

Supplementary problems (assigned 11/3/03)

1. Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a bounded function which is continuous at all points $x \in [a, b]$ except for those in a set $S \subset [a, b]$. Suppose that S has the following property: *for every $\epsilon > 0$, there is a finite collection I_1, \dots, I_n of disjoint open intervals such that*

- $S \subset I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_n$;
- $|I_1| + \dots + |I_n| < \epsilon$, where $|I_j|$ is the length of the interval I_j .

Show that f is Riemann integrable. (Note that this implies that a function which is continuous except on the Cantor set will be integrable!)

2. Let $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be the restriction to $[0, 1]$ of the function given in problem 18 on page 100. Show that f is integrable.

Remarks (not part of the problem): nevertheless, f does not satisfy the hypotheses of the previous problem—you'd need finitely many intervals that covered $\mathbf{Q} \cap [0, 1]$, and it can be shown that the union of these intervals can omit only finitely many points in $[0, 1]$. Hence the sum of the lengths of the intervals couldn't possibly be less than one. On the other hand, you can cover $\mathbf{Q} \cap [0, 1]$ with *countably* many disjoint open intervals the sum of whose lengths is smaller than any given ϵ . This need to allow for countably many intervals is one of the keys to measure theory.

3. (Integrals and series) Suppose that we are given an infinite series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$. Suppose moreover that $a_k = f(k)$ where $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a non-negative, decreasing function.

- Show that $\int_{n-1}^m f(x) dx \geq \sum_{k=n}^m a_k \geq \int_n^{m+1} f(x) dx$.
- Use this to show that $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(\log n)^2}$ converges. (Note that the initial values of n and x are irrelevant—i.e. it's not a problem that our series starts with $n = 2$ here.)
- Note that the first item in this problem can be used to give an upper bound on the difference between the full series and a given partial sum. Use this bound to estimate how many terms of $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(\log n)^2}$ that you'd have to add up to be within .01 of the sum of the full infinite series. Assuming you had a computer handy that was capable of adding up a trillion terms per second, how many years would it take your computer to add up this many terms?
- Now notice that you can also use the first item to give a *lower* bound on the difference between the series and a given partial sum. These bounds allow you to get a good handle on the sum of the remaining terms in the series. Use this idea to estimate the value of the full series to within .01 in a more practical fashion (i.e. by Monday 11/10).