

# EIGENVALUE COINCIDENCES AND $K$ -ORBITS, I

MARK COLARUSSO AND SAM EVENS

ABSTRACT. We study the variety  $\mathfrak{g}(l)$  consisting of matrices  $x \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$  such that  $x$  and its  $n-1$  by  $n-1$  cutoff  $x_{n-1}$  share exactly  $l$  eigenvalues, counted with multiplicity. We determine the irreducible components of  $\mathfrak{g}(l)$  by using the orbits of  $GL(n-1, \mathbb{C})$  on the flag variety  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ . More precisely, let  $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{B}$  be a Borel subalgebra such that the orbit  $GL(n-1, \mathbb{C}) \cdot \mathfrak{b}$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  has codimension  $l$ . Then we show that the set  $Y_{\mathfrak{b}} := \{\text{Ad}(g)(x) : x \in \mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{g}(l), g \in GL(n-1, \mathbb{C})\}$  is an irreducible component of  $\mathfrak{g}(l)$ , and every irreducible component of  $\mathfrak{g}(l)$  is of the form  $Y_{\mathfrak{b}}$ , where  $\mathfrak{b}$  lies in a  $GL(n-1, \mathbb{C})$ -orbit of codimension  $l$ . An important ingredient in our proof is the flatness of a variant of a morphism considered by Kostant and Wallach, and we prove this flatness assertion using ideas from symplectic geometry.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$  be the Lie algebra of  $n \times n$  complex matrices. For  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ , let  $x_{n-1} \in \mathfrak{gl}(n-1, \mathbb{C})$  be the upper left-hand  $n-1$  by  $n-1$  corner of the matrix  $x$ . For  $0 \leq l \leq n-1$ , we consider the subset  $\mathfrak{g}(l)$  consisting of elements  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  such that  $x$  and  $x_{n-1}$  share exactly  $l$  eigenvalues, counted with multiplicity. In this paper, we study the algebraic geometry of the set  $\mathfrak{g}(l)$  using the orbits of  $GL(n-1, \mathbb{C}) \times GL(1, \mathbb{C})$  on the flag variety  $\mathcal{B}$  of Borel subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . In particular, we determine the irreducible components of  $\mathfrak{g}(l)$  and use this to describe elements of  $\mathfrak{g}(l)$  up to  $GL(n-1, \mathbb{C}) \times GL(1, \mathbb{C})$ -conjugacy.

In more detail, let  $G = GL(n, \mathbb{C})$  and let  $\theta : G \rightarrow G$  be the involution  $\theta(x) = dx d^{-1}$ , where  $d = \text{diag}[1, \dots, 1, -1]$ . Let  $K := G^{\theta} = GL(n-1, \mathbb{C}) \times GL(1, \mathbb{C})$ . It is well-known that  $K$  has exactly  $n$  closed orbits on the flag variety  $\mathcal{B}$ , and each of these closed orbits is isomorphic to the flag variety  $\mathcal{B}_{n-1}$  of Borel subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{gl}(n-1, \mathbb{C})$ . Further, there are finitely many  $K$ -orbits on  $\mathcal{B}$ , and for each of these  $K$ -orbits  $Q$ , we consider its length  $l(Q) = \dim(Q) - \dim(\mathcal{B}_{n-1})$ . It is elementary to verify that  $0 \leq l(Q) \leq n-1$ . For  $Q = K \cdot \mathfrak{b}_Q$ , we consider the  $K$ -saturation  $Y_Q := \text{Ad}(K)\mathfrak{b}_Q$  of  $\mathfrak{b}_Q$ , which is independent of the choice of  $\mathfrak{b}_Q \in Q$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *The irreducible component decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}(l)$  is*

$$(1.1) \quad \mathfrak{g}(l) = \bigcup_{l(Q)=n-1-l} Y_Q \cap \mathfrak{g}(l).$$

The proof uses several ingredients. The first is the flatness of a variant of a morphism studied by Kostant and Wallach [KW06], which implies that  $\mathfrak{g}(l)$  is equidimensional. We

prove the flatness assertion using dimension estimates derived from symplectic geometry, but it also follows from results of Ovsienko and Futorny [Ovs03], [FO05]. The remaining ingredient is an explicit description of the  $l + 1$   $K$ -orbits  $Q$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  with  $l(Q) = n - 1 - l$ , and the closely related study of  $K$ -orbits on generalized flag varieties  $G/P$ . Our theorem has the following consequence. Let  $\mathfrak{b}_+$  denote the Borel subalgebra consisting of upper triangular matrices. For  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , let  $(i\ n)$  be the permutation matrix corresponding to the transposition interchanging  $i$  and  $n$ , and let  $\mathfrak{b}_i := \text{Ad}(i\ n)\mathfrak{b}_+$ .

**Corollary 1.2.** *If  $x \in \mathfrak{g}(l)$ , then  $x$  is  $K$ -conjugate to an element in one of  $l + 1$  explicitly determined  $\theta$ -stable parabolic subalgebras. In particular, if  $x \in \mathfrak{g}(n - 1)$ , then  $x$  is  $K$ -conjugate to an element of  $\mathfrak{b}_i$ , where  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .*

This paper is part of a series of papers on  $K$ -orbits on  $\mathcal{B}$  and the Gelfand-Zeitlin system. In [CE12], we used  $K$ -orbits to determine the so-called strongly regular elements in the nilfiber of the moment map of the Gelfand-Zeitlin system. These are matrices  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  such that  $x_i$  is nilpotent for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$  with the added condition that the differentials of the Gelfand-Zeitlin functions are linearly independent at  $x$ . The strongly regular elements were first studied extensively in [KW06]. In later work, we will refine Corollary 1.2 to provide a standard form for all elements of  $\mathfrak{g}(l)$ . This uses  $K$ -orbits and a finer study of the algebraic geometry of the varieties  $\mathfrak{g}(l)$ . In particular, we will give a more conceptual proof of the main result from [Col11] and use  $K$ -orbits to describe the geometry of arbitrary fibers of the moment map for the Gelfand-Zeitlin system.

The work by the second author was partially supported by NSA grant H98230-11-1-0151. We would like to thank Adam Booher and Claudia Polini for useful discussions.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We show flatness of the partial Kostant-Wallach morphism and recall needed results concerning  $K$ -orbits on  $\mathcal{B}$ .

**2.1. The partial Kostant-Wallach map.** For  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , let  $x_i \in \mathfrak{gl}(i, \mathbb{C})$  denote the upper left  $i \times i$  corner of the matrix  $x$ . For any  $y \in \mathfrak{gl}(i, \mathbb{C})$ , let  $\text{tr}(y)$  denote the trace of  $y$ . For  $j = 1, \dots, i$ , let  $f_{i,j}(x) = \text{tr}((x_i)^j)$ , which is a homogeneous function of degree  $j$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The Gelfand-Zeitlin collection of functions is the set  $J_{GZ} = \{f_{i,j}(x) : i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, i\}$ . The restriction of these functions to any regular adjoint orbit in  $\mathfrak{g}$  produces an integrable system on the orbit [KW06]. Let  $\chi_{i,j} : \mathfrak{gl}(i, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the function  $\chi_{i,j}(y) = \text{tr}(y^j)$ , so that  $f_{i,j}(x) = \chi_{i,j}(x_i)$  and  $\chi_i := (\chi_{i,1}, \dots, \chi_{i,i})$  is the adjoint quotient for  $\mathfrak{gl}(i, \mathbb{C})$ . The Kostant-Wallach map is the morphism given by

$$(2.1) \quad \Phi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^1 \times \mathbb{C}^2 \times \dots \times \mathbb{C}^n; \Phi(x) = (\chi_1(x_1), \dots, \chi_n(x)).$$

We will also consider the partial Kostant-Wallach map given by the morphism

$$(2.2) \quad \Phi_n : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}^n; \Phi_n(x) = (\chi_{n-1}(x_{n-1}), \chi_n(x)).$$

Note that

$$(2.3) \quad \Phi_n = pr \circ \Phi,$$

where  $pr : \mathbb{C}^1 \times \mathbb{C}^2 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}^n$  is projection on the last two factors.

**Remark 2.1.** *By Theorem 0.1 of [KW06], the map  $\Phi$  is surjective, and it follows easily that  $\Phi_n$  is surjective.*

We let  $I_n = (\{f_{ij}\}_{i=n-1, n; j=1, \dots, i})$  denote the ideal generated by the functions  $J_{GZ, n} := \{f_{i,j} : i = n-1, n; j = 1, \dots, i\}$ . We call the vanishing set  $V(I_n)$  the variety of *partially strongly nilpotent matrices* and denote it by  $SN_n$ . Thus,

$$(2.4) \quad SN_n := \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : x, x_{n-1} \text{ are nilpotent}\}.$$

We let  $\Gamma_n := \mathbb{C}[\{f_{ij}\}_{i=n-1, n; j=1, \dots, i}]$  be the subring of regular functions on  $\mathfrak{g}$  generated by  $J_{GZ, n}$ .

