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14 TW Energy Challenge
Where we get our energy from?
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Oil is a finite resource; there are basic laws which describe the depletion of any finite resource:

Hubbert’s Peak

- Production starts at zero;
- Production then rises to a peak which can never be surpassed;
- Once the peak has been passed, production declines until the resource is depleted.

February 4, 1949, Vol 109

Energy from Fossil Fuels

M. King Hubbert, Associate Director, Exploration and Production Research Division, Shell Oil Company, Inc.
"Depletion has built a chasm between the Natural Scientist, who observes nature and applies its immutable physical laws, and the Flat-Earth Economist, who thinks that investment creates resources under ineluctable laws of supply and demand."

A growing number of oil-industry chieftains are endorsing an idea long deemed fringe: The world is approaching a practical limit to the number of barrels of crude oil that can be pumped every day.

Some predict that, despite the world's fast-growing thirst for oil, producers could hit that ceiling as soon as 2012.

Oil production has averaged a 2.3% annual growth rate since 1965.

Production from proven fields will decline 4.5% a year. Mr. Simmons* thinks a more realistic rate of decline is 8% to 10% a year especially because modern technology actually succeeds in depleting fields faster.

Since 1990, despite billions in new spending, the industry has found only one new field with the potential to top 500,000 barrels a day, Kazakhstan's Kashagan field in the Caspian Sea.

* Chairman of energy investment banking firm Simmons & Co. International. Exploration

http://online.wsj.com/article/SB119543677899797558.html?mod=todays_us_nonsub_page_one
Increasing demand is driving oil prices higher.

[Graph showing oil price trends from 2000 to 2008]
Can we sustain an exponential growth in energy demand?

Intensity of the Sun = $1.2 \times 10^5$ TW

Worldwide energy consumption $I_0 = 12$ TW (or 0.01% Sun)

Q. If demand for energy increases at a rate of 3% per year, how long it will take to match the energy that we receive from the sun?

We will have answer at the end of this presentation
The approach so far is to look for more fossil fuels!

IF WE NEED A NEW ENERGY SOURCE FOR THE FUTURE
I SUPPOSE WE COULD TRY DIGGING HERE!

www.solarsales.com.au
Why not Coal?

Coal provides nearly 50% of the electrical generating fuel in the United States and similar percentages apply around the world. Coal is more abundant than oil, if fact, coal reserves are far more abundant than oil reserves.

Coal burning is creating serious air pollution around the world, and with coal production rising with no end in sight, not just carbon dioxide but more immediate and deadly pollutants should be cleaned out of the burning process. This is why we need clean coal technology.

http://www.ecoworld.com/
Carbon Capture and Sequestration (CCS)
Involves capturing CO₂ gases and transporting them to geological storage sites

Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle (IGCC)
Involves CO₂ capture and lower emissions of SO₂ and NOₓ

Fluidized Bed Combustion (FBC) technology utilizes low grade, variable quality coal plus biomass and municipal waste to meet emissions requirements

Clean coal is feasible but expensive

The New York Times
Mounting Costs Slow the Push for Clean Coal
The city of Taichung in Taiwan is home to a power plant that emits more than 37 million tons of carbon dioxide into the atmosphere each year, the highest of any plant in the world.

Australia produces more carbon dioxide per capita through electricity generation than any other nation.

US power sector still produces the most carbon dioxide in terms of sheer volume.
Global warming over the past millennium

Very rapidly we have entered uncharted territory — what some call the anthropocene climate regime. Over the 20th century, human population quadrupled and energy consumption increased sixteenfold. Near the end of the last century, we crossed a critical threshold, and global warming from the fossil fuel greenhouse became a major, and increasingly dominant, factor in climate change. Global mean surface temperature is higher today than it’s been for at least a millennium.

…… Marty Hoffert  NYU
The United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change calls for “stabilization of greenhouse-gas concentrations in the atmosphere at a level that would prevent dangerous anthropogenic interference with the climate system . . .” A standard baseline scenario that assumes no policy intervention to limit greenhouse-gas emissions has 10 TW (10 x 10^{12} watts) of carbon-emission-free power being produced by the year 2050, equivalent to the power provided by all today’s energy sources combined.

.................NATURE, VOL 395, 881,1998
Three possible options for meeting the 10 TW-Challenge by 2050

Carbon Neutral Energy (fossil fuel in conjunction with carbon sequestration)
- Need to find secure storage for 25 billion metric tons of CO$_2$ produced annually (equal to the volume of 12500 km$^3$ or volume of lake superior!)

