Brief Introduction to Generalized Linear Models

Richard Williams, University of Notre Dame, https://www3.nd.edu/~rwilliam/ Last revised August 23, 2024

The purpose of this handout is to briefly show that several seemingly unrelated models are actually all special cases of the generalized linear model. (Indeed, I think most of these techniques were initially developed without people realizing they were interconnected.) We will also briefly introduce the use of factor variables and the margins command, both of which will be used heavily during the course.

THE GENERALIZED LINEAR MODEL:

$$G(E(Y)) = \alpha + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_k X_{ik}$$

Where G(E(Y)) is some function of the <u>expected</u> value of Y and Y ~ F (i.e. Y has some sort of distribution, e.g. normal, binomial, logistic, etc.) G is referred to as the link function, while F is the distributional family. NOTE: I'm using notation similar to that used by the Stata 13 reference manual when describing the glm command; but rather than E(Y), E(Y|X) might be more precise.

MODEL 1: OLS REGRESSION

$$E(Y) = \alpha + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_k X_{ik}$$

- . use https://www3.nd.edu/~rwilliam/statafiles/glm-reg, clear
- . regress income educ jobexp i.black

Source	SS	df	MS		Number of obs F(3, 496)		500 787.14
Model Residual	33206.4588 6974.79047		068.8196		Prob > F R-squared Adj R-squared	=	0.0000 0.8264 0.8254
Total	40181.2493	499 80	.5235456		Root MSE		3.7499
income	Coef.	Std. Err	. t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Int	cerval]
educ jobexp 1.black _cons	1.840407 .6514259 -2.55136 -4.72676	.0467507 .0350604 .4736266 .9236842	39.37 18.58 -5.39 -5.12	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	1.748553 .5825406 -3.481921 -6.541576	-1	.932261 7203111 .620798

Note that

• The notation i.black tells Stata that black is a categorical variable. In this case, it doesn't affect the results (since black is already coded 0/1) but it would matter if the variable had more than 2 categories. In effect, Stata will create the dummy variables

- for you. Even more critically, post-estimation commands like margins work better when they know which variables are continuous and which are categorical.
- Y has, or can have, a *normal/Gaussian* distribution. Alternatively, you can use regression if Y | X has a normal distribution (or equivalently, if the residuals have a normal distribution and other OLS assumptions are met). That is, the distributional "family" for Y is normal/Gaussian.
- We predict E(Y). E(Y) is in the same units as Y. Alternatively, G(E(Y)) = E(Y). In this case G(E(Y)) is the *identity* link function. Hence, using the glm command,

. glm income educ jobexp i.black, family(gaussian) link(identity)

```
Iteration 0: \log \text{ likelihood} = -1368.3316
                                                       No. of obs = 500 Residual df = 496
Generalized linear models
Optimization : ML
                                                       Scale parameter = 14.06208
Deviance = 6974.790467
Pearson = 6974.790467
                                                       (1/df) Deviance = 14.06208
                                                       (1/df) Pearson = 14.06208
Variance function: V(u) = 1
                                                        [Gaussian]
Link function : q(u) = u
                                                        [Identity]
                                                        AIC
                                                                        = 5.489327
Log likelihood = -1368.331633
                                                                        = 3892.345
                                                        BIC
         1
                       OIM
     income | Coef. Std. Err. z P>|z| [95% Conf. Interval]
______
     educ | 1.840407 .0467507 39.37 0.000 1.748777 1.932036

jobexp | .6514259 .0350604 18.58 0.000 .5827087 .7201431

1.black | -2.55136 .4736266 -5.39 0.000 -3.479651 -1.623069

_cons | -4.72676 .9236842 -5.12 0.000 -6.537147 -2.916372
```

MODEL 2: LOGISTIC REGRESSION. The *logistic regression model (LRM)* (also known as the logit model) can then be written as

$$\ln \frac{P(Y_i = 1)}{1 - P(Y_i = 1)} = \ln \frac{E(Y_i)}{1 - E(Y_i)} = \ln(Odds_i) = \alpha + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_k X_{ik} = Z_i$$

The above is referred to as the *log odds* and also as the *logit*. Zi is sometimes used as a convenient shorthand for $\alpha + \Sigma \beta_k X_{ik}$.

