

LAST TIME: exterior derivative $d: \Omega^p(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{p+1}(M)$

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot d^2 = 0 & \quad \cdot d(\alpha \wedge \beta) = d\alpha \wedge \beta + (-1)^p \alpha \wedge d\beta \\ \cdot d f = df & \quad \text{as a } p\text{-form as a function} \end{aligned}$$

locally: $\alpha = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_p} a_{i_1 \dots i_p}(x) dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_p} \Rightarrow d\alpha = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_p} da_{i_1 \dots i_p} \wedge dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_p}$

- if $F: M \rightarrow N$, $\alpha \in \Omega^p(N)$, then
 $d(F^*\alpha) = F^*(d\alpha)$



Lie derivative of a differential form

def Let X be a vector field on a manifold M and $\alpha \in \Omega^p(M)$ a p -form.

Lie derivative of α along X is defined as

$$L_X \alpha := \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \varphi_t^* \alpha$$

where $\varphi_t: U \rightarrow M$ - (local) flow generated by X .
 $\mathbb{R} \times M$

Proposition: Given a vector field X on M , there is a linear map

$$l_X: \Omega^p(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{p-1}(M) \quad (\text{the inner product, or contraction with } X, \text{ or substitution of } X)$$

such that

$$(i) l_X df = X(f)$$

$$(ii) l_X(\alpha \wedge \beta) = l_X \alpha \wedge \beta + (-1)^p \alpha \wedge l_X \beta \quad \text{if } \alpha \in \Omega^p$$

$\xrightarrow[p=0]{\quad} l_X(f \alpha) = f \cdot l_X \alpha \quad \xrightarrow{\quad} l_X(df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_p) = X(f_1) df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_p - df_2 \wedge X(f_2) \wedge \dots \wedge df_p + \dots + (-1)^{p-1} df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_{p-1} X(f_p)$

Ex: if $X = \sum_i a_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$, $\alpha = f dx_{i_1} \wedge dx_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_p}$ then

$$l_X \alpha = \sum_{(i), (ii)} f a_i dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_p} - f a_{i_2} dx_{i_1} \wedge dx_{i_3} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_p} + \dots \quad (\#)$$

$$\Rightarrow l_X(l_X \alpha) = f a_i a_{i_2} dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_p} - f a_{i_2} a_{i_3} dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_p} + \dots = 0$$

$$\underline{\mathcal{E}_{\infty}} \quad \alpha = dx \wedge dy \quad X = x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \quad \Rightarrow l_X \alpha = x dy - y dx.$$

(2)

Proof: $\Lambda^p V = (\text{alternating } p\text{-multilinear forms } \mu: \underbrace{V \times \dots \times V}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R})^*$ $= \text{Alt}^p(V)^*$

If $\mu: \underbrace{V \times \dots \times V}_{p-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ alt. multilin. form and $\xi \in V^*$, then

$$(\underbrace{\xi \mu}_{\in \text{Alt}^p(V)})(v_1, \dots, v_p) = \xi(v_1)\mu(v_2, \dots, v_p) - \xi(v_2)\mu(v_1, v_3, \dots, v_p) + \dots \quad (\# \#) \quad \text{- an alternating } p\text{-multilin. form on } V$$

If $\alpha \in \Lambda^p V$, define $(l_\xi \alpha)(\mu) := \alpha(\xi \mu)$.

Taking $V = T_x^* M$, $\xi = X_x \in V^* = T_x M$, we get the interior product;

$(\# \#) \Rightarrow (\#)$ \rightsquigarrow can compute the interior product.

