

## Solutions to Homework 11

**Problem 1.** Solve the following initial value problems

1.  $y' = \frac{\sin t}{y}$ ,  $y(\pi/2) = 1$ .

**Solution.** Rearranging and integrating the equation gives

$$\log |y| = \int \frac{dy}{y} = \int \frac{y'}{y} dt = \int \sin t dt = -\cos t + C,$$

where the constant  $C$  is determined by plugging in the initial condition:

$$\log |1| = -0 + C.$$

So  $C = 0$ . Note that this also implies that the sign  $y$  is positive when we drop the absolute value inside the logarithm (why?). We conclude that

$$\log y(t) = -\cos t \quad \Rightarrow \quad y(t) = e^{-\cos t}.$$

2.  $(1 + t^2)y' + 4ty = (1 + t^2)^{-2}$ ,  $y(1) = 0$ .

**Solution.** First we replace the right side with zero and solve the 'homogenized' version of the equation, obtaining

$$y_h(t) = \frac{A}{(1 + t^2)^2}.$$

Then we assume that  $y$  has the form  $y(t) = A(t)(1 + t^2)^2$  for some unknown function  $A(t)$ . Plugging this back into the original differential equation leads to the following formula for  $A'$ :

$$A'(t) = \frac{1}{1 + t^2}.$$

Antidifferentiating both sides yields  $A(t) = \tan^{-1} t + C$ . So

$$y(t) = \frac{C + \tan^{-1} t}{(1 + t^2)^2}.$$

Finally, we plug in the initial condition to compute that  $C = -\pi/4$ . In conclusion,

$$y(t) = \frac{-\pi/4 + \tan^{-1} t}{(1 + t^2)^2}.$$

**Problem 2.** Disillusioned about mathematics, you descend the ivory tower and set yourself the task of becoming a millionaire by age 50. The plan is simple. You will stash away money continuously from now til then at a constant rate of  $R$  per year. You figure that in your new life as day trader, you can make a reliable 8% annual interest (compounded continuously, of course) on your savings. Unfortunately, college has left you broke as well as disillusioned, so you're starting from nothing. At what rate  $R$  will you need to be saving your money?

**Solution.** If  $y(t)$  is the amount of money saved up (with interest) at time  $t$ , then  $y$  satisfies the following conditions ( $t = 0$  is now,  $t = 30$  is age 50).

$$y(0) = 0, \quad y(30) = 10^6, \quad y' = .08y + R.$$

The differential equation is separable, and solving it gives

$$y(t) = -\frac{R}{.08} + Ae^{.08t}$$

for some constant  $A$ . Using the two additional conditions gives us

$$0 = -R/.08 + A, \quad 10^6 = -R/.08 + Ae^{2.4},$$

and these can be solved together to give  $R = .08 \cdot 10^6(e^{2.4} - 1) \approx \$7981.5$  per year.

**Problem 3.** *This problem was mis-stated: the function  $f$  should have been  $C^2$  instead of  $C^1$ , and the second item should have said  $N(N_\delta(r)) \subset N_\delta(r)$  rather than  $f(N_\delta(r)) \subset N_\delta(r)$ . The upshot is that I'll let this one go without grading. The correct (I hope) statement and solution of the problem are as follows.*

Remember Newton's method? The idea is that you have a  $C^2$  function  $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ . You know that  $f(r) = 0$  for some point  $r \in U$ , and you have a decent initial guess  $x_0$  at the location of  $r$ . Beginning with this guess, you then produce a sequence of (hopefully better) approximations of  $r$  by setting

$$x_{n+1} = N(x_n)$$

for every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , where  $N(x) = x - f(x)/f'(x)$ . Now assume that  $r$  is a *non-degenerate* root of  $f$ —i.e. that  $f'(r) \neq 0$ . Prove the following.

- $r$  is a fixed point of  $N$ .

