Using Stata 8 for OLS Regression

Introduction. Stata is a popular alternative to SPSS, especially for more advanced statistical techniques. This handout summarizes most of the points we cover in Stats I about using Stata for OLS regression, along with a few additional points. It assumes understanding of the statistical concepts that are presented.

Rather than specify all options at once, like you do in SPSS, in Stata you often give a series of commands. In some ways, this is more tedious, but it also gives you flexibility in that you don’t have to rerun the entire analysis if you think of something else you want. As the Stata 8 User’s Guide says (p. 39) “The user-interface model is type a little, get a little, etc. so that the user is always in control.”

For the most part, I find that either Stata or SPSS can give me the results I want, but there are some tasks that can be done more easily in one program than the other. For example, I personally prefer to do most of my database manipulation in SPSS and then convert the file to Stata, but that is partly because I am much more familiar with the SPSS commands than their Stata counterparts. Conversely, Stata’s statistical commands are generally far more logical and consistent (and sometimes more powerful) than their SPSS counterparts. Luckily, with the separate Stat Transfer program, it is very easy to convert SPSS files to Stata and vice-versa.

Get the data. First, open the previously saved data set. (Stata, of course, also has means for entering, editing and otherwise managing data.) These can include files saved on the web.

```
use http://www.nd.edu/~rwilliam/stats1/statafiles/reg01.dta, clear
```

Descriptive statistics. There are various ways to get descriptive statistics in Stata. Since you are using different commands, you want to be careful that you are analyzing the same data throughout, e.g. missing data could change the cases that get analyzed. The `correlate` command below uses listwise deletion of missing data, which is the same as what the `regress` command does, i.e. a case is deleted if it is missing data on any of the variables in the analysis.

```
. correlate income educ jobexp race, means
( obs=20 )
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>income</td>
<td>24.415</td>
<td>9.788354</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>48.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>educ</td>
<td>12.05</td>
<td>4.477723</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>jobexp</td>
<td>12.65</td>
<td>5.460625</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>race</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>.5129892</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>income</th>
<th>educ</th>
<th>jobexp</th>
<th>race</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>income</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>educ</td>
<td>0.8457</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>jobexp</td>
<td>0.2677</td>
<td>-0.1069</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>race</td>
<td>-0.5676</td>
<td>-0.7447</td>
<td>0.2161</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Regression. Use the regress command for OLS regression (you can abbreviate it as reg). Specify the DV first followed by the IVs. By default, Stata will report the unstandardized (metric) coefficients.

```
. regress income educ jobexp race
```

```
Source |       SS       df       MS              Number of obs =      20
-------------+------------------------------           F(  3,    16) =   29.16
Model |  1538.92019     3  512.973396           Prob > F      =  0.0000
Residual |  281.505287    16  17.5940804           R-squared     =  0.8454
-------------+------------------------------           Adj R-squared =  0.8164
Total |  1820.42548    19  95.8118671           Root MSE      =  4.1945

------------------------------------------------------------------------------
income |      Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
     educ |   1.981124   .3231024     6.13   0.000     1.296178     2.66607
    jobexp |   .6419622   .1811106     3.54   0.003     .2580248      1.0259
     race |   .5707931   2.871949     0.20   0.845    -5.517466    6.659052
    _cons |  -7.863763   5.369166    -1.46   0.162    -19.24589    3.518362
------------------------------------------------------------------------------
```

Confidence Interval. If you want to change the confidence interval, use the level parameter:

```
. regress income educ jobexp race, level(99)
```

```
Source |       SS       df       MS              Number of obs =      20
-------------+------------------------------           F(  3,    16) =   29.16
Model |  1538.92019     3  512.973396           Prob > F      =  0.0000
Residual |  281.505287    16  17.5940804           R-squared     =  0.8454
-------------+------------------------------           Adj R-squared =  0.8164
Total |  1820.42548    19  95.8118671           Root MSE      =  4.1945

------------------------------------------------------------------------------
income |      Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [99% Conf. Interval]
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
     educ |   1.981124   .3231024     6.13   0.000     1.037413    2.924835
    jobexp |   .6419622   .1811106     3.54   0.003     .1129776    1.170947
     race |   .5707931   2.871949     0.20   0.845    -7.817542    8.959128
    _cons |  -7.863763   5.369166    -1.46   0.162    -23.54593     7.8184
------------------------------------------------------------------------------
```

As an alternative, you could use the set level command before regress:

```
. set level 99
. regress income educ jobexp race
```
Standardized coefficients. To get the standardized coefficients, add the beta parameter:

