NOTE: The routines spost13 and extremes are used in this handout. Use the findit command to locate and install them. See related handouts for the statistical theory underlying logistic regression and for SPSS examples. Most but not all of the commands shown in this handout will also work in earlier versions of Stata, but the syntax is sometimes a little different. The output may also look a little different in different versions of Stata.

This handout will just go over the commands. Other handouts explain the theory and methods.

Commands. Stata and SPSS differ a bit in their approach, but both are quite competent at handling logistic regression. With large data sets, I find that Stata tends to be far faster than SPSS, which is one of the many reasons I prefer it.

Stata has various commands for doing logistic regression. They differ in their default output and in some of the options they provide. My personal favorite is logit.

```
. use "https://www3.nd.edu/~rwilliam statafiles/logist.dta", clear
. logit grade gpa tuce psi
```

```
Iteration 0:   log likelihood =  -20.59173
Iteration 1:   log likelihood = -13.496795
Iteration 2:   log likelihood = -12.929188
Iteration 3:   log likelihood = -12.889941
Iteration 4:   log likelihood = -12.889633
Iteration 5:   log likelihood = -12.889633

Logit estimates                                   Number of obs   =         32
LR chi2(3)      =      15.40
Prob > chi2     =     0.0015
Log likelihood = -12.889633                       Pseudo R2       =     0.3740

------------------------------------------------------------------------------
  grade |      Coef.   Std. Err.      z    P>|z|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
gpa |   2.826113   1.262941     2.24   0.025     .3507938    5.301432
tuce |   .0951577   .1415542     0.67   0.501    -.1822835    .3725988
psi |   2.378688   1.064564     2.23   0.025       .29218    4.465195
   _cons |  -13.02135   4.931325    -2.64   0.008    -22.68657    -3.35613
------------------------------------------------------------------------------
```

Note that the log likelihood for iteration 0 is $LL_0$, i.e. it is the log likelihood when there are no explanatory variables in the model - only the constant term is included. The last log likelihood reported is $LL_M$. From these we easily compute

$$DEV_0 = -2LL_0 = -2 \times -20.59173 = 41.18$$
$$DEV_M = -2LL_M = -2 \times -12.889633 = 25.78$$

Also note that the default output does not include $\exp(b)$. To get that, include the $\exp$ parameter (or = odds ratios = $\exp(b)$).
Using Stata 11 & higher for Logistic Regression

.logit  grade gpa tuce psi, or nolog

Logistic regression                               Number of obs   =         32
LR chi2(3)      =      15.40
Prob > chi2     =     0.0015
Log likelihood = -12.889633                       Pseudo R2       =     0.3740
------------------------------------------------------------------------------
grade | Odds Ratio   Std. Err.      z    P>|z|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
gpa |   16.87972   21.31809     2.24   0.025     1.420194    200.6239
tuce |   1.099832   .1556859     0.67   0.501     .8333651    1.451502
psi |   10.79073   11.48743     2.23   0.025     1.339344    86.93802
    _cons |   2.21e-06   .0000109    -2.64   0.008     1.40e-10      .03487
------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Or, you can use the logistic command, which reports exp(b) (odds ratios) by default:

.logistic grade gpa tuce psi

Logistic regression                               Number of obs   =         32
LR chi2(3)      =      15.40
Prob > chi2     =     0.0015
Log likelihood = -12.889633                       Pseudo R2       =     0.3740
------------------------------------------------------------------------------
grade | Odds Ratio   Std. Err.      z    P>|z|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
gpa |   16.87972   21.31809     2.24   0.025     1.420194    200.6239
tuce |   1.099832   .1556859     0.67   0.501     .8333651    1.451502
psi |   10.79073   11.48743     2.23   0.025     1.339344    86.93802
    _cons |   2.21e-06   .0000109    -2.64   0.008     1.40e-10      .03487
------------------------------------------------------------------------------

[Note: Starting with Stata 12, the exponentiated constant is also reported]. To have logistic instead give you the coefficients,

