# How to Make Your Graphics Stand Out



## What are different ways we can present data?



N SEPERATE OF













X-Y-Y Plot



- Correct use of data point symbols
  with error bars
- Kinetic fits color coded to match data point colors



 Good use of arrows and color to indicate corresponding y-axes

X-Y Plot with error bar and data fit and X-Y-Y plot showing how to identify corresponding y-axes Sources: Source: **DOI:** 10.1021/acs.biochem.9b00532 **DOI** 10.1021/acs.chemmater.5b01689

## **2-D Plots**



Two examples of high-quality 2-D contour plots Sources: **DOI** 10.1021/acs.jpca.9b04938, **DOI** 10.1021/acs.jpca.9b03592

## **Bar and Column Graph**



(A) Bar and (B) column graphs to present noncausal data Sources: 10.1021/acs.est.9b01609, 10.1021/acscatal.9b00601



Correct axis labeling

## Waterfall Plot

Initial state = 343 (+0.8090 eV)



Good use of contrasting colors in a waterfall plot Source: **DOI** 10.1021/acs.jpclett.6b00283 **3-D Plot** 



3D plot (surface plot) showing the functional relationship between one dependent variable and two independent variables. Source: DOI 10.1021/acs.jpclett.9b01522

## Histograms



**TIP:** "It is clear that the appearance of the histogram is fundamentally dependent on the bin width. The bin width must be chosen to be small enough to show the distribution as a discrete function but not too small as to capture only individual points and return the original data set." DOI: 10.1021/acs.chemmater.6b03430

All text in these histograms are complete and legible without having to enlarge the graphics. Note: The bin width can make the distributions look different Sources: **DOI** 10.1021/acs.chemmater9b02292, **DOI** 10.1021/jz501409q

## **Pie Charts**



- Labels are concise, easy to read
- Proportions are clearly identified

Clear presentation of data via pie charts. Source: DOI 10.1021/acs.est.9b01420

#### **Axis Offset for Multiple Data Set Presentation**



- Multiple spectra with shifted X- axis offset
- Provides a good visual distinction among the spectra



- Multiple spectra with yaxis offset
- Allows comparison of peak positions



- Multiple offset data with allow comparison of cyclic voltammograms
- Current quantified properly using scale bar

Multiple spectra in a single plot Sources: **DOI** 10.1021/jacs.8b04803, **DOI** 10.1021/acsnano.9b05157, **DOI** 10.1021/acsenergylett.6b00255

#### **Multiple Data Presentation - Graphs with Insets**



- Inset is used to show the analysis of the main set of traces
- All the text and linewidth in the inset are legible
- Correct representation of units in the main figure and inset

#### Good presentation of insets Sources: **DOI** 10.1021/jp071191w, **DOI** 10.1021/acs.jpcc.7b05207

- Distinguishable colors with clearly identified legends in both the main figure and inset
- The inset shows a related property of the main set of data

### **Multiple Data Presentation – Separate Panels**



Multipanels with related data. Data in panel **(B)** were obtained from the measurements in panel **(A)** (viz, recording spectra at different times and monitoring changes in absorbance Source: **DOI** 10.1021/jacs.6b04661

### **Multiple Data Presentation – Different Content**



Additional example of multiple panels presenting related data Source: **DOI** 10.1021/acsenergylett.9b00403

#### **Presenting Large Range of Data**



**Figure 22.** Present a large range of data values using a logarithmic and/or discontinuous scale Sources: **DOI** 10.1021/acsenergylett.8b01974, **DOI** 10.1021/jacs.9b04556

## **Data Identification**



Identifying data sets using legends, keys and labels. Three different ways to identify individual data sets and it avoids defining sets with colors. Sources: **DOI** 10.1021/acsenergylett.9b01280, **DOI** 10.1021/acs.langmuir.8b03551, **DOI** 10.1021/acsenergylett.9b01252

#### **Microscopy Images**



- The instrument-generated description is hard to read (A). A more legible scale bar was added (B)
- Alternatively, the scale bar dimension could be included in the caption.

Legible scale bars are essential in micrographs and images Source: **DOI** 10.1021/acsenergylett.8b00380

## What Happens when you do not pay attention?





Α

It is not clear what is the normalization factor or how these traces were normalized



- Absorbance and emission scales correctly represented on two different Y- axis
- Spectra are distinguishable

When to use an X-Y-Y plot rather than an X-Y plot. (A) Single Y-axis to represent different properties (B) Good use of dual Y-axes with distinguishable spectra. Sources: DOI 10.1021/acs.jpcc.8b11493, DOI: 10.1021/jp9050897



#### Panel A

- Aesthetically pleasing with distinguishable colors
- Bar diagram is appropriate and presents a nice comparison
- The offset angle, however, makes it difficult to estimate the magnitude of the bars

#### Panel B

- Color code used inconsistently between panels A and B
- Trendlines are misleading since there is no causality between the X- and Y-axes (i.e., there should be no connecting lines)