Recall that if  $Y \subset \mathbb{C}^m$  is a closed equidimensional subvariety of dimension  $m - d$ , then  $Y$  is called a complete intersection if  $Y = V(f_1, \dots, f_d)$  is the vanishing set of  $d$  functions.

**Theorem 2.2.** *The variety of partially strongly nilpotent matrices  $SN_n$  is a complete intersection of dimension*

$$(2.5) \quad d_n := n^2 - 2n + 1.$$

Before proving Theorem 2.2, we show how it implies the flatness of the partial Kostant-Wallach map  $\Phi_n$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** (1) *For all  $c \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $\dim(\Phi_n^{-1}(c)) = n^2 - 2n + 1$ . Thus,  $\Phi_n^{-1}(c)$  is a complete intersection.*  
 (2) *The partial Kostant-Wallach map  $\Phi_n : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2n-1}$  is a flat morphism. Thus,  $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]$  is flat over  $\Gamma_n$ .*

*Proof.* For  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ , we let  $d_x$  be the maximum of the dimensions of irreducible components of  $\Phi_n^{-1}(\Phi_n(x))$ . For  $c \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}^n$ , each irreducible component of  $\Phi_n^{-1}(c)$  has dimension at least  $d_n$  since  $\Phi_n^{-1}(c)$  is defined by  $2n - 1$  equations in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Hence,  $d_x \geq d_n$ . Since the functions  $f_{i,j}$  are homogeneous, it follows that scalar multiplication by  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\times$  induces an isomorphism  $\Phi_n^{-1}(\Phi_n(x)) \rightarrow \Phi_n^{-1}(\Phi_n(\lambda x))$ . It follows that  $d_x = d_{\lambda x}$ . By upper semi-continuity of dimension (see for example, Proposition 4.4 of [Hum75]), the set of  $y \in \mathfrak{g}$  such that  $d_y \geq d$  is closed for each integer  $d$ . It follows that  $d_0 \geq d_x$ . By Theorem 2.2,  $d_0 = d_n$ . The first assertion follows easily. The second assertion now follows by the corollary to Theorem 23.1 of [Mat86].

**Q.E.D.**

**Remark 2.4.** *We note that Proposition 2.3 implies that  $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]$  is free over  $\Gamma_n$ . This follows from a result in commutative algebra. Let  $A = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} A_n$  be a graded ring with  $A_0 = k$  a field and let  $M = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} M_n$  be a graded  $A$ -module. The needed result asserts that  $M$  is flat over  $A$  if and only if  $M$  is free over  $A$ . One direction of this assertion is obvious, and the*

other direction may be proved using the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 20 on page 73 of [Ser00], which is the analogous assertion for finitely generated modules over local rings. In [Ser00], the assumption that  $M$  is finitely generated over  $A$  is needed only to apply Nakayama's lemma, but in our graded setting, Nakayama's lemma (with ideal  $I = \bigoplus_{n>0} A_n$ ) does not require the module  $M$  to be finitely generated.

**Remark 2.5.** Let  $I = (J_{GZ})$  be the ideal in  $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]$  generated by the Gelfand-Zeitlin collection of functions  $J_{GZ}$ , and let  $SN = V(I)$  be the strongly nilpotent matrices, i.e.,  $SN = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : x_i \text{ is nilpotent for } i = 1, \dots, n\}$ . Ovsienko proves in [Ovs03] that  $SN$  is a complete intersection, and results of Futorny and Ovsienko from [FO05] show that Ovsienko's theorem implies that  $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]$  is free over  $\Gamma := \mathbb{C}[\{f_{i,j}\}_{i=1,\dots,n;j=1,\dots,i}]$ . It then follows easily that  $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]$  is flat over  $\Gamma_n$ , and hence that  $\Phi_n$  is flat. Although we could have simply cited the results of Futorny and Ovsienko to prove flatness of  $\Phi_n$ , we prefer our approach, which we regard as more conceptual.

*Proof of Theorem 2.2.* Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be an irreducible component of  $SN_n$ . We observed in the proof of Proposition 2.3 that  $\dim \mathfrak{X} \geq d_n$ . To show  $\dim \mathfrak{X} \leq d_n$ , we consider a generalization of the Steinberg variety (see Section 3.3 of [CG97]). We first recall a few facts about the cotangent bundle to the flag variety.

For the purposes of this proof, we denote the flag variety of  $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$  by  $\mathcal{B}_n$ . We consider the form  $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  given by  $\langle\langle x, y \rangle\rangle = \text{tr}(xy)$  for  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ . If  $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{B}_n$ , the annihilator  $\mathfrak{b}^\perp$  of  $\mathfrak{b}$  with respect to the form  $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle$  is  $\mathfrak{n} = [\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}]$ . We can then identify  $T^*(\mathcal{B}_n)$  with the closed subset of  $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{B}_n$  given by:

$$T^*(\mathcal{B}_n) = \{(x, \mathfrak{b}) : \mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{B}_n, x \in \mathfrak{n}\}.$$

We let  $\mathfrak{g}_{n-1} = \mathfrak{gl}(n-1, \mathbb{C})$  and view  $\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}$  as a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  by embedding  $\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}$  in the top lefthand corner of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the direct sum  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{n-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}^\perp$ , the restriction of  $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle$  to  $\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}$  is non-degenerate. For a Borel subalgebra  $\mathfrak{b}' \in \mathcal{B}_{n-1}$ , we let  $\mathfrak{n}' = [\mathfrak{b}', \mathfrak{b}']$ . We consider a closed subvariety  $Z \subset \mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{B}_n \times \mathcal{B}_{n-1}$  defined as follows:

$$(2.6) \quad Z = \{(x, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}') : \mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{B}_n, \mathfrak{b}' \in \mathcal{B}_{n-1} \text{ and } x \in \mathfrak{n}, x_{n-1} \in \mathfrak{n}'\}.$$

Consider the morphism  $\mu : Z \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ , where  $\mu(x, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}') = x$ . Since the varieties  $\mathcal{B}_n$  and  $\mathcal{B}_{n-1}$  are projective, the morphism  $\mu$  is proper.

We consider the closed embedding  $Z \hookrightarrow T^*(\mathcal{B}_n) \times T^*(\mathcal{B}_{n-1}) \cong T^*(\mathcal{B}_n \times \mathcal{B}_{n-1})$  given by  $(x, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}') \rightarrow (x, -x_{n-1}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}')$ . We denote the image of  $Z$  under this embedding by  $\tilde{Z} \subset T^*(\mathcal{B}_n \times \mathcal{B}_{n-1})$ . Let  $G_{n-1}$  be the closed subgroup of  $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$  corresponding to  $\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}$ . Then  $G_{n-1}$  acts diagonally on  $\mathcal{B}_n \times \mathcal{B}_{n-1}$  via  $k \cdot (\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}') = (k \cdot \mathfrak{b}, k \cdot \mathfrak{b}')$  for  $k \in G_{n-1}$ . We claim  $\tilde{Z} \subset T^*(\mathcal{B}_n \times \mathcal{B}_{n-1})$  is the union of conormal bundles to the  $G_{n-1}$ -diagonal orbits in  $\mathcal{B}_n \times \mathcal{B}_{n-1}$ . Indeed, let  $(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}') \in \mathcal{B}_n \times \mathcal{B}_{n-1}$ , and let  $Q$  be its  $G_{n-1}$ -orbit. Then

$$T_{(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}')}^*(Q) = \text{span}\{(Y \text{ mod } \mathfrak{b}, Y \text{ mod } \mathfrak{b}') : Y \in \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}\}.$$

Now let  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in (\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}')$  with  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in (T_Q^*)(\mathcal{B}_n \times \mathcal{B}_{n-1})(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}')$ , the fiber of the conormal bundle to  $Q$  in  $\mathcal{B}_n \times \mathcal{B}_{n-1}$  at the point  $(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}')$ . Then

$$\langle\langle \lambda_1, Y \rangle\rangle + \langle\langle \lambda_2, Y \rangle\rangle = 0 \text{ for all } Y \in \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}.$$

Thus,  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \in \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}^\perp$ . But since  $\lambda_2 \in \mathfrak{n}' \subset \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}$ , it follows that  $\lambda_2 = -(\lambda_1)_{n-1}$ . Thus,

$$T_Q^*(\mathcal{B}_n \times \mathcal{B}_{n-1}) = \{(\mu_1, \mathfrak{b}_1, -(\mu_1)_{n-1}, \mathfrak{b}_2), \mu_1 \in \mathfrak{n}_1, (\mu_1)_{n-1} \in \mathfrak{n}_2, \text{ where } (\mathfrak{b}_1, \mathfrak{b}_2) \in Q\}.$$

We recall the well-known fact that there are only finitely many  $G_{n-1}$ -diagonal orbits in  $\mathcal{B}_n \times \mathcal{B}_{n-1}$ , which follows from [VK78], [Bri87], or in a more explicit form is proved in [Has04]. Therefore, the irreducible component decomposition of  $\tilde{Z}$  is:

$$\tilde{Z} = \bigcup_i \overline{T_{Q_i}^*(\mathcal{B}_n \times \mathcal{B}_{n-1})} \subset T^*(\mathcal{B}_n \times \mathcal{B}_{n-1}),$$

where  $i$  runs over the distinct  $G_{n-1}$ -diagonal orbits in  $\mathcal{B}_n \times \mathcal{B}_{n-1}$ . Thus,  $Z \cong \tilde{Z}$  is a closed, equidimensional subvariety of dimension

$$\dim Z = \frac{1}{2}(\dim T^*(\mathcal{B}_n \times \mathcal{B}_{n-1})) = d_n.$$

Note that  $\mu : Z \rightarrow SN_n$  is surjective. Since  $\mu$  is proper, for every irreducible component  $\mathfrak{X} \subset SN_n$  of  $SN_n$ , we see that

$$(2.7) \quad \mathfrak{X} = \mu(Z_i)$$

for some irreducible component  $Z_i \subset Z$ . Since  $\dim Z_i = d_n$  and  $\dim \mathfrak{X} \geq d_n$ , we conclude that  $\dim \mathfrak{X} = d_n$ .

