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</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Financial Aid Proposals</td>
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Agenda

- Around the NCAA
- Faculty Board Appeals Process
- Non-Renewal/Cancellation Process
- GIA Terminology
- NLI Early Signing Period
- Early Reads
- Federal Pell Grant
- Financial Aid Proposals

Around the NCAA

- University of Iowa Recruiting Headlines
- University of Tennessee – Bruce Pearl Investigation
Reduction or Cancellation

15.3.4 Institutional financial aid based in any degree on athletics ability may be reduced or canceled during the period of the award if the recipient:

- Renders himself/herself ineligible for intercollegiate competition;
- Fraudulently misrepresents any information on an application, letter of intent or financial aid agreement;

Reduction or Cancellation

- Engages in serious misconduct warranting substantial disciplinary penalty; or
- Voluntarily (on his/her own initiative) withdraws from a sport at any time for personal reasons;
- Non-Athletically Related Conditions

Scenario #1

Susie Smith signs a renewal scholarship agreement in the spring. Over the summer, Susie’s family wins the lottery. Susie volunteers to relinquish her athletic aid. Is this allowable?
Scenario #2

Charlie Doe signs an NLI & Scholarship Agreement in November for $1k. In the spring, Charlie's family receives notification from Financial Aid offering $20k in university grant funding. During the recruitment process, coach informs PSA that he will not be allowed to accept any additional countable aid. Is it permissible for the PSA to voluntarily relinquish the Athletic GIA for the larger financial aid package?

Terminology 101

According to the NCAA
Full GIA = Tuition, Fees, Room, Board & Books

Why's it Matter?

NLI Signing Period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Early Period—Basketball &amp; all other sports not listed</th>
<th>November 10</th>
<th>November 17</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DEAD PERIOD</td>
<td>November 8</td>
<td>November 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Football (Regular Period)</td>
<td>February 2</td>
<td>April 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soccer, Cross Country, Track &amp; Field</td>
<td>February 2</td>
<td>August 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basketball (Regular Period)</td>
<td>April 13</td>
<td>May 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular Period—All other sports not listed</td>
<td>April 13</td>
<td>August 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* PSA MUST register in the Eligibility Center, complete the Amateurism Questionnaire & be added to the IRL prior to signing an NLI
Interpretation

- 09/13/10 The committee determined that a PSA is permitted to observe practices and meetings until the date on which he/she has signed a NLI, a written offer of admission or financial aid, or has made a financial deposit with the institution. After that date, the PSA is permitted to observe the institution's practices and meetings only in the same manner as the general public.

Financial Aid Deadlines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PSA</th>
<th>Returning Undergrad</th>
<th>Transfer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Early Admit</td>
<td>January</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>January</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAFSA &amp; CSS Profile</td>
<td>February 15</td>
<td>February 28</td>
<td>March 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOCS</td>
<td>March</td>
<td>And 22</td>
<td>March 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pell Grant</td>
<td>February 15</td>
<td>February 28</td>
<td>March 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Federal Pell Grant

- Need-based grant offered to eligible undergraduate students (degree seeking)
- Complete FAFSA (Free App for Federal Student Aid)
- fafsa.gov
- Pell Grant awards in 2010/2011 range from $1,176 to $5,550
- Based on Estimated Family Contribution
- Cannot award Pell Grant retroactively
Iowa tells NCAA that recruits met Kutcher, Moore

Associated Press

DES MOINES, Iowa -- The University of Iowa told the NCAA the school allowed two basketball recruits to meet celebrity Hawkeye fans Ashton Kutcher and Demi Moore. Such a meeting would be a recruiting violation.

The recruits met the married movie stars at an Iowa-Iowa State football game in September and two former Iowa basketball players the same day, The Des Moines Register reported Sunday based on records obtained under Iowa's public records law.

NCAA rules prohibit recruits from contact with individuals considered to represent a university's athletic interests.

Iowa athletic director Gary Barta said the school has responded to the NCAA regarding its football and men's basketball team.

"We anticipate the NCAA will determine each to be unintentional secondary violations," Barta said in a statement to The Associated Press on Sunday. "In each case, staff of the UI thoroughly investigated the activity and has already educated all involved on what was not done correctly or completely."