Nuclear Power
- Requires construction of a new one-gigawatt-electric (1-GW) nuclear fission plant everyday for the next 50 years

Renewable Energy Sources
- hydroelectric resource 0.5 TW
- from all tides & ocean currents 2 TW
- geothermal integrated over all the land area 12 TW
- globally extractable wind power 2-4 TW
- solar energy striking the earth 120,000 TW !!!
Effective Utilization of Solar Photons
Solar Energy

Thermal Conversion
Infrared Photons
- Heating
- Electricity Generation

Photoconversion
Energetic Visible Photons
\[ E = \frac{hc}{\lambda} = \frac{119627}{\lambda} \text{ (kJ/mole)} \]
- Photosynthesis
- Photovoltaics
- Food/Fuel
Photosynthesis
Photosynthesis

Photosynthesis is the process by which plants, some bacteria, and some protistans use the energy from sunlight to produce sugar, which cellular respiration converts into ATP, the "fuel" used by all living things. The conversion of unusable sunlight energy into usable chemical energy, is associated with the actions of the green pigment chlorophyll. Most of the time, the photosynthetic process uses water and releases the oxygen that we absolutely must have to stay alive.

$6H_2O + 6CO_2 \rightarrow C_6H_{12}O_6 + 6O_2$

http://www.emc.maricopa.edu/faculty/farabee/BIOBK/BioBookPS.html
**Alternative Fuels**

**Biodiesel** fuel use is on the rise.
- Made from natural, renewable sources (veg oils, animal fats).
- Can be used as pure fuel or blended with petroleum.

**Ethanol** is renewable, but currently more expensive than gasoline.
- Critics argue that it takes more energy to produce a gallon of ethanol than you will obtain from burning it.
Solar Hydrogen Production

Photoelectrochemical Production of Hydrogen

Light is Converted to Electrical + Chemical Energy

Solar-Driven Photoelectrochemical Water Splitting

Low surface area
Higher cost
Higher efficiency

Single Crystal Semiconductor

High surface area
Low cost
Low efficiency

Polycrystalline or nanostructured films
Solar-powered water electrolyzing hydrogen station is operating on an experimental basis since 2001 at Honda R&D Americas, Torrance, California.
Our Alternative Fuel Division has found a way to turn fresh water into fuel!

Wouldn't that turn the world into an uninhabitable wasteland in the long run?

Not if someone finds a way to turn oil into water.
Photovoltaics

The energy of the absorbed light is transferred to electrons in the atoms of the PV cell. With their newfound energy, these electrons escape from their normal positions in the atoms of the semiconductor PV material and become part of the electrical flow, or current, in an electrical circuit.

http://www1.eere.energy.gov/solar/multimedia.html
Timeline

1839
Edmond Becquerel discovered the process of using sunlight to produce an electric current in a solid material. But it took more than another century to truly understand this process. Scientists eventually learned that the photoelectric or photovoltaic (PV) effect caused certain materials to convert light energy into electrical energy at the atomic level.

1905
Albert Einstein publishes his paper on the photoelectric effect, along with a paper on his theory of relativity.
-Nobel Prize was awarded for this discovery in 1921

1954
Photovoltaic technology is born in the United States when Daryl Chapin, Calvin Fuller, and Gerald Pearson develop the silicon photovoltaic (or PV) cell at Bell Labs—the first solar cell capable of generating enough power from the sun to run everyday electrical equipment. Bell Telephone Laboratories then produces a silicon solar cell with 6% efficiency and later, 11% efficiency. See the California Solar Center for more information.

http://www.eere.energy.gov
1970
Exxon Corporation & Dr. Elliot Berman designs a significantly less costly solar cell, bringing the price down from $100 per watt to $20 per watt. Solar cells begin powering navigation warning lights and horns on offshore gas and oil rigs, and railroad crossings.

1980
ARCO Solar becomes the first company to produce more than 1 megawatt (a thousand kilowatts) of photovoltaic modules in one year.

1993
Pacific Gas & Electric installs the first grid-supported photovoltaic system in Kerman, California. The 500-kilowatt system is the first "distributed power" PV installation.

2001
Home Depot begins selling residential solar power systems in three stores in San Diego, California. A year later it expands sales to 61 stores nationwide.
Efficiency Compared with Cost Per Unit Area of PV Devices (The diagonal lines show installed 2001 price of modules per peak-watt. The theoretical limit for Shockley-Queisser devices [present limit] is 32 Third generation devices [shown in red] may exceed this limit by using multiple absorbers, hot carrier effects, or photocurrent doubling via impact ionization. The latter two phenomena are associated with quantum size effects in semiconductors and are being studied in semiconductor nanocrystals).