**Solution.**  $N(r) = r - f(r)/f'(r) = r - 0/f'(r) = r$ . □

- There exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $N(N_\delta(r)) \subset N_\delta(r)$

**Solution.** Given  $x$ , the mean value theorem gives us a number  $c$  between  $x$  and  $r$  such that

$$N(x) - N(r) = N'(c)(x - r) = \frac{f''(c)f(c)}{f'(c)^2}(x - r).$$

Moreover, since  $f$  is  $C^2$ ,  $f'(r) \neq 0$ , and  $f(r) = 0$ , the function  $N' = f f'' / (f')^2$  is continuous in some neighborhood  $N_{\delta_0}(r)$  (i.e. on any open set where  $f'(r)$  does not vanish) and satisfies  $N'(r) = 0$ . Hence there exists  $0 < \delta < \delta_0$  such that  $|x - r| < \delta$  implies that

$$|N'(x)| = |N'(x) - N'(r)| < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Putting the two displayed equations together allows us to conclude that

$$|N(x) - r| = |N(x) - N(r)| < \frac{1}{2}|x - r|$$

for all  $x \in N_\delta(r)$ . In particular  $x \in N_\delta(r)$  implies that  $N(x) \in N_{\delta/2}(r)$ . That is,

$$N(N_\delta(r)) \subset N_{\delta/2}(r) \subset N_\delta(r)$$

as asserted. □

- The (restricted) function  $N : N_\delta(r) \rightarrow N_\delta(r)$  is a contraction mapping.

**Solution.** Let  $\delta$  be as in the solution to the previous item. Then for any points  $x_1, x_2 \in N_\delta(r)$ , we have

$$|N(x_1) - N(x_2)| = |N'(c)||x_1 - x_2| \leq \frac{1}{2}|x_1 - x_2|,$$

where  $c$  is between  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  (and therefore belongs to  $N_\delta(r)$ ). □

(The mean value theorem will be useful in the second and third items.) What can you conclude from all this about how well Newton's method works?

**Answer.**  $r$  is the unique fixed point of  $N$  in the open interval  $N_\delta(r)$ , and if the initial guess  $x_0$  happens to be in  $N_\delta(r)$ , then the sequence  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  of subsequent guesses will remain in  $N_\delta(r)$  and converge to  $r$ . In short, Newton's method works if the initial guess is good enough.

**Problem 4.** Suppose that  $f, g : \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  are continuous functions and that  $f(y, t) > g(y, t)$  for all points  $(y, t) \in \mathbf{R}^2$ . Let  $y_1, y_2 : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be functions satisfying

$$y_1' = f(y_1, t), \quad y_2' = g(y_2, t)$$

for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ . Show that  $y_1(t_0) = y_2(t_0)$  for at most one point  $t_0 \in \mathbf{R}$ .

**Solution.** Let  $h : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be the function  $h(t) = y_1(t) - y_2(t)$ . Then in particular,  $h'(t) = f(y_1(t), t) - g(y_2(t), t)$  exists and is continuous and every point  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ . Also, if  $t_0 \in \mathbf{R}$  is any point where  $y_0 := y_1(t_0) = y_2(t_0)$ , then

$$h(t_0) = 0, \quad h'(t_0) = f(y_0, t_0) - g(y_0, t_0) > 0.$$

By continuity, we see that there exists  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that  $h'(t) > 0$  for all  $|t - t_0| < \delta_0$ . That is,  $h$  is strictly increasing on  $(t_0 - \delta_0, t_0 + \delta_0)$ . So  $h(t) > h(t_0)$  for all  $t \in (t_0, t_0 + \delta_0)$  and  $h(t) < h(t_0)$  for all  $t \in (t_0 - \delta_0, t_0)$ .

Now suppose, for the sake of obtaining a contradiction, that there is a second point at which  $y_1$  equals  $y_2$ . We can suppose without loss of generality that this point is larger than  $t_0$ . Then it is meaningful to define

$$t_1 := \inf\{t > t_0 : h(t) = y_1(t) - y_2(t) = 0\}$$

(i.e. we're not taking the infimum of the empty set). By continuity  $h(t_1) = 0$ . Clearly,  $t_1 \geq t_0$ , so the work above implies in fact that  $t_1 \geq t_0 + \delta_0 > t_0$ . Repeating the arguments used on for  $t_0$ , we see that there exists  $\delta_1 > 0$  such that, among other things,  $h(t) < 0$  for  $t \in (t_1 - \delta_1, t_1)$ .

