Hi Everyone!

Course paper is due Tuesday 29 November.

Run paper topics by me by 12 November.

Time-series method. For each return i = 1, ..., n, run the time-series regression

$$r_{ti}^e = \alpha_i + \beta_i r_{tm}^e + \epsilon_{ti}$$

to get asset i's beta,  $\beta_i$ . The theory says the asset's return is explained entirely by exposure to the risk factor (here,  $r_{tm}^e$ ). Implies the  $\alpha_i$  (constant) is 0.

$$\bar{r}_i^e = \lambda \beta_i$$

Sidenote. Suppose we think we have a 2 factor model.

$$r_{ti}^e = \alpha_i + \beta_{1i}f_{t1} + \beta_{2i}f_{t2} + \epsilon_{ti}$$
$$\bar{r}_i^e = \lambda_1\beta_{1i} + \lambda_2\beta_{2i}$$

Going back to the one-factor model.

We have estimated the  $\beta_i$  and the  $\alpha_i$ . We want to test if the  $\alpha_i$  are all (jointly) zero. We need the joint distribution of the  $\alpha_i$  across returns. We do that by estimating the time-series regression jointly for all the assets. That is, we stack everything together, and estimate them jointly. I'll get back to you on the exact form.

I show you how to do this in eviews.

Estimate as system. View $\rightarrow$ Coefficient Diagnostics  $\rightarrow$  Wald Coefficient Test

## 0.1 The Fama-MacBeth Method

The time-series method can only be used if the factors are excess returns. The FMB method works if factors are excess returns and if they are not. Why? If the factor is an excess return, we estimate the factor risk premium by

$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} f_t$$

This only makes sense if  $f_t$  is an excess return.

Illustrate with a single factor model (CAPM again).

1. For each asset run the time-series regression to estimate its beta

$$\begin{aligned} r^{e}_{t,1} &= \alpha_{1} + \beta_{1}f_{t} + \epsilon_{t,1} \longleftarrow \text{Asset 1} \\ r^{e}_{t,2} &= \alpha_{2} + \beta_{2}f_{t} + \epsilon_{t,2} \longleftarrow \text{Asset 2} \\ &\vdots \\ r^{e}_{t,n} &= \alpha_{n} + \beta_{n}f_{t} + \epsilon_{t,n} \longleftarrow \text{Asset n} \end{aligned}$$

This gives us n betas. Sometimes people call them 'factor loadings'.

2. Run a single cross-sectional regression with constant ( $\gamma$ ) and error term  $\alpha_i$ .

$$\bar{r}_i^e = \gamma + \lambda \beta_i + \alpha_i$$

So we want to know if  $\lambda$  is significant (if it's not, the  $\beta_i$  aren't explaining the average excess returns). Also want to test if  $\gamma = 0$ . Eviews will give a t-ratio on  $\lambda$  in the cross-sectional regression but it is wrong. The theory behind the regular t-ratio assumes the independent variable is data. Here, the independent variable is an estimated  $\beta_i$ . Fama-Macbeth is a technique for computing the standard error (or t-ratio) on  $\lambda$ .

3. Here's the Fama-MacBeth method. For each t, we have a cross-section of returns. Run the cross-sectional regression

$$\begin{aligned} r_{1,i}^e &= \gamma_1 + \lambda_1 \beta_i + \alpha_{1,i} \longleftarrow \text{Time period 1} \\ r_{2,i}^e &= \gamma_2 + \lambda_2 \beta_i + \alpha_{2,i} \longleftarrow \text{Time period 2} \\ &\vdots \\ r_{T,i}^e &= \gamma_T + \lambda_T \beta_i + \alpha_{T,i} \longleftarrow \text{Time period T} \end{aligned}$$

This gives us a time-series of the  $\lambda's$ . Test if the  $\lambda's$  are zero by regressing them on a constant and doing Newey-West

$$\lambda_t = c + e_t$$

Do the same with the time series of the  $\gamma_t$ , to test if  $\gamma = 0$ .