**Q.E.D.**

In Proposition 3.10, we will determine the irreducible components of  $SN_n$  explicitly.

**2.2.  $K$ -orbits.** We recall some basic facts about  $K$ -orbits on generalized flag varieties  $G/P$  (see [Mat79, RS90, MÖ90, Yam97, CE] for more details).

By the general theory of orbits of symmetric subgroups on generalized flag varieties,  $K$  has finitely many orbits on  $\mathcal{B}$ . For this paper, it is useful to parametrize the orbits. To do this, we let  $B_+$  be the upper triangular Borel subgroup of  $G$ , and identify  $\mathcal{B} \cong G/B_+$  with the variety of flags in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . We use the following notation for flags in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Let

$$\mathcal{F} = (V_0 = \{0\} \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_i \subset \cdots \subset V_n = \mathbb{C}^n).$$

be a flag in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , with  $\dim V_i = i$  and  $V_i = \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_i\}$ , with each  $v_j \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . We will denote the flag  $\mathcal{F}$  as follows:

$$v_1 \subset v_2 \subset \cdots \subset v_i \subset v_{i+1} \subset \cdots \subset v_n.$$

We denote the standard ordered basis of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  by  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ , and let  $E_{i,j} \in \mathfrak{g}$  be the matrix with 1 in the  $(i, j)$ -entry and 0 elsewhere.

There are  $n$  closed  $K$ -orbits on  $\mathcal{B}$  (see Example 4.16 of [CE]),  $Q_{i,i} = K \cdot \mathfrak{b}_{i,i}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , where the Borel subalgebra  $\mathfrak{b}_{i,i}$  is the stabilizer of the following flag in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ :

$$(2.8) \quad \mathcal{F}_{i,i} = (e_1 \subset \dots \subset e_{i-1} \subset \underbrace{e_n}_i \subset e_i \subset \dots \subset e_{n-1}).$$

Note that if  $i = n$ , then the flag  $\mathcal{F}_{i,i}$  is the standard flag  $\mathcal{F}_+$ :

$$(2.9) \quad \mathcal{F}_+ = (e_1 \subset \dots \subset e_n),$$

and  $\mathfrak{b}_{n,n} = \mathfrak{b}_+$  is the standard Borel subalgebra of  $n \times n$  upper triangular matrices. It is not difficult to check that  $K \cdot \mathfrak{b}_{i,i} = K \cdot \text{Ad}(i n) \mathfrak{b}_+$ . If  $i = 1$ , then  $K \cdot \mathfrak{b}_{1,1} = K \cdot \mathfrak{b}_-$ , where  $\mathfrak{b}_-$  is the Borel subalgebra of lower triangular matrices in  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

The non-closed  $K$ -orbits in  $\mathcal{B}$  are the orbits  $Q_{i,j} = K \cdot \mathfrak{b}_{i,j}$  for  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ , where  $\mathfrak{b}_{i,j}$  is the stabilizer of the flag in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ :

$$(2.10) \quad \mathcal{F}_{i,j} = (e_1 \subset \dots \subset \underbrace{e_i + e_n}_i \subset e_{i+1} \subset \dots \subset e_{j-1} \subset \underbrace{e_i}_j \subset e_j \subset \dots \subset e_{n-1}).$$

There are  $\binom{n}{2}$  such orbits (see Notation 4.23 and Example 4.31 of [CE]).

Let  $w$  and  $\sigma$  be the permutation matrices corresponding respectively to the cycles  $(n n - 1 \dots i)$  and  $(i + 1 i + 2 \dots j)$ , and let  $u_{\alpha_i}$  be the Cayley transform matrix such that

$$u_{\alpha_i}(e_i) = e_i + e_{i+1}, \quad u_{\alpha_i}(e_{i+1}) = -e_i + e_{i+1}, \quad u_{\alpha_i}(e_k) = e_k, \quad k \neq i, i + 1.$$

For  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ , we define:

$$(2.11) \quad v_{i,j} := \begin{cases} w & \text{if } i = j \\ w u_{\alpha_i} \sigma & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$$

It is easy to verify that  $v_{i,j}(\mathcal{F}_+) = \mathcal{F}_{i,j}$ , and thus  $\text{Ad}(v_{i,j})\mathfrak{b}_+ = \mathfrak{b}_{i,j}$  (see Example 4.30 of [CE]).

**Remark 2.6.** *The length of the  $K$ -orbit  $Q_{i,j}$  is  $l(Q_{i,j}) = j - i$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$  (see Example 4.30 of [CE]). For example, a  $K$ -orbit  $Q_{i,j}$  is closed if and only if  $Q = Q_{i,i}$  for some  $i$ . The  $n - l$  orbits of length  $l$  are  $Q_{i,i+l}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n - l$ .*

For a parabolic subgroup  $P$  of  $G$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{p}$ , we consider the generalized flag variety  $G/P$ , which we identify with parabolic subalgebras of type  $\mathfrak{p}$  and with partial flags of type  $\mathfrak{p}$ . We will make use of the following notation for partial flags. Let

$$\mathcal{P} = (V_0 = \{0\} \subset V_1 \subset \dots \subset V_i \subset \dots \subset V_k = \mathbb{C}^n)$$

denote a  $k$ -step partial flag with  $\dim V_j = i_j$  and  $V_j = \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_{i_j}\}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, k$ . Then we denote  $\mathcal{P}$  as

$$v_1, \dots, v_{i_1} \subset v_{i_1+1}, \dots, v_{i_2} \subset \dots \subset v_{i_{k-1}+1}, \dots, v_{i_k}.$$

In particular for  $i \leq j$ , we let  $\mathfrak{r}_{i,j} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  denote the parabolic subalgebra which is the stabilizer of the  $n - (j - i)$ -step partial flag in  $\mathbb{C}^n$

$$(2.12) \quad \mathcal{R}_{i,j} = (e_1 \subset e_2 \subset \dots \subset e_{i-1} \subset e_i, \dots, e_j \subset e_{j+1} \subset \dots \subset e_n).$$

It is easy to see that  $\mathfrak{r}_{i,j}$  is the standard parabolic subalgebra generated by the Borel subalgebra  $\mathfrak{b}_+$  and the negative simple root spaces  $\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i}, \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_{i+1}}, \dots, \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_{j-1}}$ . We note that  $\mathfrak{r}_{i,j}$  has Levi decomposition  $\mathfrak{r}_{i,j} = \mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{n}$ , with  $\mathfrak{m}$  consisting of block diagonal matrices of the form

$$(2.13) \quad \mathfrak{m} = \underbrace{\mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{C})}_{i-1 \text{ factors}} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(j+1-i, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \underbrace{\mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{C})}_{n-j \text{ factors}}.$$

Let  $R_{i,j}$  be the parabolic subgroup of  $G$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{r}_{i,j}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}_{i,j} := \text{Ad}(v_{i,j})\mathfrak{r}_{i,j} \in G/R_{i,j}$ , where  $v_{i,j}$  is defined in (2.11). Then  $\mathfrak{p}_{i,j}$  is the stabilizer of the partial flag

$$(2.14) \quad \mathcal{P}_{i,j} = (e_1 \subset e_2 \subset \dots \subset e_{i-1} \subset e_i, \dots, e_{j-1}, e_n \subset e_j \subset \dots \subset e_{n-1}),$$

and  $\mathfrak{p}_{i,j} \in G/R_{i,j}$  is a  $\theta$ -stable parabolic subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Indeed, recall that  $\theta$  is given by conjugation by the diagonal matrix  $d = \text{diag}[1, \dots, 1, -1]$ . Clearly  $d(\mathcal{P}_{i,j}) = \mathcal{P}_{i,j}$ , whence  $\mathfrak{p}_{i,j}$  is  $\theta$ -stable. Moreover, the parabolic subalgebra  $\mathfrak{p}_{i,j}$  has Levi decomposition  $\mathfrak{p}_{i,j} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus \mathfrak{u}$  where both  $\mathfrak{l}$  and  $\mathfrak{u}$  are  $\theta$ -stable and  $\mathfrak{l}$  is isomorphic to the Levi subalgebra in Equation (2.13). Since  $\mathfrak{p}_{i,j}$  is  $\theta$ -stable, it follows from Theorem 2 of [BH00] that the  $K$ -orbit  $Q_{\mathfrak{p}_{i,j}} = K \cdot \mathfrak{p}_{i,j}$  is closed in  $G/R_{i,j}$ .