Good use of color, although inconsistent choice of color codes between panels Source: **DOI** 10.1021/acs.jpclett.9b01225

Α



- Bold and vibrant colors with individual labels makes traces easily identifiable
- Large fonts are easy to see
- Thick axis lines with well marked major and minor ticks



- Light colors makes the data difficult to differentiate
- Small fonts and thin lines are not helpful
- Colors too similar to distinguish

Why the choice of colors matters. **(A)** Selection of vibrant, distinguishable colors makes the data stand out. **(B)** Very similar colors make the data blend together. Source, panel A: **DOI** 10.1021/acsmaterialslett.9b00001



- Good collection of spectra with distinguishable colors
- Spectra not clearly identified or quantified

These multipanels are not self-explanatory. (You need to refer to the manuscript text to interpret them.) Source: **DOI**: 10.1021/acs.jpclett.9b01575

## What happens when you cram in too many panels with limited readability?



- Multipanels with unreadable data sets. Insets and additional panels make it difficult to comprehend
- Multipanels do not serve the purpose of communicating results with clarity

Multipanel graphic viewed at its published size. Can you read this without magnification? Source **DOI:** 10.1021/acsami.9b00439

#### Will presenting same data in different forms be useful?



- Panel C is from the same data set presented in panel B. It does not add any additional scientific information.
- Panel A y-axis Relative units on Y-axis would have been more
  - relevant. The shifted scale makes it difficult to gauge the changes.

Panels (A) and (B) present related data. Panels (B) and (C) do not provide any significantly different information. Source: DOI 10.1039/C9TC02635E



## When not to use a trend line or a fit



(A) Incorrect presentation of data since it lacks causality and (B) "fits" that are not really fits. Source: DOI 10.1021/acsenergylett.8b01942

#### Why use of proper units is important



 Units and scale are not expressed on Yscale

EtOH

EtOH@ 2.5 µmol H\_N-U-Arg-OH

15

10

Time (hr.)

I

20

• The data points and error bars provide no quantitative information

Check axis titles, units and scales for accuracy. The lack of typographical errors does not assure correctness.

Sources: DOI 10.1021/acs.jpcc.8b09706, DOI 10.1021/acsomega.9b01260



- Normalized units are unitless
- Wrong X-axis title

**DOI:** 10.1021/acs.nanolett.9b00387



Am I the only one confused about these units?

Getting the units right is not always easy. Source: **DOI** 10.1021/acsenergylett.9b01571



 The Y-axis representation of "Normalized intensity" does not apply to all traces (Either all traces should be normalized to a constant intensity value or the Y-axis should be labeled with Intensity (arbitrary or relative units)



- It is not clear how these spectra are normalized
- Offset Y-axis makes it difficult to compare spectra
- Inset is missing Y-axis title

The word "normalized" is frequently misused.

Sources: **DOI:** 10.1021/acs.jpcc.9b00494, **DOI** 10.1021/acs.jpcc.8b05188, 10.1021/acsmaterialslett.9b00001

С max.) (a) 0 min (a) 0 min 1.0 (b) 5 min (b) 5 min (c) 10 min (c) 10 min (normalized to (d) 15 mir (d) 15 min 0.8 (e) 20 mir (e) 20 min (f) 25 mir (f) 25 min 0.6 (g) 30 mi (g) 30 min (h) 35 mi (h) 35 min (i) 40 min (i) 40 min 0.4 (j) 45 min Intensity (i) 45 min (k) 50 min (k) 55 min (I) 55 min 0.2 (I) 55 min 500 550 600 550 450 500 600 Wavelength (nm) Wavelength (nm) Correct use of Y-axis units • Panel A represents relative units to compare the intensity Panel B displays normalized intensity to compare peak shift

**Other Important Considerations** 



Default format, "thin" double bonds, small font



Chemical structures drawn with ChemBioDraw. The lower panel was created using ACS Catalysis format, increasing the clarity Source: **DOI** 10.1021/cs400678e

Schemes and Illustrations





Good use of color and visualization in schemes and illustrations. Sources: **DOI** 10.1021/acsenergylett.9b00860, **DOI** 10.1021/jacs.9b02731





Well-drawn schemes present conceptual views to the intended disciplines' broader readership Sources: **DOI** 10.1021/acs.est.9b00399, **DOI** acsmedchemlett.9b00134



You be the judge to gauge the effectiveness of TOC graphics

- Can you predict what these articles are about?
- Can you even easily read the text in the graphic in order to find out?
- Are these representative of the quality of the graphics in the article?
- Which one(s) make you want to find out more the article(s)? Which was the first one to catch your eye?

Examples of Table of Contents/Abstract Graphics highlighting the importance of clarity and theme. Sources: **DOI** 10.1021/acscatal.9b01033, **DOI** 10.1021/jacs.8b05542, **DOI** 10.1021/acsaem.8b00539, **DOI** 10.1021/acsmacrolett.9b00296, **DOI** 10.1021/acsenergylett.7b00547, **DOI** 10.1021/acs.est.9b01469