The school's report to the NCAA said the recruits met former Hawkeye basketball players Reggie Evans and Dean Oliver after a pickup game at Carver-Hawkeye Arena, then met Kutcher and Moore in a suite at Kinnick Stadium later that day.

The NCAA staff sent a letter to Iowa officials four days later after two prospective recruits were quoted in published reports saying they met with the former basketball players, along with Kutcher and Moore.

The documents provided to the Register don't name the recruits. But reports in September and October quoted Josh Oglesby, a senior at Cedar Rapids Washington High School, and Marcus Paige, a junior at Linn-Mar High School, as saying they met Kutcher and Moore.

Oglesby announced his commitment to Iowa in late September after his official visit to the Iowa City campus. Paige has yet to announce his plans.

Mark Abbott, senior associate athletic director, said he did not believe the violations would preclude the Hawkeyes and new coach Fran McCaffery from continuing to recruit either player.
Kutcher is from Iowa and briefly attended the University of Iowa. He is an ardent supporter of the Hawkeyes, occasionally attending football and basketball games in Iowa City.

Documents said the presence of Kutcher and Moore at the football game drew a crowd, and the recruits met both actors and took pictures with them. The school's internal report said the basketball staff didn't attempt to stop the situation because it was "uncomfortable."

Iowa associate athletic director Fred Mims said in the school's official response to the NCAA that the violations were "inadvertent" and that steps have been taken to ensure it won't happen again.

"The university is remorseful that these violations occurred," Mims wrote in a letter to the NCAA on Oct. 1. "We believe they were the result of sloppy management by our basketball staff."

Jennifer M. Condaras
Assistant Commissioner for Compliance
BIG EAST Conference
15 Park Row West
Providence, RI 02903
jcondaras@bigeast.org
Office: 401 BIG EAST (244-3278)
Fax: 401.273.0424
Cell: 401.265.6739
September 9, 2010

VIA OVERNIGHT FEDERAL EXPRESS
AND UPS

Bruce Pearl
Head Coach, Men's Basketball
Brenda Lawson Athletic Center, Second Floor
Knoxville, TN 37996-4600

Re: Notice of Termination of Employment Agreement

Dear Bruce:

This letter constitutes notice that The University of Tennessee is exercising its right to terminate your Employment Agreement, originally executed on June 23, 2008 and subsequently amended on June 30, 2009, pursuant to Article XVII.F.1(vii), as well as Article I.A(2), Article I.B. After carefully reviewing what you told the NCAA in your interviews on June 14, 2010 and August 5, 2010 and carefully considering what you told Chancellor Cheek and me this week, Chancellor Cheek and I have formed a good faith belief that you engaged in the following course of conduct:

September 20, 2008

On September 20, 2008, you knowingly violated NCAA rules by hosting, at your house during a team cookout, three prospective student-athletes making unofficial visits and their families. At your house, you admitted to the unofficial visitors and their families that their presence at your house was a violation of NCAA rules. You also told the unofficial visitors and their families that you were not going to tell anyone about the NCAA rules violation, and you asked that they not tell anyone either. You failed promptly to self-report the unofficial visitors’ visit to your house to the University, and you subsequently signed a false NCAA Certification of Compliance for the time period in question. Moreover, your comments to the prospective student-athletes and their families conveyed the wrong message about your stance, and by implication the University’s stance, on compliance with NCAA rules and the importance of self-disclosing NCAA rules violations.

One of the prospective student-athletes making an unofficial visit was present at your house following your personal invitation that he and his parents attend the team cookout. You extended an invitation to the unofficial visitor and his parents despite the fact that you knew that NCAA rules prohibited the provision of off-campus entertainment or
meals to an unofficial visitor. You admitted to the unofficial visitor’s parents that it would be a violation of NCAA rules for them to attend the cookout, but you told them that you were leaving it up to them whether to attend. You have admitted that you should not have put the prospective student-athlete and his parents in a position where they had to make a choice whether to violate an NCAA rule. Your conduct failed to promote an atmosphere for compliance within the men’s basketball program and conveyed the wrong message to a prospective student-athlete and his family about your stance, and by implication the University’s stance, on compliance with NCAA rules.

All of your assistant coaches were present at your house and knew that the three prospective student-athletes making unofficial visits also were present at your house. Your conduct failed to promote an atmosphere for compliance within the men’s basketball program, specifically with regard to setting an appropriate example for your assistant coaches regarding compliance with NCAA rules.

