{if } q = h, \\
\gamma \gamma \sum_{\ell \in S_j^\ell} |\phi_j^\ell[k]| + \gamma \alpha \sum_{h \in S_j} |\hat{x}_j^k[h]| & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]
and $q$ corresponds to that $\hat{\phi}_j^h$ is the $q$th element of $\hat{\phi}_j$.

The following lemma studies the link state error vector $\hat{\phi}[k] \in \mathbb{R}^{\sum_{j=1}^M |S_j|}$.

**Lemma 2:** Let $\rho$ and $\eta$ be two constants such that $0 < \rho < 1$ and $0 < \eta < 1$. If $\rho$ and $\eta$ satisfy
\[
[1 - (N - 1) \eta] \alpha > \rho \left( 1 - \frac{1}{N} \right)
\]
then system (18) is $l_2$ stable.

**Proof:** The transfer function for the discrete-time system (18) is denoted by $H(z)$, where $H(z) = F(zI - E)^{-1}$. Since $E$ is symmetric and nonsingular, we can find a nonsingular matrix $P$, such that $E = PA \lambda P^{-1}$, where $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{\sum_{j=1}^M |S_j|})$. Then we have
\[
\| (zI - E)^{-1} \|_{\mathcal{H}_\infty} \leq \| (zI - PA \lambda P^{-1})^{-1} \|_{\mathcal{H}_\infty} = \| P^{-1} (zI - \Lambda)^{-1} P \|_{\mathcal{H}_\infty} \leq \| (zI - \Lambda)^{-1} \|_{\mathcal{H}_\infty} = \| \text{diag} \left( \frac{1}{z - \lambda_1}, \ldots, \frac{1}{z - \lambda_{\sum_{j=1}^M |S_j|}} \right) \|_{\mathcal{H}_\infty} \leq \max_j \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_j},
\]
where $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, \sum_{j=1}^M |S_j| \}$. Since the following inequality holds,
\[
\| E \|_{\infty} \leq \max_j \left( 1 - \gamma \alpha + \gamma (1 - \frac{1}{|S_j|}) + (|S_j| - 1) \gamma \alpha \eta \right)
\]
and from the condition that
\[
[1 - (N - 1) \eta] \alpha > \rho \left( 1 - \frac{1}{N} \right) > 0,
\]
we obtain $\| E \|_{\infty} < 1$. Because $\max_j |\lambda_j| < \| E \|_{\infty}$, we have $\max_j |\lambda_j| < 1$, therefore
\[
\| (zI - E)^{-1} \|_{\mathcal{H}_\infty} \leq \max_j \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_j}.
\]
Then we have
\[
\| H(z) \|_{\mathcal{H}_\infty} = \| F(zI - E)^{-1} \|_{\mathcal{H}_\infty} \leq \| F \|_{\mathcal{H}_\infty} \max_j \frac{1}{1 - |\lambda_j|} \leq \frac{M \gamma}{\omega} \max_j \frac{1}{1 - |\lambda_j|} \leq \frac{M}{\omega} \alpha - \rho \left( 1 - \frac{1}{N} \right) (N - 1) \alpha \eta < \infty,
\]
where the second inequality holds since there are most $M$ links used by user $i \in S$. Therefore, the system is $l_2$ stable.

The following lemma is a direct result of small gain theory [16]. It shows that when the systems (14) and (18) are connected in a feedback loop (one system’s output is another’s input, i.e., $u[k] = z[k]$ and $v[k] = y[k]$), then the closed loop system is $l_2$ stable.

**Lemma 3:** If conditions in Lemma 2 are satisfied, and the following inequality holds,
\[
[1 - (N - 1) \eta - \frac{MN \gamma}{(1 - \beta)\omega}] \alpha > \rho \left( 1 - \frac{1}{N} \right),
\]
where $\beta > 0$. Then the system (18) is $l_2$ stable.
then system (19) is asymptotically stable, where

\[ r[k+1] = \begin{bmatrix} B & F \\ D & E \end{bmatrix} r[k], \]

and \( \beta = \max_i \beta_i \), for \( i = 1, \ldots, N \).

Proof: By small gain theorem, we know that the induced gain for system (19) is less than 1 and hence the absolute value of all eigenvalues of \( T \) is less than 1. This implies all the eigenvalues stay inside the unit circle of \( z \)-plane. Therefore, system (19) is asymptotically stable.

