

# **Deadbeat Response** *is l2 Optimal Optimal*

## *Vladimír KučeraCzech Technical University in Prague Czech Technical University in Prague*

*Bill Wolovich Wolovich Celebratory Celebratory Event Cancun 2008, Mexico*

# *Introduction Introduction*

**A typical linear control strategy in discrete-time systems,**  *deadbeat control* **produces transients that vanish in finite time.** 

**On the other hand, the** *linear-quadratic control* **stabilizes the system and minimizes the** *l***2 norm of its transient response.** 

**Quite surprisingly, it is shown that** *deadbeat systems are l***2** *optimal***, at least for reachable systems.**



# *Deadbeat Control Deadbeat Control*

**Given a linear system (***A***,** *B***)** where  $u_k \in S$  " and  $x_k \in S$ ".  $x_{k+1} = Ax_k + Bu_k, \quad k = 0, 1, ...$ *m* $u_k \in S$   $^m$  and  $x_k \in S$   $^n$ 

**The objective of** *deadbeat regulation* **is to determine a linear state feedback of the form**

$$
u_k = -Lx_k
$$

**that drives each initial state**  $x_0$  **to the origin in a least number of steps.**



# *Reachability Reachability and Controllability and Controllability*

#### **We define the** *reachability subspaces* **by**

$$
R_0 = 0,
$$
  
\n $R_k = \text{range}[B \ AB \ ... \ A^{k-1}B], k = 1, 2, ...$ 

When  $R_n = S^n$ , the system  $(A, B)$  is said to be *reachable*.  $R_{n} = S^{-n}$ 

# **The system (***A***,** *B***) is said to be** *controllable* **if there exists a basis in which**

$$
A = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & A_{12} \\ 0 & A_2 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$

where  $(A_1, B_1)$  is reachable and  $A_2$  is nilpotent.



# *Reachability Reachability Indices Indices*

**For each**  $k = 1, 2, ...$ let  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ , ...,  $S_k$  be a sequence of matrices **such that Therefore**  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ , ...,  $S_k$  serve to select a basis for  $R_k$ .  $k$  **k**  $-$  **l** angle  $\mathbf{R}$  $\mathbf{range}\left[\mathbf{BS}_1 \quad \mathbf{ABS}_2 \quad ... \quad \mathbf{A}^{k-1}\mathbf{BS}_k\right] = \mathbf{range}\,\mathbf{R}$ 

The *reachability indices*  $r_1, r_2, ..., r_m$  are defined by  $r_i =$  cardinality  $\{S_j, j = 1, 2, ... : \text{rank } S_j \geq i\}$ 



# *Theorem 1 Theorem 1*

**There exists a deadbeat control law if and only if the system**  $(A, B)$  **is controllable. Let**

$$
L_0 = 0,
$$
  

$$
L_k = L_{k-1} + L'_k (A - BL_{k-1})^k, \quad k = 1, 2, ...
$$

#### where  $L_{k}^{\prime}$  satisfies

 $L'_{k}[BS_{1} \text{ } ABS_{2} \text{ } ... \text{ } A^{k-1}BS_{k}] = [0 \text{ } ... \text{ } 0 \text{ } S_{k}].$ 

Then  $L = L_n$  is a deadbeat regulator gain.

**The closed-loop system matrix** *A – BL* **is nilpotent.**



# *Linear Quadratic Regulator Linear Quadratic Regulator*

**Given a linear system (***A***,** *B***)** where  $u_{\mu} \in S$  " and  $x_{\mu} \in S$  ". **The objective of LQ regulation is to find a linear state feedback of the formthat stabilizes the closed-loop system**  $x_{k+1} = Ax_k + Bu_k, \quad k = 0, 1, ...$  $u_k \in S$  *m* and  $x_k \in S$  *n*  $u_{_k} = -Lx_{_k}$ 

and, for every initial state  $x_{0}$ , minimizes the  $l_{2}$  norm of a specified output  $y_k^{}\in$  S  $^p$  of the form  $\bm{y}_k \in \mathrm{S}$ 

 $y_k = Cx_k + Du_k$ 

# *Stabilizability Stabilizability and Invertibility Invertibility*

**The system (***A***,** *B***) is said to be** *stabilizable* **if there exists a basis in which**

$$
A = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & A_{12} \\ 0 & A_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$