June 14, 2010

On June 14, 2010, the first day you were interviewed by the NCAA relating to its investigation into potential rules violations in the men’s basketball program, you failed to promote an atmosphere for compliance within the men’s basketball program and failed to protect the integrity of the NCAA’s investigation by meeting with your assistant coaches and talking about their NCAA interviews before you were interviewed by the NCAA later the same day.

Prior to and after your interview on June 14, you interfered with and failed to protect the integrity of the NCAA’s investigation by calling the father of the prospective student athlete who had been at your home during an unofficial visit on September 20, 2008. According to you, you called the father in part to “remind” him that you told the parents on September 20, 2008 that it would be a violation of NCAA rules for them to attend the team cookout at your home and that you gave them a choice whether to attend. At the very least, your calls to the father created the appearance that you were trying to influence the father’s statements to the NCAA.

During your June 14 NCAA interview, the NCAA enforcement staff showed you a photograph of you and a prospective student-athlete in the kitchen in your home and you were asked whether you recognized where the photograph was taken. You specifically denied that the photograph was taken at your home, which was false and misleading because you subsequently admitted to the NCAA (on August 5) and to Chancellor Cheek and me (on multiple occasions, including September 7) that you knew the photograph had been taken in your home. You also specifically and affirmatively stated on June 14 that you did not know the woman in the photograph, which was false and misleading because you subsequently admitted to the NCAA (on August 5) and to Chancellor Cheek and me (on September 7) that you knew the woman in the photograph was Coach Jason Shay’s wife. Your June 14 statements regarding the photograph were dishonest, and you engaged in unethical conduct when you knowingly furnished the NCAA enforcement staff and the University’s outside counsel with false and misleading information.
concerning the photograph. Although not necessary to a finding of gross misconduct, our conclusion is that your false and misleading statements to the NCAA on June 14 were deliberate and premeditated given the facts that (1) your assistant coaches informed you prior to your interview that the NCAA showed them a photograph of you and the prospective student-athlete during their interviews and Coach Forbes told you that he thought the photograph was taken at your house; and (2) you initiated telephone contact with and discussed the photograph of you and the prospective student-athlete taken in your home during an unofficial visit.

When you failed to disclose to the NCAA enforcement staff on June 14 the fact that an NCAA rules violation had occurred by entertaining unofficial visitors at your house on September 20, 2008, we believe that you violated the NCAA’s cooperative principle because you failed to assist the NCAA enforcement staff in collecting and developing complete information to determine whether any NCAA violations occurred in the men’s basketball program.

October 10, 2007 – July 30, 2009

You failed to promote an atmosphere for compliance within the men’s basketball program and monitor the activities of your assistant coaches with respect to telephone calls to prospective student-athletes. As a result, the men’s basketball coaching staff made approximately 96 telephone calls to prospective student-athletes that were impermissible under NCAA rules. You made 34 of those impermissible telephone calls.

September 8, 2009

You falsely certified in writing that you had reported through the appropriate individuals in the Athletic Department to the President any knowledge of NCAA violations involving the University. The certification was false because you knew NCAA violations occurred in the men’s basketball program on September 20, 2008.

Based on the facts described above, Chancellor Cheek and I have determined that you engaged in gross misconduct, including dishonesty and other acts involving intolerable behavior. As a result, the University is terminating your Employment Agreement for Adequate Cause under Article XVII.F.1(vii). The University is also terminating your Employment Agreement because it has determined that you materially breached Article I.A(2) and Article I.B of your Employment Agreement by failing to comply with the constitution, bylaws, rules, regulations, and interpretations of the NCAA, and NCAA, SEC, and University rules and regulations relating to the conduct and administration of the men’s basketball program, including recruiting rules.

The effective date of the termination of your Employment Agreement is October 8, 2010 (“Effective Date”). On the Effective Date, you will become an employee at-will with no definite term of employment and will remain an employee at-will until the University enters into a new employment agreement with you. Prior to the Effective Date, you may meet personally and individually with me, Chancellor Cheek, and Interim President Simek to respond to the allegations against you and the University’s proposed termination of your Employment
Agreement. If you wish to contest the termination of the Employment Agreement for gross misconduct, you have the right to a post-termination hearing under the Tennessee Uniform Administrative Procedures Act (TUAPA). If you desire a hearing, you must notify me in writing within fifteen (15) days following the receipt of this letter.