Remark 1: In order to ensure stability, we have to choose \( \gamma \) and \( \dot{\omega} \) to satisfy

\[ \frac{\gamma}{\dot{\omega}} < \frac{1 - \beta}{MN} < \frac{1}{MN}. \]

Next we will state the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 1: Consider a network with fixed topology where the routing matrix \( A \) is of full rank. Assume the utility function \( U_j \) are twice differentiable, strictly increasing, and strictly concave. Assume a fixed setsize \( \gamma > 0 \) and penalty parameter \( \omega > 0 \). Consider \( \{ \tau_k \}_{k=0}^{\infty} \) for each user \( i \in \mathcal{S} \).

For each user \( i \in \mathcal{S} \), let its data rate, \( x_i[k] \), satisfy equation (6). For each link \( j \in \mathcal{L}_i \), let the link state, \( \phi_j[i] \), satisfy equation (7) with sampled link state and data rate from \( l \in \mathcal{S}_j^{-1} \) given by (8)-(9). If conditions in Lemma 1-3 are satisfied, then the data rates will asymptotically converge to the optimal solution of the NUM problem.

Proof: We assume that the data rate errors, \( \| \ddot{x}[0] \| = r_1[0] \), and the link state errors, \( \| \ddot{\phi}[0] \| = r_2[0] \), where \( r[k] = (r_1[k], r_2[k])^T \), with \( r_1[k] \in \mathbb{R}^N \) and \( r_2[k] \in \mathbb{R}^{MN} \). By comparison principle, we obtain

\[ \| \ddot{x}[k] \| \leq r_1[k], \]
\[ \| \ddot{\phi}[k] \| \leq r_2[k], \]
for all \( k = 0, 1, \ldots, \infty \). Hence, \( \| \ddot{x}[k] \| \) and \( \| \ddot{\phi}[k] \| \) are bounded below by zero and bounded above by \( r[k] \) which is converging to zero according to Lemma 3. As a result of Pinching Theorem, we know that all the errors go to zero as time goes to infinity. Therefore, the data rates and link utilization computed by (6)-(7) converge to the optimal data rates, \( x^*_i \), and the corresponding link utilization, \( \phi^*_j \), as defined in (10)-(11).

In order to solve the NUM problem, each user \( i \in \mathcal{S} \) executes the following algorithm.

Algorithm 1: (1) Parameter Initialization: Let \( k = 0 \), \( T = 0 \), and choose suitable parameters \( \gamma, \dot{\omega}, \alpha, \eta, \rho \) such that they satisfy the conditions in the theorem.
(2) State Initialization: Set the initial user rate \( x^0_i \) so that \( x^0_i \) lies in the feasible set. Set \( \ddot{x}_i(T) = x_i(T) \) and send \( \ddot{x}_i(T) \) to users \( l \in \mathcal{S}_j^{-1} \), for all \( j \in \mathcal{L}_i \). Upon receiving user state from \( l \in \mathcal{S}_j^{-1} \), initialize link state

\[ \phi^*_j(T) = x^0_j + \ddot{x}_j[T] - c_j \]

Set \( \ddot{\phi}_j^*(T) = \phi^*_j(T) \) and transmit \( \ddot{\phi}_j^*(T) \) to users \( l \in \mathcal{S}_j^{-1} \), for all \( j \in \mathcal{L}_i \).

(3) Update link state:

\[ x_i[k+1] = x_i[k] + \gamma \frac{\partial U_i(x_i[k])}{\partial x_i} - \gamma \omega \left( \sum_{j \in \mathcal{L}_i} \phi_j^*[k] \right) + \gamma \left( \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{S}_j^{-1}} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{S}_j|} (\phi^*_j[T] - \phi_j^*[k]) \right) - \gamma \alpha \left( \phi^*_j[k] - \left( x_i[k] + \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{S}_j^{-1}} \ddot{x}_j[T] - c_j \right) \right) \]

where \( \tau_k^i \in (T, T^+) \) and \( T^+ \) is the time instant when the following conditions is true:
(a) If \( \phi^*_j(k) > 0 \) and \( \| \phi^*_j[k] - \phi_j^*[k] \| > \rho \phi_j^*[k] \), broadcast \( \phi_j^*[k] \) to all users \( l \in \mathcal{S}_j^{-1} \) and set \( \phi_j^{[T^+] = \phi_j^*[k] \).
(b) If \( \phi^*_j(k) > 0 \) and \( \| \ddot{x}_j[k] - x_i[k] \| > \eta \phi_j^*[k] \), broadcast \( x^*_i[k] \) to all users \( l \in \mathcal{S}_j^{-1} \) and set \( \ddot{x}_j[T^+] = x_i[k] \).
(4) Increment Time: Set \( T = T^+ \) and \( k = k + 1 \) and then go to step (3).