For a parabolic subgroup  $P \subset G$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ , consider the partial Grothendieck resolution  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{p}} = \{(x, \mathfrak{r}) \in \mathfrak{g} \times G/P \mid x \in \mathfrak{r}\}$ , as well as the morphisms  $\mu : \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\mu(x, \mathfrak{r}) = x$ , and  $\pi : \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow G/P$ ,  $\pi(x, \mathfrak{r}) = \mathfrak{r}$ . Then  $\pi$  is a smooth morphism of relative dimension  $\dim \mathfrak{p}$  (for  $G/B$ , see Section 3.1 of [CG97] and Proposition III.10.4 of [Har77], and the general case of  $G/P$  follows by the same argument). For  $\mathfrak{r} \in G/P$ , let  $Q_{\mathfrak{r}} = K \cdot \mathfrak{r} \subset G/P$ . Then  $\pi^{-1}(Q_{\mathfrak{r}})$  has dimension  $\dim(Q_{\mathfrak{r}}) + \dim(\mathfrak{r})$ . It is well-known that  $\mu$  is proper and its restriction to  $\pi^{-1}(Q_{\mathfrak{r}})$  generically has finite fibers (Proposition 3.1.34 and Example 3.1.35 of [CG97] for the case of  $G/B$ , and again the general case has a similar proof).

**Notation 2.7.** For a parabolic subalgebra  $\mathfrak{r}$  with  $K$ -orbit  $Q_{\mathfrak{r}} \in G/P$ , we consider the irreducible subset

$$(2.15) \quad Y_{\mathfrak{r}} := \mu(\pi^{-1}(Q_{\mathfrak{r}})) = \text{Ad}(K)\mathfrak{r}.$$

To emphasize the orbit  $Q_{\mathfrak{r}}$ , we will also denote this set as

$$(2.16) \quad Y_{Q_{\mathfrak{r}}} := Y_{\mathfrak{r}}.$$

It follows from generic finiteness of  $\mu$  that  $Y_{Q_{\mathfrak{r}}}$  contains an open subset of dimension

$$(2.17) \quad \dim(Y_{Q_{\mathfrak{r}}}) := \dim \pi^{-1}(Q_{\mathfrak{r}}) = \dim \mathfrak{r} + \dim(Q_{\mathfrak{r}}) = \dim \mathfrak{r} + \dim(\mathfrak{k}/\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{r}),$$

where  $\mathfrak{k} = \text{Lie}(K) = \mathfrak{gl}(n-1, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{C})$ .

**Remark 2.8.** Since  $\mu$  is proper, when  $Q_{\mathfrak{r}} = K \cdot \mathfrak{r}$  is closed in  $G/P$ , then  $Y_{Q_{\mathfrak{r}}}$  is closed.

**Remark 2.9.** Note that

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigcup_{Q \subset G/P} Y_Q,$$

is a partition of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , where the union is taken over the finitely many  $K$ -orbits in  $G/P$ .

**Lemma 2.10.** *Let  $Q \subset G/P$  be a  $K$ -orbit. Then*

$$(2.18) \quad \overline{Y_Q} = \bigcup_{Q' \subset \overline{Q}} Y_{Q'}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\pi$  is a smooth morphism, it is flat by Theorem III.10.2 of [Har77]. Thus, by Theorem VIII.4.1 of [Gro03],  $\pi^{-1}(\overline{Q}) = \overline{\pi^{-1}(Q)}$ . The result follows since  $\mu$  is proper.

**Q.E.D.**

**2.3. Comparison of  $K \cdot \mathfrak{b}_{i,j}$  and  $K \cdot \mathfrak{p}_{i,j}$ .** We prove a technical result that will be needed to prove our main theorem.

**Remark 2.11.** *Note that  $\mathfrak{b}_{i,j} \subset \mathfrak{p}_{i,j}$  and when  $i = j$ ,  $\mathfrak{p}_{i,i}$  is the Borel subalgebra  $\mathfrak{b}_{i,i}$ . To check the first assertion, note that  $\mathfrak{b}_+ \subset \mathfrak{r}_{i,j}$  so that  $\mathfrak{b}_{i,j} = \text{Ad}(v_{i,j})\mathfrak{b}_+ \subset \text{Ad}(v_{i,j})\mathfrak{r}_{i,j} = \mathfrak{p}_{i,j}$ . The second assertion is verified by noting that when  $i = j$ , the partial flag  $\mathcal{P}_{i,j}$  is the full flag  $\mathcal{F}_{i,i}$ .*

**Proposition 2.12.** *Consider the  $K$ -orbits  $Q_{i,j} = K \cdot \mathfrak{b}_{i,j} \subset \mathcal{B}$  and  $Q_{\mathfrak{p}_{i,j}} = K \cdot \mathfrak{p}_{i,j} \subset G/P_{i,j}$ , with  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ . Then  $\dim(Y_{\mathfrak{b}_{i,j}}) = \dim(Y_{\mathfrak{p}_{i,j}})$  and  $\overline{Y_{\mathfrak{b}_{i,j}}} = Y_{\mathfrak{p}_{i,j}}$ .*

*Proof.* By definitions and Remark 2.11,  $Y_{\mathfrak{b}_{i,j}}$  is a constructible subset of  $Y_{\mathfrak{p}_{i,j}}$ . Since  $Y_{\mathfrak{p}_{i,j}}$  is closed by Remark 2.8, and irreducible by construction, it suffices to show that  $\dim(Y_{\mathfrak{b}_{i,j}}) = \dim(Y_{\mathfrak{p}_{i,j}})$ .

We compute the dimension of  $Y_{\mathfrak{b}_{i,j}}$  using Equation (2.17). Since  $l(Q_{i,j}) = j - i$ , it follows that  $\dim Q_{i,j} = \dim \mathcal{B}_{n-1} + j - i$ . Since  $\dim(\mathcal{B}_{n-1}) = \binom{n-1}{2}$ , Equation (2.17) then implies:

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \dim Y_{\mathfrak{b}_{i,j}} &= \dim \mathfrak{b}_{i,j} + \dim \mathcal{B}_{n-1} + l(Q_{i,j}) = \binom{n+1}{2} + \binom{n-1}{2} + l(Q_{i,j}) \\ &= n^2 - n + 1 + j - i. \end{aligned}$$

We now compute the dimension of  $Y_{\mathfrak{p}_{i,j}}$ . By Equation (2.17), it follows that

$$(2.20) \quad \dim Y_{\mathfrak{p}_{i,j}} = \dim \mathfrak{p}_{i,j} + \dim \mathfrak{k} - \dim(\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{p}_{i,j}).$$

Since both  $\mathfrak{l}$  and  $\mathfrak{u}$  are  $\theta$ -stable, it follows that  $\dim \mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{p}_{i,j} = \dim \mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{l} + \dim \mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{u}$ . To compute these dimensions, it is convenient to use the following explicit matrix description of the parabolic subalgebra  $\mathfrak{p}_{i,j}$ , which follows from Equation (2.14).



$$(2.21) \quad \mathfrak{p}_{i,j} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & \dots & a_{1i-1} & a_{1i} & \dots & a_{1j-1} & \dots & \dots & a_{1n-1} & a_{1n} \\ 0 & \ddots & & \vdots & \vdots & * & \vdots & * & * & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & a_{i-1i-1} & \vdots & * & \vdots & * & * & a_{i-1n-1} & a_{i-1n} \\ & & & 0 & a_{ii} & \dots & a_{ij-1} & * & * & a_{in-1} & a_{in} \\ & & & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & * & * & \vdots & \vdots \\ & & & \vdots & a_{ij-1} & \dots & a_{j-1j-1} & \dots & \dots & a_{j-1n-1} & a_{j-1n} \\ & & & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a_{jj} & \dots & a_{jn-1} & 0 \\ & & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & 0 & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \vdots & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{n-1n-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & a_{ni} & \dots & a_{nj-1} & a_{nj} & \dots & a_{nn-1} & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using (2.21), we observe that  $\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{l} \cong \mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{C})^{n-j+i} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(j-i, \mathbb{C})$ , so that  $\dim \mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{l} = n-j+i+(j-i)^2$ . Now  $\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{u}^{-\theta}$ , where  $\mathfrak{u}^{-\theta} := \{x \in \mathfrak{u} : \theta(x) = -x\}$ . Using (2.21), we see that  $\mathfrak{u}^{-\theta}$  has basis  $\{E_{n,j}, \dots, E_{n,n-1}, E_{1,n}, \dots, E_{i-1,n}\}$ , so  $\dim \mathfrak{u}^{-\theta} = n-j+i-1$ . Thus,  $\dim \mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{k} = \dim \mathfrak{u} - (n-j+i-1)$ . Putting these observations together, we obtain

$$(2.22) \quad \dim \mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{p}_{i,j} = (j-i)^2 + \dim \mathfrak{u} + 1.$$

Now

$$\dim \mathfrak{p}_{i,j} = \dim \mathfrak{l} + \dim \mathfrak{u} = (j-i+1)^2 + n-j+i-1 + \dim \mathfrak{u}.$$

(see Equation (2.13)). Thus, Equation (2.20) implies that

$$\dim Y_{\mathfrak{p}_{i,j}} = \dim \mathfrak{k} + (j-i+1)^2 + n-j+i-1 - (j-i)^2 - 1 = n^2 - n + 1 + j - i,$$

which agrees with (2.19), and hence completes the proof.