On or before the Effective Date, the University will provide you with a letter of appointment that will confirm that your continued employment as Head Men's Basketball Coach is at-will and without a definite term. Furthermore, it will provide that if you are found in violation of NCAA regulations, or if the University develops a good faith belief that you have engaged in additional NCAA violations not currently known to the University, you will be subject to disciplinary or corrective action as set forth in the provisions of the NCAA enforcement procedures, including, but not limited to, suspension without pay or termination of employment for significant or repetitive violations.

I regret that this action is necessary.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Michael E. Hamilton
Director of Men's Athletics

c:  Dr. Jimmy G. Cheek, Chancellor
     David E. Gruber, Esq.
     Steve Thompson, Esq.
Athletics-Related Financial-Aid Hearing Procedures  
(revised October 2010)

Notre Dame has traditionally respected and honored the impressive service and commitment of the student-athlete to the good of the broader University community. Consequently, Notre Dame has sought to protect the security and well-being of its student-athletes, including in matters pertaining to athletics-related financial aid. The cost of a Notre Dame education significantly exceeds that of many of its peers in NCAA Division I athletics. For this reason, Notre Dame has strongly discouraged reductions, cancellations, or non-renewals of athletics-related financial aid. Notre Dame typically has not considered a student-athlete’s athletic performance, contribution to the team, or injury as appropriate grounds for the reduction, cancellation, or non-renewal of athletics-related financial aid.

Institutional athletics-related financial aid may be reduced or canceled during the period of the award (per NCAA Bylaw 15.3.4.2) if the student-athlete:

1) renders himself or herself ineligible for intercollegiate competition;
2) fraudulently misrepresents any information on an application, letter of intent, or financial aid agreement;
3) engages in serious misconduct warranting substantial disciplinary penalty, including misconduct as determined by the University’s regular student disciplinary authority; or
4) voluntarily (on his or her own initiative) withdraws from a sport at any time for personal reasons.

The University can decline to renew athletics-related financial aid based on the above criteria or based on repeated or egregious violation of stated University, Department of Athletics, or team rules or policies.

The administration of the Department of Athletics has treated seriously those rare cases in which reductions, cancellations, or non-renewals of athletics-related financial aid have been proposed; such cases involve student-welfare issues that warrant careful deliberation, complete fairness, and appreciation of the decision’s crucial effects on the student-athlete’s ability and desire to remain and flourish at Notre Dame.

A student-athlete whose athletics-related financial aid is reduced, cancelled, or not renewed by the Director of Athletics is informed in writing by the Director of Financial Aid that he or she, upon written request to the Faculty Athletics Representative (FAR), has an opportunity for a hearing. The written request for a hearing must be received by the FAR within fifteen (15) days of the University’s written notification of the reduction, cancellation, or non-renewal of the student-athlete’s athletics-related financial aid. Upon request for an appeals hearing, the FAR will notify the student-athlete of his or her right to an Appeal Advisor. If the student-athlete notifies the FAR that he or she would like to utilize an Appeal Advisor, the FAR will choose one from a standing pool of potential Appeal Advisors. The pool will consist of the current student representative on the Faculty Board on Athletics and past members of the Faculty Board on Athletics. The Appeal Advisor must contact the student-athlete within five (5) days of being assigned.

A hearing is conducted no later than thirty (30) days after the FAR receives the written request for a hearing. The FAR appoints two non-ex officio faculty members of the Faculty Board on Athletics and a graduate student as voting members of the hearing panel. An Associate Vice President for Student
Affairs or his/her designee also serves as a voting member of the hearing panel. The Director of Financial Aid or his/her designee serves both as a voting member and as the chair of the hearing panel. The sole non-voting member of the hearing panel is the Assistant or Associate Director of Athletics responsible for NCAA compliance, who serves only as a resource concerning NCAA, conference, and Department of Athletics rules and regulations for the voting members of the hearing panel and does not participate in questioning.

No later than seven (7) days prior to the hearing, the student-athlete and representative(s) of the Department of Athletics must provide to the chair of the hearing panel every document or other exhibit they plan to use at the hearing, and the names of any witnesses they intend to call and a description of the relevancy of such witnesses to the hearing. The hearing panel may refuse to hear the testimony of any witnesses not so disclosed or to consider any exhibits not so disclosed. The chair of the hearing panel promptly distributes to the other party copies of all materials submitted by each party.