So to be perfectly specific, let us consider for example the points  $s_0 = t_0 + \delta_0/2$  and  $s_1 = t_1 - \delta_1/2$ . Then

$$t_0 < s_0 < s_1 < t_1, \quad \text{and} \quad h(s_0) > 0 > h(s_1).$$

The intermediate value theorem therefore gives us a point  $s \in (s_0, s_1) \subset (t_0, t_1)$  such that  $h(s) = y_1(s) - y_2(s) = 0$ . This contradicts the fact that  $t_1$  was supposed to be the smallest root of  $h$  larger than  $t_0$ . It follows that there is *no* point other than  $t_0$  at which  $y_1 = y_2$ .  $\square$

**Problem 5.** Let  $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a function. The *support* of  $f$  is the set

$$K := \overline{\{x \in \mathbf{R} : f(x) \neq 0\}}$$

Show that if  $f$  is real analytic (and not the zero function), then  $K$  cannot be compact. Show by giving an example that  $K$  can be compact if  $f$  is merely  $C^\infty$ .

**Solution.** Suppose that  $f$  is analytic and let  $g(x) \equiv 0$  be the zero function, which is also analytic. If the support  $K$  of  $f$  is compact, then the set  $E = \{x \in \mathbf{R} : f(x) = g(x)\}$  contains all points in the non-empty open set  $\mathbf{R} - K$ . This directly contradicts Theorem 8.5, which says that  $E$  has no limit points unless  $f$  and  $g$  are equal. Hence  $K$  is not compact.  $\square$

To see that  $C^\infty$  functions *can* have compact support, let  $h : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be the function considered in class

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \leq 0 \\ e^{-1/x} & \text{if } x > 0 \end{cases}.$$

Set  $f(x) = h(1-x)h(x+1)$ . Then it is not hard to see that  $f(x) = 0$  if  $|x| \geq 1$  but  $f(x) > 0$  if  $|x| < 1$ . So the support of  $f$  is  $[-1, 1]$  which is compact.

**Problem 6.** Redo supplementary problem 2 from the homework assigned on 11/3/03:

**Solution.** Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , we seek to construct a partition  $P$  of  $[0, 1]$  satisfying

$$U(P, f) - L(P, f) < \epsilon.$$

This time, however, we let

$$S = \{x \in [0, 1] : f(x) \geq \epsilon/2\}.$$

I claim that there are only finitely many points in  $S$ . Indeed any  $x \in S$  is rational, and can be written  $x = p/q$  where  $\gcd(p, q) = 1$  and  $q \leq 2/\epsilon$  (since  $f(x) = 1/q$ ). So if  $N \in \mathbf{N}$  exceeds  $2/\epsilon$ , then the denominator  $q$  is  $x$  can only range from 1 to  $N$ . Moreover, for fixed denominator  $q$ , the numerator of  $x$  must range between 0 and  $q$ . So all told,  $S$  contains at most  $\sum_{q=1}^N (q+1) = (q+1)(q+2)/2$  elements.

Clearly then, we can cover  $S$  with disjoint open intervals  $I_j = (a_j, b_j)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n := \#S$  such that  $\sum_{j=1}^n b_j - a_j < \epsilon/2$ . Putting the intervals in order and intersecting them with  $[0, 1]$  we obtain a partition  $P$  of  $[0, 1]$  consisting of the points

$$a = a_1 < b_1 \leq a_2 < b_2 \leq \dots \leq a_n < b_n = b.$$

Since  $0 \leq f(x) \leq 1$  for all  $x$ , we have

$$0 \leq L(P, f) \leq U(P, f) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n 1(b_j - a_j) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \epsilon/2(a_{j+1} - b_j).$$

The first sum is equal to the sum of the lengths of the intervals  $I_j$  and the second is no larger than  $\epsilon/2$  times the length of the full interval  $[0, 1]$ . Hence

$$U(P, f) - L(P, f) < \epsilon$$

and we conclude that  $f$  is integrable. □