**Q.E.D.**

**Remark 2.13.** *It follows from Equation (2.21) that  $(\mathfrak{p}_{i,j})_{n-1} := \pi_{n-1,n}(\mathfrak{p}_{i,j})$  is a parabolic subalgebra, where  $\pi_{n,n-1} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(n-1, \mathbb{C})$  is the projection  $x \mapsto x_{n-1}$ . Further, with  $l = j-i$ ,  $(\mathfrak{p}_{i,j})_{n-1}$  has Levi decomposition  $(\mathfrak{p}_{i,j})_{n-1} = \mathfrak{l}_{n-1} \oplus \mathfrak{u}_{n-1}$  with  $\mathfrak{l}_{n-1} = \mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{C})^{n-1-l} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(l, \mathbb{C})$ .*

### 3. THE VARIETIES $\mathfrak{g}(l)$

In this section, we prove our main results.

For  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ , let  $\sigma(x) = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$  denote its eigenvalues, where an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  is listed  $k$  times if it appears with multiplicity  $k$ . Similarly, let  $\sigma(x_{n-1}) = \{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n-1}\}$  be the eigenvalues of  $x_{n-1} \in \mathfrak{gl}(n-1, \mathbb{C})$ , again listed with multiplicity. For  $i = n-1, n$ , let  $\mathfrak{h}_i \subset \mathfrak{g}_i := \mathfrak{gl}(i, \mathbb{C})$  be the standard Cartan subalgebra of diagonal matrices. We denote

elements of  $\mathfrak{h}_{n-1} \times \mathfrak{h}_n$  by  $(x, y)$ , with  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$  and  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$  the diagonal coordinates of  $x$  and  $y$ . For  $l = 0, \dots, n-1$ , we define

$$(\mathfrak{h}_{n-1} \times \mathfrak{h}_n)(\geq l) = \{(x, y) : \exists 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_l \leq n-1 \text{ with } x_{i_j} = y_{k_j} \\ \text{for some } 1 \leq k_1, \dots, k_l \leq n \text{ with } k_j \neq k_m\}.$$

Thus,  $(\mathfrak{h}_{n-1} \times \mathfrak{h}_n)(\geq l)$  consists of elements of  $\mathfrak{h}_{n-1} \times \mathfrak{h}_n$  with at least  $l$  coincidences in the spectrum of  $x$  and  $y$  counting repetitions. Note that  $(\mathfrak{h}_{n-1} \times \mathfrak{h}_n)(\geq l)$  is a closed subvariety of  $\mathfrak{h}_{n-1} \times \mathfrak{h}_n$  and is equidimensional of codimension  $l$ .

Let  $W_i = W_i(\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{h}_i)$  be the Weyl group of  $\mathfrak{g}_i$ . Then  $W_{n-1} \times W_n$  acts on  $(\mathfrak{h}_{n-1} \times \mathfrak{h}_n)(\geq l)$ . Consider the finite morphism  $p : \mathfrak{h}_{n-1} \times \mathfrak{h}_n \rightarrow (\mathfrak{h}_{n-1} \times \mathfrak{h}_n)/(W_{n-1} \times W_n)$ . Let  $F_i : \mathfrak{h}_i/W_i \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^i$  be the Chevalley isomorphism, and let

$$V^{n-1,n} := \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}^n, \text{ so that } F_{n-1} \times F_n : (\mathfrak{h}_{n-1} \times \mathfrak{h}_n)/(W_{n-1} \times W_n) \rightarrow V^{n-1,n}$$

is an isomorphism. The following varieties play a major role in our study of eigenvalue coincidences.

**Definition-Notation 3.1.** For  $l = 0, \dots, n-1$ , we let

$$(3.1) \quad V^{n-1,n}(\geq l) := (F_{n-1} \times F_n)((\mathfrak{h}_{n-1} \times \mathfrak{h}_n)(\geq l)/(W_{n-1} \times W_n)),$$

$$(3.2) \quad V^{n-1,n}(l) := V^{n-1,n}(\geq l) \setminus V^{n-1,n}(\geq l+1).$$

For convenience, we let  $V^{n-1,n}(n) = \emptyset$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** The set  $V^{n-1,n}(\geq l)$  is an irreducible closed subvariety of  $V^{n-1,n}$  of dimension  $2n-1-l$ . Further,  $V^{n-1,n}(l)$  is open and dense in  $V^{n-1,n}(\geq l)$ .

*Proof.* Indeed, the set  $Y := \{(x, y) \in \mathfrak{h}_{n-1} \times \mathfrak{h}_n : x_i = y_i \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, l\}$  is closed and irreducible of dimension  $2n-1-l$ . The first assertion follows since  $(F_{n-1} \times F_n) \circ p$  is a finite morphism and  $(F_{n-1} \times F_n) \circ p(Y) = V^{n-1,n}(\geq l)$ . The last assertion of the lemma now follows from Equation (3.2).

**Q.E.D.**

**Definition 3.3.** We let

$$\mathfrak{g}(\geq l) := \Phi_n^{-1}(V^{n-1,n}(\geq l)).$$

**Remark 3.4.** Recall that the quotient morphism  $p_i : \mathfrak{g}_i \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_i/GL(i, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathfrak{h}_i/W_i$  associates to  $y \in \mathfrak{g}_i$  its spectrum  $\sigma(y)$ , and  $(F_{n-1} \times F_n) \circ (p_{n-1} \times p_n) = \Phi_n$ . It follows that  $\mathfrak{g}(\geq l)$  consists of elements of  $x$  with at least  $l$  coincidences in the spectrum of  $x$  and  $x_{n-1}$ , counted with multiplicity.

It is routine to check that

$$(3.3) \quad \mathfrak{g}(l) := \mathfrak{g}(\geq l) \setminus \mathfrak{g}(\geq l+1) = \Phi_n^{-1}(V^{n-1,n}(l))$$

consists of elements of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with exactly  $l$  coincidences in the spectrum of  $x$  and  $x_{n-1}$ , counted with multiplicity.

**Proposition 3.5.** (1) *The variety  $\mathfrak{g}(\geq l)$  is equidimensional of dimension  $n^2 - l$ .*  
 (2)  $\mathfrak{g}(\geq l) = \overline{\mathfrak{g}(l)} = \bigcup_{k \geq l} \mathfrak{g}(k)$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.3, the morphism  $\Phi_n$  is flat. By Proposition III.9.5 and Corollary III.9.6 of [Har77], the variety  $\mathfrak{g}(\geq l)$  is equidimensional of dimension  $\dim(V^{n-1,n}(\geq l)) + (n-1)^2$ , which gives the first assertion by Lemma 3.2. For the second assertion, by the flatness of  $\Phi_n$ , Theorem VIII.4.1 of [Gro03], and Lemma 3.2,

$$(3.4) \quad \overline{\mathfrak{g}(l)} = \overline{\Phi_n^{-1}(V^{n-1,n}(l))} = \Phi_n^{-1}(\overline{V^{n-1,n}(l)}) = \Phi_n^{-1}(V^{n-1,n}(\geq l)) = \mathfrak{g}(\geq l).$$

The remaining equality follows from definitions.

**Q.E.D.**

We now relate the partitions  $\mathfrak{g} = \bigcup \mathfrak{g}(l)$  and  $\mathfrak{g} = \bigcup_{Q \subset \mathcal{B}} Y_Q$  (see Remark 2.9).

**Theorem 3.6.** (1) *Consider the closed subvarieties  $Y_{\mathfrak{p}_{i,j}}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ , and let  $l = j - i$ . Then  $Y_{\mathfrak{p}_{i,j}} \subset \mathfrak{g}(\geq n - 1 - l)$ .*  
 (2) *In particular, if  $Q \subset \mathcal{B}$  is a  $K$ -orbit with  $l(Q) = l$ , then  $Y_Q \subset \mathfrak{g}(\geq n - 1 - l)$ .*

*Proof.* The second statement of the theorem follows from the first statement using Remark 2.6 and Proposition 2.12.