Wikipedia
Grid contacts on the top surface of a typical cell are designed to have many thin, conductive fingers spreading to every part of the cell's surface.
1 \text{ e}^{-} - \text{h}^{+} \text{ pair/photon}; \text{ full hot carrier relaxation}

\eta_{\text{max}} = 32\% \quad \text{(in radiative limit)}
Can Nanotechnology meet the clean energy challenge demand?
Emerging Areas

THIN Film Solar Cells
Organic Solar Cells
Dye Sensitized Solar Cells
Quantum Dot Solar Cells
The active polymer layer is sandwiched between two conducting electrodes. One of the electrodes is transparent to let the light in or out depending on the application. An additional conducting polymer layer, called PEDOT, is sometimes used to flatten the transport contact and help inject / carry positive charges in or out of the device.
See a NOVA CLIP from “SAVED BY THE SUN” (PBS Series)

http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/nova/programs/ht/wm/3405_06_220.html
Dye Sensitized Photochemical Solar Cells

Development of SC nanocluster based cells with more than 10% power conversion efficiency. Photon-to-photocurrent efficiency up to 100% has been claimed!

Source: http://dcwww.epfl.ch/icp/ICP-2/icp-2.html
Principle of Dye-sensitized Photochemical Solar Cell

Energy Research at Notre Dame

- Mimicking photosynthesis with the organized assembly of molecules
- Quantum dot solar cells
- Carbon nanotube architecture based solar cells & fuel cells
- Solar hydrogen production - photocatalysis

See: http://www.nd.edu/~pkamat/energyconversion.html
Quantum Dot Solar Cells
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Why photovoltaics make sense
1. Clean energy source.
2. Government or utility incentives
3. In 33 states, you can sell surplus power back to the utility.
4. If you live where it's possible to combine reasons 2 and 3, you actually might save money on the deal.

Will photovoltaics work on your house?
• The geographic location and its weather determine the amount of solar potential. More efficient at lower temperatures, PV panels' output is reduced by shorter days and lower sun angles; cloud cover reduces output by only 5% to 20%.
• A roof in North America should have a southern exposure and a slope of about 45°. Slopes between 15° and 60° are acceptable
• Any shade cast on the panels significantly reduces the entire system's output, uninterrupted exposure is best, especially between 10 a.m. and 3 p.m.

Don't forget the environmental benefits
• Next to global warming, acid rain, smog and pollution-related illnesses, the monetary savings of installing PV could be seen as secondary.
• Over the course of its life, a 2.4 kWh system such as the one mentioned above will decrease the burden on the environment by 70 tons of carbon dioxide, 810 lb. of sulfur oxide and 210 lb. of nitrogen oxide generated by conventional power plants.
Three types of commercially available PV silicon cells

Amorphous (noncrystalline) cells are made from a thin film deposited on various (even flexible) substrates. These cells have lower efficiency (5.5% to 7.5%) and shorter warranty. The thin-film technology does allow the cells to be used in building-integrated PV products, such as roof shingles made by Uni-Solar (www.unisolar.com).

Single crystalline cells are most efficient at solar conversion (12% to 15% conversion to electricity) and carry the longest warranty (usually 25 years).

Multicrystalline cells are easier to manufacture, but less efficient (11% to 14%) due to a lower-grade silicon. Prices for both single- and multi-crystalline cells are around $5.50 per watt generated; generally, multicrystalline costs slightly less.

http://www.taunton.com/finehomebuilding/how-to/articles/plugging-into-sun.aspx
The cost of PV-generated power is between 20¢ and 40¢ per kwh over the life of the system. Factors such as net-metering, rebates and tax credits can reduce the overall cost by as much as half.

Doing the math

• To size the system, divide daily power consumption by the average hours of full sun per day. Our sample house uses 30kwh per day, gets 4.5 hours of sun and would need a 6.67kw system (30kwh ÷ 4.5 hours = 6.67kw).

• At a national average cost of $6,000 per kw, this system would cost about $40,000.

• Over the course of 20 years (a conservative estimate of the life of a PV system), this house's system would generate 219,000kwh of power. At 8¢ per kwh, that's $17,520 worth of electricity.

After factoring in the value of the electricity generated, this PV system's net cost is $22,480. With California's $4,000 per kw subsidy, this system in Fresno would put $12,617 in the owner's pocket. See online calculator: www.eere.energy.gov/state_energy).
FRESNO, Calif., November 8, 2007
Chevron Energy Solutions, a unit of Chevron Corporation and Fresno State Univ., today announced the completion of a large-scale solar power installation at Fresno State that will supply 20 percent of the university's annual power needs.

The 1.1-megawatt solar system—the largest photovoltaic (PV)-paneled parking installation at a U.S. university—is expected to save Fresno State more than $13 million in avoided utility costs over its 30-year lifespan.

The 10 structures, which provide the only shaded parking on the campus, comprise 3,872 photovoltaic panels mounted on top of more than 700 carport stalls.

