

Solutions for Homework 4

Page 281/ #2:

$K = \|\partial f/\partial y\|_\infty = \|\cos y\|_\infty = 1$. Also, $M = \|f\|_\infty = 1$. The proof shows that we obtain a solution on $[t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta]$ so long as both $K\delta$ and $M\delta$ are less than 1. In particular, we will be OK if we take $\delta = 1/2$.

Page 282/ #10:

We just need to find those points $(0, y_0)$ at which the functions f and $\partial f/\partial y$ are continuous. So the answers are

- a: y_0 can be anything;
- b: $y_0 > 2$;
- c: $y_0 \neq 0$;
- d: $|y_0| < 1$;
- e: $y \neq 0$.

Other Problem A:

Part 1. Given $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$, the Mean Value Theorem guarantees a point c between x and y such that

$$\frac{T(x) - T(y)}{x - y} = T'(c) = \frac{c}{\sqrt{1 + c^2}}.$$

Hence

$$|T(x) - T(y)| = \frac{|c|}{\sqrt{c^2 + 1}}|x - y| < |x - y|.$$

□

Part 2. A fixed point x for T satisfies the equation $\sqrt{x^2 + 1} = x$. Squaring both sides and subtracting x^2 gives $1 = 0$, which is impossible. Therefore, T has no fixed points. □

Part 3. Note that $T(x) = \sqrt{1 + x^2} > \sqrt{x^2} = |x| \geq x$ for all $x \in \mathbf{R}$. Hence, given $x_1 \in \mathbf{R}$, the sequence $x_1, x_2 = T(x_1), x_3 = T(x_2), \dots$ is increasing. Either it diverges to infinity as n increases or it converges to its least upper bound. Suppose (by way of contradiction) that $M = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \sup\{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty < \infty$ is the least upper bound. Then since T is a continuous function, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(x_n) = T(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n) = T(M)$. On the other hand, $T(x_n) = x_{n+1} \leq M$ for every n since M is an upper bound for the x_n 's. Therefore, $T(M) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(x_n) \leq M$. But we already know that $T(M) > M$ for any number M , so M must not exist. We conclude that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ diverges to infinity. □

Other Problem B:

Part 1. If $y_1, y_2 : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ are continuous functions, then for each $t \in [a, b]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Ty_1(t) - Ty_2(t)| &= \left| \int_a^t p(s)(y_1(s) - y_2(s)) ds \right| \\ &\leq \int_a^t |p(s)||y_1(s) - y_2(s)| ds \\ &\leq \|y_1(s) - y_2(s)\|_\infty \int_a^t |p(s)| ds \\ &\leq \|y_1(s) - y_2(s)\|_\infty \int_a^b |p(s)| ds. \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\|Ty_1 - Ty_2\|_\infty \leq \left(\int_a^b |p(s)| ds \right) \|y_1 - y_2\|_\infty,$$

and T is a contraction provided that the integral on the right side is less than one. \square

Part 2. We can choose $b > a$ to be any number such that

$$1 > \int_a^b |p(s)| ds = \int_0^b s ds = b^2/2.$$

So we can take b to be any number smaller than $\sqrt{2}$. If $y_1(t) = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} y_2(t) &= \int_0^t (s \cdot 1 + e^s) ds + 1 = \frac{t^2}{2} + e^t \\ y_3(t) &= \int_0^t \left(\left(\frac{s^2}{2} + e^s \right) \cdot 1 + e^s \right) ds + 1 = \frac{t^4}{6} + te^t + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Other Problem C:

Part 1. Note that $y_2(t) - y_1(t)$ is a continuous function, so if $y_2 - y_1$ is positive at t_2 , then $y_2 - y_1$ is positive for t slightly larger than t_2 . In particular, there exist values of t between t_2 and t_1 for which $y_2 - y_1$ is positive, and therefore t_2 is not the least upper bound for the set of such values.

If, on the other hand, $y_2 - y_1$ is negative at t_2 , then $y_2 - y_1$ is negative for all values of t slightly less than t_2 . In particular, t_2 is not the *least* upper bound for the set of $t < t_1$ for which $y_2(t) - y_1(t) \geq 0$. The only remaining possibility is that $y_2(t) - y_1(t) = 0$. \square

Part 2. Since $y_1(t_2) = y_2(t_2)$, we have

$$y_1'(t_2) - y_2'(t_2) = f_1(t_2, y_1(t_2)) - f_2(t_2, y_2(t_2)) = f_1(t_2, y_1(t_2)) - f_2(t_2, y_1(t_2)) < 0$$

by hypothesis on f_1 and f_2 .

Part 3. Let $h(t) = y_1(t) - y_2(t)$. Since h is C^1 and $h'(t_2) < 0$, we know that $h'(t) < 0$ for t near t_2 . Therefore, h is decreasing for t near t_2 . In particular, for t slightly greater than t_2 , we have $0 < h(t) = y_1(t) - y_2(t) < y_1(t_2) - y_2(t_2)$. That is, $y_1(t) < y_2(t)$. \square

Part 4. The fact that $y_1(t) < y_2(t)$ for t slightly larger than t_2 contradicts the assumption that t_2 is an upper bound for the set of such t . This means that t_2 does not exist. This in turn means that there is no t_1 for which $y_1(t_1) > y_2(t_1)$. We conclude that $y_1(t) \leq y_2(t)$ for all $t > t_0$. \square

Part 5. A similar argument shows that $y_1(t) \geq y_2(t)$ for all $t < t_0$.

Part 6. Suppose that there is actually a point $t_1 > t_0$ for which $y_1(t_1) = y_2(t_1)$. Then, as in part 2, we conclude that $y_1'(t_1) - y_2'(t_1) < 0$. And as in part 3, we conclude that $h(t) = y_1(t) - y_2(t)$ is decreasing for t near t_1 . But this means that $y_1(t) > y_2(t)$ for t slightly *less* than t_1 . Such t would be greater than t_0 , and our earlier arguments have already shown that $y_1(t) \leq y_2(t)$ for all $t \geq t_0$. \square