No office hours today. Midterm is take home.

We were talking about Newey-West, serially correlated errors, multiperiod returns in predictive regression.

Looking at dividend yield, moves around mainly because of  $P_t.d_t$  is fairly stable.

Let  $R^e_{t,t+h}$  be the *h*-period ahead excess return.

$$R_{t,t+h}^{e} = \underbrace{\alpha_{h} + \beta_{h} \left(\frac{d_{t}}{P_{t}}\right)}_{\text{risk premium}} + \epsilon_{t,t+h}$$

What is interpretation of  $E\left(R^{e}_{t,t+h}|I_{t}\right)$ ?It's a risk premium!

Why does the dividend yield predict future returns? (A toy model) P is the stock price. d is the dividend (not logs).  $\beta = \frac{1}{1+\rho}$  is the subjective discount factor where  $\rho$  is the rate of time preference. The present value model says

$$P_t = E_t \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta^j d_{t+j} \right) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta^j E_t \left( d_{t+j} \right)$$

Suppose we expect dividends to grow at rate  $\delta$ . Then

$$E_t d_{t+1} = (1+\delta) d_t$$
  

$$E_t d_{t+2} = (1+\delta) E_t d_{t+1} = (1+\delta)^2 d_t$$
  
:

$$E_t d_{t+j} = (1+\delta)^j d_t$$

Substitute these forecasting rules into the PV model

$$P_t = d_t \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta^j (1+\delta)^j$$
$$= d_t \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+\delta}{1+\rho}\right)^j$$

we need  $\rho > \delta$ , then  $(1 + \delta) (1 + \rho) < 1$ , and

$$P_t = \left(\frac{1+\rho}{\rho-\delta}\right) d_t$$

$$E_t \left(P_{t+1}\right) = \left(\frac{1+\rho}{\rho-\delta}\right) E_t \left(d_{t+1}\right) = \left(\frac{1+\rho}{\rho-\delta}\right) \left(1+\delta\right) d_t$$

$$E_t \left(\frac{P_{t+1}}{P_t}\right) = \left(\frac{1+\rho}{\rho-\delta}\right) \left(1+\delta\right) \frac{d_t}{P_t}$$

So it must be the case that

$$E_t\left(\frac{P_{t+2}}{P_t}\right) = \left(\frac{1+\rho}{\rho-\delta}\right)\left(1+\delta\right)^2 \frac{d_t}{P_t}$$

The implied slope in the regression is increasing with the return horizon.

Newey-West gives the 'correct' standard error (t-ratio) when the regression errors are serially correlated. They also give the correct values when the error terms are conditionally heteroskedastic. Lesson: When doing time-series regression with financial data, ALWAYS USE NEWEY-WEST.

Some necessary Matrix Algebra (sorry). Text pp. 28-35. Definitions. Scalar is a single number. Matrix is a two-dimensional array array

Matrix is a two-dimensional array.  $a_{ij}$  is the entry in row *i* and column *j*. In this example, *A* is a  $3 \times 2$  matrix.

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{cc} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} \end{array}\right)$$

Vector: is a one-dimensional array.

$$v_1 = \left(\begin{array}{c} a_1\\a_2\\a_3\end{array}\right)$$

This is a column vector of dimension 3. And

$$v_2 = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} a_1 & a_2 & a_2 \end{array}\right)$$

is a 3-dimensional row vector.

A square matrix has as many columns as rows.

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{rrrr} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{array}\right)$$

A symmetric matrix is a square matrix where elements above the diagonal are the same as those below. i.e.,  $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$ 

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{rrrr} 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 10 & 6 \\ 4 & 6 & 11 \end{array}\right)$$

Transpose of matrix. The i-th row of old matrix becomes the  $i-{\rm the}$  column of the new matrix. If

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$A' = A^{T} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{21} & a_{31} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} & a_{32} \end{pmatrix}$$

if

$$v_1 = \left(\begin{array}{c} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{array}\right)$$

then

$$v_1' = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

The zero matrix is where all the entries are 0.

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

The identity matrix is square with 1's on diagonal and zeros every where else. (1, 0)

$$I = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right)$$