To prove the first statement of the theorem, let  $\mathfrak{q}$  be a parabolic subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with  $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{p}_{i,j}}$ , and let  $y \in \mathfrak{q}$ . We need to show that  $\Phi_n(y) \in V^{n-1,n}(\geq n - 1 - l)$ . Since the map  $\Phi_n$  is  $K$ -invariant, it is enough to show that  $\Phi_n(x) \in V^{n-1,n}(\geq n - 1 - l)$  for  $x \in \mathfrak{p}_{i,j}$ .

We recall that  $\Phi_n(x) = (\chi_{n-1}(x_{n-1}), \chi_n(x))$  where  $\chi_i : \mathfrak{gl}(i, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^i$  is the adjoint quotient for  $i = n - 1, n$ . For  $x \in \mathfrak{p}_{i,j}$ , let  $x_{\mathfrak{l}}$  be the projection of  $x$  onto  $\mathfrak{l}$  off of  $\mathfrak{u}$ . It is well-known that  $\chi_n(x) = \chi_n(x_{\mathfrak{l}})$ . Using the identification  $\mathfrak{l} \cong \mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{C})^{n-1-l} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(l+1, \mathbb{C})$ , we decompose  $x_{\mathfrak{l}}$  as  $x_{\mathfrak{l}} = x_{\mathfrak{gl}(1)^{n-1-l}} + x_{\mathfrak{gl}(l+1)}$ , where  $x_{\mathfrak{gl}(1)^{n-1-l}} \in \mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{C})^{n-1-l}$  and  $x_{\mathfrak{gl}(l+1)} \in \mathfrak{gl}(l+1, \mathbb{C})$ . It follows that the coordinates of  $x_{\mathfrak{gl}(1)^{n-1-l}}$  are in the spectrum of  $x$  (see (2.21)).

Recall the projection  $\pi_{n,n-1} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}$ ,  $\pi_{n,n-1}(x) = x_{n-1}$ . Recall the Levi decomposition  $(\mathfrak{p}_{i,j})_{n-1} = \mathfrak{l}_{n-1} \oplus \mathfrak{u}_{n-1}$  of the parabolic subalgebra  $(\mathfrak{p}_{i,j})_{n-1}$  of  $\mathfrak{gl}(n-1, \mathbb{C})$  from Remark 2.13, and recall that  $\mathfrak{l}_{n-1} = \mathfrak{gl}(1, \mathbb{C})^{n-1-l} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(l, \mathbb{C})$ . Thus,  $\chi_{n-1}(x_{n-1}) = \chi_{n-1}((x_{n-1})_{\mathfrak{l}_{n-1}})$ . We use the decomposition  $(x_{n-1})_{\mathfrak{l}_{n-1}} = x_{\mathfrak{gl}(1)^{n-1-l}} + \pi_{l+1,l}(x_{\mathfrak{gl}(l+1)})$ , where  $\pi_{l+1,l} : \mathfrak{gl}(l+1, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(l, \mathbb{C})$  is the usual projection. It now follows easily from Remark 3.4 that  $\Phi_n(x) \in V^{n-1,n}(\geq n - 1 - l)$ , since the coordinates of  $x_{\mathfrak{gl}(1)^{n-1-l}}$  are eigenvalues both for  $x$  and  $x_{n-1}$ .

**Q.E.D.**

We now recall and prove our main theorem.

**Theorem 3.7.** *Consider the locally closed subvariety  $\mathfrak{g}(n-1-l)$  for  $l = 0, \dots, n-1$ . Then the decomposition*

$$(3.5) \quad \mathfrak{g}(n-1-l) = \bigcup_{l(Q)=l} Y_Q \cap \mathfrak{g}(n-1-l),$$

*is the irreducible component decomposition of the variety  $\mathfrak{g}(n-1-l)$ , where the union is taken over all  $K$ -orbits  $Q$  of length  $l$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ . (cf. Theorem (1.1)).*

*In fact, for  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$  with  $j-i=l$ , we have*

$$Y_{\mathfrak{b}_{i,j}} \cap \mathfrak{g}(n-1-l) = Y_{\mathfrak{p}_{i,j}} \cap \mathfrak{g}(n-1-l),$$

*so that*

$$(3.6) \quad \mathfrak{g}(n-1-l) = \bigcup_{j-i=l} Y_{\mathfrak{p}_{i,j}} \cap \mathfrak{g}(n-1-l).$$

*Proof.* We first claim that if  $l(Q) = l$ , then  $Y_Q \cap \mathfrak{g}(n-1-l)$  is non-empty. By Theorem 3.6,  $Y_Q \subset \mathfrak{g}(\geq n-1-l)$ . Thus, if  $Y_Q \cap \mathfrak{g}(n-1-l)$  were empty, then  $Y_Q \subset \mathfrak{g}(\geq n-l)$ . Hence, by part (1) of Proposition 3.5,  $\dim(Y_Q) \leq n^2 - n + l$ . By Equation (2.19),  $\dim(Y_Q) = n^2 - n + l + 1$ . This contradiction verifies the claim.

It follows from Equation (3.3) that  $\mathfrak{g}(n-1-l)$  is open in  $\mathfrak{g}(\geq n-1-l)$ . Thus,  $Y_Q \cap \mathfrak{g}(n-1-l)$  is a non-empty Zariski open subset of  $Y_Q$ , which is irreducible since  $Y_Q$  is irreducible.

Now we claim that

$$(3.7) \quad Y_Q \cap \mathfrak{g}(n-1-l) = \overline{Y_Q} \cap \mathfrak{g}(n-1-l),$$

so that  $Y_Q \cap \mathfrak{g}(n-1-l)$  is closed in  $\mathfrak{g}(n-1-l)$ . By Lemma 2.10,  $\overline{Y_Q} = \bigcup_{Q' \subset \overline{Q}} Y_{Q'}$ . Hence, if (3.7) were not an equality, there would be  $Q'$  with  $l(Q') < l(Q)$  and  $Y_{Q'} \cap \mathfrak{g}(n-1-l)$  nonempty. This contradicts Theorem 3.6, which asserts that  $Y_{Q'} \subset \mathfrak{g}(\geq n-l)$ , and hence verifies the claim. It follows that  $Y_Q \cap \mathfrak{g}(n-1-l)$  is an irreducible, closed subvariety of  $\mathfrak{g}(n-1-l)$  of dimension  $\dim Y_Q = \dim \mathfrak{g}(n-1-l)$ . Thus,  $Y_Q \cap \mathfrak{g}(n-1-l)$  is an irreducible component of  $\mathfrak{g}(n-1-l)$ .

Since  $l(Q) = l$ , Remark 2.6 implies that  $Q = Q_{i,j}$  for some  $i \leq j$  with  $j-i=l$ . Then by Proposition 2.12 and Equation (3.7),

$$(3.8) \quad Y_{\mathfrak{b}_{i,j}} \cap \mathfrak{g}(n-1-l) = Y_{\mathfrak{p}_{i,j}} \cap \mathfrak{g}(n-1-l).$$

Let  $Z$  be an irreducible component of  $\mathfrak{g}(n-1-l)$ . The proof will be complete once we show that  $Z = Y_{\mathfrak{p}_{i,j}} \cap \mathfrak{g}(n-1-l)$  for some  $i, j$  with  $j-i=l$ . To do this, consider the nonempty open set

$$U := \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : x_{n-1} \text{ is regular semisimple}\}.$$

Let  $\tilde{U}(n-1-l) := \mathfrak{g}(n-1-l) \cap U$ .