□

Alternative (equivalent) definition of $l_X \alpha$.

already explained

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \alpha \in \mathcal{S}^p(M) \text{ can be seen as a map} \\ \alpha: \mathcal{X}(M) \times \dots \times \mathcal{X}(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M) \\ (X_1, \dots, X_p) \mapsto \alpha(X_1, \dots, X_p) \end{array} \right. \quad [\text{by a HW problem}]$$

$\mathcal{X}(M)$ = space of vector fields on M .

which is

- * skew-symmetric
- * $C^\infty(M)$ -linear in each argument

Then: $(l_X \alpha)(X_1, \dots, X_{p-1}) := \alpha(X, X_1, \dots, X_{p-1})$

↗ - a $(p-1)$ -form.

check: $\underset{p=1}{l_X} df = df(X) = X(f)$

from this construction of l_X , it is obvious that

$$l_X(l_X \alpha) = 0 \quad \alpha(X, X, \dots)$$

check Leibniz property: $(l_X(\alpha \wedge \beta))(X_1 \dots X_q) = (\alpha \wedge \beta)(X, X_1, \dots, X_q) = \alpha(X) \beta(X_1 \dots X_q) - \alpha(X_1) \beta(X, X_2, \dots, X_q) + \dots + \alpha(X_2) \beta(X, X_1, X_3, \dots, X_q) - \dots$

$$(l_X \alpha \wedge \beta - \alpha \wedge l_X \beta)(X_1 \dots X_q) = \alpha(X) \beta(X_1 \dots X_q) - \alpha(X_1) \beta(X, X_2 \dots X_q) + \alpha(X_2) \beta(X, X_1, X_3, \dots, X_q) - \dots$$

already explained

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda^p T_x^+ & \quad (\alpha_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_p)(X_1, \dots, X_p) := \sum_{\sigma \in S_p} \text{sign} \in \alpha_1(X_{\sigma(1)}) \dots \alpha_p(X_{\sigma(p)}) \\ & \quad " \\ \text{Alt}(T_x^* \times \dots \times T_x^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R})^* & \quad \text{1-forms} \\ \simeq \text{Alt}(T_x \times \dots \times T_x \rightarrow \mathbb{R}) & \end{aligned}$$

$$(\alpha \wedge \beta)(X_1 \dots X_{p+q}) = \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S_p \\ \tau \in S_q}} \text{sign}(\sigma) \alpha(X_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, X_{\sigma(p)}) \beta(X_{\tau(p+1)}, \dots, X_{\tau(p+q)})$$

(anti-symmetrically) permutations in S_{p+q} s.t.
 $\sigma(1) < \dots < \sigma(p)$,
 $\tau(p+1) < \dots < \tau(q)$

so, at a point, a p -form eats p tangent vectors and spits out a number!

Proposition For α a p-form on M and X a vector field,

the Lie derivative is:

$$L_X \alpha = d(L_X \alpha) + L_X(d\alpha)$$

Proof

Denote $\text{rhs} = R_X(\alpha) = dL_X \alpha + L_X d\alpha$. • R_X maps p-forms to p-forms

We have: $R_X(d\alpha) = dL_X d\alpha + L_X dd\alpha = dR_X(\alpha)$ ← R_X commutes with d .

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet R_X(\alpha \wedge \beta) &= R_X \alpha \wedge \beta + \alpha \wedge R_X \beta & - \text{ since } L_X(\alpha \wedge \beta) &= L_X \alpha \wedge \beta + (-1)^p \alpha \wedge L_X \beta \\ &&& d(\alpha \wedge \beta) &= d\alpha \wedge \beta + (-1)^p \alpha \wedge d\beta \end{aligned}$$

on the other hand, $\varphi_t^*(d\alpha) = d\varphi_t^*(\alpha) \Rightarrow L_X d\alpha = \frac{d}{dt} L_X \alpha \Big|_{t=0}$

$$\varphi_t^*(\alpha \wedge \beta) = \varphi_t^*(\alpha) \wedge \varphi_t^*(\beta) \Rightarrow L_X(\alpha \wedge \beta) = L_X \alpha \wedge \beta + \alpha \wedge L_X \beta \quad \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0}$$

So: L_X and R_X - preserve degree, commute with d , satisfy Leibnitz identity ^(is same)

⇒ for $\alpha = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_p} \alpha_{i_1 \dots i_p}(x) dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_p}$ L_X and R_X agree if they agree on functions.

$$R_X f = L_X df = X(f) = \underbrace{\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} f(\varphi_t)}_{\text{by def. of a flow of } X} = L_X(f)$$

□



de Rham cohomology

* Motivation from Calc 3: if $U \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ simply-connected, then $\text{curl } \vec{v} = 0$ implies $\vec{v} = \text{grad } f$ for some f

