

## NOTES FOR SECTION 2.6 OF ASH

SAM EVENS

### 1. DIVISIBILITY

The purpose of these notes is explain the proof that each principal ideal domain (PID) is a unique factorization domain (UFD).

Let  $R$  be an integral domain.

**Remark 1.1.** *Let  $a, b, c \in R$  and suppose that  $ab = ac$ . If  $a \neq 0$ , then  $b = c$ . Indeed,  $ab = ac$  implies  $a(b - c) = 0$ . Since  $R$  is an integral domain and  $a \neq 0$ , then  $b - c = 0$ , so  $b = c$ .*

**Definition 1.2.** *Let  $a, b \in R$  with  $a \neq 0$ . We say  $a$  divides  $b$  if there is  $c \in R$  such that  $b = ac$ . If so, we write  $a \mid b$ , and if not, we write  $a \nmid b$ .*

Note that if  $a, b \in R$  are nonzero and  $c \in R$ , then  $a \mid a$  and if  $a \mid b$  and  $b \mid c$ , then  $a \mid c$ .

**Lemma 1.3.** *Let  $a, b \in R$  with  $a \neq 0$ . Then  $a \mid b$  if and only if  $(b) \subset (a)$ .*

The proof is an easy consequence of definitions.

**Definition 1.4.** *For  $a, b \in R - \{0\}$ , we say  $a$  and  $b$  are associates if there is a unit  $u$  of  $R$  so that  $b = ua$ .*

**Lemma 1.5.** *For  $a, b \in R - \{0\}$ , the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1)  $a$  and  $b$  are associates.
- (2)  $(a) = (b)$ .
- (3)  $a \mid b$  and  $b \mid a$ .

*Proof.* We prove the equivalence of (1) and (3). If  $a$  and  $b$  are associates, then  $b = ua$  for  $u$  a unit of  $R$ . Hence, there is  $v \in R$  so  $uv = 1$  and  $v$  is a unit of  $R$ . Hence,  $vb = vua = a$ . Thus,  $a \mid b$  and  $b \mid a$ . Conversely, if  $a \mid b$  and  $b \mid a$ , then  $b = xa$  and  $a = yb$  for  $x, y \in R$ . Thus,  $b = xyb$ , so  $1b = xyb$ . By Remark 1.1,  $xy = 1$ , so  $x$  is a unit, which establishes (1). The equivalence of (2) and (3) follows directly from Lemma 1.3.

**Q.E.D.**

**Remark 1.6.** *Let  $a, b \in R$  with  $a \neq 0$ . Then if  $a \mid b$ , then  $(b) \subset (a)$  with equality if and only if  $a$  and  $b$  are associates. Indeed, this follows from Lemma 1.3 and Lemma 1.5.*

**Remark 1.7.** Let  $a \in R$ . Then  $(a) = R$  if and only if  $a$  is a unit. Indeed, since  $R = (1)$ ,  $(a) = R$  if and only if  $(a) = (1)$ . By Remark 1.6, it follows easily that  $(a) = (1)$  if and only if  $a$  is a unit.

**Definition 1.8.** Let  $a \in R$  be nonzero, and assume  $a$  is not a unit. Then we say  $a$  is irreducible if whenever  $a = bc$  for  $b, c \in R$ , then  $b$  or  $c$  is a unit.

**Notation 1.9.** If  $S$  and  $T$  are subsets of a set  $X$ , we write  $S \subsetneq T$  if  $S \subset T$  but  $S \neq T$ .

**Remark 1.10.** Let  $a \in R$  be nonzero, and suppose  $a = bc$  with  $b, c \in R$  nonunits. Then  $(a) \subsetneq (b)$ , and similarly with  $b$  in place of  $c$ . Indeed,  $b \mid a$ , so by Remark 1.6,  $(a) \subset (b)$  with equality if and only if  $a$  and  $b$  are associates. If  $a$  and  $b$  are associates, then  $a = bu$  for a unit  $u$ , so  $u = c$  by Remark 1.1, which contradicts our assumption. Thus,  $(a) \subsetneq (b)$ , and similarly  $(a) \subsetneq (c)$ .

**Definition 1.11.** Let  $a \in R$  be a nonzero, nonunit. Then we say  $a$  is prime if whenever  $a \mid bc$  for  $b, c \in R$ , then  $a \mid b$  or  $a \mid c$ .