.logistic grade gpa tuce psi, coef

Logistic regression                               Number of obs   =         32
LR chi2(3)      =      15.40
Prob > chi2     =     0.0015
Log likelihood = -12.889633                       Pseudo R2       =     0.3740
------------------------------------------------------------------------------
grade |      Coef.   Std. Err.      z    P>|z|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
gpa |   2.826113   1.262941     2.24   0.025     .3507938    5.301432
tuce |   .0951577   .1415542     0.67   0.501    -.1822835    .3725988
psi |   2.378688   1.064564     2.23   0.025     .29218    4.465195
    _cons | -13.02135   4.931325    -2.64   0.008    -22.68657    -3.35613
------------------------------------------------------------------------------

There are various other options of possible interest, e.g. just as with OLS regression you can specify robust standard errors, change the confidence interval and do stepwise logistic regression.
You can further enhance the functionality of Stata by downloading and installing spost13 (which includes several post-estimation commands). Use the findit command to get these. The rest of this handout assumes these routines are installed, so if a command isn’t working, it is probably because you have not installed it.

Hypothesis testing. Stata makes you go to a little more work than SPSS does to make contrasts between nested models. You need to use the estimates store and lrtest commands. Basically, you estimate your models, store the results under some arbitrarily chosen name, and then use the lrtest command to contrast models. Let’s run through a sequence of models:

```
. * Model 0: Intercept only
. quietly logit grade
. est store M0

. * Model 1: GPA added
. quietly logit grade gpa
. est store M1

. * Model 2: GPA + TUCE
. quietly logit grade gpa tuce
. est store M2

. * Model 3: GPA + TUCE + PSI
. quietly logit grade gpa tuce psi
. est store M3

. * Model 1 versus Model 0
. lrtest M1 M0
```

```
   likelihood-ratio test     LR chi2(1) =  8.77
   (Assumption: M0 nested in M1)  Prob > chi2 = 0.0031
```

```
. * Model 2 versus Model 1
. lrtest M2 M1
```

```
   likelihood-ratio test     LR chi2(1) =  0.43
   (Assumption: M1 nested in M2)  Prob > chi2 = 0.5096
```

```
. * Model 3 versus Model 2
. lrtest M3 M2
```

```
   likelihood-ratio test     LR chi2(1) =  6.20
   (Assumption: M2 nested in M3)  Prob > chi2 = 0.0127
```

```
. * Model 3 versus Model 0
. lrtest M3 M0
```

```
   likelihood-ratio test     LR chi2(3) =  15.40
   (Assumption: M0 nested in M3)  Prob > chi2 = 0.0015
```

Also note that the output includes z values for each coefficient (where z = coefficient divided by its standard error). SPSS reports these values squared and calls them Wald statistics. Technically, Wald statistics are not considered 100% optimal; it is better to do likelihood ratio tests, where you estimate the constrained model without the parameter and contrast it with the unconstrained model that includes the parameter.

You can also use the test command for hypothesis testing, but the Wald tests that are estimated by the test command are considered inferior to estimating separate models and then doing LR chi-square contrasts of the results.
. test psi

( 1) psi = 0

    chi2(  1) =    4.99
    Prob > chi2 =    0.0255

Also, Stata 9 added the nestreg prefix. This makes it easy to estimate a sequence of nested models and do chi-square contrasts between them. The lr option tells nestreg to do likelihood ratio tests rather than Wald tests. This can be more time-consuming but is also more accurate. The store option is optional but, in this case, will store the results of each model as m1, m2, etc. This would be handy if, say, you wanted to do a chi-square contrast between model 3 and model 1.

. nestreg, lr store(m): logit grade gpa tuce psi

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Block</th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>LR</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Pr &gt; LR</th>
<th>AIC</th>
<th>BIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-16.2089</td>
<td>8.77</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0031</td>
<td>36.4178</td>
<td>39.34928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-15.99148</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5096</td>
<td>37.98296</td>
<td>42.38017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-12.88963</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0127</td>
<td>33.77927</td>
<td>39.64221</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

. lrtest m3 m1

Likelihood-ratio test
(Assumption: m1 nested in m3)

LR chi2(2) = 6.64
Prob > chi2 = 0.0362

Also, you don’t have to enter variables one at a time; by putting parentheses around sets of variables, they will all get entered in the same block.