At the hearing, the student-athlete appealing the decision and representative(s) of the Department of Athletics are given an opportunity to argue for or against the decision under appeal. The hearing is informal. No rules of evidence apply. Neither the student-athlete nor the representative(s) of the Department of Athletics may proceed through legal counsel at the hearing. They may, however, seek the advice of legal counsel or be represented by legal counsel outside the hearing. At the hearing, both parties are afforded a reasonable opportunity to present pertinent documentary evidence and to outline the relevance of planned witness testimony (live or written). The hearing panel may question any and all witnesses, examine documentary evidence, and summon other witnesses as it deems appropriate. The student-athlete may choose to be present for and respond to any and all witness or party testimony. If requested by the student-athlete, the Appeal Advisor may attend the hearing, but only as a resource for the student-athlete and not as a participant in questioning or testimony. Members of the Department of Athletics who were responsible for the decision under appeal may choose to appear together. Otherwise, no witness or party is present during the testimony of any other witness or party, and the hearings are open only to the hearing panel and to the parties or witnesses while they testify.

After the presentation of all the evidence and testimony, the members of the hearing panel deliberate in closed session. The hearing panel’s deliberations remain confidential unless disclosure is required by law. The hearing panel decides whether the decision under appeal was reasonable and fair, and therefore not arbitrary. The hearing panel may accept, reject, or modify the decision of the Department of Athletics. It puts forward a single vote, positive or negative, regarding the appeal. Within ten (10) days after the hearing, the chair of the hearing panel transmits a written copy of its decision to the student-athlete and to the Department of Athletics. The decision of the hearing panel is final and may not be appealed.

In a case in which the FAR and the chair of the hearing panel determine that fairness requires expediting an appeal, the FAR, the chair of the hearing panel, and the Assistant or Associate Director of Athletics responsible for NCAA compliance may agree to adjust the procedures set forth above as necessary (and only as necessary) to complete the hearing without prejudice to the parties and in conformity with NCAA rules.

Nothing in these policies and procedures prohibits or prevents the student-athlete and the Department of Athletics from resolving their dispute by mutual agreement at any time. If such an agreement is reached, the student-athlete or the Department of Athletics promptly notifies the hearing panel, in writing, and the pending review is dismissed.
Athletics-Related Financial Aid Appeals: 
Administrator, Staff, and Student Roles

Financial Aid Office
1. The Director of Financial Aid informs the student-athlete in writing that the grant-in-aid has been reduced, cancelled, or not renewed.
2. The Director of Financial Aid informs the student-athlete in writing that the student-athlete has an opportunity for an appeals hearing.
3. The Director of Financial Aid or her/his designee serves as the Chair of the appeals hearing panel and also is a voting member.
4. The Director of Financial Aid or her/his designee, in her/his capacity as the Chair of the appeals hearing panel:
   a. consults with the Faculty Athletics Representative to determine whether fairness requires expediting an appeal and, if so, consults with the Assistant or Associate Director of Athletics responsible for NCAA compliance regarding any alternative procedures (e.g., waiver of the timetable for submission of evidence) necessary to complete the hearing without prejudice to the parties and in conformity with NCAA rules;
   b. promptly distributes to the other party copies of all materials submitted by each party;
   c. approves relevance of witnesses and documentary evidence prior to the hearing, maintains the informal nature of the hearing, and limits testimony or questioning when necessary.
   d. notifies the student-athlete and the Department of Athletics in writing of the final decision of the hearing panel within 10 days of the hearing.

Department of Athletics
1. The Department of Athletics is responsible for ensuring that any reductions, cancellations, or non-renewals of grant-in-aid comply with the policies, procedures, and general practices of the Department of Athletics and the Faculty Board on Athletics, and with NCAA regulations.
2. The Department of Athletics is responsible for educating coaches, staff, and student-athletes on the policies and procedures concerning the reduction, cancellation, or non-renewal of grant-in-aid.
3. The Department of Athletics ensures consistency among all athletics teams in applying grant-in-aid policies and procedures.
4. The Department of Athletics ensures that coaches and other athletics department staff clearly communicate with student-athletes concerning the conditions and expectations accompanying grant-in-aid, including concerning the consequences of a student-athlete’s non-compliance with stated Department of Athletics and team rules and policies.
5. The Assistant or Associate Director of Athletics responsible for NCAA compliance in the Department of Athletics attends the appeals hearing as a resource concerning NCAA, conference, and Department of Athletics rules but does not vote or participate in questioning.
Appeals Hearing Panel

1. The hearing panel consists of 2 non-ex officio members of the Faculty Board on Athletics, a graduate student, the Associate Vice President for Student Affairs or his/her designee, and the Director of Financial Aid or his/her designee.