**Remark 1.12.** Let  $a \in R$  be a nonzero, nonunit. Then  $a$  is prime if and only if  $(a)$  is a prime ideal. Indeed, let  $a$  be prime. Then by Remark 1.7,  $(a) \neq R$ , so  $(a)$  is a proper ideal. Let  $bc \in (a)$  for  $b, c \in R$ . Then  $a \mid bc$  by Lemma 1.3, so  $a \mid b$  or  $a \mid c$  since  $a$  is prime. Hence, by Lemma 1.3 again,  $b \in (a)$  or  $c \in (a)$ , so  $(a)$  is prime. Conversely, let  $(a)$  be prime. Then if  $a \mid bc$ , then  $bc \in (a)$  by Lemma 1.3, so  $b \in (a)$  or  $c \in (a)$  since  $(a)$  is prime, so  $a \mid b$  or  $a \mid c$  by Lemma 1.3 again.

**Proposition 1.13.** (Proposition 2.6.2 in Ash) Let  $a \in R$ . If  $a$  is prime, then  $a$  is irreducible.

*Proof.* Let  $a = b \cdot c$  with  $b, c \in R$ . Since  $a \mid a$ , we conclude that  $a \mid b$  or  $a \mid c$ . If  $a \mid b$ , then  $b = a \cdot x$  for some  $x \in R$ , so  $a = a \cdot x \cdot c$ , and since  $R$  is a domain,  $x \cdot c = 1$ , so  $c$  is a unit. Similarly, if  $a \mid c$ , then  $b$  is a unit. Hence,  $a$  is irreducible.

**Q.E.D.**

To prove the main theorem, we need to discuss greatest common divisors in PID's and Noetherian rings.

## 2. GREATEST COMMON DIVISORS

We assume our ring  $R$  is a PID and discuss the notion of a greatest common divisor of two nonzero elements  $R$ . Let  $a, b \in R$ , not both zero, and consider the nonzero ideal  $(a, b) = \{ra + sb : r, s \in R\}$ . Since  $R$  is a PID, the ideal  $(a, b) = (d)$  for some nonzero element  $d \in R$ . Since  $a = 1 \cdot a + 0 \cdot b \in (a, b) = (d)$ , we see that  $d \mid a$ . Similarly,  $d \mid b$ .

**Definition 2.1.** For  $a, b \in R$ , not both zero, we say  $d \in R$  is a greatest common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$  if  $(a, b) = (d)$ .

**Remark 2.2.** If  $a, b, d \in R$  are as above, and  $c \in R$  and  $c \mid a$  and  $c \mid b$ , then  $c \mid d$ . Indeed,  $c \mid a$  implies  $a \in (c)$  and similarly,  $b \in (c)$ . Since  $(d) = (a, b)$ , then  $d = ra + sb$  for some  $r, s \in R$ , so  $d \in (c)$  and thus  $c \mid d$ . If  $d$  and  $d_1$  are both greatest common divisors of  $a$  and  $b$ , then  $d$  is associate to  $d_1$ . Indeed, the assumption implies that  $(d) = (d_1)$ , so that  $d$  and  $d_1$  are associates by Lemma 1.5 above.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $a, p \in R$  with  $p$  irreducible.

(i) if  $p \mid a$ , then  $(a, p) = (p)$ .

(ii) if  $p \nmid a$ , then  $(a, p) = (1)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(a, p) = (d)$ . Then  $d \mid p$ . In case (i),  $p \mid a$  so since  $p \mid p$ , then  $p \mid d$  by Remark 2.2. Hence,  $(p) = (d)$  by Lemma 1.5, so  $(a, p) = (p)$ . In case (ii),  $p \nmid a$ . Since  $d \mid p$ ,  $p = xd$  for some  $x \in R$ . Since  $p$  is irreducible, either  $d$  or  $x$  is a unit. If  $x$  were a unit, then  $p$  and  $d$  would be associate, so  $(p) = (d) = (a, p)$ , giving the contradiction  $p \mid a$ . Hence,  $d$  is a unit, so 1 and  $d$  are associates, and  $(1) = (d)$ , proving (ii).

**Q.E.D.**

**Proposition 2.4.** Let  $R$  be a PID. If  $p \in R$  is irreducible, then  $p$  is prime.

*Proof.* Let  $b, c \in R$  and let  $p \mid b \cdot c$ . Suppose  $p \nmid b$ , and we show  $p \mid c$ , so  $p$  is prime.

Since  $p \nmid b$ , then by Lemma 2.3,  $(1) = (b, p)$ . Thus,  $1 = xb + yp$  for some  $x, y \in R$ , so  $c = xbc + ypc$ . Since  $p \mid bc$ ,  $xbc \in (p)$ . Since evidently  $ypc \in (p)$ , then  $c \in (p)$ , so  $p \mid c$ .