. use $\verb|https://www3.nd.edu/~rwilliam/statafiles/glm-logit, clear| \\$

. logit grade gpa tuce i.psi, nolog

Note that

- When y is a dichotomy, it does not have a normal distribution; rather it has a *binomial* distribution (family binomial)
- The left hand side is not E(Y), nor is the left-hand side in the same units as Y. The left hand side is expressed in log odds. We predict G(E(Y)), where G is the *logit* link function. Hence, expressing this as a GLM,

. glm grade gpa tuce i.psi, family(binomial) link(logit) nolog

```
No. of obs = 32
Residual df = 28
Scale parameter = 1
Generalized linear models
Optimization : ML
Deviance = 25.77926693
Pearson = 27.25711646
                                                                  (1/df) Deviance = .9206881
                                                                   (1/df) Pearson = .9734684
Variance function: V(u) = u*(1-u)
                                                                   [Bernoulli]
Link function : q(u) = \ln(u/(1-u))
                                                                    [Logit]
                                                                    AIC
                                                                                        = 1.055602
Log likelihood = -12.88963347
                                                                                        = -71.26134
                                                                    BIC
                                   OIM
        grade | Coef. Std. Err. z P>|z| [95% Conf. Interval]
_______

    gpa |
    2.826113
    1.262941
    2.24
    0.025
    .3507937
    5.301432

    tuce |
    .0951577
    .1415542
    0.67
    0.501
    -.1822835
    .3725988

    1.psi |
    2.378688
    1.064564
    2.23
    0.025
    .29218
    4.465195

    _cons |
    -13.02135
    4.931324
    -2.64
    0.008
    -22.68657
    -3.356129
```

See the Appendix for a few additional examples of GLMs. In particular, the Appendix shows that even a simple crosstab is an example of a Generalized Linear Model! Other GLMs will be discussed during the semester.

Stata's glm program can estimate many models – OLS regression, logit, loglinear and count. It can't do ordinal regression or multinomial logistic regression, but I think that is mostly just a limitation of the program, as these are considered GLMS too. Part of this gap is filled by my oglm program (ordinal generalized linear models). All in all, glm can estimate about 25

different combinations of link functions and families (many of which I have no idea why you would want to use them!) In most cases you don't want to use glm because there are specialized routines which work more efficiently and which add other bells and whistles. But, this does serve to illustrate how several seemingly unrelated models are all actually special cases of a more general model.

Appendix: Other GLM Examples

MODEL 3: CROSS-CLASSIFIED DATA (loglinear model; in this specific case, model of independence). Consider a simple 2-way cross-classification of data.

. use https://www3.nd.edu/~rwilliam/statafiles/glm-cat, clear
(Categorical Case II - Tests of Association)

. tab female dem [fw=freq], chi2 lrchi2 expected

	dem	l	
female	0 Rep	- '	Total
0 Male	•	55 63.0	120 120.0
1 Female	30 38.0	50 42.0	80 80.0
Total	95 95.0	105 105.0	200
-	1 10 (1)	F 2467	D., 0 0

As the chi-square statistics indicate, gender and party affiliation are not independent of each other; females are more likely to be Democrats than are men.

This is probably one of the first things you learned in introductory stats. What you may not have learned is that this can also be written as a loglinear model:

$$ln(Expected_Cell_Frequency) = \alpha + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_k X_{ik}$$

In this model.

- The cell frequencies have a *Poisson* distribution, i.e. family Poisson
- The left hand side is not the expected cell frequency; rather it is the log of the expected cell frequency. Hence, expressing this as a GLM

. glm freq i.female i.dem, family(poisson) link(log)

```
Iteration 0: \log likelihood = -14.13805
Iteration 1: \log likelihood = -14.124228
Iteration 2: \log likelihood = -14.124227
                                 No. of obs = 4
Residual df = 1
Generalized linear models
Optimization : ML
Deviance = 5.387522771
Pearson = 5.346700063
                                 (1/df) Deviance = 5.387523
                                 (1/df) Pearson = 5.3467
Variance function: V(u) = u
                                 [Poisson]
Link function : g(u) = ln(u)
                                 [Log]
                                 AIC
                                           = 8.562114
Log likelihood = -14.12422743
______
                  OIM
    freq | Coef. Std. Err. z P>|z| [95% Conf. Interval]
-----
  _cons |
```

