

**INTERMEDIATE GEOMETRY AND TOPOLOGY EXERCISES 5,
9/24/2021.**

1. (Chern character) For L a complex line bundle over M ,¹ define the *Chern character* as the following element in the rational cohomology of the base

$$\text{ch}(L) := 1 + c_1(L) + \frac{1}{2!}c_1(L)^2 + \frac{1}{3!}c_1(L)^3 + \dots = e^{c_1(L)} \in H^\bullet(M; \mathbb{Q})$$

Here by Chern classes we understand the image of usual Chern classes (living in $H^\bullet(M; \mathbb{Z})$) in $H^\bullet(M; \mathbb{Q})$ under the inclusion of coefficients $\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$.

For a complex vector bundle over M splitting as a sum of line bundles, $E = L_1 \oplus \dots \oplus L_k$, set

$$\text{ch}(E) := e^{c_1(L_1)} + \dots + e^{c_1(L_k)} \in H^\bullet(M; \mathbb{Q})$$

- (a) Show that for vector bundles E, E' over M , each splitting as a sum of line bundles, one has²

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad \text{ch}(E \oplus E') &= \text{ch}(E) + \text{ch}(E') \\ (2) \quad \text{ch}(E \otimes E') &= \text{ch}(E) \text{ch}(E') \end{aligned}$$

(Note that this is different from the behavior of the total Chern class which instead satisfies $c(E \oplus E') = c(E)c(E')$ and has no nice compatibility with tensor products in general).

- (b) Consider the ring of symmetric polynomials $\text{Sym}_k := \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_k]^{S_k}$ of k variables x_1, \dots, x_k . Elementary symmetric polynomials $\sigma_r = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq k} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_r}$

with $r = 1, \dots, k$ are known to freely generate Sym_k (as a ring over \mathbb{Q}). Consider the polynomials $s_p := \sum_{i=1}^k (x_i)^p$ - sum of p -th powers of variables, $p = 1, 2, \dots$. Show that the first few s_p polynomials have the following expressions in terms of elementary ones:

$$s_1 = \sigma_1, \quad s_2 = \sigma_1^2 - 2\sigma_2, \quad s_3 = \sigma_1^3 - 3\sigma_1\sigma_2 + 3\sigma_3$$

Generally, s_p is a polynomial $F_p(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k)$ in σ_r 's, with coefficients independent of k - why?

¹For this problem we are free to choose between smooth category and topological category. E.g. we can choose the latter and say that M is just a topological space and all relevant vector bundles are in topological category (continuous local trivializations and transition functions).

²Recall that for line bundles we know the property $c_1(L \otimes L') = c_1(L) + c_1(L')$.

- (c) Show that for a complex vector bundle E splitting as a sum of line bundles, one has³

$$(3) \quad \text{ch}(E) = k + c_1(E) + \frac{1}{2!}(c_1(E)^2 - 2c_2(E)) + \\ + \frac{1}{3!}(c_1(E)^3 - 3c_1(E)c_2(E) + 3c_3(E)) + \dots$$

where the term in $H^{2i}(M; \mathbb{Q})$ is $F_i(c_1(E), \dots, c_k(E))$, with polynomials F_p as in (1b)

- (d) Show that for E, E' two complex vector bundles of ranks k, k' , each splitting as a sum of line bundles, one has

$$(4) \quad c_1(E \otimes E') = k' c_1 + k c'_1, \\ (5) \quad c_2(E \otimes E') = \frac{(k')^2 - k'}{2} c_1^2 + \frac{k^2 - k}{2} (c'_1)^2 + (kk' - 1)c_1 c'_1 + k' c_2 + k c'_2$$

where in the r.h.s. c_i, c'_i stand for the Chern classes of E and E' respectively.

- (e) *Splitting principle* asserts that for any (complex or real) vector bundle E over M , one can find a space X and a map $f: X \rightarrow M$ such that

- The bundle f^*E over X splits as a Whitney sum of line bundles.
- The pullback map in cohomology $f^*: H^\bullet(M) \rightarrow H^\bullet(X)$ is injective.

Define the Chern character for any complex vector bundle E over M (not necessarily splitting into line bundles) by the formula (3). Using the splitting principle, show that it satisfies (1), (2).⁴

Also, show that expressions (4), (5) for Chern classes of a tensor product hold for E, E' which do not necessarily split into line bundles.

2. Prove that the infinite-dimensional sphere⁵ S^∞ is contractible – find an explicit homotopy between the identity map $S^\infty \rightarrow S^\infty$ and a constant map $S^\infty \rightarrow \text{pt} \in S^\infty$.

³Recall that for $E = L_1 \oplus \dots \oplus L_k$, the total Chern class is, by the multiplicativity property, $c(E) = (1 + c_1(L_1)) \cdots (1 + c_1(L_k)) = 1 + \sigma_1(c_1(L_1), \dots, c_1(L_k)) + \dots + \sigma_k(c_1(L_1), \dots, c_1(L_k))$.

⁴It might be useful as an intermediate step to show that an equivalent definition of $\text{ch}(E)$ is: let $f: X \rightarrow M$ be the map guaranteed by the splitting principle and let $f^*E = L_1 \oplus \dots \oplus L_k$ be the corresponding splitting over X . Then $\text{ch}(E) \in H^\bullet(M; \mathbb{Q})$ is uniquely defined (why uniquely?) by $f^*\text{ch}(E) = e^{c_1(L_1)} + \dots + e^{c_1(L_k)}$.

⁵We define S^∞ as the set of sequences $(x_1, x_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^\infty$ where only finitely many x_i 's can be nonzero (which is our notion of \mathbb{R}^∞) and where $\sum_i x_i^2 = 1$; S^∞ comes with the direct limit topology, $S^\infty = \varinjlim S^n$ under equatorial inclusions $S^n \hookrightarrow S^{n+1}$.