In the language of diff. forms:

$\alpha = df \Rightarrow d\alpha = 0$. But if U is simply-connected, it is an equivalence!

$$f \in \Omega^0(U), \alpha \in \Omega^1(U)$$

Def The p -th de Rham cohomology group of a manifold M is the quotient vector space:

$$H^p(M) = \frac{\ker d: \Omega^p(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{p+1}(M)}{\text{im } d: \Omega^{p-1}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^p(M)}$$

Rem . "group" here = vector space / \mathbb{R}

- $H^0(M) = \{ \text{locally constant functions} \}$
 $df = 0$

If M connected, $H^0(M) \cong \mathbb{R}$

If M has N connected components, $H^0(M) \cong \mathbb{R}^N$

- Claim: If M compact,

$H^p(M)$ is a finite-dimensional vector space.

[← without proof]

Def A form $\alpha \in \Omega^p(M)$ is "closed" if $d\alpha = 0$

Form α is "exact" if $\alpha = d\beta$ for some $\beta \in \Omega^{p-1}(M)$

So, $H^p = \frac{\{\text{closed } p\text{-forms}\}}{\{\text{exact } p\text{-forms}\}}$

closed p -form $\alpha \longmapsto [\alpha] \in H^p$

$[\alpha'] = [\alpha] \iff \alpha' - \alpha = d\beta$ for some β .

Proposition de Rham cohomology groups of an n -dimensional manifold M have the following properties:

① $H^p(M) = 0$, $p > n$

② for $a \in H^p(M)$, $b \in H^q(M)$, there is a bilinear product $ab \in H^{p+q}(M)$ satisfying $ab = (-1)^{pq} ba$

③ If $F: M \rightarrow N$ a smooth map, it defines a natural linear map

$F^*: H^p(N) \rightarrow H^p(M)$ which commutes with the product.

Proof ① - clear since $\Lambda^{>n} T^* = 0$. ✓

② if $a = [\alpha]$, $b = [\beta]$, set $ab = [\alpha \wedge \beta]$

Ω^p_{closed} Ω^q_{closed}

Need to check that $\alpha \wedge \beta$ is closed: $d(\alpha \wedge \beta) = \underline{d\alpha \wedge \beta} + (-1)^p \alpha \wedge \underline{d\beta} = 0$

(5)

$\Rightarrow \alpha \wedge \beta$ defines a cohomology class.

- need to check that it is well-defined: suppose we choose another representative for α , $\alpha' = \alpha + d\gamma$. Then $\alpha' \wedge \beta = (\alpha + d\gamma) \wedge \beta = \alpha \wedge \beta + \underbrace{d\gamma \wedge \beta}_{d(\gamma \wedge \beta)} -$ in the same cohomology class as $\alpha \wedge \beta$

changing $\beta \mapsto \beta' = \beta + d\delta$ - similarly. ✓

$$\textcircled{3} \quad F^* [\alpha] := \underbrace{[F^* \alpha]}_{\Omega^p(M)}$$

$\cdot F^* \alpha$ closed $\Rightarrow [F^* \alpha]$ defined

$$\cdot \alpha \mapsto \alpha + d\gamma \underset{\equiv \alpha'}{\Rightarrow} \underbrace{[F^* \alpha']}_{F^* \alpha + dF^* \gamma} = [F^* \alpha]$$

so, the operation
is well-defined

$$F^*(\alpha \wedge \beta)$$

$$F^* \overset{''}{[\alpha \wedge \beta]} = [F^* (\alpha \wedge \beta)] = [F^* \alpha \wedge F^* \beta]$$

$$[F^* \alpha] [F^* \beta]$$

- so, F^* respects the product

✓

□

We say that $F: M \times [a, b] \rightarrow N$ is smooth if it is a restriction of $\tilde{F}: M \times (a-\varepsilon, b+\varepsilon) \rightarrow N$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$

Theorem Let $F: M \times [0, 1] \rightarrow N$ be a smooth map. Set $F_t(x) = F(x, t)$

and consider the induced map on de Rham cohomology $F_t^*: H^p(N) \rightarrow H^p(M)$.

Then : $F_1^* = F_0^*$.