. nestreg, lr: logit grade gpa (tuce psi)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Block</th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>LR</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Pr &gt; LR</th>
<th>AIC</th>
<th>BIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-16.2089</td>
<td>8.77</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0031</td>
<td>36.4178</td>
<td>39.34928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-12.88963</td>
<td>6.64</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0362</td>
<td>33.77927</td>
<td>39.64221</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that AIC and BIC are reported. These are also useful statistics for comparing models, but I won’t talk about them in this handout. Adding the stats option to lrtest will also cause these statistics to be reported, e.g.
R² analogs and goodness of fit measures. Although it is not clearly labeled, the Pseudo R² reported by Stata is McFadden’s R², which seems to be the most popular of the many alternative measures that are out there. One straightforward formula is

\[
Pseudo \, R² = 1 - \frac{LL_L}{LL_0} = 1 - \frac{-12.889633}{-20.59173} = 1 - .625961636 = .374
\]

You can also get a bunch of other pseudo R² measures and goodness of fit statistics by typing `fitstat` (part of the `spost13` routines) after you have estimated a logistic regression:

```
. fitstat
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>logit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Log-likelihood</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>-12.890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept-only</td>
<td>-20.592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chi-square</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deviance (df=28)</td>
<td>25.779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LR (df=3)</td>
<td>15.404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McFadden</td>
<td>0.374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McFadden (adjusted)</td>
<td>0.180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McKelvey &amp; Zavoina</td>
<td>0.544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cox-Snell/ML</td>
<td>0.382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cragg-Uhler/Nagelkerke</td>
<td>0.528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efron</td>
<td>0.426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tjur's D</td>
<td>0.429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>0.813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count (adjusted)</td>
<td>0.455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIC</td>
<td>33.779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIC divided by N</td>
<td>1.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIC (df=4)</td>
<td>39.642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>3.290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y-star</td>
<td>7.210</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To get the equivalent of SPSS’s classification table, you can use the `estat clas` command (`lstat` also works). This command shows you how many cases were classified correctly and incorrectly, using a cutoff point of 50% for the predicted probability.

```
. lstat

Logistic model for grade

-------- True --------
Classified | D | ~D | Total
-----------+-------------------------------+-----------
  + | 8 | 3 | 11
  - | 3 | 18 | 21
-----------+-------------------------------+-----------
Total | 11 | 21 | 32

Classified + if predicted Pr(D) >= .5
True D defined as grade != 0

--------------------------------------------------
Sensitivity                     Pr( +| D)   72.73%
Specificity                     Pr( -|~D)   85.71%
Positive predictive value       Pr( D| +)   72.73%
Negative predictive value       Pr(~D| -)   85.71%
--------------------------------------------------
False + rate for true ~D        Pr( +|~D)   14.29%
False - rate for true D         Pr( -| D)   27.27%
False + rate for classified +   Pr(~D| +)   27.27%
False - rate for classified -   Pr( D| -)   14.29%
--------------------------------------------------
Correctly classified                        81.25%
--------------------------------------------------
```

Predicted values. Stata makes it easy to come up with the predicted values for each case. You run the logistic regression, and then use the `predict` command to compute various quantities of interest to you.

```
. quietly logit grade gpa tuce psi

. * get the predicted log odds for each case
. predict logodds, xb

. * get the odds for each case
. gen odds = exp(logodds)