```
. regress income educ jobexp race, beta
```

Source |       SS       df       MS              Number of obs =      20
-------------+------------------------------           F(  3,    16) =   29.16
Model |  1538.92019     3  512.973396           Prob > F      =  0.0000
Residual |  281.505287    16  17.5940804           R-squared     =  0.8454
-------------+------------------------------           Adj R-squared =  0.8164
Total |  1820.42548    19  95.8118671           Root MSE      =  4.1945

------------------------------------------------------------------------------
income |      Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|                     Beta
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
educ |   1.981124   .3231024     6.13   0.000                 .9062733
jobexp |   .6419622   .1811106     3.54   0.003                 .3581312
race |   .5707931   2.871949     0.20   0.845                 .0299142
_cons |  -7.863763   5.369166    -1.46   0.162                        .
------------------------------------------------------------------------------

[NOTE: I won’t discuss it here, but the listcoef command, which is part of Long and Freese’s spostado package of routines (from within Stata type findit spostado to find and install it) provides alternative ways of standardizing variables.]

Incidentally, you do not have to repeat the entire command when you change a parameter (indeed, if the data set is large, you don’t want to repeat the entire command, because then Stata will redo all the calculations.) The last three regressions could have been executed via the commands

```
. regress income educ jobexp race
. regress, level(99)
. regress, beta
```

Also, if you just type regress Stata will “replay” (print out again) your earlier results.

VIF & Tolerances. Use the vif command to get the variance inflation factors (VIFs) and the tolerances (1/VIF). vif is one of many post-estimation commands. You run it AFTER running a regression. It uses information Stata has stored internally.

```
. vif
```

```
Variable |       VIF       1/VIF
-------------+----------------------
race |      2.34    0.426622
educ |      2.26    0.442403
jobexp |      1.06    0.946761
-------------+----------------------
Mean VIF |      1.89
```
Hypothesis testing. Stata has some very nice hypothesis testing procedures; indeed I think it has some big advantages over SPSS here. Again, these are post-estimation commands; you run the regression first and then do the hypothesis tests. To test whether the effects of educ and/or jobexp differ from zero (i.e. to test $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0$), use the `test` command:

```
. test educ jobexp
   ( 1)  educ = 0
   ( 2)  jobexp = 0
   F(  2,    16) =   27.07
   Prob > F =    0.0000
```

The `test` command does what is known as a Wald test. In this case, it gives the same result as the incremental F tests we cover in Stats I. We’ll discuss Wald tests in Stats II and when they are and are not the optimal way of testing hypotheses.

If you want to test whether the effects of educ and jobexp are equal, i.e. $\beta_1 = \beta_2$,

```
. test educ=jobexp
   ( 1)  educ - jobexp = 0
   F(  1,    16) =   12.21
   Prob > F =    0.0030
```

If you want to see what the coefficients of the constrained model are, add the `coef` parameter:

```
. test educ=jobexp, coef
   ( 1)  educ - jobexp = 0
   F(  1,    16) =   12.21
   Prob > F =    0.0030
```

Constrained coefficients

|        | Coef.   | Std. Err.  | z       | P>|z|   | [95% Conf. Interval] |
|--------|---------|------------|---------|-------|---------------------|
| educ   | .9852105| .1521516   | 6.48    | 0.000 | .6869989 - 1.283422 |
| jobexp | .9852105| .1521516   | 6.48    | 0.000 | .6869989 - 1.283422 |
| race   | -6.692116| 1.981712   | -3.38   | 0.001 | -10.5762 -2.808031  |
| _cons  | 3.426358| 4.287976   | 0.80    | 0.424 | -4.977921 11.83064  |

The `testparm` and `cnsreg` commands can also be used to achieve the same results. Stata also has other commands (e.g. `testnl`) that can be used to test even more complicated hypotheses.
Alternatively, Paul Bern’s user-written `hireg` routine will compute incremental F-tests. If you don’t already have it on your machine, the Stata command `ssc install hireg` will get it for you. The `hireg` command is particularly handy if you are estimating a series/hierarchy of models and want to see the regression results for each one. To again test whether the effects of `educ` and/or `jobexp` differ from zero (i.e. to test \( \beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0 \)), the `hireg` command would be