Note that the chi-square statistics in the original crosstab correspond to the Deviance and Pearson statistics presented in the GLM. Further, as the crosstab shows, under the model of independence the expected number of male Republicans is 57. To confirm, the formula for computing the expected cell frequency is

$$P(Male) * P(Republican) * N = 95/200 * 120/200 * 200 = 57.$$

Expressing things in terms of the glm,

$$\ln(\text{Expected_Male_Republicans}) = \alpha + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_k X_{ik} = 4.043051 - .4054651 * female + .1000835 * dem$$
$$= 4.043051 - .4054651 * 0 + .1000835 * 0$$
$$= 4.043051$$

Since the log of the expected cell frequency for male Republicans is 4.043051, this means that the expected cell frequency for male Republicans is exp(4.043051), which equals 57.

Using the margins command (more on it later) we can easily reproduce all the expected frequencies under the model of independence:

. margins female#dem

Adjusted predictions Number of obs = 4

Model VCE : OIM

Expression : Predicted mean freq, predict()

1		Delta-method				
1	Margin	Std. Err.	Z	P> z	[95% Conf.	<pre>Interval]</pre>
female#dem						
0 0	57	6.71044	8.49	0.000	43.84778	70.15222
0.1 [63	7 143529	8 82	0 000	48 99894	77 00106

 0 1 |
 63 7.143529
 8.82 0.000
 48.99894
 77.00106

 1 0 |
 38 5.10196
 7.45 0.000
 28.00034
 47.99966

 1 1 |
 42 5.479964
 7.66 0.000
 31.25947
 52.74053

So in other words, you could say that a generalized linear model with link log and family poisson produces a significant likelihood ratio chi-square statistic of 5.3875 with 1 d.f. – and many people would never guess that all you had done was run a simple crosstab!

MODEL 4: PROBIT MODEL. The probit model is a popular alternative to logit, generally producing very similar predictions. The probit model can be written as

$$y^* = \alpha + \sum X\beta + \varepsilon, \varepsilon \sim N(0,1)$$

If
$$y^* >= 0$$
, $y = 1$
If $y^* < 0$, $y = 0$

The logit model can actually be written the same way, except the error term has a logistic distribution rather than Normal(0, 1). The parameter estimates in a logistic regression tend to be 1.6 to 1.8 times higher than they are in a corresponding probit model. The predicted values in a probit model are like Z-scores. Somebody who has a predicted score of 0 has a 50% chance of success. Somebody with a score of 1 has about an 84% chance of success.

We proceed as we did with logistic regression, except we use the probit command instead of logit, and with glm we specify link (probit) rather than link (logit).

. use ${\tt https://www3.nd.edu/\sim rwilliam/statafiles/glm-logit,\ clear}$

. probit grade gpa tuce i.psi, nolog

Probit regression	Number of obs LR chi2(3) Prob > chi2							
Log likelihood =	= -12.818803	3				0.3775		
grade		Std. Err.	Z	P> z	[95% Cont	. Interval]		
gpa tuce 1.psi	1.62581 .0517289 1.426332	.6938825 .0838903 .5950379 2.542472	0.62 2.40	0.537 0.017	1126929 .2600795	.2161508 2.592585		
. glm grade gpa tuce i.psi, family(binomial) link(probit) nolog								
Generalized line Optimization				Residu	ual df =	32 28 1		
Deviance Pearson				(1/df)	Deviance =	.9156288 .9375573		
Variance function: $V(u) = u*(1-u)$ Link function : $g(u) = invnorm(u)$				[Berno	-			
Log likelihood	= -12.8188	30332				1.051175 -71.403		

grade	Coef.	OIM Std. Err.	Z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
gpa tuce 1.psi _cons	1.62581 .0517289 1.426332 -7.45232	.6938825 .0838903 .5950379 2.542472	2.34 0.62 2.40 -2.93	0.019 0.537 0.017 0.003	.2658255 1126929 .2600795 -12.43547	2.985795 .2161508 2.592585 -2.469166