Since  $\Phi_n : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow V^{n-1,n}$  is surjective (by Remark 2.1), it follows that  $\tilde{U}(n-1-l)$  is a nonempty Zariski open set of  $\mathfrak{g}(n-1-l)$ . By part (2) of Proposition 2.3 and Exercise III.9.1 of [Har77],  $\Phi_n(U) \subset V^{n-1,n}$  is open. Thus,  $V^{n-1,n}(n-1-l) \setminus \Phi_n(U)$  is a proper, closed subvariety of  $V^{n-1,n}(n-1-l)$  and therefore has positive codimension by Lemma 3.2. It follows by part (2) of Proposition 2.3 and Corollary III.9.6 of [Har77] that  $\mathfrak{g}(n-1-l) \setminus \tilde{U}(n-1-l) = \Phi_n^{-1}(V^{n-1,n}(n-1-l) \setminus \Phi_n(U))$  is a proper, closed subvariety of  $\mathfrak{g}(n-1-l)$  of positive codimension. Since  $\mathfrak{g}(n-1-l)$  is equidimensional, it follows that  $Z \cap \tilde{U}(n-1-l)$  is nonempty. Thus, it suffices to show that

$$(3.9) \quad \tilde{U}(n-1-l) \subset \bigcup_{j-i=l} Y_{\mathfrak{p}_{i,j}} \cap \mathfrak{g}(n-1-l).$$

To prove Equation (3.9), we consider the following subvariety of  $\tilde{U}(n-1-l)$ :

$$(3.10) \quad \Xi = \{x \in \tilde{U}(n-1-l) : x_{n-1} = \text{diag}[h_1, \dots, h_{n-1}], \text{ and } \sigma(x_{n-1}) \cap \sigma(x) = \{h_1, \dots, h_{n-1-l}\}\}$$

It is easy to check that any element of  $\tilde{U}(n-1-l)$  is  $K$ -conjugate to an element in  $\Xi$ . By a linear algebra calculation from Proposition 5.9 of [Col11], elements of  $\Xi$  are matrices of the form

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{bmatrix} h_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & y_1 \\ 0 & h_2 & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & 0 & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & h_{n-1} & y_{n-1} \\ z_1 & \cdots & \cdots & z_{n-1} & w \end{bmatrix},$$

with  $h_i \neq h_j$  for  $i \neq j$  and satisfying the equations:

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} z_i y_i &= 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1-l \\ z_i y_i &\in \mathbb{C}^\times \text{ for } n-l \leq i \leq n-1. \end{aligned}$$

Since the varieties  $Y_{\mathfrak{p}_{i,j}} \cap \mathfrak{g}(n-1-l)$  are  $K$ -stable, it suffices to prove

$$(3.13) \quad \Xi \subset \bigcup_{j-i=l} Y_{\mathfrak{p}_{i,j}} \cap \mathfrak{g}(n-1-l).$$

To prove (3.13), we need to understand the irreducible components of  $\Xi$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, n-1-l$ , we define an index  $j_i$  which takes on two values  $j_i = U$  ( $U$  for upper) or  $j_i = L$  ( $L$  for lower). Consider the subvariety  $\Xi_{j_1, \dots, j_{n-1-l}} \subset \Xi$  defined by:

$$(3.14) \quad \Xi_{j_1, \dots, j_{n-1-l}} := \{x \in \Xi : z_i = 0 \text{ if } j_i = U, y_i = 0 \text{ if } j_i = L\}.$$

Then

$$(3.15) \quad \Xi = \bigcup_{j_i=U, L} \Xi_{j_1, \dots, j_{n-1-l}}.$$

is the irreducible component decomposition of  $\Xi$ .

We now consider the irreducible variety  $\Xi_{j_1, \dots, j_{n-1-l}}$ . Suppose that for the subsequence  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{k-1} \leq n-1-l$  we have  $j_{i_1} = j_{i_2} = \dots = j_{i_{k-1}} = U$  and that for the complementary subsequence  $i_k < \dots < i_{n-1-l}$  we have  $j_{i_k} = j_{i_{k+1}} = \dots = j_{i_{n-1-l}} = L$ . Then a simple computation with flags shows that elements of the variety  $\Xi_{j_1, \dots, j_{n-1-l}}$  stabilize the  $n-l$ -step partial flag in  $\mathbb{C}^n$

$$(3.16) \quad e_{i_1} \subset e_{i_2} \subset \dots \subset e_{i_{k-1}} \subset \underbrace{e_{n-l}, \dots, e_{n-1}, e_n}_k \subset e_{i_k} \subset e_{i_{k+1}} \subset \dots \subset e_{i_{n-1-l}}.$$

(If  $l = 0$  the partial flag in (3.16) is a full flag with  $e_n$  in the  $k$ -th position.) It is easy to see that there is an element of  $K$  that maps the partial flag in Equation (3.16) to the partial flag  $\mathcal{P}_{k, k+l}$  in Equation (2.14):

$$(3.17) \quad \mathcal{P}_{k, k+l} = (e_1 \subset e_2 \subset \dots \subset e_{k-1} \subset \underbrace{e_k, \dots, e_{k+l-1}, e_n}_k \subset e_{k+l} \subset \dots \subset e_{n-1}).$$

(If  $l = 0$  the partial flag  $\mathcal{P}_{k, k+l}$  is the full flag  $\mathcal{F}_{k, k}$  (see Equation (2.8)).) Thus,  $\Xi_{j_1, \dots, j_{n-1-l}} \subset Y_{\mathfrak{p}_{k, k+l}} \cap \mathfrak{g}(n-1-l)$ . Equation (3.15) then implies that  $\Xi \subset \bigcup_{j-i=l} Y_{\mathfrak{p}_{i, j}} \cap \mathfrak{g}(n-1-l)$ .

**Q.E.D.**

Using Theorem 3.7, we can obtain the irreducible component decomposition of the variety  $\mathfrak{g}(\geq n-1-l)$  for any  $l = 0, \dots, n-1$ .

**Corollary 3.8.** *The irreducible component decomposition of the variety  $\mathfrak{g}(\geq n-1-l)$  is*

$$(3.18) \quad \mathfrak{g}(\geq n-1-l) = \bigcup_{j-i=l} Y_{\mathfrak{p}_{i, j}} = \bigcup_{l(Q)=l} \overline{Y_Q}.$$

*Proof.* Taking Zariski closures in Equation (3.6), we obtain

$$(3.19) \quad \overline{\mathfrak{g}(n-1-l)} = \bigcup_{j-i=l} \overline{Y_{\mathfrak{p}_{i, j}} \cap \mathfrak{g}(n-1-l)}$$

is the irreducible component decomposition of the variety  $\overline{\mathfrak{g}(n-1-l)}$ . By Proposition 3.5,  $\overline{\mathfrak{g}(n-1-l)} = \mathfrak{g}(\geq n-1-l)$ , and by Theorem 3.6,  $Y_{\mathfrak{p}_{i, j}} \subset \mathfrak{g}(\geq n-1-l)$ . Hence  $Y_{\mathfrak{p}_{i, j}} \cap \mathfrak{g}(n-1-l)$  is Zariski open in the irreducible variety  $Y_{\mathfrak{p}_{i, j}}$ , and is nonempty by Theorem 3.7. Therefore  $\overline{Y_{\mathfrak{p}_{i, j}} \cap \mathfrak{g}(n-1-l)} = Y_{\mathfrak{p}_{i, j}}$ . Equation (3.18) now follows from Equation (3.19) and Proposition 2.12.

**Q.E.D.**

Theorem 3.7 says something of particular interest to linear algebraists in the case where  $l = 0$ . It states that the variety  $\mathfrak{g}(n-1)$  consisting of elements  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  where the number of coincidences in the spectrum between  $x_{n-1}$  and  $x$  is maximal can be described in terms of closed  $K$ -orbits on  $\mathcal{B}$ , which are the  $K$ -orbits  $Q$  with  $l(Q) = 0$ . It thus connects the most degenerate case of spectral coincidences to the simplest  $K$ -orbits on  $\mathcal{B}$ . More precisely, we have:

**Corollary 3.9.** *The irreducible component decomposition of the variety  $\mathfrak{g}(n-1)$  is*

$$\mathfrak{g}(n-1) = \bigcup_{l(Q)=0} Y_Q.$$

Using Corollary 3.9 and Theorem 2.2, we obtain a precise description of the irreducible components of the variety  $SN_n$  introduced in Equation (2.4).

**Proposition 3.10.** *Let  $\mathfrak{b}_{i,i}$  be the Borel subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  which stabilizes the flag  $\mathcal{F}_{i,i}$  in Equation (2.8) and let  $\mathfrak{n}_{i,i} = [\mathfrak{b}_{i,i}, \mathfrak{b}_{i,i}]$ . The irreducible component decomposition of  $SN_n$  is given by:*

$$(3.20) \quad SN_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{Ad}(K)\mathfrak{n}_{i,i},$$

where  $\text{Ad}(K)\mathfrak{n}_{i,i} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  denotes the  $K$ -saturation of  $\mathfrak{n}_{i,i}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

*Proof.* We first show that  $\text{Ad}(K)\mathfrak{n}_{i,i}$  is an irreducible component of  $SN_n$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . A simple computation using the flag  $\mathcal{F}_{i,i}$  in Equation (2.8) shows that  $\mathfrak{n}_{i,i} \subset SN_n$ . Since  $SN_n$  is  $K$ -stable, it follows that  $\text{Ad}(K)\mathfrak{n}_{i,i} \subset SN_n$ .