Remark 2: Here, we find a nonlinear event-triggered condition (15)-(16) that determines when to transmit information. The main idea is that when link state \( \phi_j^*[k] < 0 \), it says all data rates are in the feasible set, and we can then increase data rates in order to increase network utility. Otherwise, we have to inform other users that the link limit has been reached and require them to adjust their data rates.

VI. SIMULATION RESULTS

To verify our results, let’s consider the following example. This example is illustrated in Figure 2, where there are three users and two links in the network, i.e., \( N = 3, M = 2 \). Link \( j_1 \) is used by user \( i_1 \) and user \( i_2 \) with link capacity 1. Link \( j_2 \) is used by user \( i_1 \) and user \( i_3 \) with link capacity 2.

The NUM problem is stated as follows:

Maximize: \( U(x) = \sqrt{x_1} + \sqrt{x_2} + \sqrt{x_3} \)

w.r.t: \( x_i \geq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \)

subject to: \( x_1 + x_2 \leq 1 \)
\( x_1 + x_3 \leq 2 \)

![Network topology](image)

Fig. 2. Network topology

We choose the penalty parameter \( \omega = 10^{-3} \) and the feedback gain \( \alpha = 1/2 \). According to Lemma 2, one threshold condition should satisfy \( \eta < 1/2 \), so we choose \( \eta = 1/6 \). Also, another threshold condition should satisfy \( \rho < 1/2 \), and we choose \( \rho = 1/6 \). We set the initial data rates
for user $i_1$, $i_2$, $i_3$ are 0.4, 0.4 and 1.3, respectively. According to the theorem, we pick a constant stepsize $\gamma = 5 \times 10^{-5}$.

Figure 3 illustrates that user $i_1$ and $i_2$ will finally agree on link $j_1$'s utilization and user $i_1$ and $i_3$ will finally agree on link $j_2$'s utilization. In the first $3 \times 10^5$ iterations, the users have not agreed on the link states. Each user has a local estimate for the link states, based on information available to him. When this local estimate is negative, users’ data rates is increasing in order to increase network utility. Once the local estimate is positive, user’s data rate will be decreased, as we can see from Figure 3. Consensus on link utilization is achieved after $3 \times 10^5$ iterations. When the link states are zero, then the two links are fully utilized.

Figure 4 illustrates that data rates for all three users will converge to a small neighborhood of the optimal (analytical) solution: 0.26865, 0.73135 and 1.73135. The optimal data rate is denoted by the green straight line in each plot. The data rate for each user increases when the user thinks the link is not fully utilized. In other words, when the user’s local estimate for the link state is negative, the data rate will increase, as we can see from Figure 3. In the first $3 \times 10^5$ iterations, data rates deviate from the optimal value since the three users have not agreed on the link states. Once the users reach an agreement on the link states, the data rates converge to the optimal solution very fast. Figure 5 shows the trajectory for the augmented Lagrangian function for the NUM problem. After $3 \times 10^5$ iterations, it converges to the minimum value. This implies the aggregate network utility is maximized with feasible data rates.

Figure 6 shows the channel utilization for transmitting data rates (the top plot) and link states (the middle and the bottom plots) is almost 0 after a number of iterations. We can see from the plots that the channel utilization is much higher in the first $3 \times 10^5$ iterations, which means users have to communicate more frequently in order to achieve consensus on the link states. Once the users reach an agreement on the link states (after $3 \times 10^5$ iterations in Figure 6), they could communicate less frequently just to ensure they stay in the small neighborhood of the optimal solution.

This example shows that augmented Lagrangian method under consensus filtering can generate an approximate solution for the NUM problem. By using event-triggered communication schemes, channel utilization is greatly reduced. One thing we should mention is that parameter choices here are conservative. For instance, the algorithm still converges with stepsize $\gamma = 5 \times 10^{-4}$.

VII. Final Remarks

Network utility maximization (NUM) problems seek to maximize the aggregate utility that network users receive for transmitting at a given data rate subject to limits on link throughput. Distributed solutions to the NUM problem require direct measurement of link utilization. This however may not be possible in practice. This paper examines the use of consensus filtering for the distributed estimation of link utilization in a distributed NUM algorithm. In particular, we find a nonlinear event-triggered condition such that distributed network utility maximization using distributed consensus filter converges to the problem’s optimal solution. By using this event-triggered idea, message exchange between users in the network can be greatly reduced.

In the future work, we may consider transmission delays and dropouts in the network. We may also consider changing network topology and nondifferentiable utility functions in the NUM problem.
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Fig. 5. Augmented Lagrangian function for the NUM problem

Fig. 6. Channel utilization for transmitting information