**Q.E.D.**

### 3. NOETHERIAN RINGS

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $R$  be a ring. We say  $R$  is Noetherian if for every chain

$$I_1 \subset I_2 \subset I_3 \subset \cdots \subset I_n \subset I_{n+1} \subset \cdots$$

of ideals of  $R$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$  such that for all  $n > n_0$ , then  $I_{n_0} = I_n$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** Let  $R$  be a PID. Then  $R$  is Noetherian.

*Proof.* Let  $I_1 \subset I_2 \subset \cdots \subset I_n \subset \cdots$  be a chain of ideals of  $R$ . Let  $I = \cup_{j \geq 1} I_j$ . Then  $I$  is an ideal. Indeed, if  $x, y \in I$ , then  $x \in I_r$  and  $y \in I_s$  for some  $r, s$ . Let  $t$  be the maximum of  $r$  and  $s$ , so that  $x, y \in I_t$ . Thus,  $x - y \in I_t$  since  $I_t$  is an ideal, so  $x - y \in I$ . Let  $r \in R$ . Then  $rx \in I_r \subset I$ , so  $I$  is an ideal.

Since  $R$  is a PID, the ideal  $I = (a)$  for some  $a \in R$ . Since  $a \in I$ , we see  $a \in I_{n_0}$  for some  $n_0$ . Thus,  $I = (a) \subset I_{n_0} \subset I$ , so  $I = I_{n_0}$ . Hence if  $n > n_0$ , then  $I_n \subset I = I_{n_0} \subset I_n$ , so  $I_n = I_{n_0}$ . Thus,  $R$  is Noetherian.

**Q.E.D.**

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring and let  $S$  be a nonempty collection of ideals of  $R$ . Then there exists  $J \in S$  so that if  $I \in S$  and  $J \subset I$ , then  $J = I$ , i.e.,  $S$  has a maximal element.*

Note that the above ideal  $J$  need not be a maximal ideal of  $R$ . Indeed, if  $S$  consists only of the zero ideal  $(0)$ , then  $(0)$  is evidently maximal in  $S$ , but is a maximal ideal of  $R$  if and only if  $R$  is a field.

*Proof.* We argue by contradiction, so assume  $S$  has no maximal ideal. Choose  $I_1 \in S$ . Since  $I_1$  is not maximal, there is an ideal  $I_2 \in S$  so  $I_1 \subsetneq I_2$ . By induction, we construct a chain of ideals in  $S$ ,  $I_1 \subsetneq I_2 \subsetneq I_3 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq I_n$  for each  $n$ . Indeed, if such a sequence has been constructed, then since  $I_n$  is not maximal, there is an ideal  $I_{n+1} \in S$  with  $I_n \subsetneq I_{n+1}$ . Thus, we can construct an infinite ascending chain of ideals in  $R$ , which contradicts the assumption that  $R$  is Noetherian.

**Q.E.D.**

#### 4. MAIN THEOREM

**Definition 4.1.** *Let  $R$  be an integral domain.  $R$  is called a unique factorization domain (UFD) if*

(UF1) *If  $a \in R$  is nonzero, then there is a product decomposition  $a = up_1 \cdots p_n$  for some  $n \geq 0$ ,  $u \in R^\times$ , and  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  irreducible;*

(UF2) *Suppose  $a = up_1 \cdots p_n$  as in (UF1) and also  $a = vq_1 \cdots q_m$  for some  $m \geq 0$  with  $v \in R^\times$  and  $q_1, \dots, q_m$  irreducible. Then  $n = m$ , and after renumbering the  $q_j$ , we may assume  $p_i$  is associate to  $q_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ .*

(UF1) guarantees existence of factorization into irreducibles, and (UF2) asserts that a factorization is essentially unique. If  $a$  is not a unit, then in (UF1), we can omit the unit  $u$  by absorbing it into the first irreducible factor.

**Theorem 4.2.** *If  $R$  is a PID, then  $R$  is a UFD.*

*Proof.* Since  $R$  is a PID,  $R$  is Noetherian by Proposition 3.2. Let  $S$  be the collection of ideals  $I$  of  $R$  such that  $I = (a)$  for some  $a \in R$  which cannot be written in the form  $a = up_1 \cdots p_n$  as in (UF1). We show that  $S$  is empty, and this proves (UF1) for  $R$ .