**where**  $(A_1, B_1)$  is reachable and  $A_2$  is stable.

The system  $(A, B, C, D)$ **is said to be** *left invertible* **if its transfer function has full column normal rank.**  $x_{k+1} = Ax_k + Bu_k, y_k = Cx_k + Du_k, \quad k = 0, 1, ...$ 



# *Invariant Zeros Invariant Zeros*

# **We further define the** *system matrix* **as the polynomial matrix**

$$
S(z) = \begin{bmatrix} zI_n - A & -B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}
$$

**and say that a complex number ζ is an** *invariant zero* **of the system (***A***,** *B***,** *C***,** *D***) if the rank of**  $S(\zeta)$  **is strictly less than the normal rank of**  $S(z)$ **.** 



# *Theorem 2 Theorem 2*

**Suppose that the system (***A***,** *B***) is stabilizable.**  Suppose that the system  $(A, B, C, D)$  is left invertible and also has no invariant zeros on the unit circle  $|z| = 1$ .

**Then, there exists a** *unique* **LQ regulator gain given by where** *X* **is the largest nonnegative definite solution of the algebraic Riccati equation**  $\boldsymbol{L} = (\boldsymbol{D}^T \boldsymbol{D} + \boldsymbol{B}^T \boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{B})^{-1} (\boldsymbol{B}^T \boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{A} + \boldsymbol{D}^T \boldsymbol{C}),$ 

$$
X = ATXA + CTC
$$
  
-(B<sup>T</sup>XA + D<sup>T</sup>C)<sup>T</sup> (D<sup>T</sup>D + B<sup>T</sup>XB)<sup>-1</sup>(B<sup>T</sup>XA + D<sup>T</sup>C)



# *Reachability Standard Form*

**Let the system (***A, B***) be reachable,** with reachability indices  $r_1, r_2, ..., r_m$ . **Then there exists a similarity transformation** *T* **that brings the matrices** *A* **and** *B* **to the** *reachability standard form***,** where  $A'$  is a top-companion matrix ′ = ′ $\boldsymbol{A}^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{T}\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{T}^{-1},\quad \boldsymbol{B}^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{T}\boldsymbol{B}$ 

**only in rows**  $r_i$  **and columns**  $j \geq i$ **,**  $i = 1, 2, ..., m$ **.** 

with nonzero entries in rows  $r_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, ..., m$ 



**Czech Technical University in Prague**

and B<sup>'</sup> has nonzero entries

# *Theorem 3 Theorem 3*

**Suppose that the system (***A***,** *B***) is reachable, with reachability indices**  $r_1 \geq r_2 \geq ... \geq r_m$ **and with the matrix** *B* **having rank** *<sup>m</sup>***.**

**Let** *T* **be a similarity transformation that brings** *A* **and** *B* **to the reachability standard form.**

**Then, the feedback gain** *L* **that is LQ optimal with respect to**  $C = T$  **and**  $D = 0$ **is a deadbeat gain.**



# *Proof: Existence Proof: Existence*

**We first show that an LQ regulator gain exists that is optimal with respect to**  $C = T$  **and**  $D = 0$ **. Indeed, the system (***A***,** *B***) is reachable hence stabilizable.**  The system  $(A, B, T, 0)$  has a transfer function **whose normal rank is** *<sup>m</sup>***, so it is left invertible. The system matrix**   $S(z) = \begin{bmatrix} zI_n - A & -B \\ T & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 

has rank  $n + m$  for all complex numbers  $z$ , hence  $(A, B, T, 0)$  has no invariant zeros at all. **The assumptions of Theorem 2** *are all satisfied***.**



# *Proof: Polynomial Matrix Fractions Proof: Polynomial Matrix Fractions*

**Write the transfer function of the system (***A***,** *B***) in the polynomial matrix fraction form**

 $(zI_n - A)^{-1}B = Q(z)P^{-1}(z)$ 

**For any feedback applied to the system, one obtains**

$$
\left[ zI_n-(A-BL)\right]^{-1}B=Q(z)\left[ P(z)+LQ(z)\right]^{-1}
$$

**The system (***A***,** *B***) being reachable, these polynomial matrix fractions are coprime. Thus the matrices**  $zI_n - (A - BL)$  **and**  $P(z) + LQ(z)$ **have the** *same invariant factors***.**