2. The Assistant or Associate Director of Athletics responsible for NCAA compliance in the Department of Athletics attends the appeals hearing and panel deliberations as a resource concerning NCAA, conference, and Department of Athletics rules but does not participate in questioning or deliberations.

3. The assigned Appeal Advisor may also be present at the hearing, but only as an observer.

4. The hearing panel is responsible for reviewing all written materials prior to the hearing and listening to statements presented by the student-athlete, coach and/or administrator, and all witnesses. The hearing panel may question any and all witnesses, examine documentary evidence and summon other witnesses as it deems appropriate.

5. The role of the Chair of the hearing panel, the Director of Financial Aid, will be to approve relevance of witnesses and documentary evidence prior to the hearing, to maintain the informal nature of the hearing, and to limit testimony or questioning when necessary.

6. The hearing panel will carefully deliberate and consider whether the decision under appeal was reasonable and fair, and therefore not arbitrary.

Student-Athlete

1. Provides a written request for a hearing to the FAR within fifteen (15) days of the University’s written notification of the reduction, cancellation, or non-renewal of the student-athlete’s athletics-related financial aid.

2. No later than seven (7) days prior to the hearing, the student-athlete provides the Chair of the hearing panel every document or other exhibit he or she plans to use at the hearing, and the names of any witnesses he or she intends to call with a description of such witnesses’ relevancy to the hearing.

3. At the hearing, the student-athlete will be afforded a reasonable opportunity for the presentation of relevant witnesses and pertinent documentary evidence.

Coach and Other Department of Athletics Staff Members

1. Are responsible for ensuring that any reductions, cancellations, or non-renewals of grant-in-aid comply with the policies, procedures, and general practices of the Department of Athletics and the Faculty Board on Athletics, and with NCAA regulations.

2. Are responsible for communicating with student-athletes concerning the conditions and expectations accompanying grant-in-aid, including concerning the consequences of a student-athlete’s non-compliance with stated Department of Athletics and team rules and policies.

3. No later than seven (7) days prior to an appeal hearing, the coach, sport administrator, or other Department of Athletics staff member provides the Chair of the hearing panel every document or other exhibit the Department of Athletics plans to use at the hearing and the names of any witnesses testifying on behalf of the Department of Athletics.
4. At the hearing, the coach or other Department of Athletics representative will be afforded a reasonable opportunity to present pertinent documentary evidence and to outline the relevance of planned witness testimony (live or written).

Appeal Advisor (if requested)
1. Will contact the student-athlete within five (5) days of being assigned.
2. Will meet with the student-athlete, explain the hearing procedure, and provide the student athlete with relevant materials.
3. The Appeal Advisor’s role is only advisory. The Appeal Advisor will only serve as a resource for the student-athlete and will not prepare any hearing documentation for the student-athlete.
4. The Appeal Advisor may attend the hearing as an observer at the request of the student-athlete.

Faculty Athletics Representative
1. Creates a standing pool of Appeal Advisors consisting of the current student representative on the Faculty Board on Athletics and past members of the Faculty Board on Athletics.
2. Upon receiving a request for a hearing, notifies the student-athlete of his or her right to an Appeal Advisor and, upon request from the student-athlete, chooses an Appeal Advisor from the pool.
3. Appoints two non-ex officio faculty members of the Faculty Board on Athletics and a graduate student to serve on the hearing panel.
4. Consults with the Director of Financial Aid to determine whether fairness requires expediting an appeal and, if so, consults with the Assistant or Associate Director of Athletics responsible for NCAA compliance regarding any alternative procedures (e.g., waiver of the timetable for submission of evidence) necessary to complete the hearing without prejudice to the parties and in conformity with NCAA rules.