We argue by contradiction. If  $S$  is nonempty, then by Lemma 3.3,  $S$  has a maximal element  $J = (a)$  such that  $a$  does not satisfy (UF1). Since  $a$  does not satisfy (UF1), then  $a$  is not irreducible, since if  $a$  were irreducible,  $a = a$  would be a factorization as in (UF1). Thus, we can factor  $a = bc$  with  $b, c \in R$  non-units. Hence, by Remark 1.10,  $(a) \subsetneq (b)$  and  $(a) \subsetneq (c)$ . Since  $(a)$  is maximal in  $S$ , it follows that neither  $(b)$  nor  $(c)$  is in  $S$ . Hence,  $b$  and  $c$  satisfy (UF1), so  $b = ux_1 \cdots x_s$  and  $c = vy_1 \cdots y_t$  with  $u, v \in R^\times$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_s, y_1, \dots, y_t$  irreducible. Hence,  $a = bc = uvx_1 \cdots x_s y_1 \cdots y_t$  has a factorization

as in (UF1), which contradicts our assumption that  $a$  does not satisfy (UF1). Hence,  $S$  must be empty, so each nonzero  $a \in R$  satisfies (UF1).

We now show (UF2). Let  $a = up_1 \dots p_n = vq_1 \dots q_m$  with  $u, v \in R^\times$  and  $p_1, \dots, p_n, q_1, \dots, q_m$  irreducible. We prove by induction on the maximum  $t$  of  $m$  and  $n$  that  $m = n$ . If  $m$  or  $n = 0$ , then  $a$  is a unit, and the result is clear. Let  $t > 0$  and assume WLOG that  $t = n$ . Then  $p_n$  divides  $a$  so  $p_n$  divides  $vq_1 \dots q_m$ . Since  $p_n$  is irreducible, then  $p_n$  is prime by Proposition 2.4. Since  $p_n \mid vq_1 \dots q_m$ , then by an easy induction, either  $p_n \mid v$  or  $p_n \mid q_j$  for some  $j$  with  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . If  $p_n \mid v$ , then since  $v \mid 1$ , we see that  $p_n \mid 1$  using easy facts about divisibility. Thus,  $p_n$  is a unit, which is a contradiction. Hence,  $p_n \mid q_j$  for some  $j$ , and by renumbering the  $q_i$ , we may assume  $j = m$ . Thus,  $q_m = u_n p_n$  for some  $u_n \in R$ . Since  $q_m$  is irreducible, either  $u_n$  or  $p_n$  is a unit, so that  $u_n$  is a unit. Hence,  $p_n$  and  $q_m$  are associates. Thus, we see  $a = up_1 \dots p_n = vq_1 \dots q_{m-1} u_n p_n$ , so by Remark 1.1,  $up_1 \dots p_{n-1} = wq_1 \dots q_{m-1}$  with  $w = vu_n \in R^\times$ . Thus, by induction,  $n - 1 = m - 1$  and we can renumber the  $q_i$  so that  $q_i$  is associate to  $p_i$  for all  $i$ . Hence, (UF2) is satisfied.

**Q.E.D.**

## 5. CONSEQUENCES

**Proposition 5.1.** (*Proposition 2.6.4 in Ash*) *Let  $R$  be a UFD. Then every irreducible element of  $R$  is prime.*

See the proof in Ash.

**Theorem 5.2.** (*Theorem 2.6.9 in Ash*) *Let  $R$  be an integral domain. Then  $R$  is a PID if and only if  $R$  is a UFD and every prime ideal of  $R$  is maximal.*

*Proof.* Assume  $R$  is a PID. By Theorem 4.2,  $R$  is a UFD. Let  $P$  be a prime ideal of  $R$ . Since  $R$  is a PID,  $P = (\pi)$  for some  $\pi \in R$ . By Theorem 2.4.2 of Ash, there is a maximal ideal  $M$  of  $R$  with  $P \subset M$ , and as before  $M = (q)$  for some  $q \in R$ . Thus,  $\pi \in P \subset M = (q)$ , so  $q \mid \pi$ . Thus,  $\pi = u \cdot q$  for some  $u \in R$ . Since  $P$  is a prime ideal, the element  $\pi$  is a prime element by Remark 1.12, so  $\pi$  is irreducible by Proposition 1.13. Hence,  $u$  or  $q$  is a unit. If  $q$  were a unit, then  $(q) = R$ , so  $(q)$  would not be maximal. Hence,  $u$  is a unit, so  $\pi$  and  $q$  are associates, and thus  $P = (\pi) = (q) = M$  by Lemma 1.5, so that  $P$  is maximal.

The converse is less important, and is proved in the exercises in Ash.

**Q.E.D.**

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME, NOTRE DAME, IN, 46556

*Email address:* `sevens@nd.edu`