Recall the Grothendieck resolution  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \{(x, \mathfrak{b}) : x \in \mathfrak{b}\} \subset \mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{B}$  and the morphisms  $\pi : \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\pi(x, \mathfrak{b}) = \mathfrak{b}$  and  $\mu : \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\mu(x, \mathfrak{b}) = x$ . Let  $Q_{i,i} = K \cdot \mathfrak{b}_{i,i} \subset \mathcal{B}$  be the  $K$ -orbit through  $\mathfrak{b}_{i,i}$ . Corollary 3.1.33 of [CG97] gives a  $G$ -equivariant isomorphism  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \cong G \times_{B_{i,i}} \mathfrak{b}_{i,i}$ . Under this isomorphism  $\pi^{-1}(Q_{i,i})$  is identified with the closed subvariety  $K \times_{K \cap B_{i,i}} \mathfrak{b}_{i,i} \subset G \times_{B_{i,i}} \mathfrak{b}_{i,i}$ . The closed subvariety  $K \times_{K \cap B_{i,i}} \mathfrak{n}_{i,i} \subset K \times_{K \cap B_{i,i}} \mathfrak{b}_{i,i}$  maps surjectively under  $\mu$  to  $\text{Ad}(K)\mathfrak{n}_{i,i}$ . Since  $\mu$  is proper,  $\text{Ad}(K)\mathfrak{n}_{i,i}$  is closed and irreducible. We also note that the restriction of  $\mu$  to  $K \times_{K \cap B_{i,i}} \mathfrak{n}_{i,i}$  generically has finite fibers (Proposition 3.2.14 of [CG97]). Thus, the same reasoning that we used in Equation (2.19) shows that

$$(3.21) \quad \dim \text{Ad}(K)\mathfrak{n}_{i,i} = \dim(K \times_{K \cap B_{i,i}} \mathfrak{n}_{i,i}) = \dim(Y_{Q_{i,i}}) - \text{rk}(\mathfrak{g}) = d_n,$$

where  $\text{rk}(\mathfrak{g})$  denotes the rank of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Thus, by Theorem 2.2,  $\text{Ad}(K)\mathfrak{n}_{i,i}$  is an irreducible component of  $SN_n$ .

We now show that every irreducible component of  $SN_n$  is of the form  $\text{Ad}(K)\mathfrak{n}_{i,i}$  for some  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . It follows from definitions that  $SN_n \subset \mathfrak{g}(n-1) \cap \mathcal{N}$ , where  $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  is the nilpotent cone in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Thus, if  $\mathfrak{X}$  is an irreducible component of  $SN_n$ , then  $\mathfrak{X} \subset \text{Ad}(K)\mathfrak{n}_{i,i}$  by Corollary 3.9. But then  $\mathfrak{X} = \text{Ad}(K)\mathfrak{n}_{i,i}$  by Equation (3.21) and Theorem 2.2.

**Q.E.D.**

We say that an element  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  is *n-strongly regular* if the set

$$dJZ_n(x) := \{df_{i,j}(x) : i = n - 1, n; j = 1, \dots, i\}$$

is linearly independent in the cotangent space  $T_x^*(\mathfrak{g})$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  at  $x$ . We view  $\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}$  as the top lefthand corner of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . It follows from a well-known result of Kostant (Theorem 9 of [Kos63]) that  $x_i \in \mathfrak{g}_i$  is regular if and only if the set  $\{df_{i,j}(x) : j = 1, \dots, i\}$  is linearly independent. If  $x_i \in \mathfrak{g}_i$  is regular, and we identify  $T_x^*(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g}^*$  with  $\mathfrak{g}$  using the trace form  $\langle\langle x, y \rangle\rangle = \text{tr}(xy)$ , then

$$\text{span} \{df_{i,j}(x) : j = 1, \dots, i\} = \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}_i}(x_i),$$

where  $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}_i}(x_i)$  denotes the centralizer of  $x_i$  in  $\mathfrak{g}_i$ . Thus, it follows that  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  is *n-strongly regular* if and only if  $x$  satisfies the following two conditions:

- (3.22) (1)  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $x_{n-1} \in \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}$  are regular; and  
(2)  $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}}(x_{n-1}) \cap \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(x) = 0$ .

**Remark 3.11.** *We claim that the ideal  $I_n$  is radical if and only if  $n \leq 2$ . The assertion is clear for  $n = 1$ , and we assume  $n \geq 2$  in the sequel. Indeed, by Theorem 18.15(a) of [Eis95], the ideal  $I_n$  is radical if and only if the set  $dJZ_n$  is linearly independent on a dense open set of each irreducible component of  $SN_n = V(I_n)$ . It follows that  $I_n$  is radical if and only if each irreducible component of  $SN_n$  contains *n-strongly regular* elements. Let  $\mathfrak{n}_+ = [\mathfrak{b}_+, \mathfrak{b}_+]$  and  $\mathfrak{n}_- = [\mathfrak{b}_-, \mathfrak{b}_-]$  be the strictly upper and lower triangular matrices, respectively. By Proposition 3.10 above,  $SN_n$  has exactly  $n$  irreducible components. It follows from the discussion after Equation (2.8) that two of them are  $K \cdot \mathfrak{n}_+$  and  $K \cdot \mathfrak{n}_-$ . By Proposition 3.10 of [CE12], the only irreducible components of  $SN_n$  which contain *n-strongly regular* elements are  $K \cdot \mathfrak{n}_+$  and  $K \cdot \mathfrak{n}_-$ . The claim now follows. See Remark 1.1 of [Ovs03] for a related observation, which follows also from the analysis proving our claim.*

## REFERENCES

- [BH00] Michel Brion and Aloysius G. Helminck, *On orbit closures of symmetric subgroups in flag varieties*, *Canad. J. Math.* **52** (2000), no. 2, 265–292.
- [Bri87] M. Brion, *Classification des espaces homogènes sphériques*, *Compositio Math.* **63** (1987), no. 2, 189–208.
- [CE] Mark Colarusso and Sam Evens, *The Gelfand-Zeitlin integrable system and K-orbits on the flag variety*, to appear in: “Symmetry: Representation Theory and its Applications: In Honor of Nolan R. Wallach,” *Progr. Math.* Birkäuser, Boston.
- [CE12] Mark Colarusso and Sam Evens, *K-orbits on the flag variety and strongly regular nilpotent matrices*, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **18** (2012), no. 1, 159–177.
- [CG97] Neil Chriss and Victor Ginzburg, *Representation theory and complex geometry*, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1997.
- [Col11] Mark Colarusso, *The orbit structure of the Gelfand-Zeitlin group on  $n \times n$  matrices*, *Pacific J. Math.* **250** (2011), no. 1, 109–138.
- [Eis95] David Eisenbud, *Commutative algebra*, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, vol. 150, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995, With a view toward algebraic geometry.



- [FO05] Vyacheslav Futorny and Serge Ovsienko, *Kostant's theorem for special filtered algebras*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **37** (2005), no. 2, 187–199.
- [Gro03] Alexander Grothendieck, *Revêtements Étales et groupe fondamental (SGA 1)*, Documents Mathématiques (Paris) [Mathematical Documents (Paris)], **3**, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2003, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie, 1960-1961, Augmenté de deux exposés de Michèle Raynaud. [With two exposés by Michèle Raynaud].
- [Har77] Robin Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52.
- [Has04] Takashi Hashimoto,  *$B_{n-1}$ -orbits on the flag variety  $GL_n/B_n$* , Geom. Dedicata **105** (2004), 13–27.
- [Hum75] James E. Humphreys, *Linear algebraic groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1975, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 21.
- [Kos63] Bertram Kostant, *Lie group representations on polynomial rings*, Amer. J. Math. **85** (1963), 327–404.
- [KW06] Bertram Kostant and Nolan Wallach, *Gelfand-Zeitlin theory from the perspective of classical mechanics. I*, Studies in Lie theory, Progr. Math., vol. 243, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2006, pp. 319–364.
- [Mat79] Toshihiko Matsuki, *The orbits of affine symmetric spaces under the action of minimal parabolic subgroups*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **31** (1979), no. 2, 331–357.
- [Mat86] Hideyuki Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 8, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986, Translated from the Japanese by M. Reid.
- [MŌ90] Toshihiko Matsuki and Toshio Ōshima, *Embeddings of discrete series into principal series*, The orbit method in representation theory (Copenhagen, 1988), Progr. Math., vol. 82, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990, pp. 147–175.
- [Ovs03] Serge Ovsienko, *Strongly nilpotent matrices and Gelfand-Zetlin modules*, Linear Algebra Appl. **365** (2003), 349–367, Special issue on linear algebra methods in representation theory.
- [RS90] R. W. Richardson and T. A. Springer, *The Bruhat order on symmetric varieties*, Geom. Dedicata **35** (1990), no. 1-3, 389–436.
- [Ser00] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Local algebra*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000, Translated from the French by CheeWhye Chin and revised by the author.
- [VK78] É. A. Vinberg and B. N. Kimel'fel'd, *Homogeneous domains on flag manifolds and spherical subsets of semisimple Lie groups*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **12** (1978), no. 3, 12–19, 96.
- [Yam97] Atsuko Yamamoto, *Orbits in the flag variety and images of the moment map for classical groups. I*, Represent. Theory **1** (1997), 329–404 (electronic).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MILWAUKEE, MILWAUKEE, WI, 53201

*E-mail address:* colaruss@uwm.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME, NOTRE DAME, IN, 46556

*E-mail address:* sevens@nd.edu