Homework Set 1: Solutions

1. Find the operator norm of the linear transformations $L:^{2}\rightarrow^{2}$ with matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & -4 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Solution: Let L be the linear transformation corresponding to the first matrix and $\mathbf{v} = (x, y)$ be a vector. Then

$$L(\mathbf{v}) = (4x, -4y) = \sqrt{(4x)^2 + (-4y)^2} = 4\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} = 4\mathbf{v}.$$

Hence $L(\mathbf{v})/\mathbf{v} = 4$ regardless of \mathbf{v} . It follows that L = 4.

Now let L be the linear transformation corresponding to the other matrix. Note that

$$L(t\mathbf{v})/t\mathbf{v} = L(\mathbf{v})/\mathbf{v}$$

for any $t \in$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\mathbf{v}\in^2} L(t\mathbf{v})/t\mathbf{v} &= \sup\{L(\mathbf{v})/\mathbf{v} : \mathbf{v} = (x,1), x \in \} \\ &= \sup_{x\in \mathbb{V}} \sqrt{\frac{(x+1)^2 + x^2}{x^2 + 1}} \end{aligned}$$

(OK, so I'm missing a multiple of the vector (1,0), but you can check that one yourself, and anyhowI actually do take care of it implicitly below when I let $x \to \pm \infty$.). Call the function inside the square root f(x). Then $\lim_{x\to\pm\infty} f(x) = 2$. Moreover, after differentiating, we see that f has critical points when

$$x^2 - x = 0 \Rightarrow x = 1, 0.$$

Since f(1) = 5/2 and f(0) = 1, we conclude that $L = \sqrt{5/2}$.

2. Let V be a vector space over the field (or). A norm on V is a function $\cdot: V \to \text{such that for}$ all $\lambda \in$ and $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in V$,

- $\mathbf{v} \ge 0$ with equality if and only if $\mathbf{v} = 0$.
- $\lambda \mathbf{v} = |\lambda| \mathbf{v}$
- $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} \leq \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}$.

Given a norm \cdot on V, show that

$$d(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}$$

defines a metric on V. A set U is said to be open with respect to \cdot if it is open with respect to the associated metric d.

Solution: We first check that d is a metric. Clearly $d(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w} \ge 0$, and

$$\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w}.$$

Symmetry of d follows from $\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w} = |-1|\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{v}$. Finally,

$$d(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}) - (\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{u}) \leq \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{u} = d(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}) + d(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}),$$

so the triangle inequality holds. Thus d is a metric.

3. Different norms \cdot and \cdot' on the same vector space are called *comparable* if there are constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that

$$C_1 \mathbf{v} \leq \mathbf{v}' \leq C_2 \mathbf{v}$$

for all $\mathbf{v} \in V$.

Supposing that \cdot, \cdot' are comparable, show that a set $U \subset V$ is open with respect to \cdot if and only if it is open with respect to \cdot' . Does the same conclusion hold if you replace 'open' with 'closed'? 'compact'? 'connected'? Explain.

Solution: Let $U \subset V$ be open with respect to \cdot and $\mathbf{v} \in U$. Then there exists r > 0 such that $N_r(\mathbf{v}) = {\mathbf{w} \in V : \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{v} < r} \subset U$. But since

$$\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{v}' \le r/C_2 \Rightarrow \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{v} \le r,$$

we have $N'_{r/C_2}(\mathbf{v}) \subset N_r(\mathbf{v}) \subset U$ (where the prime denotes 'neighborhood with respect to \cdot' . That is, any $\mathbf{v} \in U$ admits a \cdot' neighborhood also contained in U, so U is open with respect to \cdot' .

The same argument shows that if U is open with respect to \cdot' , then U is also open with respect to \cdot .

The conclusion also works for closed sets, compact sets, and connected sets, because all of these can be characterized in terms of open sets (e.g. a set is closed iff it's the complement of an open set, etc, etc.)

4. Let $n, m \in {}^+$ be given and $V = L({}^n, {}^m)$ be the vector space of linear transformations from n to m . Let $T = (a_{ij}) \in V$ be an arbitrary element. Show that the following norms on V are all comparable to the operator norm on V.

• $[\infty]T = \max_{i,j} |a_{ij}|$

•
$$[1]T = \sum_{i,j} |a_{ij}|$$

• $[2]T = \sqrt{\sum_{i,j} |a_{ij}|^2}$

In fact, it can be shown that pretty much any two norms on a finite dimensional vector space are comparable (Prove this and you take care of all the above items at once. And I'll give you five extra credit points).