A California Solar Subdivision
Although solar power makes a tiny percent of California's total electricity, state incentives and consumer interest are changing the way homes are built.

http://video.on.nytimes.com/?fr_story=035f2ddec94b224429535e185c74579d6c1aede8
PV Land Area Requirements

1.2x10^5 TW of solar energy potential globally
Generating 1x10^1 TW with 10% efficient solar farms requires
1x10^2/1.2x10^5 = 0.08% of Globe = 4x10^{11} m^2 (i.e., 4.4 % U.S.A)
PV Land Area Requirements
US Overview
Mass-produced widely distributed PV arrays and wind turbines making electrolytic H₂ or electricity may eventually generate 10 to 30 TW emission-free. The global grid proposed by R. Buckminster Fuller with modern computerized load management and high-temperature superconducting (HTS) cables could transmit electricity from day to night locations.

Green Power for Victoria

The world’s longest undersea cable (290 km) is bringing energy generated from renewable sources on the island of Tasmania to the Australian continent. If necessary, the link, which was built by Siemens, will work in the opposite direction as well.

Thyristors for the interconnector between Australia and Tasmania. The 290-kilometer link carries 600 megawatts of power.

….. We choose to go to the moon and do the other things, not because they are easy, but because they are hard, because that goal will serve to organize and measure the best of our energies and skills, because that challenge is one that we are willing to accept, one we are unwilling to postpone, and one which we intend to win, and the others, too.

President John F. Kennedy, Rice University, Houston
September 12, 1962

http://webcast.rice.edu/speeches/19620912kennedy.html (See Video 9:30)
We need a similar vision to tackle the challenge of meeting clean energy demand.
Q.
If demand for energy increases at a rate of 3\% per year, how long it will take to match the energy we receive from sun?

\( I (\text{Sun}) = 1.2 \times 10^5 \text{ TW} \quad I_0 (\text{today}) = 12 \text{ TW} \quad (\text{or } 0.01\% \text{ Sun}) \)

Ans.

\( I = I_0 e^{kt} \quad \text{or} \quad \ln(I/I_0) = kt \quad \text{or} \ln (10^5) = kt \)

If \( k = 0.03 \text{ year}^{-1} \)

\( t = \ln(10^5) / 0.03 = 9.21 / 0.03 = 307 \text{ years}!!! \)
What will the future hold?

Over the last twenty years, the per-kWh price of photovoltaics has dropped from about $500 to nearly $5; think of what the next twenty years will bring.
Plugging into the Sun
Solar electricity was always a good idea. Is it finally affordable?
by Joe Provey

Twenty years ago, when Ronald Reagan tore Jimmy Carter's solar water heater from the White House roof and then took away tax credits for renewable energy, the solar-energy industry plummeted faster than dot-coms in the new millennium.

Thousands of small solar businesses disappeared overnight, and only a few survived.

In recent years, these surviving few have been joined by a new generation of solar-energy advocates who have the backing of state and federal energy departments and international companies like BP, Shell and Sharp, and are finding improved ways to put the sun to work.

http://www.taunton.com/finehomebuilding/how-to/articles/plugging-into-sun.aspx
New solar cell technology gets White House backing
By Mark Jewell, AP business writer
March 9, 2007

BOSTON — A company trying to harness energy from sunlight and interior light to wirelessly power everything from cell phones to signboards now has financial backing from the White House.

President Bush's program to help solar energy compete with conventional electricity sources will help fund Konarka Technologies' development of flexible plastic solar cell strips — material that could be embedded into the casings of laptop computers and even woven into power-producing clothing to energize digital media players or other electronics.

http://www.venturacountystar.com/vcs/business/article/0,1375,VCS_128_5404935,00.html

http://www.venturacountystar.com/vcs/business/article/0,1375,VCS_128_5404935,00.html
Photochemical Solar Cells

Each module 24 cm x 24 cm

AISIN & TOYOTA

Konarka

Konarka Builds Power Plastic That Converts Light To Energy – Anywhere.
Applications

........ From Households to Battlefields
WE ARE
#1 in Google “Light Energy Conversion”
The third technique, being developed by Prashant Kamat of the University of Notre Dame, Indiana, and his colleagues, uses that fashionable scientific tool, the carbon nanotube. This is a cylinder composed solely of carbon atoms, and one of its properties is good electrical conductivity. In effect, nanotubes act as wires a few billionths of a metre in diameter. …

Carbon Nanotubes could boost efficiency of solar cells -- Researchers at the University of Notre Dame in Indiana say they have found a new and promising way to boost the efficiency of solar cells. In preliminary studies, carbon nanotubes that were engineered into the architecture of semiconductor solar cells. In some cases, the efficiency of solar cells jumped from 5 percent to 10 percent in the presence of carbon nanotubes, according to Prashant Kamat, Ph.D., a professor of chemistry at the University.
"Move over Oil Slick Harry. Your energy guzzling days are over. It’s time for a cleaner more efficient heater like me!"

http://www.theliveredge.com/images/isw_cartoon.gif