. * get the predicted probability of success
. predict p, p
```
. list grade gpa tuce psi logodds odds p

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>grade</th>
<th>gpa</th>
<th>tuce</th>
<th>psi</th>
<th>logodds</th>
<th>odds</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2.727399</td>
<td>.0653891</td>
<td>.0613758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-2.080255</td>
<td>.1248984</td>
<td>.1110308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-3.685518</td>
<td>.0250842</td>
<td>.0244704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-3.627206</td>
<td>.0265904</td>
<td>.0259016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-3.603596</td>
<td>.0272256</td>
<td>.026504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-3.600734</td>
<td>.0273037</td>
<td>.026578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1.142986</td>
<td>.3188653</td>
<td>.2417725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-3.469803</td>
<td>.0566651</td>
<td>.053624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-3.320985</td>
<td>.0361172</td>
<td>.0348582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-3.215453</td>
<td>.0401371</td>
<td>.0385883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.8131546</td>
<td>.4434569</td>
<td>.3072187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2.912093</td>
<td>.0543618</td>
<td>.051559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2.870596</td>
<td>.0566651</td>
<td>.053624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2.760413</td>
<td>.0632657</td>
<td>.0595013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.075504</td>
<td>.927276</td>
<td>.481133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.166004</td>
<td>1.12367</td>
<td>.5291171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-2.079284</td>
<td>.1250196</td>
<td>.1111266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.363438</td>
<td>1.438266</td>
<td>.5898724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.5555431</td>
<td>1.742887</td>
<td>.6354207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.6667984</td>
<td>1.947991</td>
<td>.6607859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.467914</td>
<td>.2304057</td>
<td>.1872599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.450027</td>
<td>.234564</td>
<td>.1899974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.429278</td>
<td>.2394817</td>
<td>.1932112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.7434988</td>
<td>.4754475</td>
<td>.3222395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.645563</td>
<td>5.183929</td>
<td>.8382905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.670963</td>
<td>5.317286</td>
<td>.8417042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.751095</td>
<td>5.760909</td>
<td>.8520909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.5710702</td>
<td>5.649205</td>
<td>.3609899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.252283</td>
<td>9.509419</td>
<td>.9048473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.2814147</td>
<td>1.325003</td>
<td>.569893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.850418</td>
<td>17.295</td>
<td>.9453403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.8165872</td>
<td>2.262764</td>
<td>.6335114</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hypothetical values. Stata also makes it very easy to plug in hypothetical values. One way to do this in Stata 11 or higher is with the margins command (with older versions of Stata you can use adjust). We previously computed the probability of success for a hypothetical student with a gpa of 3.0 and a tuce score of 20 who is either in psi or not in psi. To compute these numbers in Stata,
. * Probability of getting an A
. quietly logit grade gpa tuce i.psi
. margins psi, at(gpa = 3 tuce = 20)

This hypothetical, about average student would have less than a 7% chance of getting an A in the traditional classroom, but would have almost a 44% chance of an A in a psi classroom.

Now, consider a strong student with a 4.0 gpa and a tuce of 25:

. margins psi, at(gpa = 4 tuce = 25)

This student has about a 2/3 chance of an A in a traditional classroom, and a better than 95% chance of an A in psi.

If you want the log odds instead of the probabilities, give commands like
. margins psi, at(gpa = 4 tuce = 25) predict(xb)

Adjusted predictions Number of obs = 32
Model VCE : OIM

Expression : Linear prediction (log odds), predict(xb)
at : gpa = 4
tuce = 25

------------------------------------------------------------------------------
|            Delta-method
|     Margin   Std. Err.      z    P>|z|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
psi |          
0  |   .6620453   1.037809     0.64   0.524    -1.372022    2.696113
1  |   3.040733   1.287859     2.36   0.018     .5165768    5.564889
------------------------------------------------------------------------------

To get the odds, you need to exponentiate the log odds. You can do that via

. margins psi, at(gpa = 4 tuce = 25) expression(exp(predict(xb)))

Adjusted predictions Number of obs = 32
Model VCE : OIM

Expression : exp(predict(xb))
at : gpa = 4
tuce = 25

------------------------------------------------------------------------------
|            Delta-method
|     Margin   Std. Err.      z    P>|z|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
psi |          
0  |   1.938754   2.012055     0.96   0.335    -2.004802     5.88231
1  |  20.92057   26.94274     0.78   0.437    -31.88622    73.72737
------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Long & Freese’s spost commands provide several other good ways of performing these sorts of tasks; see, for example, the mtable and mchange commands.

Stepwise Logistic Regression. This works pretty much the same way it does with OLS regression. However, by adding the lr parameter, we force Stata to use the more accurate (and more time-consuming) Likelihood Ratio tests rather than Wald tests when deciding which variables to include. (Note: stepwise is available in earlier versions of Stata but the syntax is a little different.)
. sw, pe(.05) lr: logit grade gpa tuce psi

LR test: begin with empty model
p = 0.0031 < 0.0500  adding gpa
p = 0.0130 < 0.0500  adding psi

Logistic regression                             Number of obs =         32
LR chi2(2) =      14.93
Prob > chi2 =     0.0006
Log likelihood = -13.126573                    Pseudo R2 =     0.3625

-------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------
   grade |      Coef.   Std. Err.      z    P>|z|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------
      gpa |   3.063368    1.22285     2.51   0.012     .6666251     5.46011
      psi |   2.337776   1.040784     2.25   0.025     .2978755    4.377676
     _cons |  -11.60157   4.212904    -2.75   0.006    -19.85871   -3.344425
-------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------

Diagnostics. The predict command lets you compute various diagnostic measures, just like it did with OLS. For example, the predict command can generate a standardized residual. It can also generate a deviance residual (the deviance residuals identify those cases that contribute the most to the overall deviance of the model.) [WARNING: SPSS and Stata sometimes use different formulas and procedures for computing residuals, so results are not always identical across programs.]

. * Generate predicted probability of success
   . predict p, p

. * Generate standardized residuals
   . predict rstandard, rstandard

. * Generate the deviance residual
   . predict dev, deviance

. * Use the extremes command to identify large residuals
   . extremes rstandard dev p grade gpa tuce psi

| obs: | rstandard     dev     p grade gpa tuce psi |
|------|-------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 27.  | -2.541286   -1.955074 .8520909 0  3.51  26 1 |
| 18.  | -1.270176   -1.335131 .5898724 0  3.12  23 1 |
| 16.  | -1.128117   -1.227311 .5291171 0  3.1   21 1 |
| 28.  | -.817158    -.9463985 .3609899 0  3.53  26 0 |
| 24.  | -.7397601   -.8199993 .3222395 0  3.57  23 0 |
| 19.  | .8948758    .9523319 .6354207 1  3.39  17 1 |
| 30.  | 1.060433    1.060478 .569893  1  4    21 0 |
| 15.  | 1.222325    1.209638 .481133  1  2.83  27 1 |
| 23.  | 2.154218    1.813269 .1932112 1  3.26  25 0 |
|  2.  | 3.033444    2.096639 .1110308 1  2.39  19 1 |

The above results suggest that cases 2 and 27 may be problematic. Several other diagnostic measures can also be computed.
Multicollinearity. Multicollinearity is a problem of the X variables, and you can often diagnose it the same ways you would for OLS. Phil Ender’s `collin` command is very useful for this:

```
collin gpa tuce psi if !missing(grade)
```

Robust standard errors. If you fear that the error terms may not be independent and identically distributed, e.g. heteroscedasticity may be a problem, you can add the `robust` parameter just like you did with the `regress` command.

```
logit grade gpa tuce psi, robust
```

Iteration 0: log pseudo-likelihood =  -20.59173
Iteration 1: log pseudo-likelihood = -13.496795
Iteration 2: log pseudo-likelihood = -12.929188
Iteration 3: log pseudo-likelihood = -12.889941
Iteration 4: log pseudo-likelihood = -12.889633
Iteration 5: log pseudo-likelihood = -12.889633

Logit estimates

| Coef. | Std. Err. | z       | P>|z|    | [95% Conf. Interval] |
|-------|-----------|---------|--------|----------------------|
| gpa   | 2.826113  | 1.287828| 2.19   | 0.028                | .3020164 5.35021 |
| tuce  | .0951577  | .1198091| 0.79   | 0.427                | -.1396639 .3299793 |
| psi   | 2.378688  | .9798509| 2.43   | 0.015                | .4582152 4.29916 |
| _cons | -13.02135 | 5.280752| -2.47  | 0.014                | -23.37143 -2.671264 |

Note that the standard errors have changed very little. However, Stata now reports “pseudo-likelihoods” and a Wald chi-square instead of a likelihood ratio chi-square for the model. I won’t try to explain why. Stata will surprise you some times with the statistics it reports, but it generally seems to have a good reason for them (although you may have to spend a lot of time reading through the manuals or the online FAQs to figure out what it is.)

Additional Information. Long and Freese’s `spost13` routines include several other commands that help make the results from logistic regression more interpretable. Their book is very good:


The notes for my Soc 73994 class, Categorical Data Analysis, contain a lot of additional information on using Stata for logistic regression and other categorical data techniques. See

https://www3.nd.edu/~rwilliam/xsoc73994/index.html