Solution: Let $a = \max |a_{ij}|$. Then

$$a = \sqrt{a^2} \le \sqrt{\sum_{i,j} a_{ij}^2} \le \sqrt{\left(\sum_{i,j} |a_{ij}|\right)^2} = \sum_{i,j} |a_{ij}| \le nma,$$

where nm is just the number of entries in T. Since all these inequalities hold regardless of T, this shows that $[\infty]$, [2] and [1] are all comparable. To finish the proof it's enough to show that \cdot is comparable to any one of these—say $[\infty]$.

If $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{e}_i$ is one of the usual basis vectors, then

$$T(\mathbf{v}) = (a_{1j}, a_{2j}, \dots, a_{mj}) = \sqrt{\sum_{i} a_{ij}^2} \le \sqrt{\sum_{i} a^2} = \sqrt{m}a,$$

and if $\mathbf{v} = v_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + v_n \mathbf{e}_n$ is an arbitrary unit vector, then

$$T(\mathbf{v}) = \sum_{j} v_j T(\mathbf{e}_j) \le \sum_{j} |v_j| T(\mathbf{e}_j) \le n \cdot \sqrt{ma}$$

because $|v_j| \leq 1$ for all j. Hence

$$T(\mathbf{v}) = \sup_{\mathbf{v}=1} T(\mathbf{v}) \le n\sqrt{m}[\infty]T.$$

By the way,

Theorem. Any two norms on a finite dimensional real (or complex) vector space V are comparable.

Proof. Let $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$ be a basis for V and $[\infty]$ be the norm on V given by

$$[\infty]\mathbf{v} = \max_{1 \le j \le n} |c_j|$$

where the numbers c_j come from writing $\mathbf{v} = c_1 \mathbf{e}_1 \dots c_n \mathbf{e}_n$ as a linear combination of basis vectors. It is enough to show that any other norm \cdot on V is comparable to $[\infty]$. Now on the one hand, we have

$$\mathbf{v} \leq |c_1|\mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{e}_n \leq n(\max \mathbf{e}_j)[\infty]\mathbf{v},$$

which gives comparability in one direction.

To get comparability in the other direction, I suppose for the sake of obtaining a contradiction that for any C > 0 there exists $\mathbf{v} \in V$ such that $[\infty]\mathbf{v} > C\mathbf{v}$. Then in particular, by choosing a sequence of C's tending to ∞ , we can find a sequence of vectors $\{\mathbf{v}_j\} \subset V$ such that $[\infty]\mathbf{v}_j = 1$ whereas $\lim_{j\to\infty} \mathbf{v}_j = 0$.

Given this, I claim that after passing to a subsequence, we can further assume that $\{\mathbf{v}_j\}$ converges to some vector $\mathbf{v} \in V$. And I never claim anything that I can't prove. Never. If we write

$$\mathbf{v}_j = c_{1j}\mathbf{e}_1 + \dots c_{nj}\mathbf{e}_n,$$

then the 'coordinate vectors' $(c_{1j}, \ldots, c_{nj}) \in^n$ all lie in the compact (because closed and bounded) set $\{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in^n : \max |x_k| = 1\}$, so after passing to a subsequence, we can assume that $c_{1j} \to c_1$, $\ldots c_{nj} \to c_n$ where $\max |c_k| = 1$. But, from the definition of $[\infty]$, this is the same as saying that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} [\infty] \mathbf{v}_j - \mathbf{v} = 0$$

where $\mathbf{v} = c_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \cdots + c_n \mathbf{e}_n$. So the claim is true.

We get our contradiction as follows. By the triangle inequality

$$\mathbf{v}_j - \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_j \leq \mathbf{v}_j - \mathbf{v}.$$

That is,

$$|\mathbf{v}_j - \mathbf{v}| \le \mathbf{v}_j - \mathbf{v} \le C[\infty]\mathbf{v}_j - \mathbf{v} \to 0$$

as $j \to \infty$. So $\mathbf{v} = 0$. On the other hand \mathbf{v} is certainly non-zero, because the basis vectors \mathbf{e}_j are linearly independent and at least one of the coefficients c_j used to define \mathbf{v} has magnitude 1. Since non-zero vectors must have non-zero norm, we have found our impasse and conclude that there really does exist C > 0 such that

$$[\infty]\mathbf{v} \le C\mathbf{v}$$

for every $v \in V$.

5. Give an example of two *incomparable* norms on the (infinite dimensional) vector space C([0, 1],) of continuous functions from [0, 1] to .

Solution: The norms

$$[\infty]f \max_{x \in [0,1]} |f(x)|$$
 and $[1]f \int_0^1 |f(x)| dx$

are incomparable. Consider for instance the functions $f_n(x) = x^n$. We have

$$[\infty]f_n = |f_n(1)| = 1$$

for every $n \in$, but

$$[1]f_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \to 0.$$

Hence, there is no constant C > 0 such that

$$[\infty]f \le C[1]f$$

for all $f \in C([0, 1],)$.