```
.hireg income (race) (educ jobexp), nomiss
```

NoMissing option specified, 0 observations not used.

Model 1:
Variables in Model:
  Adding : race

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>Number of obs = 20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>586.444492</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>586.444492</td>
<td>F( 1, 18) = 8.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>1233.98098</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>68.5544991</td>
<td>Prob &gt; F = 0.0090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1820.42548</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>95.8118671</td>
<td>R-squared = 0.3221</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| income   | Coef.   | Std. Err. | t    | P>|t| | [95% Conf. Interval] |
|----------|---------|-----------|------|------|----------------------|
| race     | -10.83  | 3.702823  | -2.92| 0.009| -18.60934 -3.050657   |
| _cons    | 29.83   | 2.618291  | 11.39| 0.000| 24.32917 35.33083    |

R-Square Diff. Model 2 - Model 1 = 0.523  F(2,16) = 27.068  p = 0.000

Model R2  F(df)  p  R2 change  F(df) change  p
1: 0.322 8.554(1,18) 0.009
2: 0.845 29.156(3,16) 0.000 0.523 27.068(2,16) 0.000
Although optional, when using `hireg` I recommend always adding the `nomiss` parameter, which causes any observation having a missing value for any variable specified in the command to be dropped (i.e. it forces listwise deletion of cases with missing data). Otherwise the models could be estimated using different cases. See the `hireg` online help for other options of possible interest.

**Partial and SemiPartial Correlations.** There is a separate Stata routine, `p corr`, which gives the partial correlations but not the semipartials. I wrote a routine, `p corr2`, which gives both the partial and semipartial correlations. (NOTE: Semipartial correlations are also sometimes called part correlations.) If you don’t already have the program installed on your machine, from within Stata the commands `findit p corr2` or, better yet, `ssc install p corr2` can get it for you.

```
. p corr2 income educ jobexp race
```

(obs=20)

Partial and Semipartial correlations of income with

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Partial</th>
<th>SemiP</th>
<th>Partial^2</th>
<th>SemiP^2</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>educ</td>
<td>0.8375</td>
<td>0.6028</td>
<td>0.7015</td>
<td>0.3634</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>jobexp</td>
<td>0.6632</td>
<td>0.3485</td>
<td>0.4399</td>
<td>0.1214</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>race</td>
<td>0.0496</td>
<td>0.0195</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.845</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Stepwise Regression.** The `sw` command lets you do stepwise regression and can be used with many commands besides `regress`. Here is how to do backwards stepwise regression. Use the `pr` (probability for removal) parameter to specify how significant the coefficient must be to avoid removal. Note that SPSS is better if you need more detailed step by step results.

```
. sw regress income educ jobexp race, pr(.05)
```

begin with full model

p = 0.8450 >= 0.0500  removing race

```
Source | SS       | df | MS | Number of obs = 20
-------|---------|----|----|-------------------
Model  | 1538.22521| 2  | 769.112605 F( 2, 17) = 46.33
Residual | 282.200265| 17 | 16.6000156 Prob > F = 0.0000
Total  | 1820.42548| 19 | 95.8118671 R-squared = 0.8450
```

```
| income | Coef. | Std. Err. | t | P>|t| | [95% Conf. Interval] |
|--------|-------|-----------|---|-----|---------------------|
| educ   | 1.933393| .2099494  | 9.21| 0.000| 1.490438 2.376347 |
| jobexp | .6493654| .1721589  | 3.77| 0.002| .2861417 1.012589 |
| _cons  | -7.096855| 3.626412  | -1.96| 0.067| -14.74791 .5542051|
```
To do forward stepwise instead, use the `pe` (probability for entry) parameter to specify the level of significance for entering the model.

```
. sw regress income educ jobexp race, pe(.05)
```

```
begin with empty model
p = 0.0000 <  0.0500  adding   educ
p = 0.0015 <  0.0500  adding   jobexp
```

```
Source |       SS       df       MS              Number of obs =      20
-------------+------------------------------           F(  2,    17) =   46.33
Model |  1538.22521     2  769.112605           Prob > F      =  0.0000
Residual |  282.200265    17  16.6000156           R-squared     =  0.8450
-------------+------------------------------           Adj R-squared =  0.8267
Total |  1820.42548    19  95.8118671           Root MSE      =  4.0743