# *Proof: Matrix Identity*

**Using the polynomial fraction matrices** *P* **and** *Q* **, the algebraic Riccati equation yields the identity**

 $[CQ(z^{-1}) + DP(z^{-1})]^{T}[CQ(z) + DP(z)]$  $[P(z^{-1})+LQ(z^{-1})]^{T}(D^{T}D+B^{T}XB)[P(z)+LQ(z)]$  $\left[ P(z^{-1})+LQ(z^{-1})\right]^{\mathrm{T}} (D^T D+B^T X B)[P(z)+LQ(z)]$  $= [CO(z^{-1}) + DP(z^{-1})]^{T} [CO(z) +$ 

**Define a polynomial matrix** *F* **by the equation in such a way that**  $F^{-1}$  **is analytic in the domain**  $|z| \ge 1$ **. This matrix** *F* **is referred to as the** *spectral factor.*  $\boldsymbol{F}^{\mathrm{T}}(z^{-1})\boldsymbol{F}(z) = [\boldsymbol{C}\boldsymbol{Q}(z^{-1}) + \boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{P}(z^{-1})]^{\mathrm{T}}[\boldsymbol{C}\boldsymbol{Q}(z) + \boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{P}(z)]$ 



# *Proof: Reachability Standard Form*

**Bring (***A***,** *B***) to the** *reachability standard form* **using the similarity transformation matrix** *T***. The corresponding polynomial fraction matrices are related by**

 $P'(z) = P(z), Q'(z) = TQ(z)$ 

and by Structure Theorem,  $Q'$  has the block-diagonal **form**

$$
Q'(z) = \text{block-diag}\begin{bmatrix}1\\z\\ \vdots\\z^{r_1-1}\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}1\\z\\ \vdots\\z^{r_2-1}\end{bmatrix}, ..., \begin{bmatrix}1\\z\\ \vdots\\z^{r_m-1}\end{bmatrix}.
$$



# *Proof: Spectral Factorization Proof: Spectral Factorization*

#### **The spectral factorization reads**  $(z^{-1})Q'(z) = \text{diag}[r_1, r_2, ..., r_m]$  $\bm{F}^{\mathrm{T}}(z^{-1})\bm{F}(z) = \bm{Q}^{\mathrm{T}}(z^{-1})\bm{T}^{\mathrm{T}}\bm{T}\bm{Q}(z)$ **1** *m* $Q^{T}(z^{-1})Q'(z) = \text{diag}[r_1, r_2, ..., r_1]$ =  $T \leftarrow -1 \sqrt{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{1}{2}$  = − − <sup>−</sup>

**so that**

$$
F(z) = \text{diag}\left[\sqrt{r_1}z^{r_1}, \sqrt{r_2}z^{r_2}, ..., \sqrt{r_m}z^{r_m}\right].
$$

**The matrices**  $P(z) + LQ(z)$  **and**  $zI_n - (A - BL)$ share the same invariant factors  $z^{\prime_1}, z^{\prime_2}, ..., z^{\prime_m}$ . **Therefore,** *A* **–** *BL* **is** *nilpotent* **with Jordan structure**  comprising *m* nilpotent blocks of sizes  $r_1, r_2, ..., r_m$ . **This proves that** *L* **is a deadbeat gain.**  $r_1$  *r*<sub>2</sub> *r*m z<sup>1</sup>, z<sup>2</sup>, ..., z



# *Conclusions Conclusions*

 **Deadbeat control and LQ regulation, two strategies different in nature, are in fact related. A deadbeat control law can be obtained by solving a particular LQ regulator problem, at least for reachable systems. The LQ optimal regulator gain is unique, whereas the deadbeat feedback gains are not. Only one deadbeat gain is LQ optimal. An alternative construction of such a gain is thus available, solving the Riccati equation.**



# *References References*

- **C.T. Mullis, "Time optimal discrete regulator gains,"** *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control***, AC-17, 265–266, 1972.**
- **A. Saberi, P. Sannuti, and B.M. Chen,**  *H***2** *Optimal Control.* **London: Prentice-Hall, 1995.**
- **V. Kučera, "Deadbeat control, pole placement, and LQ regulation,"** *Kybernetika***, 35, 681–692, 1999.**
- **V. Kučera,** *Analysis and Design of Discrete Linear Control Systems***. London: Prentice-Hall, 1991.**
- **W.A. Wolovich,** *Linear Multivariable Systems***. New York: Springer, 1974.**