------------------------------------------------------------------------------
income |      Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
   educ |   1.933393   .2099494     9.21   0.000     1.490438    2.376347
  jobexp |   .6493654   .1721589     3.77   0.002     .2861417    1.012589
     _cons |  -7.096855   3.626412    -1.96   0.067    -14.74791    .5542051
------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Sample Selection. In SPSS, you can use `Select If` or `Filter` commands to control which cases get analyzed. Stata also has a variety of means for handling sample selection. One of the most common ways is the use of the `if` parameter on commands. So if, for example, we only wanted to analyze whites, we could type

```
. reg  income educ jobexp if race==0
```

```
Source |       SS       df       MS              Number of obs =      10
-------------+------------------------------           F(  2,     7) =   18.39
Model |  526.810224     2  263.405112           Prob > F      =  0.0016
Residual |  100.250763     7  14.3215375           R-squared     =  0.8401
-------------+------------------------------           Adj R-squared =  0.7944
Total |  627.060987     9   69.673443           Root MSE      =  3.7844

------------------------------------------------------------------------------
income |      Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
   educ |   2.459518   .5265393     4.67   0.002      1.21445    3.704585
  jobexp |   .5314947   .2216794     2.40   0.048     .0073062    1.055683
     _cons | -13.91281   7.827619    -1.78   0.119    -32.42219    4.596569
------------------------------------------------------------------------------
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Separate Models for Groups. Or, suppose we wanted to estimate separate models for blacks and whites. In SPSS, we could use the Split File command. In Stata, we can use the by command (data must be sorted first if they aren’t sorted already):

```stata
. sort race
. by race: reg income educ jobexp
```

<p>| -&gt; race = white |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Source | SS | df | MS | Number of obs = 10 |
| Model | 526.810224 | 2 | 263.405112 | F( 2, 7) = 18.39 |
| Residual | 100.250763 | 7 | 14.3215375 | Prob &gt; F = 0.0016 |
| Total | 627.060987 | 9 | 69.673443 | R-squared = 0.8401 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adj R-squared = 0.7944</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>income</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>educ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>jobexp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_cons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-&gt; race = black</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adj R-squared = 0.6546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>income</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>educ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>jobexp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>_cons</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As an alternative, rather than using separate sort and by commands, you could use bysort:

```stata
. bysort race: reg income educ jobexp
```

Analyzing Means, Correlations and Standard Deviations in Stata. Sometimes you might want to replicate or modify a published analysis. You don’t have the original data, but the authors have published their means, correlations and standard deviations. SPSS lets you input and analyze these directly. In Stata, you must first create a pseudo-replication (my term, explained in a moment) of the original data. You use Stata’s corr2data command for this.
For example, in their classic 1985 paper, “Ability grouping and contextual determinants of educational expectations in Israel,” Shavit and Williams examined the effect of ethnicity and other variables on the achievement of Israeli school children. There are two main ethnic groups in Israel: the Ashkenazim - of European birth or extraction - and the Sephardim, most of whose families immigrated to Israel during the early fifties from North Africa, Iraq, and other Mid-eastern countries. Their variables included:

X1 - Ethnicity (SPHRD) - a dummy variable coded 1 if the respondent or both his parents were born in an Asian or North African country, 0 otherwise
X2 - Parental Education (PARED) - A scale which ranges from a low of 0 to a high of 1.697
X3 - Scholastic Aptitude (APTD) - A composite score based on seven achievement tests.
Y - Grades (GRADES) - Respondent's grade-point average during the first trimester of eighth grade. This scale ranges from a low of 4 to a high of 10.

Shavit and Williams’ published analysis included the following information for students who were ability grouped in their classes.

Descriptive Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sephardim</td>
<td>.44000</td>
<td>.50000</td>
<td>10609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parental Education Scale</td>
<td>.82000</td>
<td>.46000</td>
<td>10609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scholastic Aptitude</td>
<td>6.46000</td>
<td>2.11000</td>
<td>10609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade Point Average</td>
<td>7.12000</td>
<td>1.42000</td>
<td>10609</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sephardim</th>
<th>Parental Education Scale</th>
<th>Scholastic Aptitude</th>
<th>Grade Point Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>-.590</td>
<td>-.460</td>
<td>-.260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parental Education Scale</td>
<td>-.590</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>.530</td>
<td>.330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scholastic Aptitude</td>
<td>-.460</td>
<td>.530</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>.720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade Point Average</td>
<td>-.260</td>
<td>.330</td>
<td>.720</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To create a pseudo-replication of this data in Stata, we do the following. (I find that entering the data is most easily done via the `input matrix` by hand submenu of `Data`).

```
* First, input the means, sds, and correlations
matrix input Mean = (.44,.82,6.46,7.12)

matrix input SD = (.5,.46,2.11,1.42)

matrix input Corr = (1.00,-.59,-.46,-.26\-.59,1.00,.53,.33\-.46,.53,1.00,.72\-.26,.33,.72,1.00)

* Now use corr2data to create a pseudo-simulation of the data
corr2data sphrd pared aptd grades, n(10609) means(Mean) corr(Corr) sds(SD)
```

(obs 10609)
* Label the variables
label variable sphrd "Sephardim"
label variable pared "Parental Education Scale"
label variable aptd "Scholastic Aptitude"
label variable grades "Grade Point Average"
* Confirm that all is well
corr sphrd pared aptd grades, means

(obs=10609)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sphrd</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-1.339484</td>
<td>2.122911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pared</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>-1.092856</td>
<td>2.57268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aptd</td>
<td>6.46</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>-1.667998</td>
<td>14.04385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grades</td>
<td>7.12</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>2.49931</td>
<td>11.74549</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>sphrd</th>
<th>pared</th>
<th>aptd</th>
<th>grades</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sphrd</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pared</td>
<td>-0.5900</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aptd</td>
<td>-0.4600</td>
<td>0.5300</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grades</td>
<td>-0.2600</td>
<td>0.3300</td>
<td>0.7200</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As you can see, the new data set has the same means, correlations and standard deviations as the original data. You can now use the `regress` command to analyze these data. HOWEVER, several cautions should be kept in mind.

- THESE ARE NOT THE REAL DATA!!! The most obvious indication of this is that sphrd is not limited to values of 0 and 1. These data will work fine for correlational/regression analysis where you analyze different sets of variables. But, you should not try to analyze subsets of the data, recode variables, or compute new variables. (With SPSS, you simply input the means, correlations and standard deviations and it can handle things from there; an advantage of this is that it is more idiot-proof than an analysis of data created by `corr2data` is.) This is why I call the data a pseudo-replication of the original.

- Even if you have entered the data correctly, you may not be able to perfectly replicate published results. Simple rounding in the published results (e.g. only reporting correlations to 2 or 3 decimal places) can cause slight differences. More critically, because of missing data, subsample analyses, and other reasons, cases examined are not always the same throughout an analysis, e.g. 10609 cases might be analyzed in one regression, 10274 might be analyzed in another, etc. For example, if you regress grades on pared, sphrd, and aptd you get results that are very close, but not identical, to those reported by Shavit and Williams on p.71, Table 4 of their paper. If you get results that are very different, then the cases used to compute the correlations may be very different from the cases analyzed in that portion of the paper. (Either that, or you’ve entered the data wrong.)
Adding to Stata. SPSS is pretty much a closed-ended program. If it doesn’t have what you want already built-in, you are out of luck. With Stata, however, it is possible to write your own routines which add to the functionality of the program. Further, many such routines are publicly available and can be easily installed on your machine. I’ve often found that something that SPSS had and Stata did not could be added to Stata. For example, Stata does NOT have a built-in command for computing semipartial correlations. To see if such a routine exists, from within Stata you can type

\texttt{findit semipartial}

The \texttt{findit} command will give you listings of programs that have the keyword semipartial associated with them. It will also give you FAQs and Stata help associated with the term. Among the things that will pop up is my very own \texttt{pcorr2}, which is an enhanced version of Stata’s \texttt{pcorr} command in that it computes both partial and semipartial correlations (\texttt{pcorr} only does partial correlations). Usually a routine includes a brief description and you can view its help file. Sometimes routines are part of a package of related routines and you install the entire package. Once you have found a routine that sounds like what you want, you can easily install it. You can also easily uninstall if you decide you do not want it.

A couple of cautions:

- User written routines are not officially supported by Stata. Indeed, it is entirely possible that such a routine has bugs or gives incorrect results, at least under certain conditions. Most of the routines I have installed seem to work fine, but I have found a few problems. You might want to double-check results against SPSS or published findings.
- If a command works on one machine but not another, it is probably because that command is not installed on both machines. For example, if the \texttt{pcorr2} command was not working, type \texttt{findit pcorr2} and then install it on your machine. (A possible complication is that you may find newer and older versions of the same command, and you may even find two different commands with the same name. So, check to make sure you are getting what you think you are getting. If you ever write your own routine, I suggest you try something like \texttt{findit myprog} to make sure somebody isn’t already using the name you had in mind.)

Other Comments.

- Unlike SPSS, Stata is picky about case, e.g. \texttt{findit pcorr2} works, \texttt{Findit pcorr2} does not. \texttt{Income}, \texttt{income} and \texttt{INCOME} would all be different variable names.
- Stata 8 added a menu-structure that made it more SPSS-like. This can be very handy for commands you are not familiar with. For commands I know, however, I generally find it easier just to type the command in directly.
- Although not as essential as they used to be, I find it helpful to install the StataQuest routines. If not already installed, type \texttt{findit quest7} and install \texttt{quest1}, \texttt{quest2} and \texttt{quest3}. Then, from within Stata, the command \texttt{quest on} will activate a Stataquest submenu under the User menu that has various helpful features.
- There are various other options for the \texttt{regress} command and several other post-estimation commands that can be useful. We’ll talk about more of these in Stats II.